STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF CHISHOLM ENERGY OPERATING, LLC FOR A NONSTANDARD SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT AND COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

CASE NO. 16027

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

April 5, 2018

Santa Fe, New Mexico

BEFORE: WILLIAM V. JONES, CHIEF EXAMINER
PHILLIP GOETZE, TECHNICAL EXAMINER
LEONARD LOWE, TECHNICAL EXAMINER
DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL EXAMINER

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, William V. Jones, Chief Examiner, Phillip Goetze and Leonard Lowe, Technical Examiners, and David K. Brooks, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, April 5, 2018, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR

New Mexico CCR #20

Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105

Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

(505) 843-9241

EXHIBIT

Page 2 1 APPEARANCES FOR APPLICANT CHISHOLM ENERGY OPERATING, LLC: 2 3 MICHAEL H. FELDEWERT, ESQ. HOLLAND & HART, LLP 110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 (505) 988-4421 5 mfeldewert@hollandhart.com 6 FOR INTERESTED PARTY CIMAREX ENERGY COMPANY: 7 JENNIFER L. BRADFUTE, ESQ. 8 MODRALL, SPERLING, ROEHL, HARRIS & SISK, P.A. 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 1000 9 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 (505) 848-1800 10 jlb@modrall.com 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Page 7

- 1 three wells?
- 2 A. The plan is to drill all three of these wells
- 3 back-to-back and then to complete with the zipper frac
- 4 method at the same time.
- Q. Why does the company intend to drill them
- 6 back-to-back and complete them simultaneously?
- 7 A. This is done both for an economic savings and
- 8 for completion efficiencies.
- 9 Q. Under these drilling plans, will the company be
- 10 able to drill and complete a well in the nonstandard
- spacing unit within the 120-day period normally provided
- 12 in the pooling orders?
- 13 A. No, it will not.
- Q. What additional time will you need in order to
- 15 comply with the simultaneous drilling and completion
- 16 plan?
- A. We are requesting the Commission grant us a
- 18 90-day extension to the standard time.
- 19 Q. So that would put the period of time to drill
- and complete a well in this nonstandard unit of 210
- 21 days?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- Q. If I turn to what's been marked as Exhibit
- Number 2, does this identify not only the three federal
- leases but the interests involved under these leases?

Page 8

- 1 A. That's correct.
- Q. And if I look in the upper right-hand side,
- 3 does it provide an ownership breakdown of the working
- 4 interest for this proposed nonstandard spacing and
- 5 proration unit?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And have you identified on this exhibit the
- 8 working interests that remain to be pooled?
- 9 A. Yes. Those are those highlighted in yellow on
- 10 the page.
- 11 Q. If I then turn to the second page of this
- 12 exhibit, I see the same list of working interest owners,
- but then down at the bottom, I see a group of overriding
- 14 royalty interest owners?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. Do you seek to pool those overriding royalty
- 17 interest owners as well?
- 18 A. Yes. All but Conley Resources. That one has
- 19 been struck.
- 20 Q. You've satisfied yourself that you got a
- 21 contractual arrangement that allows them to be pooled?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 O. If I turn to Exhibit Number 3, does this
- 24 provide samples of the well-proposal letters that were
- 25 sent out to the working interest owners for each of