2005 MAR 21

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

CASE NOS. 13,402 (Continued) and 13,357 (Dismissed)

CONTINUED AND DISMISSED CASES

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE: MARK E. FESMIRE, CHAIRMAN JAMI BAILEY, COMMISSIONER FRANK T. CHAVEZ, COMMISSIONER

> March 8th, 2005 Santa Fe, New Mexico

These matters came on for hearing before the Oil Conservation Commission, MARK E. FESMIRE, Chairman, on Thursday, March 8th, 2005, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

INDEX

March 8th, 2005 Commission Hearing CASE NOS. 13,402 (Continued) and 13,357 (Dismissed)

PAGE

APPEARANCES	3
ADOPTION OF MINUTES OF THE COMMISSION MEETING HELD ON FEBRUARY 10th, 2005	5
PROPOSED ORDER INSTITUTING RULEMAKING AND AN AMENDMENT OF OCD RULES 104 AND 701	6
SIGNING OF ORDER IN CASE NUMBER 13,453	10
DISMISSAL OF CASE 13,357	10
CONTINUANCE OF CASE 13,402	12
REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE APPOINTED TO STUDY THE RULES OF PROCEDURE BEFORE THE DIVISION AND COMMISSION	12
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	18
* * *	

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

APPEARANCES

FOR THE COMMISSION:

DAVID K. BROOKS, JR. Assistant General Counsel Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

* * *

ALSO PRESENT:

Richard Ezeanyim Chief Engineer, NMOCD

* * *

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 9:00 a.m.: 2 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Good morning, at this time 3 we'll call the Oil Conservation Commission meeting to 4 order. Let the record reflect that it's 9:00 a.m. on 5 Tuesday, March 8th, 2005. We're located in Porter Hall, at 6 the OCD Office in Santa Fe, New Mexico. 7 My name is Mark Fesmire. 8 To my right is Commissioner Jami Bailey. 9 Commissioner Bailey is the designee of the Commission of 10 State Lands Commissioner Patrick Lyons. 11 To my left is Mr. Frank Chavez. Mr. Chavez is 12 the former OCD supervisor in Aztec and the appointee of the 13 New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources 14 Department Secretary, Joanna Prukop. 15 To Mr. Chavez's left is Commission Counsel David 16 17 Brooks, and to Commissioner Bailey's right is Commission Secretary Florene Davidson. The court reporter today, as 18 19 almost always, is Steve Brenner. 20 And let the record reflect that all 21 Commissioners, in spite of severe health problems, are 22 present. 23 24 25

4

1	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: The first matter of business
2	today is the adoption of the minutes of the previous
3	meeting held on February 10th, 2005.
4	Have the Commissioners had a chance to review the
5	minutes?
6	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Yes, I have, and I move
7	that we adopt them.
8	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes, I have. There's just
9	one small correction on the last page. The date of the
10	April meeting that was referenced should be April 14th, not
11	April 7th.
12	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Is there a motion to correct
13	the minutes for that date?
14	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: I move we correct them.
15	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I second the motion.
16	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Motion having been made and
17	seconded, the minutes will be corrected to show on the
18	second-to-last line, the paragraph should read,
19	"Commissioner Chavez announced that he will be unable to
20	attend the Commission meeting scheduled for April 14th.
21	Another date for the April meeting will be decided upon at
22	a future date."
23	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I move adoption of these
24	approval of these minutes, with that correction.
25	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: As amended?

.

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: As amended. 1 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Second? 2 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: T second. 3 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Having been moved and 4 seconded, all those in favor? 5 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Aye. 6 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: 7 Aye. CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: All those opposed? 8 The Commission will adopt the minutes as amended. 9 10 11 The first order of business 12 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: 13 before the Commission today is a proposed order instituting rulemaking and an amendment of OCD Rules 104 and 701. 14 Counsel Brooks, would you bring the Commission up 15 to speed on this issue? 16 17 MR. BROOKS: Yes, Mr. Chairman, honorable Commissioners. 18 19 The proposed amendments -- the order instituting 20 rulemaking that I drafted for the Commission implements the proposal to amend Rules 104 and 701 to permit operation of 21 22 infill wells in a spacing unit by an operator different 23 from the operator of the existing well or wells in the spacing unit, under certain conditions and with certain 24 25 restrictions.

The proposed rule is the result of a work group 1 -- first an internal work group that developed some 2 proposals and then a stakeholder work group that worked 3 over those proposals rather substantially. There's been a 4 good deal of input both within and without the OCD at this 5 point, and we believe that the rule will not be extremely 6 controversial, but of course it is necessary to follow the 7 public-hearing requirements and the rule can only be 8 adopted after those requirements have been followed. 9

I believe that Mr. Ezeanyim, the Chief Engineer 10 for the Division, was the lead person in formulating these 11 rules, and I see that he's in the hearing room this 12 afternoon, so if the Commission has any questions they can 13 address them to Mr. Ezeanyim. Of course, the details will 14 be worked out at a public hearing that will be scheduled in 15 accordance with the order, but if you have any questions at 16 this stage, I think, of this proceeding, he could probably 17 address them better than I can. 18

19CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Counsel Brooks, what exactly20are notice requirements for this order?

