

Additional Information

Withdraw Notice 2/24/21

Lamkin, Baylen, EMNRD

From: Joe Vargo <Joseph.Vargo@nglep.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 24, 2021 2:46 PM
To: Lamkin, Baylen, EMNRD
Cc: Matthias Sayer
Subject: [EXT] RE: Case No. 20896_NGL_Moab SWD No.1

Hi Baylen,

In reviewing the bullets that you sent below, and with our current budgetary constraints within our company, we feel that it's too costly and expensive at the moment to continue with this application.

Is there a formal route for withdrawal, or can you go ahead and pull this application for us?

Apologies if much work has already gone into this.

Please let me know

Thanks,

Joe Vargo

Office (303) 597.5652
Mobile (406) 868.9799

From: Lamkin, Baylen, EMNRD <Baylen.Lamkin@state.nm.us>
Sent: Friday, February 5, 2021 3:00 PM
To: Joe Vargo <Joseph.Vargo@nglep.com>
Subject: Case No. 20896_NGL_Moab SWD No.1

Mr. Vargo,

I was reviewing the materials associated with the subject case and I noticed a few issues that need some clarification.

- The surface location is within the preferred resource lease area for Polynatura potash, but they were not noticed
- The BLM never received notice for the application according to the tracking number
- The well is 0.56 miles from the fault network presented by NGL at hearing in Cases 20141 and 20142 and given to the OCD in shape file by NGL (expert testimony presented at hearing recommends a reduced maximum rate of 20k BWPD for wells between .5 and .75 miles of the fault network)
- The DV tool for the 3rd stage of cementing for the second intermediate casing is above the casing shoe for the 2nd intermediate casing string
- There was not a fresh water analysis submitted with the application even though there are 22 PODs within one mile of the location (including one owned by NGL)

If you can provide some clarification on the above issues, I can move forward with drafting an order for approval.

Kind regards,

Baylen Lamkin

Petroleum Engineer