|    | The state of the s |        |
|----|--|--------|
|    |  | Page 2 |
| 1  | APPEARANCES  |        |
| 2  | FOR APPLICANT AMEREDEV OPERATING, LLC:   |        |
| 3  | JULIA BROGGI, ESQ.   |        |
| 4  | HOLLAND & HART, LLP<br>110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1  |        |
| 5  | Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501<br>(505) 988-4421<br>jbroggi@hollandhart.com  |        |
| 6  | J210gg1cmo11ama10.00m  |        |
| 7  |  |        |
| 8  | INDEX  |        |
| 9  |  | PAGE   |
| 10 | Case Numbers 16394 and 16395 Called  | 3      |
| 11 | Case Presented by Affidavit  | 3      |
| 12 | Proceedings Conclude   | 10     |
| 13 | Certificate of Court Reporter  | 11     |
| 14 |  |        |
| 15 |  |        |
| 16 | EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED  |        |
| 17 | Ameredev Operating, LLC Exhibit Letters A through D  | 8      |
| 18 |  |        |
| 19 |  |        |
| 20 |  |        |
| 21 |  |        |
| 22 |  |        |
| 23 |  |        |
| 24 |  |        |
| 25 |  |        |
|    |  |        |

- 1 (1:34 p.m.)
- 2 EXAMINER McMILLAN: The next case I'd like
- 3 to call is Case 16394, application of Ameredev
- 4 Operating, LLC for a nonstandard spacing and proration
- 5 unit and compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico.
- Is this case combined with 16395?
- 7 MS. BROGGI: Yes.
- 8 EXAMINER McMILLAN: And this is combined
- 9 with 16395, application of Ameredev Operating, LLC for a
- 10 nonstandard spacing and proration unit and compulsory
- 11 pooling, Lea County, New Mexico.
- 12 Call for appearances.
- MS. BROGGI: Julia Broggi, with Holland &
- 14 Hart, on behalf of the Applicant, Ameredev Operating,
- 15 LLC.
- EXAMINER McMILLAN: Any other appearances?
- 17 Please proceed.
- 18 MS. BROGGI: So we are presenting both of
- 19 the cases by affidavit. So I'm a little unclear on how
- 20 much information to provide when I'm going through the
- 21 affidavit. I can provide as much or as little as you
- 22 like.
- But you have the packet in front of you,
- 24 and there are four affidavits. The first affidavit that
- 25 is marked Exhibit A is an affidavit from the landman,

- 1 Brandon Forteza, and he testifies that in the first
- 2 case, Case Number 16394, Ameredev is seeking to create a
- 3 320-acre spacing and proration unit, to dedicate that to
- 4 the proposed Pine Straw Fed Com 25 36 08 #91H well, and
- 5 to commit -- pool all uncommitted interests in the Bone
- 6 Spring Formation.
- 7 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. That's a two-mile
- 8 Bone Spring well, correct, a two-mile horizontal? It
- 9 looks like that from Exhibit 1.
- MS. BROGGI: That's correct.
- And then the second case, 16395, they are
- 12 seeking to create that same 320-acre spacing and
- 13 proration unit, to dedicate it to the Pine Straw Fed Com
- 14 25 36 08 #101H well and to pool all uncommitted mineral
- interests in the Wolfcamp Formation.
- EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay.
- MS. BROGGI: And attached as Exhibits A1
- 18 and A2 are the C-102s for both of the cases in the two
- 19 proposed wells.
- 20 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay.
- 21 MS. BROGGI: And then as Exhibit 3 --
- 22 sorry -- A3 and A4, those show both tracts of land. And
- 23 then on the second page of those two exhibits, Exhibits
- 24 A3 and A4, you'll see the ownership interest both by
- 25 tract and by unit. And it is the -- the parties with

