

STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT  
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED  
BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR  
THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

AMENDED APPLICATION OF XTO	CASE NOS. 15836,
ENERGY, INC. FOR A NONSTANDARD	15837,
SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT AND	15838,
COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY,	15839,
NEW MEXICO.	15840,
	15841,
	15842,
	15843

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

October 26, 2017

Santa Fe, New Mexico

BEFORE: SCOTT DAWSON, CHIEF EXAMINER  
DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL EXAMINER

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Scott Dawson, Chief Examiner, and David K. Brooks, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, October 26, 2017, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR  
New Mexico CCR #20  
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters  
500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105  
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102  
(505) 843-9241

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

APPEARANCES

FOR THE APPLICANT XTO ENERGY, INC.:

MICHAEL H. FELDEWERT, ESQ.  
HOLLAND & HART, LLP  
110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501  
(505) 988-4421  
mfeldewert@hollandhart.com

FOR DEVON ENERGY PRODUCTION COMPANY, LP:

JAMES G. BRUCE, ESQ.  
Post Office Box 1056  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504  
(505) 982-2043  
jamesbruc@aol.com

1	INDEX	
2		PAGE
3	Case Numbers 15836, 15837, 15838, 15839, 15840, 15841, 15842, 15843 Called	4
4	XTO Energy, Inc.'s Case-in-Chief:	
5	Witnesses:	
6	Rodney Black:	
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Feldewert	5
8	Cross-Examination by Mr. Bruce	14
	Cross-Examination by Examiner Brooks	14
9	Cross-Examination by Examiner Dawson	16
10	Brian Henthorn:	
11	Direct Examination by Mr. Feldewert	17
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Bruce	22
12	Cross-Examination by Examiner Dawson	23
13	Proceedings Conclude	24
14	Certificate of Court Reporter	26
15		
16	EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED	
17	XTO Energy, Inc. Exhibit Numbers 1 through 7	13
18	XTO Energy, Inc. Exhibit Numbers 8 and 9	22
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	(2:35 p.m.)	

1                   EXAMINER DAWSON: It's 2:35. We'll go back  
2 on the record. And at this time, we are going to call  
3 Case Numbers 15836, 15837, 15838, 15839, 15840, 15841,  
4 15842 and 15843. These are all consolidated, and they  
5 are all amended applications of XTO Energy, Incorporated  
6 for nonstandard spacing and proration units and  
7 compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico.

8                   Call for appearances, please.

9                   MR. FELDEWERT: May it please the Examiner,  
10 Michael Feldewert, with the Santa Fe office of Holland &  
11 Hart, appearing on behalf of the Applicant, XTO Energy,  
12 Inc.

13                  EXAMINER DAWSON: Okay.

14                  MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of  
15 Santa Fe representing Devon Energy Production Company,  
16 LP.

17                  EXAMINER DAWSON: Okay. And I'm presuming  
18 that all witnesses in these separate cases have been  
19 sworn in? Are there any new witnesses?

20                  MR. FELDEWERT: No, sir. In fact, I'm  
21 going to have two witnesses that I'm going to call to  
22 the stand today who have already been sworn in.

23                  EXAMINER DAWSON: Okay.

24                  MR. FELDEWERT: And before I forget about  
25 it, I would like permission to incorporate into the

1 record testimony from Nathan Franka that was offered in  
2 consolidated Cases 15836 -- was offered in consolidated  
3 Cases 15832, 15833, 15834 and 15835.

4 EXAMINER DAWSON: Okay.

5 MR. BRUCE: And I have no objection to  
6 that, Mr. Examiner.

7 And I already made the request that  
8 Mr. Hammond's testimony, from Devon, be incorporated  
9 into the record, so we won't be presenting him.

