STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF BC OPERATING, LLC FOR COMPULSORY POOLING CASE NO. 21378 IN EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

and

APPLICATION OF COLGATE

OPERATING, LLC FOR COMPULSORY CASE NOS. 21467, 21468
POOLING IN EDDY COUNTY,
NEW MEXICO

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF VIRTUAL PROCEEDINGS

STATUS CONFERENCE

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 24, 2020

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Felicia Orth, Hearing Examiner, Kathleen Murphy and Scott Cox, Technical Examiners, via the Cisco Webex Video Conferencing Platform

Reported by: Mary T. Macfarlane, CCR

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

500 4TH STREET NW, SUITE 105

Albuquerque, NM 87102

	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES
2	FOR APPLICANT BC OPERATING, INC.:
3	Sharon T. Shaheen, Esq. Montgomery & Andrews
4	325 Paseo De Peralta
5	Santa Fe NM 87501 (505) 986-2678
6	sshaheen@montand.com
7	FOR COLGATE OPERATING:
8	Lance Hough, Esq.
9	Modrall Sperling 500 4th Street NW, Suite 1000
10	Albuquerque, NM L8710 (505)848-1826
11	lance.hough@modrall.com
12	CONTENTS
13	CASE NOS. 21378, 21467, 21468 PAGE
14	CASES CALLED: 3
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

- 1 (Time noted 8:48 a.m.)
- 2 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: Let's move then to
- 3 21378. Applicant is BC Operating, Compulsory Pooling
- 4 Application. The well name is Fadeaway Ridge.
- 5 Who is here from Montgomery and Andrews for
- 6 the Applicant?
- 7 MS. SHAHEEN: Good morning, Hearing Examiners.
- 8 This is Sharon Shaheen on behalf of the Applicant, BC
- 9 Operating.
- 10 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: Good morning, Ms.
- 11 Shaheen.
- 12 And Colgate has entered an appearance. Who
- is here from on Modrall Sperling for Colgate?
- MR. HOUGH: That's correct, Madam Examiner.
- 15 Lance Hough from Modrall Sperling for Colgate Operating.
- 16 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: Good morning, Mr. Hough.
- We will the pause for a moment to see if
- 18 there are any other appearances.
- I hear nothing.
- 20 As I understand it, we are having a status
- 21 conference this morning and related cases include 21467
- 22 and 21468.
- MS. SHAHEEN: That's right.
- 24 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: Ms. Shaheen, would you
- 25 like to kick this off.

- 1 MS. SHAHEEN: I'm happy to.
- I have conferred with Mr. Hough, and we
- 3 agreed that this should be set for a special hearing date.
- 4 My clients are in no big hurry, January would work fine
- 5 for them, so if that works for the Division and for
- 6 Colgate I'd like to talk about dates in January.
- 7 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: All right. Thank you.
- 8 Mr. Hough.
- 9 MR. HOUGH: That is correct, Madam Examiner.
- 10 And it does look like January is the soonest availability,
- 11 so if that's the case, that would be fine for Colgate.
- 12 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: Okay. We have two
- 13 hearing sessions in January. That's January 7th and 21st.
- 14 If the two of you would check with your
- 15 witnesses, check your own calendars, and submit a
- 16 stipulated Prehearing Order in connection with one of
- 17 those two hearings sessions, we can get you on the
- 18 calendar.
- 19 MS. SHAHEEN: Great. I'm wondering should we be
- 20 conferring with Mr. Feldewert, and I'm forgetting now who
- 21 else was talking about a January hearing date earlier this
- 22 morning.
- 23 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: That was Mr. Bruce and
- 24 Mr. Feldewert in Matters 21400/01, 21292 and 93.
- What we're finding is that the vast

1 majority of these special hearings or contested hearings

- 2 are settled as the hearing date approaches. So although
- 3 our earlier stance, my earlier stance was to only set one
- 4 at a time in connection with a hearing session, we've been
- 5 scheduling two and three of them now without any issue.
- 6 And in the event they were to go it would
- 7 simply be a matter of conferring with counsel as to, for
- 8 example, which of them would go first or which of the
- 9 Prehearing Orders was signed first, and we would simply
- 10 move through them.
- 11 We have in each case most of Thursday after
- 12 these brief hearing sessions, and all of Friday, and we
- 13 would just keep going, as far as I'm concerned.
- MS. SHAHEEN: Thank you for that insight.
- 15 Appreciate it.
- 16 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: Sure. I'll look for
- 17 your Prehearing Order.
- MR. HOUGH: Madam Examiner, just wanted to
- 19 confirm one last thing. These other cases that are
- 20 related, Colgate's, they're currently set for October 8th.
- 21 I'm just going to assume, I wanted to clarify, once we
- 22 submit that Prehearing Order relating to all the cases,
- 23 they would go ahead and get continued and there is not
- 24 going to be a need for the hearing on the 8th.
- 25 Is that correct?

1 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: Yeah, I think probably

- 2 Marlene would have me ask you to continue them through the
- 3 portal from October 8th, but I can double check on that,
- 4 and we can exchange an email relating to those cases, if
- 5 they are already on October 8th. Let me see.
- 6 MR. HOUGH: It seems like, I think as Ms.
- 7 Shaheen mentioned in the email, like it's a little bit
- 8 backwards in terms of the timing of the status conference.
- 9 I don't really see any difference in terms of, like, you
- 10 know, the traditional continuance. I think, you know, what
- 11 my thought was just here we have one consolidated
- 12 Prehearing Order that just pushes them all out. But, you
- 13 know, obviously we will agree with whatever the Division
- 14 needs us to do.
- 15 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: All right. Yeah. I
- 16 don't want to answer for Ms. Salvidrez. I'm fine with it,
- 17 but we may need to do portal things.
- 18 MR. HOUGH: Okay. No problem.
- 19 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: All right. Thank you
- 20 both very much.
- 21 (Time noted

22

23

24

25

	Page 7
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
2	: SS
3	COUNTY OF TAOS)
4	
5	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
6	I, MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, New Mexico Reporter
7	CCR No. 122, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that on Thursday, September
8	24, 2020, the proceedings in the above-captioned matter
9	were taken before me; that I did report in stenographic
10	shorthand the proceedings set forth herein, and the
11	foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription to
12	the best of my ability and control.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by
14	nor related to nor contracted with (unless excepted by the
15	rules) any of the parties or attorneys in this case), and
16	that I have no interest whatsoever in the final
17	disposition of this case in any court.
18	/s/ Mary Macfarlane
19	
20	MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, CCR NM Certified Court Reporter No. 122
21	License Expires: 12/31/2020
22	
23	
24	
25	