| | Page 1 |
|-----|--|
| 1 | STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT |
| 2 | OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | RECEIVED IN THE CEIVE IN THE CE |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | \$ 39 39 |
| 10 | _ <u></u> |
| 11 | |
| 12 | TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS Prehearing |
| 13 | February 4, 2010 10:20 a.m. |
| 14 | 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Room 102 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504 |
| 15 | Salica IC, New Mexico 07304 |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | BEFORE: RICHARD EZEANYIM, HEARING EXAMINER DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL ADVISOR |
| 19 | DAVID K. BROOKS, BEGAL ADVISOR |
| 20 | |
| 21 | And the state of t |
| 2:2 | |
| 23 | REPORTED BY: CONNIE JURADO, RPR, NM CCR #254 |
| 24 | Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 Fourth Street NW, Suite 105 |
| 25 | Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 |
| | |

- 1 MR. EZEANYIM: We go into today's
- 2 docket. Today, we have a docket. The docket number
- is 4-10, and before we continue, we will have to read
- 4 all the continuances and all the dismissals. On page
- 5 1, Case 14387 is continued to March 4. On page 2,
- 6 Case 14417 is dismissed. Case Number 14421 is
- 7 continued to March 4. On page 3, Case Number 14425
- 8 is continued to March 4. Case Number 14426 is
- 9 continued to March 4. Case Number 14427 is continued
- 10 to March 4. On page 4, Case Number 14428 is
- 11 continued to March 4. Case Number 14429 is continued
- 12 to March 4. Case Number 14412 is continued to March
- 13 4. Case Number 14411 is continued to March 4.
- 14 You can see that everything is continued to
- 15 March 4 because it is a regular docket. We couldn't
- 16 continue any of the docket applications here to the
- 17 next docket, which is provide it because it's a
- 18 constant docket, so I wanted to say that. We are
- 19 trying to make this experiment and see how it works.
- 20 Everything here is continued to March 4, a month from
- 21 today, because we can't continue it to February 18
- 22 because this is a constant docket, as you all know.
- 23 We have to work with the whole issue. At this point,
- 24 are there any continuances and dismissals?
- MS. MUNDS-DRY: Mr. Examiner, on page

- 1 2, Case 14422, the Application of Marbob for
- 2 compulsory pooling, we would ask that that case be
- 3 dismissed.
- 4 MR. EZEANYIM: Okay. Let the record
- 5 reflect that the Case Number 14422, Application of
- 6 Marbob Energy Corporation for compulsory pooling, Lea
- 7 County, New Mexico is dismissed.
- 8 MR. HALL: Mr. Examiner, also, on page
- 9 2 on behalf of the applicant in Case 14423, we ask
- 10 that that case be continued to March 4.
- MR. EZEANYIM: Okay. The record,
- 12 · again, will reflect that Case Number 14423 will be
- 13 continued to March 4.
- MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, on the last
- 15 page, Case 14353, I would ask that that case be
- 16 continued to March 4. If you recall, we talked about
- 17 that. There was some compliance issues regarding the
- 18 operator of the waterflood, which have been resolved,
- 19 but they are not yet showing up on the OCD's website.
- 20 So Ms. MacQuesten requested that the case be
- 21 continued, and I consented.
- 22 MR. EZEANYIM: Okay. The record,
- 23 again, will reflect that Case Number 14353 will be
- 24 continued to March 4. Any other continuances or
- 25 dismissals? That cuts our docket a little bit. I

have arranged how we are going to proceed today given that we have a short length of time, but at least two cases dropped out, so we have about five more left. 3 So I have -- wanted to go this way. Take up some of these simple compulsory 5 6 pooling cases and get them out of the way and then come back to the more sensitive issues. So we are going with 14413. When I look here, I didn't see 9 Gail MacQuesten. She might be a little late, you 10 know, because of the inclement weather, so I wanted to go with that first. And then Case 14423 dropped 11 12 out. 13 Now, on the next one we're going to go with is Case 14424. Then we continue with 14419, 14420, 14 15 and then Case Number 14423 is going to be continued to March 4, so we go then to case 14418. Does this 16 cause any consternation for anybody? On this -- the 17 18 way we're going to handle it? Actually going in accordance with the docket? 19 20 21 22 23 24

25