10 EXAMINER DAWSON: Any objection?

11 MR. FELDEWERT: No, sir.

12 EXAMINER DAWSON: Okay. You may call your  
13 first witness, Mr. Feldewert.

14 MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you.

15 RODNEY BLACK,  
16 after having been previously sworn under oath, was  
17 questioned and testified as follows:

18 DIRECT EXAMINATION

19 BY MR. FELDEWERT:

20 Q. Would you please state your name, identify by  
21 whom you're employed and in what capacity?

22 A. Rodney Black. I'm employed by XTO Energy, Inc.  
23 as the regional land manager for the Delaware Basin.

24 Q. And, Mr. Black, have you previously testified  
25 before this Division today as an expert in petroleum

1     **land matters?**

2           A.     Yes, I did.

3           Q.     Are you familiar with the applications that  
4     have been filed in these consolidated cases?

5           A.     Yes, I am.

6           Q.     And are you familiar with the status of the  
7     lands in the subject area?

8           A.     Yes, I am.

9                   MR. FELDEWERT:  I would tender Mr. Black  
10    once again as an expert witness in petroleum land  
11    matters.

12                  MR. BRUCE:  No objection.

13                  EXAMINER DAWSON:  Mr. Black will be  
14    admitted as an expert witness in petroleum land matters.

15           Q.     (BY MR. FELDEWERT) Mr. Black, would you turn to  
16    what's been marked as XTO Exhibit Number 1?

17           A.     Yes.

18           Q.     Would you -- first off, is this acreage that's  
19    subject to this application?

20           A.     Yes, it is.

21           Q.     Sections 24, 25 and 36?

22           A.     That is correct.

23           Q.     And does this depict the company's development  
24    plan for the Bone Spring Formation in these three  
25    sections?

1           A.     Yes, it does.

2           Q.     And it shows, does it not, a series of drilling  
3     islands running along the center of Section 25?

4           A.     Yes, drilling locations.

5           Q.     Thank you. Drilling locations.

6                     And from these drilling locations, does the  
7     company intend to drill Bone Spring wells both to the  
8     north into Section 24 and then into the south into  
9     Section 36?

10          A.     Yes, we do.

11          Q.     How many Bone Spring spacing units, therefore,  
12     does the company seek to create under this application  
13     for these one-and-a-half-mile wells?

14          A.     We seek to create eight 240-acre proration  
15     units.

16          Q.     Okay. And is the only entity currently that  
17     needs to be pooled in this acreage Devon?

18          A.     That is correct, Devon Energy Corporation.

19          Q.     And who are the other two working interest  
20     owners in these three sections of land?

21          A.     Various XTO entities and Chevron.

22          Q.     And Chevron has agreed to participate in this  
23     development plan?

24          A.     They have proposals before them subject to an  
25     operating agreement.

1           Q.    All right.  And how many wells will be  
2   dedicated to each of the eight Bone Spring spacing  
3   units?

4           A.    Three wells, two in the 2nd Bone Spring and one  
5   in the 3rd Bone Spring.

6           Q.    And will these wells be sequentially drilled  
7   and batch completed?

8           A.    Yes, they will.

9           Q.    If I then turn to what's been marked as XTO  
10   Exhibit Number 2, does Exhibits 2A through 2H contain,  
11   for each spacing unit, the C-102s for the three wells  
12   that are to be indicated to each spacing unit?

13          A.    Yes, they do.

14          Q.    And I won't go through them all, but, for  
15   example, does Exhibit 2A contain the C-102s for the  
16   Remuda North wells that will be dedicated to what I'll  
17   call the west half-west half mile-and-a-half spacing  
18   units?

19          A.    Yes, they do.

20          Q.    And then on down the line, you have then  
21   continuing.  You have three wells for each of the  
22   remaining spacing units?

23          A.    That is correct.

24          Q.    And in each circumstance, does the C-102  
25   provide the Examiner with the API numbers for the three



1 wells to be indicated to each spacing unit?

2 A. Yes, it does. Yes, they do.

3 Q. And do they all likewise identify for the  
4 Examiner the Delaware; Bone Spring Pool that these wells  
5 have been placed into?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. As well as the pool code?

8 A. They do.

9 Q. And was it the Division's district office that  
10 placed these wells into the pool that's noted on these  
11 C-102s?

12 A. That is my understanding.

13 Q. Will the completed interval for each of these  
14 proposed wells comply with the statewide 330-foot  
15 setback requirements?

16 A. Yes, they will.

17 Q. And for the record, is this all state acreage?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Is it a single state lease?

20 A. It is.

21 Q. And is that single state lease currently being  
22 held by production?

23 A. Yes, it is.

24 Q. If I turn to what's been marked as XTO Exhibit  
25 Number 3, does this accurately depict the ownership for

1 the three working interest owners in each of the eight  
2 proposed 240-acre spacing units?

