## STATE OF NEW MEXICO

## ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

Application of Colgate Operating, LLC, for Compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico

Case No. 22085

Application of Colgate Operating, LLC, for Compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico

Case No. 22086

## REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

## EXAMINER HEARING

AUGUST 5, 2021

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, William Brancard, Esq. Hearing Examiner, Baylen Lamkin, Technical Examiner, on August 5, 2021 through the Webex Virtual Conferencing Platform hosted by the New Mexico Department of Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources.

Reported by: Mary Therese Macfarlane

New Mexico CCR #122

PAUL BACA COURT REPORTERS

500 Fourth Street NW, Suite 105 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

(505) 843-9241

				Page 2
1		APP	EARANCES	
2	FOR	COLGATE OPERATING:	Ernest L. Padilla, Esq. P.O. Box 2523 Santa Fe, NM 87504	
4			(505) 988.7577 padillalawnm@outlook.com.	
5	FOR	COG OPERATING:	Ocean Munds-Dry, Esq. 1048 Paseo de Peralta	
6			Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 780-8000	
7	O.Munds-Dry@conocophillips			.com
8				
9	CONTENTS			
10	CASE NOS. 22085, 22096			PAGE
11	CASE CALLED			3
12	INQUIRY BY EXAMINER LAMKIN:			5
13	REQUESTED INFORMATION:			7
14	EXHIBIT INDEX			
15	EXHIBITS:			DMITTED
16	A Affidavit of Landman Mark Hadjik with supporting exhibits		7	
17 18	B Affidavit of Geologist Segerio Ojeda with supporting exhibits			7
19	C Affidavit of Notice			7
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

- 1 (Time noted 9:53 a.m.)
- 2 EXAMINER BRANCARD: So we are now back on the
- 3 record on August 5, 2021, OCD hearings. And we are on
- 4 Items 35 and 36 on the agenda. That would be cases 22085,
- 5 22086, Colgate Operating.
- 6 MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, Ernest L. Padilla on
- 7 behalf of Colgate Operating.
- 8 EXAMINER BRANCARD: I have an entry of
- 9 appearance for COG Operating.
- 10 MS. MUNDS-DRY: Good morning, Mr. Hearing
- 11 Examiner. Ocean Munds-Dry for COG Operating, LLC.
- 12 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Are there any other persons
- interested in Cases 22085 and 22086? (Note: Pause.)
- 14 Hearing none, Ms. Munds-Dry, do you object to this case
- 15 going forward by affidavit?
- 16 MS. MUNDS-DRY: We have no objection. Thank
- 17 you, Mr. Examiner.
- 18 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Mr. Padilla, you may
- 19 proceed.
- 20 MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, we've submitted a
- 21 package, exhibit package that consists of the land
- 22 affidavit, the geology affidavit, and my affidavit, which
- 23 would be Exhibit C.
- 24 Exhibit A submitted by Mr. Mark Hadjik, who
- 25 has testified before the Oil Conservation Division on

1 prior occasions, basically contains the standard land

- 2 package, tract numbers. The only exception that we have
- 3 is that we have -- we had problems this week trying to get
- 4 the C-102s. And I thought maybe we would get them
- 5 yesterday in order to supplement the record, or our
- 6 package before the hearing, but we will have to supplement
- 7 the record with that.
- 8 But the applications call for the
- 9 Klondike 9 Com wells, which are in the south half of
- 10 Section 9, Township 19 South, 28 East, and the Madera
- 11 wells, which are in the north half of Section 9. They are
- 12 identical. The applications, standard 320-acre spacing,
- 13 and geology is the same.
- So Mr. Ojeda's geology affidavit contains
- 15 the standard structure maps, isopachs, and cross sections
- 16 that are applicable to development of the prospect.
- 17 My affidavit, which is Exhibit C -- I think
- 18 we're missing some green cards from a couple of overrides,
- 19 and that's about it. Otherwise it's complete. We did not
- 20 think that we had to file a Notice of Publication in the
- 21 county, especially since these applications were
- 22 originally filed in Cases 21647 through 21650, and those
- 23 were withdrawn. Those other cases were two-mile laterals,
- 24 these are one-mile laterals.
- 25 I'm not sure what we have to do if we do

