STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF COG OPERATING, LLC FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

CASE NO. 20419

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

May 2, 2019

Santa Fe, New Mexico

BEFORE: SCOTT DAWSON, CHIEF EXAMINER
DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL EXAMINER

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Scott Dawson, Chief Examiner, and David K. Brooks, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, May 2, 2019, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR
New Mexico CCR #20
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters
500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
(505) 843-9241

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES	
2	FOR APPLICANT COG OPERATING, LLC:	
3	KAITLYN A. LUCK, ESQ.	
4	HOLLAND & HART, LLP 110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1	
5	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 (505) 988-4421	
6	kluck@hollandhart.com	
7		
8	INDEX	
9		PAGE
10	Case Number 20419 Called	3
11	Case Presented by Affidavit	3
12	Proceedings Conclude	11
13	Certificate of Court Reporter	12
14		
15		
16	EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED	
17	COG Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 1, 2 and 3	9
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

- 1 (9:15 a.m.)
- 2 EXAMINER DAWSON: Moving to Case 20419,
- 3 which is another application of COG Operating, LLC for
- 4 compulsory pooling in Eddy County, New Mexico.
- 5 Call for appearances, please.
- 6 MS. LUCK: Kaitlyn Luck with the Santa Fe
- 7 office of Holland & Hart.
- 8 EXAMINER DAWSON: Any other appearances?
- 9 Hearing none, whenever you're ready,
- 10 Ms. Luck.
- Thank you.
- MS. LUCK: Thank you.
- 13 In Case Number 20419, COG seeks an order
- 14 pooling all uncommitted interests in the Wolfcamp
- 15 Formation, the Purple Sage; Wolfcamp Gas Pool,
- 16 underlying a 768.56-acre standard horizontal spacing
- 17 unit comprised of the west half of Sections 19, 30 and
- 18 31, Township 26 South, Range 28 East, Eddy County, New
- 19 Mexico.
- 20 The first exhibit in your packet is the
- 21 affidavit of Travis Macha. He has previously testified
- 22 before the OCD as an expert petroleum landman and had
- 23 his credentials accepted as a matter of record. He's
- 24 familiar with this application and the status of the
- 25 lands in the subject area.

1 According to Mr. Macha, none of the parties

- 2 proposed to be pooled in this case has indicated
- 3 opposition, and he does not anticipate opposition at
- 4 this hearing.
- 5 This horizontal spacing unit will be
- 6 dedicated to the following three proposed wells. The
- 7 first well is the Way South State Com #706H well to be
- 8 drilled horizontally from a surface location in Unit G
- 9 of Section 31 to a bottom-hole location in Unit C of
- 10 Section 19, and the Way South State Com 709H and 710H
- 11 wells to be drilled horizontally for a surface location
- 12 in Unit E of Section 31 to a bottom-hole location in
- 13 Unit D of Section 19.
- 14 EXAMINER BROOKS: Excuse me. Where do you
- 15 have the C-102s for the other two wells? I only see one
- 16 for the 706.
- MS. LUCK: So they're -- under Tab 1, there
- is the 706 C-102, and then behind it will be the 709 and
- 19 710. So they're all in the same tab, but they're fairly
- 20 lengthy, so they're more towards the back.
- 21 EXAMINER BROOKS: It would have been
- 22 helpful if you tabbed them in some way so that we could
- 23 move from one to the other.
- 24 MS. LUCK: I apologize. I'll do that on
- 25 the next one.