3 A. Yes, it does.

4 Q. You mentioned that you're going to be drilling  
5 three wells.

6 A. That's correct.

7 Q. Two in the 2nd Bone Spring at various depths?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And then one in the 3rd Bone Spring Sand?

10 A. That's correct.

11 Q. Okay. If I turn to what's been marked as XTO  
12 Exhibit Number 4, is this an example of the  
13 well-proposal letters that were sent out for each of the  
14 spacing units for the -- I'll call it the shallower 2nd  
15 Bone Spring well?

16 A. Yes, it is.

17 Q. And does Exhibit Number 5 contain an example of  
18 the well-proposal letter that was sent out to each of  
19 the working interest owners for the deeper 2nd Bone  
20 Spring well?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And then does XTO Exhibit Number 6 contain the  
23 well proposal that was sent out for the 3rd Bone Spring  
24 well?

25 A. Actually, Exhibit 6 is the 2nd Bone Spring, and

1 Exhibit -- no. That's correct. Yes.

2 Q. Is that correct?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. All right. And when these were sent out, did  
5 each of these well-proposal letters contain an AFE?

6 A. Yes, they did.

7 Q. And are the costs that are reflected on the AFE  
8 consistent with what the company has incurred for  
9 drilling similar horizontal wells?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Upon submitting these various well-proposal  
12 letters to Devon, what efforts were undertaken to reach  
13 an agreement -- voluntary agreement with Devon?

14 A. Follow-up conversations via phone and email  
15 with XTO and Devon staff.

16 Q. And are the parties still in discussions about  
17 a joint operating agreement that would eliminate the  
18 need for pooling orders?

19 A. Yes, we are.

20 Q. And in the event that a joint operating  
21 agreement is reached, will the company alert the  
22 Division about the -- about that and select -- because  
23 Devon would be the only party you seek to pool, correct?

24 A. That is correct. Yes. We will notify the  
25 Division promptly.

1           Q.    If I look at these well-proposal letters, do  
2   they identify for the Examiner the overhead and the  
3   administrative costs that you anticipate being incurred  
4   while drilling and also for producing?

5           A.    Yes, they do.

6           Q.    And what are your figures?

7           A.    \$7,500 for drilling and \$750 for a producing  
8   well.

9           Q.    And those are contained in the last sentence of  
10   the second paragraph of each of the well-proposal  
11   letters?

12          A.    Yes, they are.

13          Q.    In preparation for this hearing, did the  
14   company identify the operators and the leased mineral  
15   interests in the 40-acre tracts surrounding each of the  
16   proposed nonstandard Bone Spring spacing units?

17          A.    Yes, we did.

18          Q.    And did the company include the known operators  
19   and leased mineral interest owners in the notice of this  
20   hearing?

21          A.    Yes, we did.

22          Q.    And if I turn to what's been marked as XTO  
23   Exhibit Number 7, is this an affidavit prepared by my  
24   office with attached letters providing notice of this  
25   hearing to the affected parties?

1           A.     Yes, it is.

2                       MR. FELDEWERT:   And, again, Mr. Examiner,  
3     you'll see duplication of the letters.   I believe it  
4     will be eight pooled party letters and eight affected  
5     parties letters because we consolidated all of them into  
6     this one exhibit.

7                       EXAMINER DAWSON:   Okay.

8           Q.     **(BY MR. FELDEWERT) And, Mr. Black, was the**  
9     **exhibit list the same for all of these Bone Spring**  
10    **spacing units?**

11          A.     Yes, they were.

12          Q.     **Were Exhibits 1 through 6 prepared by you or**  
13    **compiled under your direction and supervision?**

14          A.     They were.

15                     MR. FELDEWERT:   Mr. Examiner, I would move  
16    the admission into evidence XTO Exhibits 1 through 7,  
17    which includes my Notice of Affidavit.

18                     MR. BRUCE:   No objection.

19                     EXAMINER DAWSON:   Okay.   At this time  
20    Exhibits 1 through 7 and the Notice of Affidavit will be  
21    admitted to the record.

22                     (XTO Energy, Inc. Exhibit Numbers 1 through  
23                     7 are offered and admitted into evidence.)

