- 1 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Okay. Back on the
- 2 record.
- Mr. Bruce, I assume that you want to
- 4 combine -- want to consolidate 14842 and 14843 for
- 5 purposes of hearing.
- 6 MR. BRUCE: That's correct.
- 7 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: All right. Very good.
- 8 I will call at this time Case Number
- 9 14842, application of Cimarex Energy Company for
- 10 compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico, and
- 11 Case Number 14843, application of Cimarex Energy
- 12 Company for compulsory pooling, Eddy County,
- 13 New Mexico.
- I call for appearances.
- MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce, of
- 16 Santa Fe, representing the applicant. I intend to
- 17 present these cases by affidavit.
- MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, Adam Rankin,
- 19 with Holland & Hart, Santa Fe, on behalf of COG
- 20 Operating, appearing in both cases.
- 21 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Appearing in both cases?
- MR. RANKIN: Correct, for COG Operating.
- MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I have submitted
- 24 three exhibits to you. Just if you would look --
- 25 turn back to the land plat. Attachment A to the

- 1 Exhibit 1, the statement of Cimarex's landman, the
- 2 wells being drilled are in the southwest/northeast
- 3 of Section 6 and the southeast/northeast of Section
- 4 6 of Township 19 south, Range 26 east.
- 5 You can see the two well units outlined.
- 6 Actually, that south half of the northeast quarter
- 7 is -- or was originally patented as a single Fee
- 8 tract.
- 9 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Okay. What were the
- 10 township and range?
- MR. BRUCE: 19/26.
- 12 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Go ahead.
- MR. BRUCE: And they have the same well
- 14 name, so I -- I consolidated them, although there is
- 15 a difference in ownership. But nonetheless, this
- 16 is -- as I think you will recall -- an area that
- 17 there were some fights -- some substantial fights
- 18 between COG and Cimarex, in which they split up the
- 19 areas they were going to operate.
- This is one of those areas. And in fact
- 21 late last week, COG did -- and Cimarex did sign a
- 22 JOA regarding these two wells, so we're not seeking
- 23 to force pool COG.
- The two wells are the Alabama 6 Fee Well
- 25 Number 1, which will be a Glorieta Yeso test in the

- 1 southwest quarter/northeast quarter of Section 6,
- 2 and then the Alabama 6 Fee Well Number 4, which is a
- 3 Glorieta Yeso test in the southeast
- 4 quarter/northeast quarter of Section 6.
- 5 I believe the pool in this area is the
- 6 Penasco. I think it's the Penasco San Andres
- 7 Glorieta Yeso, something like that. I can verify
- 8 the pool name for you, but I believe that's what it
- 9 is.
- 10 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Okay.
- MR. BRUCE: Anyway, the parties being
- 12 pooled are identified on the second page of the
- 13 affidavit.
- 14 The interest ownership does vary somewhat
- in the two well units. The applicant does request a
- 16 200 percent risk charge. And the overhead rates are
- 17 4,500 a month for a drilling well and 450 a month
- 18 for a producing well. These are vertical wells.
- 19 The correspondence is attached to the
- 20 interest owners, one of whom is unlocatable.
- 21 And the AFEs are attached. These wells
- 22 will cost approximately \$2.3 million each.
- 23 Attached as -- submitted as Exhibit 2 is
- 24 the affidavit of notice whereby Yates, Abo, and Myco
- 25 did receive actual notice of this well and some

- 1 other wells in this area.
- Normally I would have sent separate
- 3 letters, but it was actually Mr. Moran, Yates'
- 4 landman, who asked me to conglomerate them into one
- 5 package to minimize his paperwork.
- 6 And finally, Exhibit 3 is the affidavit of
- 7 publication in the Carlsbad newspaper, whereby
- 8 notice was given to Mr. Gustof Johnson or his heirs,
- 9 who is a person who was unlocatable after the normal
- 10 county search, Internet search, et cetera.
- And with that, we would move the admission
- of Exhibits 1 through 3 and we ask that the matters
- 13 be taken under advisement.
- 14 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Okay. Exhibits 1
- 15 through 3 are admitted.
- I suppose the difference in the overhead
- 17 rate had something to do with the fact that -- your
- 18 agreement with COG?
- 19 MR. BRUCE: It is. Cimarex has been
- 20 asking, for its vertical wells, a lower overhead
- 21 rate.
- 22 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Yeah. But the previous
- 23 case included -- well, the previous case was not
- 24 Cimarex. That was...
- MR. BRUCE: That was -- correct. That was