STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF XTO ENERGY, INC. FOR A NONSTANDARD SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT AND COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. CASE NO. 15805

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

August 31, 2017

Santa Fe, New Mexico

BEFORE: WILLIAM V. JONES, CHIEF EXAMINER GABRIEL WADE, LEGAL EXAMINER

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, William V. Jones, Chief Examiner, and Gabriel Wade, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, August 31, 2017, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR
New Mexico CCR #20
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters
500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
(505) 843-9241

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES	
2	FOR APPLICANT XTO ENERGY, INC.:	
3	MICHAEL H. FELDEWERT, ESQ.	
4	HOLLAND & HART, LLP 110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1	
5	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 (505) 988-4421 mfeldewert@hollandhart.com	
6	miciaewei tenorianara. c. com	
7	FOR MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY:	
8	JAMES G. BRUCE, ESQ. Post Office Box 1056	
9	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504	
10	(505) 982-2043 jamesbruc@aol.com	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

		Page 3
1	INDEX	_
2		PAGE
3	Case Number 15805 Called	4
4	XTO Energy, LLC's Case-in-Chief:	
5	Witnesses:	
6	Angie Repka:	
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Feldewert Cross-Examination by Examiner Jones	5 12
8	Brian Henthorn:	
9	Direct Examination by Mr. Feldewert Cross-Examination by Examiner Jones	15 20
11	Proceedings Conclude	22
12	Certificate of Court Reporter	23
13		
14		
15		
16	EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED	
17	XTO Energy, LLC Exhibit Numbers 1 through 5	11
18	XTO Energy, LLC Exhibit Numbers 6 and 7	19
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

- 1 (10:33 A.M.)
- 2 EXAMINER JONES: Let's call Case Number
- 3 15806 and 15 --
- 4 Can I do them combined, Mr. Feldewert?
- 5 MR. FELDEWERT: I have them split for the
- 6 same reason; it involves different geology.
- 7 EXAMINER JONES: Let's do that. Let's call
- 8 Case 15805, application of XTO Energy for a nonstandard
- 9 spacing and proration unit compulsory pooling in Eddy
- 10 County, New Mexico.
- 11 Call for appearances.
- 12 MR. FELDEWERT: May it please the Examiner,
- 13 Michael Feldewert, with the Santa Fe office of Holland &
- 14 Hart, appearing on behalf of the Applicant, and I have
- 15 two witnesses.
- 16 EXAMINER JONES: Any other appearances?
- 17 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of
- 18 Santa Fe representing Mewbourne Oil Company. I have no
- 19 witnesses.
- 20 EXAMINER JONES: Any other appearances?
- 21 Will the witnesses please stand, and will
- the court reporter please swear the witnesses?
- 23 (Ms. Repka and Mr. Henthorn sworn.)
- 24 ANGIE REPKA,
- 25 after having been first duly sworn under oath, was

- 1 questioned and testified as follows:
- 2 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 3 BY MR. FELDEWERT:
- 4 Q. Would you please state your name, identify by
- 5 whom you're employed and in what capacity?
- 6 A. Angie Repka. I'm a division landman for XTO
- 7 Energy, Inc.
- 8 Q. And, Ms. Repka, you have previously testified
- 9 before the Division as an expert in petroleum land
- 10 matters, correct?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. Are you familiar with the application that's
- 13 been filed in this case?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And are you familiar with the status of the
- 16 lands in the subject area?
- 17 A. Yes.
- MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I would
- 19 tender Ms. Repka as an expert in petroleum land matters.
- 20 EXAMINER JONES: Would you please state
- 21 your name?
- 22 THE WITNESS: Angie Repka.
- 23 EXAMINER JONES: I mean spell your name.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Oh. It's Angie, and the last
- 25 name is R-E-P-K-A.