24                     MR. FELDEWERT:   That concludes my  
25    examination of this witness.

1 EXAMINER DAWSON: Mr. Bruce?

2 CROSS-EXAMINATION

3 BY MR. BRUCE:

4 Q. Just one question. Looking at your Exhibit 1,  
5 the well in the southwest quarter -- the gas well in the  
6 southwest quarter of Section 24, is that the well that  
7 holds this well in effect?

8 A. Yes. That is a producing well.

9 Q. Okay. Thank you.

10 EXAMINER DAWSON: Mr. Brooks, do you have  
11 any questions?

12 CROSS-EXAMINATION

13 BY EXAMINER BROOKS:

14 Q. Well, I'm going through these plats. I get to  
15 the one that is -- well, no. They're all marked as  
16 Exhibit C, collectively. I'm looking at the one -- at  
17 the moment, the one with the 706H. And it looks like  
18 the take points are shown to be 1,656 from the west line  
19 of the section -- or from the west line -- line of the  
20 unit, not of the section. That would be the half  
21 section, right?

22 A. I'm sorry. Is that Exhibit H -- 2H or --

23 Q. Well, it's not marked on -- it's the C-102 for  
24 the -- for the Remuda North 25 #706H. And I think it's  
25 one page of Exhibit C, and it looks like it's the

1     next-to-the-last page.

2           A.     Okay. Yes, sir. I see that now.

3           Q.     Okay. It shows 1,665 feet from the half  
4     section line to the wellbore -- to what I assume to be  
5     the first and last take points. The first take point  
6     is -- well, the last take point is also, I think,  
7     marked, although the L is kind of rubbed out on my copy.  
8     Is that 1655?

9                     EXAMINER DAWSON: 56.

10          Q.     (BY EXAMINER BROOKS) 1656 or 1655. 1655 to the  
11     first take point and 1656 to the last take point would  
12     be 19- -- 1986 to the quarter-quarter -- to the quarter  
13     section north-south line and -- no. 1980 is not -- is  
14     not the quarter-section boundary. That would be 23- --  
15     2320, right?

16                 MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I believe --  
17     and I'm looking on my cheat sheet here. 1656 would put  
18     it 330 off of the east line of that -- more than 330 off  
19     of the east line of that spacing unit.

20                 EXAMINER BROOKS: Well, I'm looking at the  
21     west line and -- oh, the well -- yeah. The well is  
22     controlled by the east line, and it's 1,320. So yeah,  
23     that would be --

24                 MR. FELDEWERT: It should be standard.

25                 EXAMINER BROOKS: That's right. I'm

1 getting confused here. I'm trying to read these  
2 diagrams to see where the spacing unit -- spacing -- and  
3 that's the same thing that's true on the Remuda Number  
4 96H.

5 Thank you. I'm sorry. My mistake.

6 I have no further questions.

7 CROSS-EXAMINATION

8 BY EXAMINER DAWSON:

9 Q. So this is all a single state lease?

10 A. Yes, sir.

11 Q. I'm presuming this is like an old B lease -- a  
12 really old B lease, right?

13 A. It is. It's in excess of 25 years old.

14 Q. Okay. So it's one of the initial leases the  
15 State Land Office -- an early lease?

16 A. It is an early lease. I'm not exactly familiar  
17 with the form. I've read it, but I couldn't tell you  
18 exactly which form number it would be.

19 Q. Okay. Because I know that they used to -- some  
20 of those real old leases were very huge. So they really  
21 don't do that anymore.

22 A. Yeah.

23 Q. Okay. That's all the questions I have. Thank  
24 you.

25 MR. FELDEWERT: Call our next witness.



1 EXAMINER DAWSON: Yes.

2 BRIAN HENTHORN,

3 after having been previously sworn under oath, was

4 questioned and testified as follows:

5 DIRECT EXAMINATION

6 BY MR. FELDEWERT:

7 Q. Please state your name, identify by whom you're  
8 employed and in what capacity.

9 A. Brian Henthorn, XTO Energy, regional geologist.

10 Q. And, Mr. Henthorn, you have previously  
11 testified before this Division here today and were  
12 qualified as an expert in petroleum geology; is that  
13 correct?

14 A. I have. Yes, sir.

15 Q. Are you familiar with the applications that  
16 have been filed in these consolidated cases?

17 A. I am.

18 Q. And have you conducted a geologic study of the  
19 formation that is the subject of these consolidated  
20 applications?