21 MR. BROOKS: Well, it sets a hearing for April 22 the 14th on the proposed rule. That means that a notice 23 must be published in *The New Mexico Register* at least 10 24 days prior to that. *The New Mexico Register* is published 25 twice a month. It's published on the 1st and the 15th of

each month, and the notice has to be in the issue that's 1 published on the 1st, which means it has to be to the 2 Records and Archives by March the 16th, and I believe that 3 the Commission Secretary is working with Sally on getting 4 that done. 5 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay. 6 MR. BROOKS: Second, there is a necessity to 7 publish notice in the newspaper at least 20 days prior to 8 the hearing. Twenty days prior to the hearing, if I'm not 9 mistaken, would be March the 25th, so that also is 10 susceptible to being done. 11 It also has to be put on the website at that time 12 and distributed to our mailing list at that time. 13 And I believe the Commission Secretary is going to be taking 14 15 responsibility for that, and if anything needs to be drafted in that connection, then that will be my 16 17 responsibility. 18 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Commissioners, have you had a 19 chance to examine the proposed order adopting rulemaking? 20 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Yes, I have. COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: 21 I have too. 22 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: The Chair would entertain a 23 motion as to the disposition of that order. 24 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: I move that we sign that 25 order.

1	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I second the motion.
2	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: The adoption of the order has
3	been moved and seconded. All those in favor?
4	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Aye.
5	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Aye.
6	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Opposed?
7	The Commission will sign the order and draft it,
8	instituting rulemaking concerning modification of Division
9	Order Rules 104 and 701 and proceed with the notice for
10	public hearing on April 14th, 2005.
11	MR. BROOKS: We need to get the order signed, I
12	believe.
13	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Do we have a copy of the rule?
14	MR. BROOKS: Did we prepare an original? Okay,
15	well, we can do that later in the meeting, so the
16	Commission Secretary and I will work on that during a
17	break.
18	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay.
19	(Off the record at 9:05 a.m.)
20	
21	* * *
22	
23	(The following proceedings had at 1:15 p.m.)
24	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay, let's go back on the
25	record. For the record, it is now 1:15, and we're going to

H

reconvene. 1 In just a minute, we're going to go back into 2 Cause Number 13,351. Before we do that, we have one piece 3 of unfinished business that we need to come up to speed on. 4 In Cause Number 13,453, we now have an order 5 requiring signature that -- the ruling on this order took 6 place earlier this morning. We just hadn't drafted the 7 order in proper form. 8 It's now been drafted and is ready for signature, 9 10 and I'll let the record reflect that I'm going to sign it, 11 and ask Commissioner Bailey, if it's satisfactory with her, if she would sign it. 12 13 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: It is satisfactory, I will sign it. 14 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: And to Commissioner Chavez for 15 16 his signature and review. 17 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: It's satisfactory, I will 18 sign it. 19 (Off the record at 1:16 p.m.) 20 21 22 (The following proceedings had at 2:17 p.m.) 23 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: The next case on the agenda is 13,357. It's the Application of Matrix New Mexico Holdings 24 25 for compulsory pooling in Lea County, New Mexico.

_	
1	And Mr. Bruce, you're the attorney on that?
2	MR. BRUCE: Excuse me, Mr. Chairman, I am the
3	attorney for the de novo Applicant. Mr. Carr is the
4	attorney for the original Applicant.
5	At this time I'd request that the case be
6	dismissed.
7	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Mr. Carr, do you have any
8	objection to that?
9	MR. CARR: No, we're delighted.
10	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay, we will dismiss Do we
11	need a motion for that?
12	MR. BROOKS: No, as I understand it, Mr. Bruce is
13	appearing for the <i>de novo</i> Applicant. He can dismiss his
14	motion, so that disposes of the matter.
15	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay, we will dismiss that
16	case then.
17	* * *
18	
19	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Cause Number 13,348, the
20	Application of Marbob Energy for compulsory pooling in Eddy
21	County, New Mexico, we've disposed of that earlier this
22	afternoon.
23	MR. BRUCE: That's correct.
24	* * *
25	
-	

1	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: And Cause Number 13,402 has
2	been continued to the April meeting; is that correct?
3	MR. CARR: That is correct, and I would like to
4	know if Commissioner Chavez heard about that before he
5	decided to
6	(Laughter)
7	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: That's a surprise.
8	MR. BROOKS: With regard to the case that was
9	dismissed, I will prepare dismissal order for the
10	Commission to sign.
11	(Off the record at 2:19 p.m.)
12	* * *
13	
14	(The following proceedings had at 2:56 p.m.)
15	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: There's one other item that we
16	need to take into account or to handle today. The
17	Committee appointed to study the rules of procedure before
18	the Division and Commission has a report to present.
19	Counsel Brooks?
20	MR. BROOKS: Thank you. Let me get the copy of
21	my draft in front of me. I will note what happened.
22	The Committee has not met any further. However,
23	I did prepare a proposed draft.
24	Madame Secretary, can you tell me under what
25	Oh, I see it here. I was going to say under what tab it

1 is, but I found it.

