	Page 1
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
2	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
3	
4	IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED ORIGINAL
5	THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:
6	APPLICATION OF EOG RESOURCES, INC., Case No. 14722
7	FOR APPROVAL OF A NONSTANDARD OIL Case No. 14722 SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT AND
8	COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY,
9	NEW MEXICO
10	
11	
12	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
13	EXAMINER HEARING
14	
15	BEFORE: WILLIAM V. JONES, Presiding Examiner DAVID K. BROOKS, Legal Examiner N U
16	August 18, 2011
17	Santa Fe, New Mexico
18	
19	This matter came on for hearing before the
20	New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, WILLIAM V. JONES, Presiding Examiner, and DAVID K. BROOKS, Legal Examiner,
21	on Thursday, August 18, 2011, at the New Mexico Energy,
22	Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.
23	
24	REPORTED BY: Jacqueline R. Lujan, CCR #91
25	Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 Fourth Street, N.W., Suite 105

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

.

		Dage 2
1	APPEARANCES	Page 2
2	FOR THE APPLICANT:	
3	JAMES BRUCE, ATTORNEY AT LAW P.O. Box 1056	
4	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504 (505)982-2043	
5	BASIN PETROLEUM COMPANY, PRO SE:	
6	BRAD BASSORE	
7	P.O. Box 4028 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87196	
8		·
9	WITNESSES:	PAGE
10	Douglas Hurlbut:	
11	Direct examination by Mr. Bruce	4
12	Examination by Examiner Jones Cross-examination by Mr. Bassore	10 13
13	Redirect examination by Mr. Bruce	19
14	James Morris:	
15	Direct examination by Mr. Bruce Examination by Examiner Jones	23 27
16	Redirect examination by Mr. Bruce	29
17	INDEX	PAGE
18		IAUL
19	EXHIBITS 1 THROUGH 5 ADMITTED EXHIBITS 6 THROUGH 12 ADMITTED	10 26
20	EARIDITO O TIMOUON 12 ADMITLE	20
21	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	31
22]
23		
24		
25		

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

ţ

Page 3 EXAMINER JONES: Let's call Cases 14722 1 and 14724. They're both the application of EOG 2 Resources, Incorporated, for approval of a nonstandard 3 oil spacing and proration unit and compulsory pooling in 4 5 Eddy County, New Mexico. Call for appearances. 6 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce, of Santa Fe, representing the applicant. I have two 7 8 witnesses. 9 EXAMINER JONES: Any other appearances? 10 MR. BASSORE: Brad Bassore, pro se. EXAMINER JONES: I did get your prehearing 11 statement, Mr. Bassore. It came on a Monday, and we were 12 13 supposed to get it on a Thursday. So what we do then is 14 defer to the applicant to see if it's okay with them to 15 admit this. MR. BRUCE: Well, if he wants to enter an 16 17 appearance, I would object to him testifying. EXAMINER BROOKS: You know, there are 18 different rules about this for the Commission and the 19 Division, and I never remember what's what. But we'll 20 21 let you go ahead and start your presentation. And I know 22 I can cross-examine your witness, so --23 MR. BRUCE: I have two witnesses to be 24 sworn in. 25 (Two witnesses were sworn.)

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 4 DOUGLAS HURLBUT 1 Having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: 2 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. BRUCE: 5 Ο. Would you please state your name for the 6 record? 7 Α. Douglas W. Hurlbut. 8 Q. Where do you reside? Midland, Texas. 9 Α. 10 Ο. Who do you work for and in what capacity? EOG Resources. I'm a landman with them. 11 Α. Have you previously testified before the 12 Q. Division? 13 14 Α. Yes. Were your credentials as an expert petroleum 15 0. landman accepted as a matter of record? 16 17 Α. Yes, they were. 18 Q. Are you familiar with the land matters involved in this case? 19 20 Α. Yes, I am. 21 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I tender 22 Mr. Hurlbut as an expert petroleum landman. 23 EXAMINER JONES: So qualified. 24 Q. (By Mr. Bruce) Could you identify Exhibit 1 25 for the Examiner?

