STATE OF NEW MEXICO

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NOS. 22287-22289

APPLICATION OF V-F PETROLEUM INC. LLC FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF VIRTUAL PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

DECEMBER 16 2021

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

This matter came on for virtual hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, HEARING OFFICER WILLIAM BRANCARD and TECHNICAL EXAMINER KATHLEEN MURPHY on Thursday, December 16, 2021, through the Webex Platform.

Reported by: PAUL BACA

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

500 Fourth Street, NW, Suite 105

Albuquerque, NM 87102

505-843-9241

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES	
2	For V-F Petroleum	
3	Dana S. Hardy Michael Rodriguez	
4	P.O. Box 2068 Santa Fe, NM 87504-2068	
5	For Tap Rock Resources	
6	SHARON SHAHEEN	
7	MONTGOMERY & ANDREWS 325 Paseo de Peralta	
8	Santa Fe, NM 87501	
9		
10	INDEX	
11		
12	CASE CALLED	
13	STATUS CONFERENCE	03
14	REPORTER CERTIFICATE	07
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: With that, I'm

- 2 calling Cases 21, 22 and 23 on the worksheet. These are
- 3 Case Numbers 22287, 22288, 22289 and V-F Petroleum.
- 4 MS. HARDY: Good morning. Dana Hardy with the
- 5 Santa Fe office of Hinkle Shanor on behalf of V-F Petroleum.
- 6 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: I have an entry for
- 7 Tap Rock LLC.
- 8 MS. SHAHEEN: Good morning again. This is Sharon
- 9 Shaheen of Montgomery and Andrews on behalf of Tap Rock.
- 10 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Are there
- any other interested parties for Cases 22287, 88 or 89?
- 12 (No audible response.)
- 13 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Hearing none, where
- 14 are we? I think -- is Tap Rock going to file additional
- 15 cases, Ms. Shaheen?
- 16 MS. SHAHEEN: That depends, Mr. Examiner. I
- 17 spoke with Ms. Hardy -- the issue here, there is a title
- 18 issue with respect to Tap Rock's interest. And once that
- 19 title issue has been resolved, then they will then decide
- 20 whether they will be filing a competing application.
- 21 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Ms. Hardy, what are
- 22 your thoughts?
- MS. HARDY: V-F Petroleum has been working on
- 24 this development for quite some time and would like to
- 25 proceed to hearing notwithstanding the title issues. I note

- 1 parties are talking and trying to get these matters
- 2 resolved. But at this point V-F would really like to have
- 3 it set as soon as possible.
- 4 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: So it looks like we
- 5 have some real contested issues, both title and otherwise?
- 6 MS. HARDY: I don't think the title issues would
- 7 be addressed here in the pooling case.
- 8 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Hopefully not.
- 9 MS. HARDY: Right. I hope not.
- 10 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: March 17?
- 11 MS. HARDY: If that's the earliest date
- 12 available, then --
- 13 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: At this point that
- 14 may be.
- MS. HARDY: Okay. I will verify with my client
- 16 that that date works, but at this point that sounds
- 17 workable.
- 18 MS. SHAHEEN: If I may, I would suggest that it
- 19 be in April just simply because I just set three other cases
- 20 for the March 17 docket, so that would be a personal
- 21 request. And I also have not yet gotten any information
- 22 from Tap Rock about its availability, so any date that we
- 23 talk about today, I'm going to have to run by them and I can
- let you know as soon as I hear back from them.
- 25 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: That's true, we have

1 set 16 cases today, and you are on all of them, Ms. Shaheen.

- MS. SHAHEEN: Yes, I'm fully aware.
- 3 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: So the next date
- 4 after that is April 7.
- 5 MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, I know we've set quite
- 6 a few cases on March 3, but would that be possible because
- 7 April is getting late for V-F Petroleum. They were hoping
- 8 to get this resolved much sooner than that.
- 9 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Well, we think this
- 10 may go to a real contested hearing; is that correct?
- 11 MS. SHAHEEN: That's correct. There may be
- 12 competing applications, and it would be difficult to have
- 13 competing applications ready to go by March 3 in light of
- 14 the title issue.
- 15 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Just first ask, are
- 16 there any other, again, any other persons for 22287, 88 or
- 17 89?
- 18 (No audible response.)
- 19 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Hearing none, we are
- 20 going to set this for April 7 just to avoid too many
- 21 collisions here.
- MS. SHAHEEN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
- MS. HARDY: Thank you.
- 24 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: And while V-F's cases
- 25 have been around for a while, the previous cases have been

Page 6 around for almost two years. MS. HARDY: That is true. HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: We need to get them out of the way one way or the other. Thank you. So I think that ends our fabulous status conference docket today, and so we can move on to some hearings. (Concluded.)

Page 7 STATE OF NEW MEXICO 2. COUNTY OF BERNALILLO 3 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 5 I, PAUL BACA, New Mexico Certified Court 6 7 Reporter, do hereby certify that I reported the foregoing 8 virtual proceedings in stenographic shorthand and that the 9 foregoing pages are a true and correct transcript of those 10 proceedings to the best of my ability. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by 11 12 nor related to any of the parties or attorneys in this case 13 and that I have no interest in the final disposition of this 14 case. 15 I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Virtual Proceeding was of reasonable quality. 16 Dated this 16th day of December 2021. 17 18 /s/ Paul Baca 19 Paul Baca 20 License Expires: 12-31-22 2.1 22 23 2.4 25