- 1 not receive the green cards for the missing overriding
- 2 royalty interests, but all working interests are notified
- 3 and are appropriate.
- 4 With that we offer Exhibits A, B and C and
- 5 ask to supplement the record with the C-102s.
- 6 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Ms. Munds-Dry, any
- 7 questions?
- 8 MS. MUNDS-DRY: No questions. Thank you.
- 9 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Mr. Lamkin, questions?
- 10 EXAMINER LAMKIN: Did you say you received green
- 11 cards or return receipts from all the working interests
- 12 and just not the overriding interests?
- MR. PADILLA: That is correct.
- 14 EXAMINER LAMKIN: Okay. Do you know, Bill, if
- 15 we require Publication of Notice for the overriding
- 16 royalty interest owners?
- 17 MR. PADILLA: Well, the rules don't say that,
- 18 Mr. Lamkin, but -- I'm not sure, but I don't think the
- 19 rules really require. That's policy that was instituted
- 20 by Mr. Brooks at some point that we needed to notify
- 21 overriding royalty interests. There is some debate, but I
- 22 think everybody complies with the policy.
- 23 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay.
- MR. LAMKIN: Okay.
- 25 EXAMINER BRANCARD: So there's no Publication

- 1 Notice in this case?
- 2 MR. PADILLA: No. We could publish against
- 3 those overrides, but I think at some point we had decided
- 4 that -- probably uniformally now we're filing that against
- 5 everyone, just to be more cautious.
- 6 EXAMINER BRANCARD: I mean, some parties just
- 7 publish as a matter of course.
- 8 MR. PADILLA: That's what we're doing now,
- 9 regardless of -- we just include the entire ownership
- 10 list. And it's more expensive, but I don't know why this
- 11 didn't happen here. I know that I had a death in the
- 12 family here and I wasn't paying attention that much, but
- 13 the -- there are only, I think, two green cards that have
- 14 not been returned by those overrides. And overrides
- 15 are sometimes very difficult to get completed.
- 16 EXAMINER BRANCARD: That's true.
- So we need to leave the record anyway open
- 18 for the C-102s?
- 19 MR. PADILLA: What I could to is I could publish
- 20 against the overrides that are missing so far and
- 21 supplement the record, and if necessary reopen at some
- 22 point. But I don't see any real problem since they are
- 23 not cost-bearing interest owners.
- 24 EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. Well, any other
- 25 concerns? Mr. Lamkin, your thoughts?

Page 7 1 EXAMINER LAMKIN: I would ask that they, you 2 know, publish just out of an abundance of caution, and 3 just supplement the record when you submit the C-102s just to cover our bases. 5 MR. PADILLA: Okay. EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. Any objections 6 Ms. Munds-Dry? 8 MS. MUNDS-DRY? No objection. Thank you. EXAMINER BRANCARD: Are there any other persons 9 interested in Cases 22085 and 22086? (Note: Pause.) 10 Hearing none, the exhibits will be admitted into the 11 12 record. The record will be left open for submittal of the C-102s that are missing, and for evidence of Publication 13 of Notice. 14 15 MR. PADILLA: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. 16 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. 17 (Time noted 10:02 a.m.) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

je 8			
I, MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, New Mexico Reporter			
CCR No. 122, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that on Thursday, August 5,			
2021, the proceedings in the above-captioned matter were			
taken before me; that I did report in stenographic			
shorthand the proceedings set forth herein, and the			
foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription to			
the best of my ability and control.			
by			
the			
ıd			
disposition of this case in any court.			
by			