1 EXAMINER JONES: I'm sorry. I didn't hear

- 2 your last name.
- THE WITNESS: That's okay.
- 4 EXAMINER JONES: Any objection, Mr. Bruce?
- 5 MR. BRUCE: No.
- 6 EXAMINER JONES: She is so qualified.
- 7 Q. (BY MR. FELDEWERT) Would you please turn to
- 8 what is XTO Exhibit 1? Identify it first and explain
- 9 what the company seeks under this application.
- 10 A. Exhibit 1 is the C-102 filed for the Cattle
- 11 Baron State 2H well. We seek to pool -- this is wrong
- 12 on here. This is incorrect acreage on here. It should
- 13 be 239.62 acres.
- 14 Q. Hold on a minute.
- 15 A. Yeah. I don't know how that happened.
- Basically, it's a nonstandard proration --
- 17 Q. Hold on one second. Let me make sure I'm on
- 18 the right case.
- 19 A. It's wrong on the C-102.
- 20 Q. So this is -- okay. So this is for the Cattle
- 21 Baron Bone Spring well?
- 22 A. Yes. This is a Bone Spring well.
- Q. And you're pointing out the dedicated acreage
- 24 is incorrect --
- 25 A. Correct. It should be --

- 1 Q. -- on this C-102?
- 2 A. -- 239.62 acres.
- Q. Okay. All right. Continue.
- 4 A. Okay. So we're seeking to form a proration
- 5 unit that is the west half of southeast quarter of
- 6 Section 31, and the west half of the east half of
- 7 Section 6, 25 South, 29 East.
- 8 Q. So this is for a mile-and-a-half well in the
- 9 Bone Spring?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. Okay. And does this -- aside from the
- dedicated acreage, does this draft C-102 correctly
- identify the API number for this well?
- 14 A. Yes, it does.
- 15 Q. As well as the pool and the pool code for the
- 16 Examiner?
- 17 A. Yes, it does.
- 18 Q. Is this particular pool subject to the Division
- 19 statewide rules?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And will the completed interval for this well
- 22 comply with the 330-foot setback requirements?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Just for the record, so it's clear, this should
- 25 be a 239.62-acre spacing unit, correct?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. And what is the nature of the acreage that's
- 3 involved here?
- 4 A. It is state and federal lands.
- Q. And if I turn to what's been marked as XTO
- 6 Exhibit Number 2, does this identify the interest owners
- 7 in this combined nonstandard spacing unit?
- 8 A. Yes, it does.
- 9 Q. What's the significance of those interest
- 10 owners that have been highlighted?
- 11 A. The highlighted parties are those that have not
- 12 executed a JOA at this time. Most of the parties have
- 13 elected to participate in the well.
- 14 Q. So are these the parties that we currently seek
- 15 to pool?
- 16 A. Yes, they are.
- Q. And as you pointed out -- well, first off, let
- 18 me ask you: Were you able to locate all of these
- 19 interest owners?
- 20 A. Yes, we have.
- 21 Q. And you said a number of them have executed an
- 22 AFE, but you're waiting on a JOA?
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. And once they execute the JOA, they will be
- 25 released from the pooling order?

- 1 A. Correct.
- O. Okay. If I turn to what's been marked as XTO
- 3 Exhibit Number 3, is this a copy of the well-proposal
- 4 letter that was sent to the interest owners that are
- 5 highlighted on Exhibit Number 2?
- 6 A. Yes, it is.
- 7 Q. And with this letter, does the company provide
- 8 an AFE?
- 9 A. Yes, we did.
- 10 O. And the costs that are reflected on this AFE in
- 11 Exhibit Number 3, are they consistent with what the
- 12 company has incurred for drilling similar horizontal
- 13 wells in the area?
- 14 A. Yes, they are.
- 15 Q. Aside from submitting this well-proposal
- 16 letter, what other efforts have you undertaken to reach
- agreement with the parties that you seek to pool?
- 18 A. I have spoken with most of the parties that are
- 19 highlighted on there. We've sent out JOAs to them.
- 20 We're currently working on a trade agreement with
- 21 Mewbourne.
- Q. Okay. On Exhibit 3, if I look at the first
- page, second paragraph, does it identify for the
- 24 Examiner the overhead and the administrative costs that
- you seek to be approved for this well?