21 A. I have.

22 MR. FELDEWERT: Once again, I'd tender  
23 Mr. Henthorn as an expert witness in petroleum geology.

24 MR. BRUCE: No objection.

25 EXAMINER DAWSON: Mr. Henthorn will be

1     accepted as an expert in petroleum geology at this time.

2           Q.     (BY MR. FELDEWERT) Mr. Henthorn, just for the  
3     record again, if I look at XTO Exhibit Number 1, this  
4     depicts the number of wells that are planned to be  
5     completed in the Bone Spring Formation in these three  
6     sections of land, correct?

7           A.     Correct.

8           Q.     And isn't it true that there are certain well  
9     depictions on here that represent two wells because of  
10    the vertical nature of where they are completed?

11          A.     Yes.

12          Q.     And in the circumstance where they're completed  
13    vertically, are they going to be directly over top of  
14    each other, or how is that sequenced?

15          A.     The wells that are directly over top of each  
16    other are separated by a large vertical separation.

17          Q.     Are they also going to be in a sawtooth pattern  
18    or --

19          A.     Yes. The 2nd Bone Spring wells are going to  
20    be, upper, lower, in a sawtooth pattern, and the 3rd  
21    Bone Spring also. There are four of them.

22          Q.     Okay. In your opinion, is the Bone Spring  
23    Formation targeted by these wells continuous across  
24    three sections of land?

25          A.     Yes.

1           Q.    And have you prepared a structure map and a  
2   cross section of this targeted interval for the  
3   Examiner?

4           A.    I have.

5           Q.    If I turn to what's been marked as XTO Exhibit  
6   Number 8, is this a structure map that you created?

7           A.    Yes.

8           Q.    And what do you show here in the structure map?

9           A.    This is a structure contour on the top of the  
10   3rd Bone Spring Sandstone.  Also depicted on this map  
11   are offset Bone Spring horizontals and our development  
12   plan.  The contour interval is a 40-foot contour  
13   interval, and the dip of the Bone Spring here is gently  
14   down to the east.

15          Q.    And, again, just for the record, the number of  
16   wells sticks on here don't necessarily correspond to the  
17   number of wells because --

18          A.    Correct.

19          Q.    -- you have some over the top of each other?

20          A.    Right.

21          Q.    Again, this is hung on the 3rd Bone Spring  
22   Sand.  Is there any difference when you looked at the  
23   2nd Bone Spring Sand?

24          A.    The structure is very similar.

25          Q.    Okay.  And in both of these intervals within

1 the Bone Spring Formation, do you see any faulting or  
2 pinchouts or other geologic impediments to development  
3 of this area using mile-and-a-half horizontal wells?

4 A. No.

5 Q. Now, this reflects that you utilized and  
6 created a cross section for using wells that are  
7 depicted on here A to A prime?

8 A. That's correct.

9 Q. Why did you -- are these wells, in your  
10 opinion, representative of the area?

11 A. They are.

12 Q. And why did you pick these particular wells?

13 A. They're deep wells that have open-hole log  
14 suites over all the objectives.

15 Q. So now if I then turn to what's been marked as  
16 XTO Exhibit Number 9, is this the cross section that  
17 corresponds with the A to A prime shown on the previous  
18 exhibit?

19 A. It is, yes.

20 Q. And beginning at the top, would you walk us  
21 through what you show on here?

22 A. Yeah. So this is a two-well cross section from  
23 the Remuda Basin 24 State 1 down to the Tommy's Boy  
24 Federal #1. It's stratigraphically hung on the 3rd Bone  
25 Spring Sand indicated by the blue line. The interval on

1 the cross section is the 2nd Bone Spring down to --  
 2 through the 3rd Bone Spring, and you'll see the top of  
 3 the Wolfcamp at the bottom there. The target intervals  
 4 I've indicated by the red arrows.

5 We plan four Upper 2nd Bone Spring wells  
 6 per section and four Lower 2nd Bone Spring wells per  
 7 section -- and those, again, will be in a sawtooth  
 8 pattern -- and four wells in the 3rd Bone Spring.