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 5 Okay. Exhibit 1 is a plat, and it's of 1 Α. Section 23. I believe that's in 1929. And it's in Lea 2 3 County -- no. MR. BASSORE: Eddy County. The com 4 5 agreement you sent me said Lea. Okay. And basically what this depicts is 6 Α. 7 Section 23, which is subject to a joint operating agreement that's been around since I think in the '70s. 8 I don't know the exact date. It was originally a well 9 drilled -- several wells drilled in 23. 10 11 One that we drilled was a Morrow well called the Parkway 23 State Com Number 1 Well. And we drilled 12 that quite a few years ago, and it's still producing in 13 the Morrow. And the north half was communitized. 14 15 At that time when we drilled it, we had like a potash issue. So it was deviated or directional drilled 16 17 for a bottomhole location up in the north half. After that date, we decided we wanted to drill into the Bone 18 19 Spring and test the Bone Spring. 20 Ο. Let's go into that. There are two wells 21 indicated, one in the north half/north half and one in the south half/north half. Could you identify those? 22 Α. 23 The one in the north half/north half is called our Parkway 23 State Com Number 2H Well, and the one in 24 25 the south half of the north half is Parkway 23 State Com

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 6 1 Number 3H Well. 2 And those wells have been drilled? Q. Yes, they have. 3 Α. And in what formation are they completed? 4 Q. 5 Α. They're completed in the Bone Spring. Now, you said that -- are all of the working 6 Q. 7 interests in both wells committed under a JOA? Yes, they are. In fact, just to make sure 8 Α. I've got that right, the JOA is dated August 18th, 1978. 9 10 Q. Now, if everybody is committed to a JOA, why are we here today? 11 Well, the reason we're really here is I have 12 Α. failed to get the signature of Basin Petroleum, who's a 13 14 working interest owner in Section 23 through the JOA. Basin agreed to participate in the first well, with the 15 2H well, and they participated with their working 16 interest, subject to the JOA. 17 And in the second well -- I mean the third 18 19 well, which is the 3H well, they went nonconsent on that 20 well. And we actually drilled a well in south half/south 21 half, which is not part of this deal because it's not a It's nonconsent on that one also. 22 com deal. 23 But what happened is I have failed to get his signature. All the parties that are involved have signed 24 25 the communitization agreement that's required by the

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 7 1 State. This is all State acreage? 2 Q. Correct. And all the parties have signed 3 Α. that, and it's -- record title owners must sign the com 4 5 agreement. And if they do not sign the com agreement, I 6 can't get the com agreement approved by the State. 7 So you are here today seeking to force pool Ο. Basin Petroleum solely to get the com agreement approved 8 by the State of New Mexico? 9 10 Α. Correct. I just need his signature on the com agreement and -- so I can hand that over to the State so 11 12 we can get that all lined up. And that's all that is. 13 And Mr. Bassore introduced himself. He is Q. 14 Basin -- Basin Petroleum is apparently a corporation; 15 correct? No, I don't think it is. It's a sole 16 Α. 17 proprietorship. Ο. Okay. Owned by Mr. Bassore, to the best of 18 19 your knowledge? 20 As far as I know, it is. Correct. Α. 21 So you're not seeking any -- since there's a Ο. 22 JOA in place, you're not seeking any penalty under the 23 force pooling statutes? No, no. Like I said, he's -- under the terms 24 Α. of the JOA, he participated in the first well, and he's 25

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

1 nonconsent in the other two wells.

2 Q. Will the force pooling order substitute for 3 Mr. Bassore's signature for the Commissioner of Public 4 Lands?

A. Correct. I mean if he -- yeah. Either his signature on the com agreement, you know, will work, or if he doesn't sign, then an order from the OCD will satisfy the State office, according to Jeff Alberts and Scott Dawson.

Q. What are Exhibits 2A and 2B, Mr. Hurlbut? A. 2A is a letter I sent out in June, and it was for the communitization agreement. We sent this out to all the parties. And this was on the 3H well.