- 1 A. Yes, it does.
- O. And it's 7,500 a month while drilling and 750
- 3 while producing, correct?
- 4 A. Correct.
- Q. And are these rates consistent with what the
- 6 company and other operators charge for similar wells in
- 7 this area?
- 8 A. Yes, they are.
- 9 Q. In preparation for this hearing, did the
- 10 company identify the operators and the leased mineral
- 11 interest owners in the 40-acre tract surrounding each --
- 12 surrounding the proposed nonstandard spacing unit?
- 13 A. Yes, we did.
- Q. And did the company include these operators and
- 15 lease mineral interest owners in the notice of this
- 16 hearing?
- 17 A. Yes, we did.
- 18 Q. If I turn to what's been marked as Exhibit
- 19 Number 4, is this the affidavit prepared by my office
- 20 with attached letters providing notice of this hearing
- 21 to the affected parties?
- 22 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And I know you said you had located everyone,
- 24 but out of an abundance of caution, if I turn to what's
- been marked as XTO Exhibit Number 5, is this an

1 Affidavit of Publication in a local newspaper providing

- 2 notice of this hearing to the pooled parties?
- 3 A. Yes, it is.
- 4 Q. And it actually lists all those pooled parties
- 5 by name, correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Were Exhibits 1 through 3 prepared by you or
- 8 compiled under your direction and supervision?
- 9 A. Yes, they were.
- 10 MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I'd move
- 11 admission into evidence XTO Exhibits 1 through 5, which
- 12 includes the two notice affidavits.
- 13 EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 1 through 5 for
- 14 XTO --
- Well, any objection?
- MR. BRUCE: No objection.
- 17 EXAMINER JONES: -- are admitted.
- 18 (XTO Energy, LLC Exhibit Numbers 1 through
- 19 5 are offered and admitted into evidence.)
- 20 MR. FELDEWERT: And that concludes my
- 21 examination of this witness.
- 22 EXAMINER JONES: Mr. Bruce?
- MR. BRUCE: No questions.

24

25

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 2 BY EXAMINER JONES:
- 3 Q. So you're trying to work out a trade agreement
- 4 with Mewbourne?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. And you said you just need the JOA ratified by
- 7 the nonconsenting parties; is that correct?
- 8 A. Yes, most of them. There are a couple of them
- 9 that have not elected to participate.
- 10 Q. Okay. So everybody's located.
- 11 And it is -- my map says all state, but
- 12 this is some state and some BLM?
- 13 A. Section 31 is federal.
- Q. Okay. So it's -- yes. There is a federal
- 15 north -- northwest of the southeast; is that correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. That's a federal tract?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. How many tracts -- separate tract did you say?
- 20 A. There are three, I believe.
- 21 Q. Three separately owned tracts?
- 22 A. Yeah. I don't have it in here. There are two
- 23 state leases and then the 40-acre federal.
- Q. Okay. Okay. Two state leases.
- 25 So you're doing a com agreement. And

- 1 that's all done?
- 2 A. It's not done yet.
- Q. Not done yet. It's in the works?
- 4 A. It's in the process.
- 5 Q. The 102, I guess it still lists -- for some
- 6 reason, it lists 479.
- 7 A. That needs to be amended.
- 8 Q. Yeah. They were confusing it for a Wolfcamp, I
- 9 guess.
- MR. FELDEWERT: Yes.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. That second
- 12 application is a Wolfcamp.
- 13 Q. (BY EXAMINER JONES) So you guys will take care
- 14 of sending it to --
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. -- I guess to the Artesia office?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. How did XTO obtain interest in this area?
- 19 A. We've had several acquisitions in this area of
- 20 different parties.
- 21 Q. Okay. So how much does XTO own in the well?
- 22 A. In this well, I believe it's a little over 60
- 23 percent.
- 24 Q. 60 percent? Over 60?
- MR. FELDEWERT: If you look at Exhibit

- 1 Number 2.
- THE WITNESS: It's XTO and ExxonMobil, 62
- 3 percent.
- 4 MR. FELDEWERT: You have to take those two
- 5 together.
- 6 Q. (BY EXAMINER JONES) You've got Big Brother --
- 7 are you out of Fort Worth?
- 8 A. Currently.
- 9 Q. Currently.
- 10 So things might change?
- 11 A. Possibly.
- 12 Q. Hopefully they won't move south.
- MR. FELDEWERT: Don't ask that question.
- 14 EXAMINER JONES: Don't ask that question.
- 15 That's a bad question right now. Oh, boy. Okay.
- 16 Q. (BY EXAMINER JONES) Well, in the location of
- the well, will it change?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Okay. Did you -- I mean -- I'm sorry. Is this
- 20 a standard location for this well?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. We know the pool is Bone Spring,
- 23 Southeast. And it's standard rules for that pool?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. Okay. I don't have any more questions.

