		•		Page 1
3		OF THE HEARING CALLED ONSERVATION DIVISION FOR		. ugo 1
4	THE PURPOSE C	OF CONSIDERING:		
5	CONSERVATION	OF THE NEW MEXICO OIL DIVISION FOR A	CASE NO. 1	5128
6	COMPLIANCE ORDER AGAINST CHARLES SEED, SUCCESSORS, HEIRS, AND			
7	ASSIGNS OF LE SECTION 30.	CASE NUMBER SEED	ORIGINAL	
8			ONIONI	<b>L.</b>
9	RE	PORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF F	PROCEEDINGS	
10		EXAMINER HEARING	2	
11		May 15, 2014	2014 MAY 19 D 3: 19 MINER R	REC
12		Santa Fe, New Mexic	20	Ĭ
13			ב ס	5
14		HARD EZEANYIM, CHIEF EXAM	AINER W	00
15	GABF	RIEL WADE, LEGAL EXAMINER	(	D
16				
17				
18				
19	This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Richard Ezeanyim, Chief Examiner, and Gabriel Wade, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, May 15, 2014, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South			•
20				h
21	St. Francis I New Mexico.	Orive, Porter Hall, Room	102, Santa Fe,	
22	REPORTED BY:	Mary C. Hankins, CCR, F	RPR	
23		New Mexico CCR #20 Paul Baca Professional	-	
24		500 4th Street, Northwe Albuquerque, New Mexico		
25		(505) 843-9241		

1	APPEARANCES	Page 2
2	FOR APPLICANT NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION:	
3	KEITH HERRMANN, ESQ.	
4	STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION ENERGY, MINERALS & NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMEN	T
5	OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL 1220 South St. Francis Drive	
6	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 (505) 476-3463	
7	Keith.Herrmann@state.nm.us	
8		
9	INDEX	D# 67
10	Case Number 15128 Called	PAGE 3
11	NMOCD's Case-in-Chief:	
12	Witnesses:	
13	Daniel Sanchez:	
14	Direct Examination by Mr. Herrmann Cross-Examination by Examiner Ezeanyim	3 9
15	Cross Brantmacton by Brantmac Beautyth	
16	Proceedings Conclude	10
17	Certificate of Court Reporter	11
18		
19		
20	EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED	
21	NMOCD Exhibit Numbers 1 through 5	5
22		
23		
24		
25		

- 1 the OCD.
- Q. And where do you work?
- A. Here in Santa Fe with the Oil Conservation
- 4 Division.
- 5 Q. Could you briefly describe your duties that you
- 6 perform for the OCD?
- 7 A. I oversee the four district offices, oversee
- 8 the UIC program, the administrative personnel within the
- 9 Division and all enforcement and compliance issues that
- 10 come up for the Division.
- 11 Q. Have you researched the violations alleged in
- 12 this action against Mr. Seed?
- 13 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Could you explain how the investigation began?
- 15 A. The OCD has been putting together a list of
- 16 what we are determining to be orphaned wells so we can
- 17 go ahead and continue to plug these wells. And through
- 18 discussions with my district supervisors, we were able
- 19 to get some information from Mr. Maxey Brown, who is our
- 20 District 1 supervisor, and that information led to --
- 21 these wells were known by us as the Windmill wells.
- 22 There are nine wells that are, we considered to be,
- 23 orphaned at this point, and we would like to go ahead
- 24 and get those wells plugged out and the sites cleaned
- 25 up.

- 1 MR. HERRMANN: Yes.
- 2 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: He is so qualified.
- 3 I'm sorry. I have to do that because it
- 4 has to be in the record.
- 5 MR. HERRMANN: I appreciate that. This is
- 6 my first hearing. Thank you.
- 7 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: I understand.
- Q. (BY MR. HERRMANN) In Exhibit 1, does Maxey
- 9 state that this well has been inactive for a period of
- 10 greater than 90 days?
- 11 A. Yes, he does.
- 12 Q. Or one year plus 90 days?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- Q. Could you identify Exhibit 2 for me?
- 15 A. Exhibit 2 is a series of pictures that were
- 16 taken of the Windmill sites showing the equipment on
- 17 site, some environmental issues that came up along with
- 18 this and just the general condition of the area.
- 19 Q. Were these photos taken under the direction of
- 20 the OCD?
- 21 A. Yes, they were.
- 22 Q. Do you know who took them?
- 23 A. William Sonnamaker. He's our staff manager out
- 24 of Hobbs.
- 25 Q. Have these sites been cleaned since the

- 1 pictures were taken?
- 2 A. No.
- Q. Could you describe the OCD rules that these
- 4 wells are violating?
- 5 A. The first rule is 19.15.28.8, which requires an
- 6 operator to plug and abandon or temporarily abandon the
- 7 well within 15 months of its inactivity.
- Rule 19.15.25.10.B provides that as soon as
- 9 practical but no later than one year after the
- 10 completion of plugging operations, the operator shall
- 11 level the location and move deadman and other junk, take
- 12 measures necessary or required by the OCD to restore the
- 13 location to a safe and clean condition.
- 14 And the other rule would be 19.15.25.11,
- 15 which requires the operator to record the work done
- 16 within 30 days of completion with the OCD so that the
- 17 OCD can go ahead do a final inspection and release the
- 18 site.
- 19 Q. Are these wells producing, to your knowledge?
- 20 A. No, they are not.
- Q. Would you identify the section, township and
- 22 range that these wells are located in? It's in Exhibit
- 23 3, showing the well location.
- 24 A. K-30-18-38.
- Q. Would you please identify Exhibit 4?

Page 8

- 1 A. Exhibit 4 is a C-103 dated back to 1975, and
- 2 this is the last record of anything done that the OCD
- 3 has with regard to these wells.
- Q. All right. What steps were taken to notify the
- 5 operator of these wells?
- 6 A. Trying to find an actual operator. During that
- 7 search for the operator, we found out he had passed away
- 8 back in 2013, December. They do have an heir, but we're
- 9 not going after that heir at this time. We would just
- 10 like to get the wells plugged and cleaned up.
- 11 Q. Would you identify Exhibit 5?
- 12 A. Exhibit 5 is a notice that we sent out
- 13 basically trying to determine who the owners would be.
- Q. What newspaper was that sent to?
- 15 A. The Lovington Leader, I believe.
- 16 Q. And was this also published on the Website per
- 17 our rules?
- 18 A. Yes, it was. Yes.
- 19 Q. Have you had any contact with the operator or
- 20 anyone representing him?
- 21 A. Personally, I have not. I believe Maxey has
- 22 talked to Mr. Seed's son, but that's it. That's as far
- 23 as I know.
- Q. Did he state that he would oppose this order?
- 25 A. No. He actually stated he wouldn't oppose it.

- 1 Q. What would the OCD normally do in a situation
- 2 like this?
- 3 A. We would bring these wells to hearing. We
- 4 would ask for an order by the Hearing Examiner to plug
- 5 and abandon those wells and allow us to actually clean
- 6 up the sites.
- Q. And in the event they are unable to clean up
- 8 the sites?
- 9 A. The OCD would actually do that work.
- 10 MR. HERRMANN: I have no further questions.
- 11 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Thank you very much.
- 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 13 BY EXAMINER EZEANYIM:
- Q. Who is the operator of these -- who is the
- 15 operator of these wells?
- 16 A. His name was Charles Seed, but he passed away
- 17 on Christmas day of 2013.
- 18 Q. And the son -- the son does not own the wells?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. Why are you not going after him? Because he
- 21 doesn't own the wells?
- A. Because he doesn't own the wells, yes, that's
- 23 correct.
- Q. These wells, do they have any plug-in bonds?
- 25 Are you not asking for sufficient bonds?