- 1 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. Thank you.
- MS. LUCK: But 709 and 710 are all included
- 3 in 1A.
- 4 The completed intervals for all three of
- 5 these wells will comply with the setback requirements by
- 6 the special rules for the Purple Sage; Wolfcamp Gas
- 7 Pool.
- 8 As we discussed, Exhibit 1A are the C-102s
- 9 for all three wells.
- 10 Exhibit 1B identifies the tracts of land
- 11 comprising the proposed spacing and proration unit in
- 12 this case. It also identifies the interest ownership by
- 13 tract and ultimately by spacing units. COG is seeking
- 14 to pool the working interest owners listed on this
- 15 exhibit. COG is not seeking to pool any overriding
- 16 royalty interest owners in this case. All interest
- 17 owners are locatable.
- 18 Exhibit 1C is a well-proposal letter, along
- 19 with an AFE provided to the working interest owners that
- 20 COG is seeking to pool. You'll see in paragraph ten of
- 21 the affidavit that the 706H well was originally proposed
- 22 in February 2019 and was also reproposed when the
- 23 drilling plans were changed to move this well to the
- 24 west-half spacing unit in this case. So under 1C are
- 25 both the original well-proposal letter and the second

- 1 well-proposal letter that shows where the well was
- 2 moved. In addition, the well-proposal letters for the
- 3 709 well and the 710H well are also behind 1C.
- 4 The costs reflected in the AFEs are
- 5 consistent with what other operators have incurred for
- 6 similar horizontal wells in the area in this formation.
- 7 No depth severances exist within this proposed spacing
- 8 and proration unit, and there are no unleased mineral
- 9 interests in the subject acreage.
- 10 COG has estimated the overhead and
- 11 administrative costs for drilling and producing these
- wells at \$7,000 per month while drilling and 700 per
- 13 month while producing. These costs are consistent with
- 14 what other operators in the area are charging for
- 15 similar wells.
- 16 Mr. Macha provided our office with a list
- 17 of names and addresses to be provided notice of this
- 18 hearing, and that notice is reflected in a later exhibit
- 19 that I'll get to.
- 20 Exhibits 1A through 1C were prepared by
- 21 Mr. Macha or compiled under his direction.
- 22 Turning to Exhibit 2 is the affidavit of
- 23 Matt Fisher. He's the geologist for COG in this case.
- 24 He has previously testified as an expert witness and had
- 25 his credentials accepted.

1 He's familiar with this application, and he

- 2 has conducted a geologic study of the Wolfcamp A within
- 3 the Wolfcamp Formation underlying the subject acreage.
- 4 In this case COG is targeting the Wolfcamp A within the
- 5 Wolfcamp Formation for all three of the wells, the 706,
- 6 709 and 710.
- 7 Exhibit 2A is a project locator map that
- 8 reflects COG's acreage in yellow. The path of the
- 9 proposed wells are depicted by dashed pink lines, and
- 10 existing producing wells are represented by solid pink
- 11 lines.
- 12 Turning to Exhibit 2B is a subsea structure
- 13 map that was prepared on top of the Wolfcamp A and is
- 14 representative of the targeted intervals in the Wolfcamp
- 15 Formation. This map shows the formation is dipping to
- 16 the east in the area and that Mr. Fisher did not observe
- 17 any faulting, pinch-outs or other geologic impediments
- 18 to developing the Wolfcamp A with a horizontal well in
- 19 this area.
- 20 Exhibit 2C overlays a cross-section line in
- 21 red consisting of three wells penetrating the Wolfcamp
- 22 Formation that he used to construct the stratigraphic
- 23 cross section from A to A prime. He considers these
- 24 three wells to be representative of the geology in the
- 25 area.

1 And turning to Exhibit 2D is the logs for

- 2 those three wells. The proposed target interval for the
- 3 Wolfcamp A is labeled and depicted in green on the
- 4 exhibit. In his opinion, the stand-up orientation of
- 5 the proposed wells is the preferred orientation for
- 6 horizontal development in the area and is appropriate to
- 7 efficiently and effectively develop the acreage. Based
- 8 on his geologic study, the Wolfcamp A is suitable for
- 9 development, and the acreage comprising the proposed
- 10 spacing unit will contribute more or less equally to
- 11 production from the wellbores
- In his opinion, COG's application will be
- in the best interest of conservation, the prevention of
- 14 waste and the protection of correlative rights.
- 15 And finally turning to Exhibit 3 is my
- 16 affidavit that reflects that our office provided notice
- 17 to the interest owners in the subject acreage, and
- 18 notice was delivered to all of the parties at issue.
- 19 And I would move for admission of Exhibits
- 20 1 through 3.
- 21 If the hearing examiners have any
- 22 questions, I'm happy --
- 23 EXAMINER DAWSON: Exhibits 1 through 3 will
- 24 be admitted to the record at this time.
- 25 (COG Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 1, 2