1 EXAMINER WADE: I don't have any questions.

- 2 EXAMINER JONES: Call --
- MR. FELDEWERT: Call our next witness.
- 4 EXAMINER JONES: Okay.
- 5 BRIAN HENTHORN,
- 6 after having been previously sworn under oath, was
- 7 questioned and testified as follows:
- 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 9 BY MR. FELDEWERT:
- 10 Q. Would you please state your name, identify by
- 11 whom you're employed and in what capacity?
- 12 A. Brian Henthorn, regional geologist for XTO
- 13 Energy.
- Q. Mr. Henthorn, you've also previously testified
- before this Division as an expert in petroleum geology,
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. I have, yes.
- 18 Q. Are you familiar with the application that's
- 19 been filed in this case?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And have you conducted a geologic study of the
- 22 lands that are the subject of this application?
- 23 A. I have, yes.
- MR. FELDEWERT: I would once again tender
- 25 Mr. Henthorn as an expert witness in petroleum geology.

- 1 MR. BRUCE: No objection.
- 2 EXAMINER JONES: He's so qualified.
- Q. (BY MR. FELDEWERT) Mr. Henthorn, what is the
- 4 target interval for this proposed well?
- 5 A. The 3rd Bone Spring Sandstone.
- 6 Q. In your opinion, is the Bone Spring Formation
- 7 continuous across the proposed nonstandard spacing and
- 8 proration unit?
- 9 A. Yes, it is.
- 10 Q. And does that continuity extend to the 3rd Bone
- 11 Spring Sand targeted interval?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Have you prepared a structure map and a cross
- 14 section of this formation for the Examiners?
- 15 A. I have, yes.
- 16 Q. If I turn to what's been marked Exhibit Number
- 17 6, is this your structure map?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Before discussing the structure, would you just
- 20 orient the Examiners as to, you know, the various lines
- 21 that are shown on here? And what's the significance of
- 22 the yellow shading?
- 23 A. Yes. This is the area surrounding the subject
- 24 well, and it -- the yellow is XTO acreage. The wells
- 25 posted on the map are the Willow Lake-Bone Spring wells.

- 1 The red line denotes the subject well Cattle Baron 2H,
- 2 and the blue line denotes the north-south cross section,
- 3 A to A prime cross section.
- 4 Q. So the other wells that you show on here are
- 5 3rd Bone Spring wells or Bone Spring wells?
- 6 A. They're Bone Spring wells. They're 2nd Bone
- 7 Spring and one 3rd Bone Spring well.
- 8 Q. Okay. And does your proposed mile-and-a-half
- 9 wellbore essentially continue the development pattern
- 10 that has been established for this area?
- 11 A. It does, yes.
- 12 Q. What do you observe about the structure in this
- 13 area?
- 14 A. Yeah. The structure is a 40-foot contour
- interval and exhibits a gentle dip to the east.
- 16 O. And is that structure consistent across this
- 17 area?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Do you observe any faults or pinch-outs or any
- 20 geologic impediments to drilling horizontal wells?
- 21 A. No, I don't.
- 22 Q. These other Bone Spring wells that are shown on
- 23 here, have they been successful?
- A. They have been, yes.
- Q. Now, with respect to your cross section, A to A

1 prime here, how did you choose these wells for your

- 2 cross-section analysis?
- A. Yeah. These are two of the nearest verticals
- 4 that had open-hole logs across the entire Bone Spring
- 5 interval.
- 6 Q. In your opinion, are these wells representative
- 7 of the area in question?
- 8 A. Yes, they are.
- 9 Q. If I turn to what's been marked as XTO Exhibit
- 10 Number 7, is this the cross section that corresponds
- 11 with A to A prime on Exhibit Number 6?
- 12 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Would you explain to the Examiners what you
- 14 show on here starting with the identification of the
- 15 zone of interest?
- 16 A. Yes. This is that north-south A to A prime
- 17 cross section. The blue line denotes the top of the 3rd
- 18 Bone Spring. The green line denotes the top of the
- 19 Wolfcamp Formation or base of the Bone Spring. I've
- 20 indicated the landing target by the red arrow in the
- 21 Lower 3rd Bone Spring Sandstone.
- 22 Q. And what do you observe about the continuity of
- 23 this particular target zone?
- A. It's very uniform, and consistent porosities
- 25 and resistivities are very similar across these two