Of course, I guess your Exhibit 2B, that's the one dated prior to that, that is for the 2H. So we drilled the 2H well, we sent out the com agreement. And then we drilled the 3H, and we sent the com agreement out on it.

19 Q. So you have requested in writing
20 Mr. Bassore's signature on the com agreements for the two
21 wells?
22 A. Correct.

Q. And without going into detail, have there been
other emails or other communication was Mr. Bassore?
A. Yes, there has, as many, I believe, as three

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

13463815-5b4c-4ce0-9884-9bf379ea99fe

Page 8

Page 9 emails that I've sent to him requesting that he please 1 sign the com agreement and that we need to have that 2 3 thing signed and sent to the State. Okay. And again, Basin Petroleum Company is 4 Q. 5 the record title owner in a State oil and gas lease which 6 is involved in each of the well units? 7 Α. Correct. MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Exhibit 3 is 8 9 simply my affidavit of notice, showing notice of the hearing was sent to Basin Petroleum Company. 10 11 MR. BASSORE: It was received on Friday. 12 MR. BRUCE: It was mailed out July 28th. 13 Q. (By Mr. Bruce) Exhibit 4, Mr. Hurlbut, does 14 this accurately reflect the offset operators or working 15 interest owners to the two well units? 16 Α. Yes, it does. 17 MR. BRUCE: And Mr. Examiner, Exhibit 5 is my affidavit of notice to the offsets, which is required 18 19 by the Division, showing all the offsets did receive 20 actual notice of the application. 21 Ο. Again, Mr. Hurlbut, the Commissioner of Public 22 Lands will not approve the two com agreements until 23 either Mr. Bassore signs or until we've got a force 24 pooling order? 25 Α. Correct.

Page 10 And do you request that the Division -- unless Ο. 1 Mr. Bassore signs, request that the Division enter a 2 pooling order? 3 4 Α. Yes. 5 0. Were Exhibits 1 through 5 prepared by you or 6 under your supervision or compiled from company business 7 records? 8 Α. Yes, they were. 9 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I move the admission of Exhibits 1 through 5. 10 11 EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 1 through 5 are 12 admitted. (Exhibits 1 through 5 were admitted.) 13 14 EXAMINATION 15 BY EXAMINER JONES: So this the first well is all signed -- all 16 Ο. interests are in on it. Is this the record title signed 17 on for the com agreement; is that correct? 18 19 Α. We're talking about the 2H? 20 Ο. Right. 21 Correct. All of the parties have signed. Α. 22 There weren't that many, but we've got all their 23 signatures. I just don't have Basin's on the 2H. 24 Ο. But you have -- they're participating in the well --25

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 11 Α. Correct. 1 -- on that one? 2 Q. Correct. Under the JOA, they're 3 Α. 4 participating. . 5 Q. So you're not asking for anything but a record 6 title signing for the com agreement on that one? 7 Α. Correct. 8 0. But on the 3H --9 Α. It's the same thing. But you said he hasn't signed yet? 10 Q. 11 Α. No, no. On the 3H, he elected not to participate in the drilling of the well under the JOA, 12 and he went nonconsent. So that's still under the JOA. 13 14 So the JOA has a provision for handling Q. nonconsent interests? 15 16 Α. Correct. 17 And the Division doesn't need to address that Ο. 18 at all? 19 Α. No. It's just that he still needs to sign the com agreement on that one, as well, because he's still a 20 21 record title owner. 22 And the 4H, which is in the southern part of Q. 23 the section --It's not a com well. It's all on one lease. 24 Α. 25 We're not communitizing that, so we don't need a com

