	Page 1
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
3	IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR ORIGINAL
4	BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:
5	APPLICATION OF AMEREDEV OPERATING, LLC CASE NOS. 16362,
6	FOR A NONSTANDARD SPACING AND PRORATION 16363 UNIT AND COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY,
7	NEW MEXICO.
8	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
9	EXAMINER HEARING
10	September 6, 2018
11	Santa Fe, New Mexico
12	
13	BEFORE: MICHAEL McMILLAN, CHIEF EXAMINER DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL EXAMINER
14	DIVID R. DROOKS, EBOIE BARRINGR
15	
16	
17	This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Michael McMillan,
18	Chief Examiner, and David K. Brooks, Legal Examiner, on
19	Thursday, September 6, 2018, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino
20	Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.
21	
22	REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR
23	New Mexico CCR #20 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters
24	500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
25	(505) 843-9241
4	

```
Page 2
 1
                             APPEARANCES
 2
     FOR APPLICANT AMEREDEV OPERATING, LLC:
 3
          JULIA BROGGI, ESQ.
          HOLLAND & HART, LLP
 4
          110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1
          Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
 5
          (505) 988-4421
          jbroggi@hollandhart.com
 6
 7
     FOR INTERESTED PARTY BURLINGTON RESOURCES OIL & GAS
     COMPANY, LP:
 8
          JAMES G. BRUCE, ESO.
 9
          Post Office Box 1056
          Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
10
          (505) 982-2043
          jamesbruc@aol.com
11
12
13
                                INDEX
14
                                                            PAGE
15
     Case Numbers 16362 and 16363 Called
                                                               3
16
     Case Presented by Affidavit
                                                               3
    Proceedings Conclude
17
                                                               9
18
     Certificate of Court Reporter
                                                              10
19
20
                    EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED
21
     Ameredev Operating, LLC Exhibit Letters A through D
                                                               9
22
23
24
25
```

first case, Case 16362, Ameredev is seeking to create a

25

- 1 320-acre spacing and proration unit, to dedicate it to
- 2 the proposed Redbud State Com 25 36 32 #97H well and to
- 3 commit all -- to pool all uncommitted mineral interests
- 4 in the Bone Spring.
- 5 And then with the second case, Case Number
- 6 16363, Ameredev is seeking to create a 320-acre spacing
- 7 and proration unit, to dedicate that to the proposed
- 8 Redbud State Com 25 36 32 #107H well and to pool all
- 9 committed [sic] mineral interests in the Wolfcamp.
- 10 Exhibits A1 and A2 to the landman's
- 11 affidavit are the C-102s for both cases in each of the
- 12 wells.
- Exhibits 3 and 4 are the exhibits created
- 14 by the landman to show the tracts of lands. The second
- 15 page of both of these exhibits, you'll see the different
- 16 ownership interest both by tract and by unit.
- 17 In this case, these are all leased mineral
- interest owners, and we are seeking to pool all of the
- 19 parties on Exhibit 4 and Exhibit 5. I'm sorry. Exhibit
- 20 3 and Exhibit 4.
- 21 Exhibit 5 and Exhibit 6 are copies of the
- 22 well-proposal letters offering to lease the land to the
- 23 unleased mineral interest owners.
- Now, in this case, Ameredev wasn't able to
- locate all of the owners, so at paragraph 16, the

- 1 landman testifies that Ameredev has made offers to lease
- 2 all locatable owners. Ameredev has conducted a diligent
- 3 search of public records in Lea County, in addition to
- 4 searching phone directories and computer searches to
- 5 locate heirs of deceased interest mineral owners. And
- 6 Ameredev has made offers to lease the locatable heirs.
- 7 Ameredev has also made an estimate of
- 8 overhead and administrative costs, and, once again, it's
- 9 7,000 a month while drilling and 700 a month while
- 10 producing. Also, there are no depth severances in these
- 11 two cases.
- 12 Exhibit B is the affidavit from the
- 13 geologist, Parker Foy. In the very first case, 16362,
- 14 Ameredev is targeting the Bone Spring Formation.
- Exhibit B1 to the geologist's affidavit is
- 16 the locator map. You can see Ameredev's acreage, and
- 17 the path of the proposed wellbore for the Redbud State
- 18 Com 2536 32 Number 97H well.
- Exhibit B2 is the subsea structure map. It
- 20 shows that the Bone Spring is gently dipping to the
- 21 southwest. The geologist testifies that he does not
- 22 observe any geologic impediments to horizontal drilling.
- Exhibit B3 shows the three representative
- 24 wells that were used to do the stratigraphic cross
- 25 section, and they are denoted on that line from A to A