- 1 the asterisk that Ameredev is seeking to pool in -- in
- 2 these cases, and it's American Shale Energy and OXY Y-1,
- 3 so two working interest companies.
- 4 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay.
- 5 MS. BROGGI: There are overriding royalty
- 6 interests, but the instruments that create the overrides
- 7 give the authority for Ameredev to pool, and there are
- 8 no unleased mineral interest owners in these cases.
- 9 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay.
- MS. BROGGI: And you'll see attached as
- 11 Exhibits A5 and A6 copies of the well-proposal letters
- 12 that were sent to each of the working interest owners
- 13 for each case on the two wells. Also, there are no
- 14 depth severances in these two cases.
- EXAMINER BROOKS: Good (laughter).
- 16 MS. BROGGI: Exhibit B is the affidavit
- 17 from the geologist, Parker Foy, and attached to his
- 18 Exhibit as B1 is the locator map. So from that you can
- 19 see Ameredev's acreage and the proposed wellbore for the
- 20 proposed Pine Straw Fed Com 25 36 08 #91H well.
- 21 Exhibit 2 is the subsea structure map.
- 22 You'll see that it's gently dipping to the southwest,
- 23 and he testified that the structure appears consistent
- 24 across the proposed wellbore, and he doesn't observe any
- 25 faulting, pinch-outs or other geologic impediments.

- 1 Exhibit 3 shows the three wells that
- 2 Mr. Foy used to create the stratigraphic cross section
- 3 in the next exhibit, and those are marked from A to A
- 4 prime.
- 5 And then the next exhibit, which is
- 6 Ameredev B4, is that stratigraphic cross section. The
- 7 target interval is marked on that exhibit, and he
- 8 testifies that it's consistent -- that the target
- 9 interval within the Bone Spring is consistent in
- 10 thickness across the entire proposed spacing unit.
- 11 So that's for the first case.
- 12 And he has those same exhibits for the
- 13 second case. B5 is the locator map again showing
- 14 Ameredev's acreage and proposed wellbore path.
- Exhibit B6 is that subsea structure map,
- 16 this time looking at the Wolfcamp Formation as opposed
- 17 to the Bone Spring in the earlier case. This too is
- also gently dipping to the southwest, and he testifies
- 19 that there are no geologic impediments to horizontal
- 20 drilling.
- Exhibit 7, again, are the three
- 22 representative wells that are marked here from A to A
- 23 prime, and he used those three wells to create the
- 24 stratigraphic cross section, which is the final exhibit,
- 25 B8.

- 1 Again, the target interval is marked here,
- 2 and he testifies that the structure appears consistent
- 3 across the proposed wellbore path, but that it is
- 4 consistent in thickness across the entire unit. And
- 5 then he expresses his opinion that each quarter-quarter
- 6 section will be productive and contribute more or less
- 7 equally to each 40-acre unit comprising the spacing and
- 8 proration unit and that in his opinion, granting these
- 9 two applications will be in the best interest of
- 10 conservation, the prevention of waste and the protection
- 11 of correlative rights.
- 12 Exhibit C is an affidavit from myself
- 13 showing that we provided notice to the pool parties.
- 14 Although there were no unlocatable interests, we did,
- out of an abundance of caution, publish by affidavit --
- 16 publish -- give notice by publication, and there is a
- 17 notice of affidavit -- Affidavit of Notice of
- 18 Publication attached as Exhibit D, and that's the final
- 19 exhibit.
- 20 So we would ask that Exhibits A through D
- 21 and their accompanying exhibits be admitted into
- 22 evidence.
- 23 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. Exhibits A
- 24 through D may be accepted as part of the record.
- 25 (Ameredev Operating, LLC Exhibit Letters A

EXAMINER McMILLAN: The next question I've

25

|    | Page 10  |
|----|--|
| 1  | EXAMINER BROOKS: Now, those two cases are              |
| 2  | for the Bone Spring and the Wolfcamp, respectively     |
| 3  | MS. BROGGI: Yes.                                       |
| 4  | EXAMINER BROOKS: so we've already seen                 |
| 5  | all the exhibits?                                      |
| 6  | MS. BROGGI: Yes.                                       |
| 7  | EXAMINER McMILLAN: Case Numbers 16394 and              |
| 8  | 16395 shall be taken under advisement with the updated |
| 9  | C-102.   |
| 10 | MS. BROGGI: Yes.                                       |
| 11 | (Case Numbers 15394 and 16395 conclude,                |
| 12 | 1:45 p.m.)   |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |
|    |  |