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 12 1 agreement on that. EXAMINER JONES: Okay. I better turn this 2 3 over to David here. EXAMINER BROOKS: You want to 4 cross-examine, ask questions? 5 6 Did you pass the witness? MR. BRUCE: That's fine. 7 I did. EXAMINER JONES: The applicant objects 8 9 to --10 EXAMINER BROOKS: He can cross-examine the witnesses. If you're going to object to him testifying, 11 12 then you and I will have to look through this rule. And 13 I think somebody screwed it up, and it's probably me. 14 MR. BRUCE: I don't have any objection to 15 Mr. Bassore questioning Mr. Hurlbut. 16 EXAMINER BROOKS: I believe he has that right, in any case. You may proceed and cross-examine. 17 18 I do need to establish that you're a party of You're the principal of this organization that 19 interest. 20 they're trying to force pool? 21 MR. BASSORE: Yeah. 22 EXAMINER BROOKS: Are you a corporate officer? 23 24 MR. BASSORE: It's a sole proprietorship. 25 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. You may go ahead.

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 13 1 MR. BASSORE: Me, myself and I. I'm the 2 garbage man and everything. 3 EXAMINER BROOKS: You may ask questions of 4 the witness. 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BASSORE: 6 7 Doug, when you stated that both of the wells Ο. were in the Bone Spring, are they in two separate Bone 8 Springs, the first -- the Number 2 is in the second Bone 9 10 Spring and the Number 3 is in the first Bone Spring; is that correct? 11 12 One is in the first Bone Spring sand Α. Yeah. 13 and one is in the second Bone Spring. But for all 14 practical purposes, it's Bone Spring. 15 Ο. On the com agreement in the south half of the 16 north half, are you seeking to be able to produce the 17 Parkway Number 1 in its vertical extent? Because you 18 guys aren't a party. You don't have standing to pool 19 that 40 acres of vertical because you don't own any Bone 20 Spring rights in that 40 acres. 21 I'm confused what you're talking about. Α. See what -- this well here. 22 ο. 23 Are you talking about the Number 1 well? Α. 24 Ο. Yeah. 25 Α. That's a Morrow well.

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 14 Ο. Right. But it has Bone Spring behind the 1 2 pipe, which you guys don't own any Bone Spring rights in. 3 So are you seeking to pool that? MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, we're not 4 seeking to force pool anything regarding Well Number 1. 5 It is a producing Morrow well. 6 7 EXAMINER JONES: The deviated well? MR. BRUCE: Yeah. 8 That's been producing for 9 THE WITNESS: 10 quite a long time. And I believe you're nonconsent in that well. 11 (By Mr. Bassore) It still affects me because 12 Ο. there's third Bone Spring behind pipe, in case you wanted 13 14 to recomplete it, and you guys don't have interest in the 15 Bone Spring. I doubt that we'd be recompleting that well. 16 Α. I might be interested when you guys abandon 17 Ο. 18 it. We'll address that situation at the time. Α. 19. 20 Q. Are you aware of my request to sign a com 21 agreement to establish -- to show me a copy of the central tank battery? Do you recall that? 22 23 Α. I got that on -- if I remember correctly, I got that on Monday of this week. 24 25 Q. I believe it was last Thursday.

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

1	Page 15 A. I didn't get it until Monday.
2	Q. That's possible.
3	A. In fact, when I talked to you or sent you
4	email on Thursday, you said you had some other
5	requirements and you were going to send that to me. And
6	I did not get that Friday. By the time I left the
7	office, I had not received that. And I actually got it
8	Monday, when I came into the office.
9	And I do have a schematic of that central tank
10	battery, which I'll be glad to give you. I guess that's
11	it there.
12	Q. Are you aware of any inspectors being on the
13	lease and certifying that it's
14	A. I don't have a clue.
15	Q. Okay. I believe it has been inspected and
16	found to be producing into one tank battery without
17	any there's a surface commingling problem. Are you
18	aware of that?
19	A. No, I'm not aware of it.
20	Q. Okay. Were the com agreements, either of the
21	two com agreements you sent me, would the State have
22	approved them, had I executed them as they were? I've
23	got the
24	A. Well, here's the deal. We sent those com
25	agreements down, and I realize there was a few little

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

1 errors.

2 EXAMINER BROOKS: Excuse me, gentlemen. 3 You have to talk one at a time. You finish your answer, 4 and he can do his next question. Go ahead.

