STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT BUREAU FOR A COMPLIANCE ORDER AGAINST NORMAN L. & LORETTA E. GILBREATH, FOR WELLS OPERATED IN RIO ARRIBA AND SAN JUAN COUNTIES, NEW MEXICO.

CASE NO. 15695

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

June 22, 2017

Santa Fe, New Mexico

BEFORE: MICHAEL McMILLAN, CHIEF EXAMINER DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL EXAMINER

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Michael McMillan, Chief Examiner, and David K. Brooks, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, June 22, 2017, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR
New Mexico CCR #20
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters
500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
(505) 843-9241

	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES
2	FOR APPLICANT NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT BUREAU:
3	KEITH W. HERRMANN, ESQ.
4	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
5	ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT Office of General Counsel Wendell Chino Building
6	1220 South St. Francis Drive
7	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 (505) 476-3463 keith.herrmann@state.nm.us
8	
9	
10	INDEX
11	PAGE
12	Case Number 15695 Called 3
13	NMOCD Compliance and Enforcement Bureau's Case-in-Chief:
14	Witnesses:
15	Denise Gallegos:
16	Direct Examination by Mr. Herrmann 4 Cross-Examination by Examiner McMillan 7
17	CLOSS Bramiliacion by Bramilian Maritian
18	Proceedings Conclude 9
19	Certificate of Court Reporter 10
20	
21	EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED
22	NMOCD Compliance and Enforcement Bureau's Exhibit Numbers 1 through 3 and 3A and 3B
23	Numbers 1 through 3 and 3A and 3B
24	
25	

- 1 (10:31 a.m.)
- 2 EXAMINER McMILLAN: I'd like to call the
- 3 hearing back to order.
- 4 I'd like to call Case Number 15695,
- 5 application of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division
- 6 Compliance and Enforcement Bureau for a compliance order
- 7 against Norman L. and Loretta E. Gilbreath, for wells
- 8 operated in Rio Arriba and San Juan Counties, New
- 9 Mexico.
- 10 Call for appearances.
- MR. HERRMANN: Mr. Examiner, Keith Herrmann
- 12 representing the Oil Conservation Division Compliance
- 13 and Enforcement Bureau.
- 14 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Any other appearances?
- MR. HERRMANN: No.
- 16 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Do you want this case
- 17 number combined with 15696?
- 18 MR. HERRMANN: I think for clarity, we were
- 19 going to present both separately.
- 20 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Please proceed.
- MR. HERRMANN: Mr. Examiner, this is a
- 22 compliance and enforcement case against Norman and
- 23 Loretta Gilbreath under the financial assurance
- 24 provision. The Bureau has one witness, Ms. Denise
- 25 Gallegos.

1 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Will the witness please

- 2 stand up and be sworn in at this time?
- 3 DENISE GALLEGOS,
- 4 after having been first duly sworn under oath, was
- 5 questioned and testified as follows:
- 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 7 BY MR. HERRMANN:
- 8 Q. Ms. Gallegos, would you please state your name,
- 9 title and place of employment for the record?
- 10 A. Denise Gallegos, compliance officer with the
- 11 New Mexico Oil Conservation Division.
- 12 Q. And could you briefly describe the duties you
- do for the OCD?
- 14 A. Among other duties, I'm responsible for the
- 15 management, enforcement and ensuring compliance of the
- 16 Division's Financial Assurance Program.
- Q. And are you familiar with the violations
- detailed in the Bureau's application for hearing?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Could you please identify the operator that's
- 21 the subject of this case and their OGRID?
- 22 A. Norman L. and Loretta E. Gilbreath, OGRID
- 23 Number 15989.
- 24 Q. And in what county are these well located?
- 25 A. San Juan and Rio Arriba Counties.

- 1 Q. Does the Division post all inactive wells,
- otherwise known as temporarily abandoned status wells,
- 3 for an operator on its Web site?
- 4 A. Yes. This is available on the OCD's Web site
- 5 and updated daily on the inactive well list, listing
- 6 each well by operator.
- 7 Q. Does the OCD require additional financial
- 8 assurance for wells that have been inactive for over two
- 9 years?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. What is the amount of financial assurance for a
- 12 well that has been inactive for over two years?
- 13 A. The amount is based on what county the well is
- 14 located and the measured depth of the well.
- 15 Q. And referring to Exhibit 1, how many of the
- 16 operator's wells in this case need additional financial
- 17 assurance?
- 18 A. Two.
- 19 Q. Could you please identify them and the amount
- 20 needed for each well?
- 21 A. Harvey #2, API 30-039-21414, in the amount of
- 22 \$7,740, and the Salmon #1X, 30-045-08199, in the amount
- 23 of \$6,760.
- 24 Q. And does the operator have bonding on these
- 25 wells?

- 1 A. Yes, but not the required amount.
- Q. Okay. Was the operator notified of these
- 3 violations?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And when?
- 6 A. I sent a Notice of Financial Assurance
- 7 Violation letter, certified mail, on June 20th, 2017,
- 8 and I received an undeliverable by the post office on
- 9 June 27th, 2016. So I sent a second letter for
- 10 violation, certified mail, to a different address on
- June 29th, 2016, and I received the signed green card
- 12 back on July 13th, 2017.
- Q. And is Exhibit 2 a copy of that notice?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. What relief is the Division requesting?
- 16 A. The Division is requesting the operator provide
- 17 the OCD with acceptable financial assurance within 30
- 18 days of issuance of an order of finding that the
- 19 operator is in violation of 70-2-14 of the New Mexico
- 20 state statutes and 19.15.18 NMAC. And if the operator
- 21 does not post the requested financial assurance within
- 22 30 days of the issuance of an order, that the operator
- 23 is in violation of the Division order.
- 24 Q. Is the OCD only seeking to enforce a violation
- of the financial assurance at this hearing?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Were Exhibits 1 and 2 prepared by you or under
- 3 your direction?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 MR. HERRMANN: Mr. Examiner, we have no
- 6 further questions at this time.
- 7 At this time I'd move to admit Compliance
- 8 and Enforcement Bureau Exhibits 1 through 3, Exhibits 1
- 9 and 2 previously identified by the witness, and Exhibit
- 10 3 is an Affidavit of Service by myself -- prepared by
- 11 myself of notice of the original hearing date on May
- 12 11th. This case has been continued several times, with
- 13 contact with the operator, showing that they have had
- 14 notice of this proceeding.
- 15 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. So Exhibits 1
- 16 through 3 shall be accepted as part of the record.
- 17 (OCD Compliance and Enforcement Bureau
- 18 Exhibit Numbers 1 through 3 are offered and
- 19 admitted into evidence.)
- 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 21 BY EXAMINER McMILLAN:
- 22 Q. So how much money do they owe for the Harvey
- 23 **#2?** Is it \$7,740?
- 24 A. Well, currently, they have a bond in place for
- 5,000, so they just owe the difference.

- 1 Q. So they owe 2,440?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And is it the Salmon 1X?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. It's the same scenario?
- 6 A. Same scenario.
- 7 Q. So they have a bond for 5k?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. That means that they owe 1,960?
- 10 A. The total amount is 6,760, so they owe an
- 11 additional 1,760.
- 12 **o.** Ah.
- 13 And for clarity purposes, you ran a
- 14 newspaper ad in Farmington and Espanola; is that right?
- MR. HERRMANN: I believe it's the "Rio
- 16 Grande Sun, " which is a newspaper of general circulation
- 17 in Rio Arriba. And the other one is the newspaper for
- 18 San Juan County, the "Daily Times," I believe.
- 19 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. With that in
- 20 mind -- thank you very much.
- 21 Case Number 15659 shall be taken under
- 22 advisement.
- 23 EXAMINER BROOKS: I don't know what city
- 24 the "Rio Grande Sun" is published, but I know that's the
- one that's always used.

Page 9 MR. HERRMANN: Yeah. It's the one that meets the publication requirements for that county. (Case Number 15695 concludes, 10:39 a.m.)

- 1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO
- 2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

3

- 4 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER
- 5 I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court
- 6 Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20,
- 7 and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify
- 8 that I reported the foregoing proceedings in
- 9 stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are
- 10 a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that
- 11 were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my
- 12 ability.
- I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's
- 14 Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects
- 15 the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.
- I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
- 17 employed by nor related to any of the parties or
- 18 attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in
- 19 the final disposition of this case.

20

21

MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR

22 Certified Court Reporter

New Mexico CCR No. 20
Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2017

Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters

24

25