- 1 wells and across the project area.
- 2 Q. In your opinion, is this an area that can be
- general efficiently and economically developed by horizontal
- 4 wells?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And will your proposed nonstandard spacing
- 7 unit, on average, contribute more or less equally to the
- 8 production of the well?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And finally, in your opinion, will the granting
- of this application be in the best interest of
- 12 conservation, for the prevention of waste and the
- 13 protection of correlative rights?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Were XTO Exhibits 6 and 7 prepared by you or
- 16 compiled under your direction and supervision?
- 17 A. They were, yes.
- MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I'd move
- 19 admission into evidence of XTO Exhibits 6 and 7.
- MR. BRUCE: No objection.
- 21 EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 6 and 7 are
- 22 admitted.
- 23 (XTO Energy, LLC Exhibit Numbers 6 and 7
- are offered and admitted into evidence.)
- MR. FELDEWERT: That concludes my

- 1 examination of this witness.
- MR. BRUCE: No questions.
- 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 4 BY EXAMINER JONES:
- 5 Q. Okay. So your target zone looks like -- in one
- 6 well, it looks like it's a little bit different behavior
- on -- I'm not sure of the tract. Right opposite to the
- 8 right of the depth track, is that porosity logs?
- 9 A. Tract 2?
- 10 Q. Yeah, Tract 2.
- 11 A. Yeah. Tract 2 is the porosity.
- 12 Q. So it's separating the porosity on the left
- one, and on the right, it's together?
- 14 A. Yeah. The right-hand well, the porosity --
- 15 yes. The northern well will have maybe a little more
- 16 porosity in the pay zone to the north than the well on
- 17 the right. The well on the right is kind of close to
- 18 the surface-hole location.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 A. But still, the porosity in that area -- and
- 21 that 10 percent porosity is the middle line there. So,
- I mean, it's well beyond the cutoff of good reservoir.
- Q. Okay. So you have a certain cutoff that you
- look at for porosity?
- 25 A. Yeah.

- 1 Q. And is the strategy to stay above that
- 2 little -- there is a deviation on your porosity right
- 3 below that. You seem to be staying right above that.
- 4 A. Yeah. I'm targeting the area of highest
- 5 porosity at the top of that particular sand.
- 6 Q. At the top of that sand?
- 7 A. Yeah.
- Q. Okay. Okay. This well is a mile and a half.
- 9 You stated that all separately owned tracts will
- 10 participate --
- 11 A. More or less equally.
- 12 Q. -- produce from the well?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Are you going to run any kind of tracers or
- 15 chemical tracers to see where your oil and water is
- 16 coming from in this well?
- 17 A. In these wells, we're not, but the adjacent
- 18 wells in the east half of the east half, we are planning
- 19 to run tracers, oil, water and sand, I think. I'm
- 20 not -- it's not my area of expertise.
- Q. Okay. But they're the nonradioactive -- the
- 22 chemical tracers?
- 23 A. Right.
- Q. Okay. Thank you very much.
- 25 A. Okay.

Page 22 MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, we ask this case be taken under advisement. EXAMINER JONES: Case Number 15805 is taken under advisement. (Case Number 15805 concludes, 10:52 a.m.)

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO

2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

3

4 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

5 I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court

- 6 Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20,
- 7 and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify
- 8 that I reported the foregoing proceedings in
- 9 stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are
- 10 a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that
- 11 were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my
- 12 ability.
- I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's
- 14 Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects
- 15 the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.
- I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
- 17 employed by nor related to any of the parties or
- 18 attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in
- 19 the final disposition of this case.

20

21

MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR

22 Certified Court Reporter
New Mexico CCR No. 20

23 Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2017

Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 24

25