A. I understand that after I had sent those com agreements out, several months had rolled by, and I requested again that you sign them. And then we got a glaring email from you saying that there were some problems with them.

And if you called me and said, "Hey, we have a problem with the plat," or something like that, we would have fixed that all up. That's all. That's all that would have been.

14 So I did send that out to you last week. I 15 believe on Monday I did send you an email attached to 16 those other two com agreements that had been corrected 17 and asked that you please sign those, and we need three 18 original signatures and notaries on those each. And I 19 requested you put those in the overnight and send them to 20 me.

Q. Executed pages only, not the -- I've got them here. They're executed. And the State wouldn't approve these because the legal descriptions are completely incorrect.

A. Okay. The county was wrong.

Page 16

	Page 17
1	Q. Yeah. But
2	A. There was one of them on the last one that was
3	screwed up. That's my fault.
4	Q. Well, but
5	EXAMINER BROOKS: One at a time, please,
6	gentlemen. Finish your answer.
7	A. I apologize that this caused a problem. But
8	if you would have called us and told us, we would have
9	fixed it.
10	We're in the oil and gas business. We've got
11	lots of wells we're drilling. We're doing com agreements
12	everywhere. And I've got one lady helping me shuffling
13	paper back and forth. And it's just
14	MR. BASSORE: I just
15	EXAMINER BROOKS: Excuse me. Do not cut
16	the witness off.
17	A. I'm sorry it caused some confusion. If you
18	would have called me, we would have straightened it out
19	and I would have realized there was a problem. Some of
20	the people in the office didn't catch it, either.
21	Because we have a series of ways our
22	agreements are signed, and they go from one person to the
23	next, I didn't catch it. Pat didn't catch it, and but
24	you know, the other parties that signed didn't catch it,
25	either. They signed it.

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 18 But we would have corrected the pages, which 1 would have been that exhibit, and filed the correct pages 2 3 was the State. If we had not filed the correct pages with the State, Jeff Alberts or Scott Dawson, with the 4 5 State Office, would have called me and said, "There's a 6 problem here," and we would have then got it straightened 7 out. Okay. So when I sent you emails stating why I 8 Q. 9 hadn't returned these and I needed some other things done 10 before I returned the corrected ones, you sent me PDF files of the corrected ones which are correct now? 11 12 Right. Α. 13 Ο. I stated I needed to have this before I signed 14 it, which I just now received for the first time. And 15 not having a chance to look at it, I don't know if, you 16 know, there's meters on the wells. Because I'm in consent and want -- these are 500-barrel-a-day wells, and 17 there's three of them out there. I might want to know if 18 I'm getting paid on the production from the well I've 19 consented on. 20 21 And I'm told by the State Inspector that 22 they're not in compliance. There's no meters. They're 23 all going into the same tank battery. That's a big problem, in my view. 24 25 The reason we're here is to see that these

Page 19 three wells are in compliance with the State of New 1 2 Mexico's rules and regulations of production practices. 3 That's it. We've got the executed com agreements here. 4 The State Inspector is aware of the situation. He's 5 talked to the attorney up here. I don't know what 6 7 they're going to do, but they're going to do something. So whenever they see fit to issue the pooling order or 8 tell me that it's all corrected, I'll hand off the signed 9 10 signature pages. Pretty simple. EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. Anything further, 11 Mr. Bruce? 12 13 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I have nothing further on this matter. Regardless of the surface 14 commingling, if I could follow up with a question? 15 16 EXAMINER BROOKS: You may. REDIRECT EXAMINATION 17 18 BY MR. BRUCE: You have personnel in your Midland office who 19 Ο. 20 handle these regulatory matters, surface commingling and downhole commingling; do you not? 21 22 Α. Correct. And are you aware of any violations at this 23 Ο. 24 point, or would you presume that your regulatory affairs people are taking care of these issues? 25