9 Q. Now, you list the well numbers on here,  
 10 correct?

11 A. That's correct. Yes.

12 Q. For each of those numbers, you're going to have  
 13 a Remuda North well and also a Remuda South well?

14 A. Correct.

15 Q. In your opinion, is this an area that can be  
 16 efficiently and economically developed by horizontal  
 17 wells?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And in your opinion, will the acreage that is  
 20 being combined for the nonstandard spacing and proration  
 21 units contribute, more or less, equally to the  
 22 production from the wellbore?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And finally, in your opinion, will the granting  
 25 of this application be in the best interest of

1     **conservation, the prevention of waste and the protection**  
2     **of correlative rights?**

3             A.     It will, yes.

4             **Q.     Let me ask you this, Mr. Henthorn:  Were**  
5     **Exhibits 8 and 9 prepared by you or compiled under your**  
6     **direction and supervision?**

7             A.     They were.

8                     MR. FELDEWERT:  Mr. Examiner, I would move  
9     the admission into evidence of XTO Exhibits 8 and 9.

10                    MR. BRUCE:  No objection.

11                    MR. FELDEWERT:  And that concludes my  
12     examination in this case.

13                    EXAMINER DAWSON:  Okay.  At this point  
14     Exhibits 8 and 9 will be admitted to the record.

15                    (XTO Energy, Inc. Exhibit Numbers 8 and 9  
16     are offered and admitted into evidence.)

17                    EXAMINER DAWSON:  Mr. Bruce, do you have  
18     questions?

19                    MR. BRUCE:  Just a couple.

20                             CROSS-EXAMINATION

21     BY MR. BRUCE:

22             **Q.     How close are the 2nd Bone Spring and 3rd Bone**  
23     **Spring in this area?**

24             A.     If you look at Exhibit 9, the nearest 2nd Bone  
25     Spring horizontal is in Section 26 to the west, and the

1 nearest 3rd Bone Spring horizontal is in Section 30 to  
2 the east -- to the east.

3 Q. Thank you. That's all I have.

4 One more question. Is there any preferred  
5 orientation in the Bone Spring?

6 A. In this area -- in reference to stand-up versus  
7 lay-down?

8 Q. Yeah.

9 A. They perform equally here.

10 Q. Okay. Thank you.

11 EXAMINER DAWSON: Mr. Brooks, do you have  
12 any questions?

13 EXAMINER BROOKS: No questions.

14 CROSS-EXAMINATION

15 BY EXAMINER DAWSON:

16 Q. I just have a couple of questions,  
17 Mr. Henthorn.

18 A. Yes, sir.

19 Q. On Exhibit 8 --

20 A. Oh, yeah. Yes, sir.

21 Q. -- on your cross section, A, A prime --

22 A. Yes, sir.

23 Q. -- and then going to Exhibit 9, the Remuda  
24 Basin 24 State #1 well --

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. -- is that a producing well?

2 A. Yes, it is.

3 Q. What zone is it producing from?

4 A. From the Wolfcamp Formation.

5 Q. Wolfcamp?

6 A. Yes. It's a Lower Wolfcamp gas well.

7 Q. Okay. Okay. That's all the questions I have.

8 Thank you very much.

9 A. Thank you.

10 MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, with the  
11 adoption of the additional testimony that we referenced  
12 earlier, that concludes our presentation in this case.

13 EXAMINER DAWSON: Okay.

14 MR. BRUCE: I have nothing.

15 EXAMINER DAWSON: Okay. So at this time  
16 Cases 15836, 15837, 15838, 15839, 15840, 15841, 15842  
17 and 43, consolidated cases, will be taken under  
18 advisement.

19 And that concludes today's hearings.

20 MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you.

21 EXAMINER DAWSON: Thank you very much.

22 Have a great day, guys. Good luck with your big  
23 project.

24 (Case Numbers 15836, 15837, 15838, 15839,  
25 15840, 15841, 15842 and 15843 conclude,



3:00 p.m.)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO  
3

4 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

5 I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court  
6 Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20,  
7 and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify  
8 that I reported the foregoing proceedings in  
9 stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are  
10 a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that  
11 were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my  
12 ability.

13 I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's  
14 Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects  
15 the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.

16 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither  
17 employed by nor related to any of the parties or  
18 attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in  
19 the final disposition of this case.  
20

21  
22 MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR  
23 Certified Court Reporter  
24 New Mexico CCR No. 20  
25 Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2017  
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters