- 1 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: And I'm assuming,
- 2 Mr. Bruce, that from the exhibits you have handed me
- 3 that you want to consolidate Case Numbers 14844 and
- 4 14845 for purposes of hearing?
- 5 MR. BRUCE: That is correct.
- 6 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Very good.
- 7 Are you making an appearance in these
- 8 cases?
- 9 MR. RANKIN: I am, as well.
- 10 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: And do you have any
- 11 objection to consolidating?
- MR. RANKIN: No.
- 13 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Case Number 14844 and
- 14 Case Number 148- --
- I will call Case Number 14844, application
- of Cimarex Energy Company for compulsory pooling,
- 17 Eddy County, New Mexico, and Case Number 14845,
- 18 application of Cimarex Energy Company for compulsory
- 19 pooling, in Eddy County.
- I call for appearances in both cases.
- MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce, of
- 22 Santa Fe, representing the applicant.
- 23 MR. RANKIN: Adam Rankin with Holland &
- 24 Hart, Santa Fe, representing COG Operating.
- 25 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Very good.

- 1 Mr. Bruce, neither one of you can have any
- 2 witnesses because nobody is present.
- 3 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, again, I'm
- 4 looking at the land plat. The pool collectively is
- 5 the northeast quarter of Section 6, again in 19
- 6 south, 26 east.
- 7 And although the individual 40-acre tracts
- 8 are highlighted on there, again, this 80 acres was
- 9 originally a single -- was patented a single Fee
- 10 tract.
- 11 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: That's Section 6, 19
- 12 south, 26 east?
- MR. BRUCE: That's correct.
- 14 Applicant seeks to drill the Illinois 6
- 15 Fee Well Number 1 in the northwest quarter/northeast
- 16 quarter of Section 6, and the Illinois 6 Fee Well
- 17 Number 2 in the northeast quarter/northeast quarter
- 18 of Section 6.
- The interest owners are set forth. Again,
- 20 there is a slight difference. Cimarex does believe
- 21 that it has located all of the pertinent parties and
- 22 either leased them or has -- has made offers to
- 23 everyone. Again, this is a tract of land where just
- 24 last week COG and Cimarex did sign a JOA.
- There are still a few unleased parties.

- 1 Yates, Abo, Myco -- and I have been informed, again
- 2 by Mr. Moran of Yates, that they are working toward
- 3 a JOA and they will probably consummate that at some
- 4 time in the relatively near future.
- 5 There are some unlocatable owners who are
- 6 identified here.
- 7 And also, if you'll note on page 2 of the
- 8 affidavit, there are three other parties named. We
- 9 do not believe they own an interest, as stated at
- 10 the top of page 3 of the affidavit of the landman.
- 11 These parties are simply being named because,
- 12 although Cimarex believes it has leased up the heirs
- 13 of these parties, there are no probates or any other
- 14 affidavits of heirship or any other type of public
- 15 data which would verify that all of their interests
- 16 have been leased up.
- 17 It's just kind of a coverall, I guess,
- 18 although we believe that all parties have been
- 19 identified.
- 20 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: So, unlike Mr. Gaynor,
- 21 you can't passionately assure me that you've got all
- 22 the heirs included?
- MR. BRUCE: That is correct.
- 24 Again, the applicant requests a 200
- 25 percent risk charge. And the overhead rates are

- 1 \$4,500 and \$450 per month for a producing well.
- When you refer back to the Mack case, just
- 3 because that's over in Lea County, I believe those
- 4 wells are deeper -- and quite a bit deeper than
- 5 these Yeso wells.
- 6 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Okay.
- 7 MR. BRUCE: By 2- to 3,000 feet.
- 8 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Okay.
- 9 MR. BRUCE: Attached is the correspondence
- 10 to the locateable parties.
- 11 And the AFEs for the wells are attached.
- 12 Again, they are about \$2.3 million each. And again,
- 13 I will verify the -- the pool for you. Again, I
- 14 believe it's the Penasco pool.
- I did check yesterday. And as of
- 16 yesterday I -- I want to make sure what I'm saying
- 17 here.
- 18 Oh, yeah, there it is. It is the Penasco
- 19 Draw and San Andres-Yeso associated pool. It is an
- 20 associated pool, but I think both -- all of the
- 21 wells completed out here recently by both COG and
- 22 Cimarex are oil wells which are spaced on 40 acres.
- 23 The -- I do have API numbers for these
- 24 wells. The Illinois 6 Number 1 is -- the last 5
- 25 digits are 40227. And the Illinois 6 Number 2, the

- 1 last 5 digits are 40228.
- 2 Exhibit 2 is the affidavit of notice by
- 3 which Yates, et al., did receive actual written
- 4 notice.
- 5 Exhibit 3 is an affidavit -- or Exhibits 3
- 6 and 4 are both affidavits of publication naming the
- 7 people who could not be located or whose estates
- 8 could not be located.
- 9 And with that, I would move the admission
- 10 of Exhibits 1 through 4.
- 11 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Okay. 1 through 4 are
- 12 admitted.
- 13 You're asking for surface to base?
- MR. BRUCE: Of the Glorieta Yeso.
- 15 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Is the title identical
- 16 to all of those depths?
- MR. BRUCE: Yes. Yes, it is.
- 18 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Very good.
- 19 Mr. Rankin?
- MR. RANKIN: No objections to the
- 21 admission of the exhibits.
- 22 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: And no questions for
- 23 counsel?
- MR. RANKIN: No questions for counsel.
- 25 CHAIRMAN BROOKS: Very good. The Case

	Page 8
1	Numbers 14844 and 14845 will be taken under
2	advisement.
3	(Proceedings concluded.)
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	f do hereby certify that the largaing to complete record of the proceedings in
20	heard by me on 6-25-12
21	David K. Brost Examine
22	Oil Conservation Division
23	
24	
25	