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 20 I was not aware of any problems. I've not 1 Α. 2 been told of any problems. I mean I guess if there is one, we need to look at it. But I'm not aware of 3 anything. 4 In your opinion, is that separate from a 5 0. 6 signature on a com agreement? 7 Α. Correct. Even if Mr. Bassore handed you the signature 8 Q. pages today, you would still have to go back and make 9 10 sure there is compliance with surface commingling? Α. Correct. We still need to get to the bottom 11 If he feels there's a problem, we need to get 12 of that. to the bottom. 13 That's separate and distinct from getting the 14 Q. 15 com? 16 Α. Correct. MR. BRUCE: That's all I have, 17 Mr. Examiner. 18 19 EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good. Have you 20 said everything you wanted to say, Mr. Bassore? MR. BASSORE: More or less. Except my 21 22 point about that 40 acres, there's actually three -- if these pooling orders are granted, does that entitle them 23 24 to -- for all three Bone Springs? 25 EXAMINER BROOKS: Well, I don't think so,

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

1 but I'm not sure.

25

2 MR. BRUCE: All we're seeking is for these 3 two wells. We're not trying to seek anything regarding 4 the Number 1.

5 Furthermore, despite what Mr. Bassore says, 6 this whole section is under a JOA. So even though EOG 7 doesn't own a specific interest in that 40 acres where 8 the Number 1 well is bottomed, under the JOA, they own a 9 contractual interest.

10 EXAMINER BROOKS: That interest would be covered by the JOA, whatever the JOA says. 11 But I don't -- a pooling order basically only authorizes them 12 to pool for the purpose of the well that's stated in the 13 pooling order. If they want to propose a different well 14 or do something with another well under the pooling 15 order, then they have to follow the provisions under the 16 rule. 17

18 MR. BRUCE: That's correct. And EOG will19 do that, if necessary.

20 EXAMINER JONES: But you're only asking
21 for a pooling of the 160s?
22 MR. BRUCE: Yes

23 EXAMINER JONES: That well would probably 24 be a 40-acre -- different spacing.

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

MR. BASSORE: It would be a separate

13463815-5b4c-4ce0-9884-9bf379ea99fe

Page 21

Page 22 1 spacing. 2 EXAMINER JONES: Separate deal. 3 EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good. I think Mr. Bassore has probably said -- you've said everything you 4 5 want to say? 6 I guess I'd ask a question. MR. BASSORE: 7 I have signed these. If I hand these to you, can you see that they're put together properly? 8 9 EXAMINER BROOKS: They're between you and Mr. Hurlbut. If you have a problem with the surface 10 commingling issue, then you need to take that up with the 11 12 Artesia District Office. 13 MR. BASSORE: Okay. 14 EXAMINER BROOKS: So I think I will turn it back to you, and you can take the case under 15 advisement. 16 17 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, just for record 18 title, for the next case, we also have a geologist. Ι 19 don't know that it's necessary, but it's up to you. 20 EXAMINER BROOKS: You're asking for us to 21 form a nonstandard unit. So I think as of now, the 22 rules, the way they are, we need a geologist. 23 MR. BRUCE: That's fine. 24 MR. BRUCE: I'll call Mr. Morris to the 25 stand.

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 23 EXAMINER JONES: And your trip is not 1 2 wasted this morning. MR. BASSORE: I'm not a formal legal guy, 3 but I had a reason. I didn't do it just arbitrarily. 4 5 (Mr. Bassore exited the hearing.) 6 JAMES MORRIS 7 Having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. BRUCE: 9 Would you please state your name and city of 10 Q. residence for the record? 11 My name is William James Morris. I live in Α. 12 Midland, Texas. 13 14 Q. Who do you work for and in what capacity? 15 Α. Geologist for EOG. 16 Q. Have you previously testified before the Division? 17 Α. I have. 18 Were your credentials accepted as a matter of 19 Ο. 20 record? Yes. 21 Α. 22 Q. Are you familiar with the geology involved in this case? 23 24 Α. I am. 25 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I tender Mr.

