STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

Application	ns of	CHISHOLM ENERGY	Case No.	21849
OPERATING,	LLC,	for Compulsory Pooling	Case No.	21850
Lea County,	, New	Mexico		

Applications of MEWBOURNE OIL	Case No.	22161
COMPANY for Compulsory Pooling,	Case No.	22162
Lea County, New Mexico	Case No.	22163
	Case No.	22164

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 2021

STATUS CONFERENCE

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, William Brancard, Esq. Hearing Examiner, Dean McClure Technical Examiner, on Thursday, November 4, 2021, via Webex Virtual Conferencing Platform hosted by the New Mexico Department of Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources

Reported by: Mary Therese Macfarlane.

New Mexico CCR #122

PAUL BACA COURT REPORTERS

500 Fourth Street NW, Suite 105 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

(505) 843-9241

Page 2 1 APPEARANCES 2 FOR CHISHOLM ENERGY OPERATING: Michael Feldewert, Esq. 3 Holland & Hart 110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 4 (505) 988-4421 mfeldewert@hollandhart.com. 5 FOR OXY USA: 6 Michael Feldewert, Esq. Holland & Hart 7 110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 8 (505) 988-4421 mfeldewert@hollandhart.com. 9 FOR MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY: 10 James Bruce, Esq. Post Office Box 1056 11 Santa Fe, NM 87504 (505) 982-2043 12 jamesbruc@aol.com 13 FOR EOG RESOURCES: Jobediah Rittenhouse, Esq. 14 Beatty & Wozniak, PC 216 16th Street, Suite 1100 15 Denver, CO 80202 (303)407-449916 jrittenhouse@bwenergylaw.com. 17 FOR COG OPERATING: Ocean Munds-Dry, Esq. 18 1048 Paseo de Peralta Santa Fe, NM 87501 19 (505) 780-8000omundsdry@concho.com 20 CONTENTS 21 22 CASE NOS. 21849, 21850, PAGE 22161, 22162, 22163, 22164 23 3 CASE CALLED: 24 SET FOR CONTESTED HEARING ON 02/03/2022 8 25

- 1 (Time noted 8:51 a.m.)
- 2 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay I think we have a lump
- 3 of cases here, starting with No. 18 on the worksheet,
- 4 21849, 21850, and then 22161, 22162, 22163, 22164.
- 5 Let's start with Chisholm Energy Operating.
- 6 MR. FELDEWERT: May it please the examiner,
- 7 Michael Feldewert with the Santa Fe office of Holland &
- 8 Hart appearing on behalf of Chisholm Energy Operating;
- 9 and, Mr. Examiner, also appearing on behalf of OXY USA.
- 10 We are supporting Chisholm's development plan in this
- 11 competing acreage.
- 12 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. And then we have
- 13 Mewbourne Oil Company. I think Jim's muted.
- 14 Mr. Bruce?
- 15 MR. BRUCE: Sorry. Jim Bruce representing
- 16 Mewbourne. Thank you.
- 17 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. Then I have EOG
- 18 Resources.
- 19 MR. RITTENHOUSE: Yes, sir. This is Joby
- 20 Rittenhouse from Beatty & Wozniak appearing on behalf of
- 21 EOG.
- 22 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Are there any other
- 23 interested persons? Oh, I have a COG Operating for a few
- 24 of these cases.
- Ms. Munds-Dry, Ms. Ryan?

1 MS. RYAN: Mr. Examiner, I don't have them

- 2 listed on my sheet, so...
- 3 EXAMINER BRANCARD: I think this is for the
- 4 Mewbourne cases, 22161, et cetera.
- 5 MS. RYAN: Oh, yes, we are listed on those.
- 6 Hello. Good morning. We're here.
- 7 Elizabeth Ryan entering appearance on
- 8 behalf of COG Operating.
- 9 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you, Ms. Ryan.
- 10 So any other interested persons for these
- 11 cases? And again I'll list them: 21849 -850, and 22161,
- 12 162, -163 and -164.
- 13 Hearing none, I believe we had a Prehearing
- 14 Order for these contested cases that was vacated, and
- 15 there might be -- I don't know where we are going here.
- 16 Somebody said they might be filing new cases. What's
- 17 going on?
- 18 Start with Mr. Feldewert.
- 19 MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
- 20 Essentially where we are at is the parties
- 21 have competing Well Proposals in the Bone Spring that
- 22 overlap Sections 18 and 19 South, 33 East over there.
- 23 Chisholm seeks to pool 7 and 18, while Mewbourne seeks to
- 24 pool 18 and 19.
- 25 Uhm, Chisholm filed their applications in