2	I did prepare a proposed draft. It circulated to
3	the other members of the Committee. I received no comments
4	from Mr. Carr, and I received no comments from Ms.
5	MacQuesten. I did receive comments from Ms. Davidson, I
6	did receive comments from Mr. Kellahin. Both of them
7	approved it with subject only to some typographical
8	corrections which have now been made.
9	Mr. Kellahin, I will note, did suggest that the
10	perhaps not an unreasonable suggestion, but I will leave
11	it to the Commission's discretion, that the Rules should be
12	reviewed by the Examiners, and I do not believe that has
13	actually been done, but and in case they had any
14	comments on them. But that's
15	Now, so far as the changes that have been made
16	since the last Committee report, they are shown in blue on
17	the draft, and generally speaking, I think they are based
18	on specific instructions that the Commissioners made on the
19	record at the previous hearing.
20	The one that probably requires a bit of comment
21	is Rule 1212, because that required a significant amount of
22	drafting to do what I understood the Commission wanted to
23	do. That is the rule with regard to who can appear before
24	the Commission and what they can do when they appear.
25	The rule, basically, as I have intended to draft

it, unless I simply made a mistake, which has been known to 1 happen, is this, that in an adjudicatory hearing the 2 parties must have standing in order to appear. Persons 3 without standing would not be allowed to appear in an 4 adjudicatory hearing, and anyone must be represented by 5 counsel except an individual. An individual who has 6 standing to be a party can appear pro se. 7 There is one exception to that, however, and this 8 was not discussed in the last Commission meeting, but it 9

10 seemed to me to be appropriate, and I will -- no one on the 11 Committee objected, but I will throw it out to the 12 Commission to consider that.

One sentence in here would allow a representative 13 of a governmental agency, federal, state, tribal, or a 14 15 political subdivision, to appear even in an adjudicatory hearing for the purpose of making a comment. I think there 16 17 have been a number of adjudicatory hearings in which 18 representatives of the BLM and occasionally representatives 19 of political subdivisions have appeared and made statements 20 of their position on a particular matter, and usually the 21 people that have done that have not been attorneys. And it 22 would seem to me that that is a practice we would want to 23 permit. Other than that, we don't have a provision for 24 appearances to make statements in adjudicatory proceedings. 25 In a rulemaking proceeding, basically it's wide

open for making statements. Any citizen can appear either 1 pro se or as a representative of any group and make a 2 statement in a rulemaking proceeding. However, a person 3 who wants to present testimony must be represented by 4 counsel, and a person making a statement can neither 5 examine witnesses -- neither sponsor witnesses nor cross-6 examine other witnesses. 7 Now, I did not attempt to address the issue of 8 whether persons can appear as parties pro se in rulemaking 9 proceedings, because I think that's -- I just really don't 10 know how to address that in determining whether somebody is 11 a party or not a party and the propriety of a pro se 12 13 appearance in a rulemaking proceeding. 14 Okay, thank you. CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: What's the next step in this, 15 Mr. Brooks? 16 MR. BROOKS: I believe if the Commission is 17 satisfied with the draft, then we would want to, at the 18 next meeting, enter an order instituting rulemaking, just 19 20 as we did on the Rule 104, and then post it for public notice for a later meeting. 21 22 I believe that perhaps the Commissioners have not 23 seen that draft before today. They may want to study it in 24 the time between now and the next meeting, and if we can 25 get it on the docket again for an order instituting

1	rulemaking at the April meeting, then at that point we
2	could enter such an order, and if the Commissioners want to
3	change want some changes, then we can do that at that
4	time, and then we can go from there into the public hearing
5	process.
6	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay. I would like to take
7	the time to study it. I've read it once. So do we need a
8	motion to put it on the
9	MR. BROOKS: It wouldn't hurt.
10	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay.
11	MR. BROOKS: I'm not sure if we need a motion or
12	not, but it wouldn't hurt.
13	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: All right. So we'll need a
14	motion to put it on the agenda for April.
15	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: I so move.
16	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I second.
17	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: And all those in favor?
18	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Aye.
19	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Aye.
20	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: The ayes have it. And that
21	should be all we need to do with it at this time.
22	MR. BROOKS: I believe so.
23	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Except that I in the
24	meantime, can't the Examiners
25	MR. BROOKS: Yeah, I think Mr. Kellahin's point

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317 ۰,

_	
1	is appropriate, that we should submit it to the Examiners,
2	and at the next meeting we'll report their comments
3	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: That's a good idea.
4	MR. BROOKS:as well.
5	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: That's a good idea.
6	In order to avoid having to call an ambulance for
7	Commissioner Bailey, is there any further business before
8	the Commission?
9	Hearing none, is there a motion to adjourn?
10	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: So move.
11	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Second.
12	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: All those in favor?
13	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Aye.
14	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Aye.
15	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: We're officially adjourned at
16	3:02 p.m.
17	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
18	3:02 p.m.)
19	* * *
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
•	

• •

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) se COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

ss.

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL March 12th, 2005.

STEVEN T. BRENNER CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2006

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317