- 1 prime.
- 2 Exhibit B4 is the stratigraphic cross
- 3 section, and the geologist testifies that the logs on
- 4 the cross section demonstrate that the target interval
- 5 is consistent in thickness across the entire proposed
- 6 spacing unit.
- 7 In the second case, Case Number 16363,
- 8 Ameredev is targeting the Wolfcamp Formation. And in
- 9 that case, Exhibit B5 to the geologist's affidavit is
- 10 the locator map.
- 11 Exhibit B6 is the subsea surface --
- 12 structure map that shows the Wolfcamp gently dipping to
- 13 the southwest. And the geologist testifies that he sees
- 14 no geologic impediments to horizontal drilling in this
- 15 area.
- Exhibit B7 shows the three representative
- 17 wells that were used to create the stratigraphic cross
- 18 section. They're denoted by the line from A to A prime.
- And the final exhibit, B8, is the
- 20 stratigraphic cross section. And the geologist
- 21 testifies that -- the target interval is also marked on
- 22 this.
- I'm not sure I mentioned it, but the target
- 24 interval is also marked on Exhibit B4.
- But as to B8, the geologist testifies that

- 1 it's consistent in thickness across the entire proposed
- 2 spacing unit, and he renders his opinion that each
- 3 quarter-quarter section will be productive and
- 4 contribute more or less equally to -- comprising the
- 5 spacing and proration unit and that granting Ameredev's
- 6 two applications, in his opinion, will be in the best
- 7 interest of conservation, the prevention of waste and
- 8 the protection of correlative rights.
- And similar to the last two cases, Exhibit
- 10 C is the affidavit from myself. I'm showing that we
- 11 mailed notice to the proposed pool parties, but as I
- 12 mentioned, there were unlocatable interests, so we did
- 13 provide notice by publication. And the affidavit for
- 14 that Notice of Publication is attached as Exhibit D.
- 15 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. Does the affidavit
- 16 set out the diligence that you used to find addresses
- 17 for these people that were unlocatable?
- MS. BROGGI: You mean my affidavit?
- 19 EXAMINER BROOKS: Yes. Or does any of it?
- MS. BROGGI: I did not mention that the
- 21 Affidavit of Publication does name the parties -- the
- 22 proposed pooled parties by name and includes their heirs
- 23 and devisees.
- No, I'm not sure -- I don't have testimony
- 25 included in here of the efforts that were taken, but I

- 1 could prepare that or have that prepared.
- 2 EXAMINER BROOKS: That would be good. The
- 3 rule on the subject says that due diligence has to be
- 4 used. Maybe I'm confusing it with SEC law. Maybe it
- 5 just says "diligence," but whatever.
- 6 MS. BROGGI: I understand.
- 7 EXAMINER BROOKS: It says -- it indicates
- 8 that some efforts have to be used, and that's generally
- 9 presented in very general terms.
- 10 MS. BROGGI: Okay. He did testify at
- 11 exhibit --
- 12 EXAMINER BROOKS: Included in the landman's
- 13 affidavit?
- MS. BROGGI: In the landman's affidavit.
- 15 EXAMINER BROOKS: That's where I would
- 16 expect to find it, if not yours.
- 17 MS. BROGGI: I can direct you to paragraph
- 18 16 of the landman's affidavit, which is attached as
- 19 Exhibit A, and that they conducted a diligent search of
- 20 public records in Lea County. In addition to searching
- 21 phone records, they conducted computer searches in an
- 22 attempt to locate deceased unleased mineral owners.
- 23 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. I appreciate that.
- 24 Thank you.
- 25 Are you through with your presentation?