1 April. You will recall, perhaps, that Mewbourne appeared

- 2 a couple of times and said they were going to file
- 3 competing pooling applications. There was also a title
- 4 issue the parties were trying to address.
- 5 Mewbourne did eventually file their
- 6 competing pooling applications. It involved all of
- 7 Section 18. So we have -- Chisholm recently then filed a
- 8 compulsory pooling application to address the other
- 9 acreage in Section 18 that had previously not been
- 10 addressed.
- 11 We really have two things going on. One is
- 12 there's still -- Mewbourne claims an interest in
- 13 Section 18. Chisholm recently obtained a title opinion
- 14 that doesn't show a Mewbourne interest.
- 15 My understanding is Chisholm has reached
- 16 out to Mewbourne about the title opinion for an
- 17 explanation as to why Mewbourne thinks they have an
- 18 interest. There hasn't been much of a response, and so we
- 19 still have that outstanding to try to bring into this.
- 20 There's also efforts, I know, to reach an
- 21 amicable resolution about the development of the acreage.
- 22 That, unfortunately, reached an impasse recently, which is
- 23 why Chisholm has not proposed competing wells in the west
- 24 half of 18, because their pooling application involved the
- 25 east half, and those will be filed in December and appear

1 on the January docket. So they are going to be pooling

- 2 various parties in Section 7 and 18.
- We are not sure what to do with Mewbourne.
- 4 I guess we will give them Notice, only because they claim
- 5 an interest in Section 18, but we don't show it as having
- 6 an interest. So we hope to hear from them soon as to why
- 7 they claim an interest.
- 8 All of this is to say it appears that we
- 9 may need to have a status conference in January to see if
- 10 these title issues are resolved or whether they can reach
- 11 some resolution, or set a hearing date sometime after
- 12 January when all of these cases will be on the Division's
- 13 docket.
- 14 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Mr. Bruce, your
- 15 perspective.
- MR. BRUCE: Let's just -- yeah, let's set
- 17 them for hearing sometime after the first of the year. I
- 18 don't think Mewbourne is filing any additional
- 19 applications.
- 20 But insofar as Section 18 goes, I think
- 21 Mewbourne still has some right to propose a well across
- 22 land in which it owns no interest, but I think there's
- 23 some JOA issues out here that they have acquired an
- 24 interest in those.
- Nonetheless, let's just set it for hearing.

1 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Let me check with Mr.

- 2 Rittenhouse. Any perspective for EOG?
- 3 MR. RITTENHOUSE: EOG doesn't have anything to
- 4 add here. Thank you.
- 5 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Ms. Ryan, COG.
- 6 MS. RYAN: Nothing to add. Thank you.
- 7 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.
- 8 All right. Once again, any other
- 9 interested persons in these cases? (Note: Pause.)
- 10 All right. So, Mr. Feldewert, you're
- 11 saying there may be filings in December by Chisholm?
- MR. FELDEWERT: Yes. We propose some wells in
- 13 the west half of 7 and 18, again Section 18 being the
- 14 overlap acreage.
- 15 We will be filing in December so that they
- 16 appear on the January docket, that first docket in
- 17 January, and that will then -- all four cases will then be
- 18 on a -- I'm sorry, it will be more than four. All of the
- 19 competing cases will then have case numbers and be heard
- 20 at some point after that to go to hearing.
- 21 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. So why don't we set
- 22 this for a contested hearing on February 3rd. I will
- 23 issue a Prehearing Order, and then when Chisholm files its
- 24 cases it can also ask to amend that Prehearing Order to
- 25 incorporate those cases.

Page 8 MR. FELDEWERT: Yes, sir. EXAMINER BRANCARD: That would be helpful so we know what's going on. All right, Mr. Bruce? MR. BRUCE: That's fine. EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. (Time noted 8:59 a.m.)

	Page 9
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
2	: ss
3	COUNTY OF TAOS)
4	
5	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
6	I, MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, New Mexico Reporter
7	CCR No. 122, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that on Thursday,
8	November 4, 2021, the proceedings in the above-captioned
9	matter were taken before me; that I did report in
10	stenographic shorthand the proceedings set forth herein,
11	and the foregoing pages are a true and correct
12	transcription to the best of my ability and control.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by
14	nor related to nor contracted with (unless excepted by the
15	rules) any of the parties or attorneys in this case, and
16	that I have no interest whatsoever in the final
17	disposition of this case in any court.
18	
19	/s/Mary Macfarlane
20	MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, CCR NM Certified Court Reporter No. 122
21	License Expires: 12/31/2021
22	
23	
24	
25	
ĺ	