Page 1

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF MARATHON OIL PERMIAN, CASE NO. 16299 LLC FOR A SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT AND COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

## REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

August 9, 2018

Santa Fe, New Mexico

BEFORE: SCOTT DAWSON, CHIEF EXAMINER LEONARD LOWE, TECHNICAL EXAMINER DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL EXAMINER

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Scott Dawson, Chief Examiner, Leonard Lowe, Technical Examiner, and David K. Brooks, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, August 9, 2018, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR New Mexico CCR #20 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 (505) 843-9241

		Page	2
1	APPEARANCES		
2	FOR APPLICANT MARATHON OIL PERMIAN, LLC:		
3	JENNIFER L. BRADFUTE, ESQ. MODRALL, SPERLING, ROEHL, HARRIS & SISK, P.A.		
4	500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 1000 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102		
5	(505) 848-18001 jlb@modrall.com		
б			
7			
8	INDEX		
9		PAGE	
10	Case Number 16299 Called	3	
11	Exhibits Offered	3	
12	Proceedings Conclude	7	
13	Certificate of Court Reporter	8	
14			
15			
16	EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED		
17	Marathon Oil Permian, LLC Exhibit Numbers 9 and 10	4	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Page 3 1 (10:07 a.m.) 2 EXAMINER DAWSON: At this time we are back on the record. 3 And the next case on the list is number 29. 4 5 It's Case Number 16299, application of Marathon Oil Permian, LLC for a spacing and proration unit and 6 compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico. 7 8 Call for appearances, please. 9 MS. BRADFUTE: Mr. Examiner, Jennifer Bradfute on behalf of the Applicant. 10 11 EXAMINER DAWSON: All right. 12 MS. BRADFUTE: This case was continued from the July 12th docket. During the July 12th hearing in 13 this matter, Marathon requested to amend its application 14 for a 3rd Bone Spring well to create a 320-acre spacing 15 16 unit, and then it provided notice of that request to all affected parties, which included all pooled working 17 interest owners, overrides, and then it also renotified 18 19 all offsets. 20 EXAMINER DAWSON: Okay. MS. BRADFUTE: What is included in the 21 22 packet in front of you is Exhibit 9, which is an 23 affidavit that's been prepared by my colleague, Deana 24 Bennett, confirming that notice was provided of these 25 changes. And Modrall Sperling has started to use a

Page 4 software system to track its certified mailings. 1 If you look at the second page of Exhibit 9, there is a 2 chart -- and it has a column; it's the third column from 3 the right-hand side of the page -- which confirms if the 4 mailing was delivered or not yet delivered or returned. 5 6 There were some mailings that were not yet 7 delivered. Marathon also published notice in the 8 newspaper, and an Affidavit of Publication is included 9 within Exhibit 9 confirming that notification was also via publication. 10 11 EXAMINER DAWSON: Okay. 12 MS. BRADFUTE: Exhibit 10 within the packet in front of you is a copy of the revised C-102 with the 13 spacing unit that's being requested by Marathon. 14 And Mr. McMillan asked that the C-102 be prepared. 15 This 16 C-102 form has not yet been submitted to the district office, but it has been completed by a surveyor, and it 17 will be submitted to the district office once an order 18 19 is received in this matter. 20 Marathon asks that these two exhibits, Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 10, be admitted into the record. 21 EXAMINER DAWSON: Exhibits 9 and 10 will be 22 admitted to the record at this time. 23 24 (Marathon Oil Permian, LLC Exhibit Numbers 25 9 and 10 are offered and admitted into

Page 5 evidence.) 1 2 MS. BRADFUTE: And are there any questions related to these exhibits? 3 4 EXAMINER DAWSON: Do you have any 5 questions, Leonard? EXAMINER LOWE: No. I don't have any 6 7 questions. EXAMINER DAWSON: Is this okay 8 9 (indicating)? (Consultation off the record.) 10 11 EXAMINER BROOKS: "To be returned." I 12 don't know what that means. 13 EXAMINER DAWSON: Yeah, "to be returned." Do you want to ask her that? 14 EXAMINER BROOKS: Yeah. 15 16 What does the notation "to be returned" in 17 the third column mean? 18 MS. BRADFUTE: So this gets updated by the 19 post office. And "to be returned" I think means that 20 the post office has not submitted as returned to Modrall Sperling, but they're going to return it to us. 21 22 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. But it doesn't tell us with what notation it would be returned? 23 24 MS. BRADFUTE: It will be returned as 25 undelivered, but we don't know if there is an additional

Page 6 notation, something put on the envelope. That's 1 2 correct. EXAMINER BROOKS: Right. And that could be 3 an indication that the address is incorrect or 4 5 insufficient. MS. BRADFUTE: That's correct. 6 7 During the hearing in this matter, none of 8 the addresses changed, but on July 12th, the landman in this matter testified that he had conducted Internet 9 searches, as well as performing record title searches 10 11 for any addresses that they could find for these --12 EXAMINER BROOKS: And anyone for whom you don't have evidence of delivery is included in the 13 publication? 14 MS. BRADFUTE: 15 That's correct. 16 EXAMINER BROOKS: Thank you. 17 We don't need the return receipts because 18 the governing rule, although somewhat peculiar, says 19 evidence of delivery will be filed if available, which 20 means, I suppose, that if it's if not available, it doesn't have to be filed. 21 22 MS. BRADFUTE: We would be happy to 23 supplement when it comes in, but --24 EXAMINER BROOKS: I think that's 25 unnecessary.

Page 7 EXAMINER LOWE: What was the name of the 1 2 software again? MS. BRADFUTE: You know, I will have to 3 email that to you. I don't remember it off the top of 4 5 my head, Leonard. б EXAMINER DAWSON: Is it Certified Pro.net? 7 MS. BRADFUTE: It is. It is. 8 EXAMINER DAWSON: Okay. 9 MS. BRADFUTE: Are there any other questions? 10 11 EXAMINER DAWSON: Any other questions? 12 EXAMINER LOWE: No. 13 MS. BRADFUTE: Marathon asks this matter be taken under advisement. 14 EXAMINER DAWSON: Okay. Case Number 16299 15 16 will be taken under advisement at this time. 17 Thank you very much. (Case Number 16299 concludes, 10:07 a.m.) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Page 8 1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO 2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO 3 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER 4 5 I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20, 6 7 and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify 8 that I reported the foregoing proceedings in 9 stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that 10 were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my 11 12 ability. 13 I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects 14 the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties. 15 16 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by nor related to any of the parties or 17 18 attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in 19 the final disposition of this case. 20 DATED THIS 16th day of August 2018. 21 22 MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR 23 Certified Court Reporter New Mexico CCR No. 20 Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2018 24 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 25