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 24 Morris as an expert petroleum engineer. 1 2 EXAMINER JONES: He is so qualified. Ο. (By Mr. Bruce) Mr. Morris, there's already 3 been discussion about the first and second Bone Spring. 4 5 Could you identify Exhibit 6 for the Examiner and discuss those zones? 6 7 Exhibit 6 is a cross-section of all the five Α. pilot holes or vertical wells in Section 23. And the 8 9 section colored in pink near the top part of that is the first Bone Spring sand interval. That's where we drilled 10 11 our 3H well. And the lower section that's colored green is the second Bone Spring sand that we drilled our 2H and 12 4H wells laterally in. 13 And the zones are continuous across all of 14 ο. Section 23? 15 Yes, they are. 16 Α. What is Exhibit 7? 17 Q. 18 Α. Exhibit 7 is a structure map on the top of the first Bone Spring sand. Throughout the area surrounding 19 20 Section 23, it shows that the formation dips to the southeast largely. 21 Is structure important for these wells? 22 Q. 23 Α. Just to assist you in keeping the well in the 24 zone of the first Bone Spring. 25 What is Exhibit 8? Ο.

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 25 A. Exhibit 8 is a net pay Isopach map of the porosity greater than 10 percent in that pink zone across the section there that -- and it shows that across Section 23 there is net pay of 50 to 150 feet in that interval.

6 Q. What is Exhibit 9?

7 Exhibit 9 is a gross Isopach interval map of Α. of the first Bone Spring sand. It includes the entire 8 interval shown in pink on the cross-section. And it 9 shows that the first Bone Spring sand interval is 150 to 10 over 200 feet thick across the entire Section 23. 11 It 12 shows continuity of the reservoir or the section across 13 there.

14 Q. What is Exhibit 11?

A. Exhibit 11 is a structure map on the base of the second Bone Spring sand, and it again shows the formation dips off to the southeast. And it's used essentially to keep -- assist keeping the well in the zone, in the second sand.

Q. Based on your maps, are the first and second Bone Spring continuous across the wellbores of the two wells we're here for today?

A. Yes, they are.

Q. You would expect each quarter/quarter section to contribute to production?

Page 26 Yes, they should. 1 Α. 2 Finally, could you identify Exhibit 12 and Ο. 3 maybe just briefly describe how --this is for the Parkway 4 23 Number 2H well. Describe just very briefly how EOG 5 has been drilling and completing the -- it's horizontal Bone Spring well? 6 7 Started off drilling a pilot hole, getting a Α. log on that, picking a spot to go horizontal. And then 8 9 engineers design a well path curve to drill it down and drill 4,000 feet essentially across the 160-acre spacing 10 in there. 11 12 Do you have -- approximately how many Q. 13 completion stages are there? 14 Α. They're going to have six stages, with four 15 clusters per stage. 16 0. And there was some comment about the wells. 17 Have these been successfully completed? 18 Α. Yes, they have. 19 Were Exhibits 6 through 12 prepared by you or Q. under your supervision? 20 21 Α. Yes, they were. 22 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I move the 23 admission of Exhibits 6 through 12. 24 EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 6 through 12 will be admitted. 25

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 27 1 (Exhibits 6 through 12 were admitted.) 2 EXAMINATION 3 BY EXAMINER JONES: Mr. Morris, the Delaware above the Brushy 4 0. 5 Canyon, is that a clean line? The first Bone Spring lime section sets above 6 Α. 7 the first sand. So there is several hundreds of feet of carbonate above this sand zone. 8 9 You call them Bone Spring? Ο. 10 Yes, it's Bone Spring. Α. 11 All of a sudden, you get to sand. Ο. Is it marine sand? 12 13 Α. It's -- yes. Yes it would be a marine sand. It's a low system track type sand. 14 15 Q. Low energy? 16 Α. Low sea level. Sea level would drop, and it 17 would be deposited during a low stand. You're targeting the top part of that? 18 0. Yes, the upper 50 feet of that. 19 Α. 20 Ο. Is that where you got your mud log shows? 21 Right. On this cross-section, you see those Α. 22 little green and red boxes out on the right there? Those. 23 are where there were mud log shows. In the first well 24 there, there's one right at the very top of the first 25 Bone Spring. So there was a little show there.

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 28 It wouldn't be very good for a vertical well, 1 Ο. 2 but you think a horizontal well will do it? Yeah. 3 Α. There on the maps, I think, if you look on Exhibit 7, there's a Parkway field located in Section 4 5 34. All those wells that are circled in light green, those are vertical wells that haven't been perforated in 6 7 the first. Some have been commingled with the second Bone Spring sand. But those are probably 30 to 80,000 8 barrels per well. And we've determined that we could be 9 10 much more profitable to go horizontal. So in your horizontal well, how much do you 11 0. 12 expect from that well? Probably 250 to 300,000 barrels. There is --13 Α. 14 the well in Section 23 that is colored green, I think 15 that well has made -- the vertical well has made like 16 100,000 barrels out of the first Bone Spring sand. So I believe -- I could be wrong on those numbers. 17 18 So you could drill one horizontal across 0. 19 cheaper than 40 verticals and get more production? 20 Α. Right, get more bang for your buck overall. But you probably can't draw it down as low as 21 Ο. 22 you could these vertical wells because you can get your 23 pump deeper in the vertical wells than the horizontal? That's probably correct. I'm not an expert 24 Α. 25 there.

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 29 Well, do you expect all four of those normal Q. 1 2 40-acre units that's being bisected by the well to contribute to the well? 3 Α. Absolutely, yes. I mapped some of those sands 4 5 individually. I didn't bring those maps with me. But they do continue across the full section. 6 7 EXAMINER BROOKS: I think you asked all the right questions, Mr. Jones. I don't think I need to 8 9 add anything. 10 EXAMINER JONES: I never pass up a chance to question a geologist. 11 MR. BRUCE: I did have one question I 12 forgot to ask Mr. Morris, since I know you're interested, 13 14 Mr. Jones. 15 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. BRUCE: 17 Q. Is there the potential -- we're here just force pooling these two wellbores. Is there potential 18 when you say there are two wells in the second Bone 19 20 Spring and one in the first Bone Spring at this point? Horizontal wells, yes. 21 Α. 22 Q. Is there the opportunity at a later date to come in and flip those situations and drill additional 23 wells to test the untested zones? 24 25 Well, yes. We plan to drill a first Bone Α.

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 30 1 Spring sand about at the same location as the 2H well and 2 a second where the third well is located, yes. We have plans to drill those. 3 EXAMINER JONES: Will you drill them at 4 different surface wellbores? 5 6 THE WITNESS: Yes. It will be a different 7 surface hole. 8 EXAMINER JONES: Why is that? Why don't you drill a bigger hole vertically and drill two 9 horizontal? 10 11 THE WITNESS: Having two -- producing two zones out of the same wellbore just adds complications to 12 it. So I think to make it simpler is why we're doing it 13 14 separately. 15 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Thank you very 16 much. Thank you Mr. Morris and Mr. Hurlbut. 17 If nothing further --18 MR. BRUCE: Nothing further in these two 19 cases. 20 EXAMINER JONES: -- we'll take cases 14722 and 14724 under advisement. 21 22 23 I do bareby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in 24 the Examiner hearing of Case No. 25 heard by me on , Examiner Conservation Diffie

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

	Page 31
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
4	I, JACQUELINE R. LUJAN, New Mexico CCR #91, DO
5	HEREBY CERTIFY that on August 18, 2011, proceedings in
6	the above captioned case were taken before me and that I
7	did report in stenographic shorthand the proceedings set
8	forth herein, and the foregoing pages are a true and
9	correct transcription to the best of my ability.
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by
11	nor related to nor contracted with any of the parties or
12	attorneys in this case and that I have no interest
13	whatsoever in the final disposition of this case in any
14	court.
15	WITNESS MY HAND this 30th day of August, 2011.
16	
17	
18	
19	<u>Jacquelline</u> R. Lujan, CCB #91 Expires: 12/31/2011
20	Expires: 12/31/2011
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS