Page 1

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 15803

APPLICATION OF THE NEW MEXICO OIL CASE CONSERVATION DIVISION COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT BUREAU FOR A COMPLIANCE ORDER AGAINST SPENCE ENERGY, CO., FOR WELLS OPERATED IN LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

## REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

## EXAMINER HEARING

August 31, 2017

Santa Fe, New Mexico

## BEFORE: WILLIAM V. JONES, CHIEF EXAMINER GABRIEL WADE, LEGAL EXAMINER

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, William V. Jones, Chief Examiner, and Gabriel Wade, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, August 31, 2017, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR New Mexico CCR #20 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 (505) 843-9241

Page 2 1 APPEARANCES 2 FOR APPLICANT THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT BUREAU: 3 KEITH W. HERRMANN, ESQ. 4 STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT 5 Office of General Counsel Wendell Chino Building 1220 South St. Francis Drive 6 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 7 (505) 476-3463 keith.herrmann@state.nm.us 8 9 INDEX 10 11 PAGE 12 Case Number 15803 Called 3 13 NMOCD Compliance and Enforcement Bureau's Case-in-Chief: 14 Witnesses: Denise Gallegos: 15 16 Direct Examination by Mr. Herrmann 4 17 Proceedings Conclude 18 ~ Certificate of Court Reporter 19 20 EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED 21 NMOCD Compliance and Enforcement Bureau Exhibit 22 Numbers 1 through 3 8 23 24 25

Page 3 (2:08 p.m.) 1 EXAMINER JONES: And the last case I have 2 is -- call Case Number 15803, application of the 3 New Mexico Oil Conservation Division Compliance and 4 5 Enforcement Bureau for compliance order against Spence Energy Company, for wells operated in Lea County, New 6 7 Mexico. 8 Call for appearances. 9 MR. HERRMANN: Mr. Examiner, Keith Herrmann 10 representing the Oil Conservation Division Compliance 11 and Enforcement Bureau. 12 EXAMINER JONES: Any other appearances in 13 that case? 14 Okay. Mr. Examiner, this case 15 MR. HERRMANN: 16 for -- the Compliance and Enforcement Bureau applied for on this case to require a compliance order directing 17 18 Spence Energy to comply with our financial assurance 19 provisions. The Bureau has one witness, Ms. Denise 20 Gallegos. EXAMINER JONES: Will the witness please 21 22 stand and the court reporter swear the witness? 23 DENISE GALLEGOS, 24 after having been first duly sworn under oath, was 25 questioned and testified as follows:

|    | Page 4   |
|----|--|
| 1  | DIRECT EXAMINATION                                     |
| 2  | BY MR. HERRMANN:                                       |
| 3  | Q. Ms. Gallegos, would you please state your name,     |
| 4  | title, place of employment for the record?             |
| 5  | A. Denise Gallegos, compliance officer with the        |
| 6  | Oil Conservation Division.                             |
| 7  | Q. And could you briefly describe the duties you       |
| 8  | perform for the OCD?                                   |
| 9  | A. Among other duties, I'm responsible for the         |
| 10 | management, enforcement and ensuring compliance of the |
| 11 | Division's Financial Assurance Program.                |
| 12 | Q. Are you familiar with the violations detailed       |
| 13 | in the application for hearing?                        |
| 14 | A. Yes.  |
| 15 | Q. Could you please identify the operator that is      |
| 16 | the subject of this case and their OGRID?              |
| 17 | A. Spence Energy Co., OGRID Number 21448.              |
| 18 | Q. And in what county is this well located?            |
| 19 | A. Lea County.   |
| 20 | Q. And does the Division make this information         |
| 21 | available online?                                      |
| 22 | A. Yes. This is available on the OCD Web site and      |
| 23 | updated daily on the inactive well list, listing each  |
| 24 | well by operator name.                                 |
| 25 | Q. Does the OCD require additional financial           |

Page 5 assurance for wells that have been inactive for over two 1 2 years? 3 Α. Yes. What is the amount of financial assurance 4 ο. 5 required for a well that's been inactive for over two 6 years? 7 Α. The amount is based on what area the well is in 8 and the measured depth of the well. 9 How many of this operator's wells need 0. additional financial assurance? 10 11 Α. One. 12 0. Referencing Exhibit 1, could you identify the well and the amount of financial assurance required? 13 The Kellahin 14 State #2, in the amount of 14 Α. \$9,970. 15 16 Q. Was the operator notified of this violation, 17 and if so, when? Yes. The first Notice of Financial Assurance 18 Α. 19 Violation letter was mailed out certified mail on June 20 30th, 2016, and a green card was received by the OCD on July 7th, 2016. I also sent a second letter of Notice 21 22 of Financial Assurance Violation, mailed out certified mail on June 9th, 2017, and a signed green card was 23 24 received by the OCD on June 13th, 2017. 25 Is Exhibit 2 a copy of that notice? Q.

1 A. Yes.

| 2  | Q. What relief is the Division requesting?               |
|----|--|
| 3  | A. The Division is requesting the operator provide       |
| 4  | the OCD with acceptable financial assurance within 30    |
| 5  | days of issuance of an order, a finding that the         |
| 6  | operator is in violation of 70-2-14 of the New Mexico    |
| 7  | State statutes and 19.15.8 NMAC, and if the operator     |
| 8  | does not post the requested financial assurance within   |
| 9  | 30 days of the issuance of an order, that the operator   |
| 10 | is in violation of a Division order.                     |
| 11 | Q. And is the OCD only seeking to enforce                |
| 12 | violations of the financial assurance requirements at    |
| 13 | this hearing?  |
| 14 | A. Yes.  |
| 15 | Q. Were Exhibits 1 and 2 prepared by you or under        |
| 16 | your direction?  |
| 17 | A. Yes.  |
| 18 | MR. HERRMANN: Mr. Examiner, at this time I               |
| 19 | would move to admit Exhibits 1 through 3, Exhibits 1 and |
| 20 | 2 being previously identified by my witness. And         |
| 21 | Exhibit 3 is an Affidavit of Service prepared by myself  |
| 22 | where I notified both Spence Energy's registered address |
| 23 | in Dallas, Texas that's on file with the Division and    |
| 24 | their registered agent, CT Corporation System.           |
| 25 | And I may have misstapled these exhibits.                |
|    |  |

Page 7 Exhibit 2 should have six pages identified. 1 EXAMINER JONES: Exhibit 2 is a letter. 2 3 MR. HERRMANN: Yes. And it's identified with page numbers 1 through 6. It might be just on my 4 5 copy, but I'd like to make sure. EXAMINER WADE: Mine looks correct, and 6 7 Exhibit 3 --8 EXAMINER JONES: I have eight copies [sic]. 9 MR. HERRMANN: And then there is attached Exhibit 3A, which is a copy of the notice I sent to the 10 11 operator for notice of this hearing, the returned green 12 cards, and a response from CT Corporation System where 13 they state that they are no longer the agent. EXAMINER JONES: One of the letters shows 14 an address for Spence Energy in Elida, New Mexico. 15 16 MR. HERRMANN: Yes. I believe Ms. Gallegos can clarify. 17 18 THE WITNESS: I sent it to that address 19 first. 20 (BY MR. HERRMANN) And that was in 2016? Q. In 2016. 21 Α. 22 EXAMINER JONES: Was that the address on 23 record at the time for Spence Energy? 24 THE WITNESS: It had to have been. I'm not 25 I don't remember where I got the address too sure.

Page 8 from. 1 2 (BY MR. HERRMANN) But the Dallas address is the 0. 3 current address on record, and that was the most recent 4 letter of -- and that was where the notice of violation 5 was sent? Yes. And I sent the second letter to the 6 Α. 7 address that's on file now. EXAMINER JONES: And the Dallas letter went 8 9 out when? 10 THE WITNESS: In June. 11 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Okay. Exhibits 1, 12 2 and 3 are admitted. 13 (NMOCD Compliance and Enforcement Bureau 14 Exhibit Numbers 1 through 3 are offered and admitted into evidence.) 15 16 MR. HERRMANN: Thank you. That concludes 17 our presentation of this case. 18 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. So this is 19 Roosevelt County. So is it one of the counties that 20 requires more bonding than --21 THE WITNESS: It's Lea County. 22 EXAMINER JONES: -- than Lea County? 23 THE WITNESS: The well's in Lea County. 24 EXAMINER JONES: Oh, the well's in Lea 25 County. So it's still \$9,000 because of the depth?

Page 9 1 THE WITNESS: Yes. \$9,970. 2 EXAMINER JONES: For a 5,000-foot deep well 3 or --THE WITNESS: There is a base of \$5,000, 4 and then it's \$1.00 per foot of the measured depth, and 5 the measured depth brings it to \$4,970. 6 7 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. 8 EXAMINER WADE: I have no questions. 9 EXAMINER JONES: Thank you very much. 10 Do you ask this case be taken under 11 advisement? 12 MR. HERRMANN: I do. 13 EXAMINER JONES: Case Number 15803 is taken under advisement. 14 15 MR. HERRMANN: Thank you. 16 EXAMINER JONES: And could you -- this will be -- can you give me a brief draft order? 17 18 MR. HERRMANN: Sure. 19 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Thank you. 20 And that's the end of the docket, so the 21 docket is adjourned --22 EXAMINER WADE: Concluded. 23 EXAMINER JONES: -- concluded. We're off 24 the record. 25 (Case Number 15803 concludes, 2:17 p.m.)

Page 10 1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO 2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO 3 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER 4 5 I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court 6 Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20, 7 and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify 8 that I reported the foregoing proceedings in 9 stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that 10 11 were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my 12 ability. 13 I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects 14 the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties. 15 16 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither 17 employed by nor related to any of the parties or 18 attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in 19 the final disposition of this case. 20 21 MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR 22 Certified Court Reporter New Mexico CCR No. 20 23 Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2017 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 24 25