Page 1

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NOS: 21526

APPLICATION OF COG OPERATING LLC FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF VIRTUAL PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

NOVEMBER 5, 2020

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

This matter came on for virtual hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, HEARING OFFICER FELICIA ORTH and TECHNICAL EXAMINERS DYLAN ROSE-COSS on Thursday, November 5, 2020, through the Webex Platform.

Reported by: Irene Delgado, NMCCR 253 PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 Fourth Street, NW, Suite 105 Albuquerque, NM 87102 505-843-9241

Page 2 1 APPEARANCES 2 For the Applicant: 3 MICHAEL RODRIGUEZ 1048 Paseo de Peralta 4 Santa Fe, NM 87501-3034 5 505-428-0485 mrodriguez@concho.com б 7 INDEX 8 CASE CALLED 9 SUMMARY OF CASE 03 07 10 TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT 08 11 REPORTER CERTIFICATE 12 EXHIBIT INDEX 13 Admitted 14 Exhibits and All Attachments 08 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Page 3 1 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: Please go ahead with 2 21526. MR. RODRIGUEZ: So this case is similar to 21525 3 4 in that there are similar mineral lease owners that COG is 5 seeking to pool. However, COG has provided a development 6 plan in this acreage which also resulted in a number of 7 material changes. 8 So I brought this to the Division's attention a 9 couple of months ago with Mr. Warnell, Garcia, Simmons and 10 Mr. Ames to determine the appropriate steps forward. And it was determined that in this matter COG should seek a new 11 12 pooling order to capture the changes resulting from its 13 revised development plan, which is what is proposed in the 14 application in front of you today. 15 As to the application itself, COG is seeking to pool all uncommitted interests in Wolfcamp formation, the 16 17 Purple Sage Gas Pool underlying a standard 767.72 acre, more or less, horizontal spacing unit comprised of the E/2 of 18 Sections 22 and 27 and the N/2 of the NE/4 in lots 3 and 4 19 of irregular Section 34, Township 26 South, Range 28 East, 20 Eddy County, New Mexico. 21 22 The exhibit packet submitted in this case include 23 the application in front of you today. And as I turn into 24 the table of contents, I would like to note that it -- the 25 case number in the table of contents should read 21526, it

Page 4 reads 21525, so I apologize about that, but it also includes 1 2 the checklist. Exhibit A is the landman's affidavits and related 3 4 land exhibits. 5 Exhibit B is the geologist affidavit and related geology attachments. And also, the geologist has not 6 testified before the Division before, but behind Mr. Lopez's 7 8 affidavit is his resume, and we would ask that he be qualified as an expert in geology matters. 9 10 And moving forward, Exhibit C is my notice affidavit and notice of publication reflecting all notice 11 12 requirements have been met. 13 And I would like to point out specifically in the 14 application the last sentence, Paragraph G, it reads, "COG 15 is requesting the Division amend Order R 2110 -- 21101-A to reflect the changes within the application." 16 And we are asking for some form of order that 17 avoids concurrent orders and references the old order or 18 orders to keep the continuity so there is no confusion as to 19 which order governs. However, we are unsure that that means 20 of maintaining continuity and avoiding concurrent orders 21 would be through an amended or another mechanism within an 22 amended order, so I attempted to stylize this concern in a 23 24 way that was broad enough to raise our concern about 25 continuity in concurrent orders but still allow the Division

Page 5 sufficient room to address it as it sees fit with a new or 1 amended order. 2 And if the Division would like to discuss this 3 4 application or its contents or like me to modify it in any way, I'm more than happy to speak with the Division now or 5 in the future to address those matters. 6 7 And with that I'm available for any questions the 8 Division may have and ask that this case packet be admitted 9 into the record and application in Case Number 21526 be taken under advisement. 10 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: All right. Thank you. 11 12 Mr. Bruce, do you have questions? Mr. Bruce, if you are 13 speaking we cannot hear you. 14 MR. BRUCE: Sorry about that. I have no 15 questions. 16 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: Mr. Rose-Coss, any 17 questions? 18 TECHNICAL EXAMINER COSS: Hi. Good morning, Mr. Rodriguez, how are you today? I would just ask, you said 19 you had worked on this case with Mr. Warnell and Mr. Ames 20 and who else? 21 22 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Terry Garcia, Kurt Simmons, John Garcia and Eric Ames. 23 24 TECHNICAL EXAMINER COSS: Well, I will make sure 25 to bring this over to their attention then and they will be

1 sure to reach out.

2 MR. RODRIGUEZ: It's kind of a complicated 3 matter, a nuance matter, and we spent probably a good hour 4 kind of going into the nuances of it. So at first it might sound like there is a lot going on, but I know that they 5 probably wanted to push that out of their memories, but I'm 6 7 sure they still recall this. 8 TECHNICAL EXAMINER COSS: And you said there is a specific paragraph in here somewhere where you expressed 9 10 what you went over with them, my understanding? MR. RODRIGUEZ: It's not within the application. 11 12 It's just I made it a point to ask for an amended order, but 13 what I was trying to convey in a way that was just kind of 14 in a broad stroke was that, however the Division sees it fit 15 to kind of supersede the order that is current with the, the -- the development plan that we have submitted under 16 17 this application, that's what we are trying to achieve, whether that be through an amended order or with a brand new 18 order that references the old orders. 19 TECHNICAL EXAMINER COSS: Okay. I'm seeing a 20 clearer picture, but I will make sure John and Kurt will --21 will take it and run with it and reach out if they have any 22 23 additional questions. 2.4

24MR. RODRIGUEZ: Perfect. Thank you.25HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: Okay. Thank you. Thank

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 FOURTH STREET NW - SUITE 105, ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87102

Page 6

| | Page 7 |
|----|--|
| 1 | you both. So, Mr. Rodriguez, your exhibits are admitted, |
| 2 | your geologist is recognized as an expert and case taken |
| 3 | under advisement. |
| 4 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Madam Examiner. |
| 5 | (Exhibits admitted.) |
| 6 | (Taken under advisement.) |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

| | Page 8 |
|----|--|
| 1 | STATE OF NEW MEXICO |
| 2 | COUNTY OF BERNALILLO |
| 3 | |
| 4 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE |
| 5 | |
| б | I, IRENE DELGADO, New Mexico Certified Court |
| 7 | Reporter, CCR 253, do hereby certify that I reported the |
| 8 | foregoing virtual proceedings in stenographic shorthand and |
| 9 | that the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcript |
| 10 | of those proceedings to the best of my ability. |
| 11 | I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by |
| 12 | nor related to any of the parties or attorneys in this case |
| 13 | and that I have no interest in the final disposition of this |
| 14 | case. |
| 15 | I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Virtual Proceeding was |
| 16 | of poor to good quality. |
| 17 | Dated this 5th day of November 2020. |
| 18 | /s/ Irene Delgado |
| 19 | Irene Delgado, NMCCR 253 |
| 20 | License Expires: 12-31-20 |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |
| | |