and 3 are offered and admitted into

- evidence.)
- 3 EXAMINER DAWSON: Do you have any
- 4 questions, Mr. Brooks?
- 5 EXAMINER BROOKS: I think so. I am looking
- 6 at the last page of Exhibit 1B, and you list the -- you
- 7 list the working interest parties, and you have EOG
- 8 Resources. I assume that is the party -- from what I
- 9 can see, it looks like that is probably the only party
- 10 you want pooled; is that correct?
- MS. LUCK: That's not correct because we're
- 12 also seeking to pool COG and Concho -- oh, I am sorry.
- 13 Just seeking to pool OXY in this case because we don't
- 14 have a signed agreement with them.
- 15 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. That's approved.
- So you'll want to pool EOG, and you'll want
- 17 to pool OXY Y-1 or their interests. Technically, you
- 18 pool interests and not owners, but I'm not making a
- 19 point of that except just humorously because it doesn't
- 20 matter, and everybody understands what we're saying.
- Okay. So these parties identified on the
- 22 top table on the last page of Exhibit 1A are all of the
- 23 owners of working interests in the unit, right?
- 24 MS. LUCK: Yeah. So these are all -- on
- 25 Exhibit 1B are the working interests in this unit.

1 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. And they're all --

- 2 they're all committed except --
- MS. LUCK: OXY Y-1.
- 4 EXAMINER BROOKS: -- EOG and OXY Y-1?
- 5 MS. LUCK: That's correct.
- 6 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. Now, Nest Egg
- 7 [sic] is -- has an overriding royalty interest and you
- 8 say no pooling required. Is that because you have a
- 9 pooling power?
- MS. LUCK: That's correct.
- 11 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. Is that explained
- in the -- is that noted in the affidavit?
- MS. LUCK: That is explained in the
- 14 affidavit, and I can point you to the paragraph that we
- 15 talk about that.
- 16 EXAMINER BROOKS: Well, it doesn't matter.
- 17 If it's in there, it's in there, and that's fine.
- I think that's all the questions I have.
- 19 Since those are all the parties, then there
- 20 would be no unlocatable parties; is that correct?
- 21 MS. LUCK: That's correct. There are no
- 22 unlocatable interests in this acreage.
- 23 EXAMINER BROOKS: And there are no depth
- 24 severances?
- 25 MS. LUCK: There are no depth severances.

Page 11 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. Thank you. MS. LUCK: Thank you. EXAMINER DAWSON: I have no questions. And I'm assuming you're requesting for this to be taken under advisement? MS. LUCK: Yes. Thank you. EXAMINER DAWSON: Okay. At this point Case Number 20419 will be taken under advisement. Thank you very much, Ms. Luck. MS. LUCK: Thank you. (Case Number 20419 concludes, 9:26 a.m.)

- 1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO
- 2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

3

- 4 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER
- 5 I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court
- 6 Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20,
- 7 and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify
- 8 that I reported the foregoing proceedings in
- 9 stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are
- 10 a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that
- 11 were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my
- 12 ability.
- I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's
- 14 Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects
- 15 the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.
- 16 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
- 17 employed by nor related to any of the parties or
- 18 attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in
- 19 the final disposition of this case.
- DATED THIS 21st day of May 2019.

21

22

MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR
Certified Court Reporter

New Mexico CCR No. 20
Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2019

25

Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters