Page 1 1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT 2 OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED 3 ORIGINAL BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING: 4 CASE NO. 15035 5 APPLICATION OF COG OPERATING, LLC FOR TWO NONSTANDARD PROJECT AREAS, TWO NONSTANDARD OIL SPACING AND 6 PRORATION UNITS, AND APPROVAL OF 7 NONSTANDARD LOCATIONS FOR TWO WELLS IN LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. 8 APPLICATION OF COG OPERATING, LLC CASE NO. 15044 9 FOR A NONSTANDARD SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT AND COMPULSORY 10 POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 11 12 COMMISSION HEARING 13 July 17, 2014 14 Santa Fe, New Mexico 107 15 BEFORE: JAMI BAILEY, CHAIRPERSON TERRY WARNELL, COMMISSIONER JUL 21 16 ROBERT S. BALCH, COMMISSIONER BILL BRANCARD, ESQ. 17 Ū 18 This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission on Thursday, July 17, 2014, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and 19 Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 20 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico. 21 22 REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR New Mexico CCR #20 23 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105 24 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 (505) 843-9241 25

```
Page 2
```

```
1
                             APPEARANCES
 2
     FOR APPLICANT COG OPERATING, LLC:
 3
          MICHAEL H. FELDEWERT, ESO.
          HOLLAND & HART
          110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1
 4
          Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
 5
          (505) 988-4421
          mfeldewert@hollandhart.com
 6
 7
     FOR YATES BROTHERS, SUGARBERRY and KATY PIPELINE:
          JAMES G. BRUCE, ESO.
 8
          Post Office Box 1056
          Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
 9
          (505) 982-2043
10
          jamesbruc@aol.com
11
     FOR EOG RESOURCES:
12
          ERNEST L. PADILLA, ESQ.
          PADILLA LAW FIRM, P.A.
          1512 South St. Francis Drive
13
          Post Office Box 2523
          Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
14
          (505) 988-7577
15
          epadillaplf@gwestoffice.net
16
     FOR INLAND TITLE and OXY (OCCIDENTAL PERMIAN):
17
          GERMAINE R. CHAPPELLE, ESQ.
          GALLAGHER & KENNEDY, P.A.
          1233 Paseo de Peralta
18
          Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501-2758
          (505) 982-9523
19
          germaine.chappelle@gknet.com
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		Page	3
1	INDEX		
2		PAGE	
3	Case Numbers 15035 and 15044 Called	4	
4	Presentation by Mr. Feldewert/Motion for Remand	4	
5	Proceedings Conclude	10	
6	Certificate of Court Reporter	11	
7			
8			
9			
10	EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED		
11	(No exhibits.)		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Page 4 1 (9:05 a.m.) 2 CHAIRPERSON BAILEY: I now call Case 15035, 3 which has been consolidated with Case 15044. These are de novo cases that I understand we have some issues 4 5 These are applications of COG Operating, LLC for here. a nonstandard spacing and proration unit and compulsory 6 7 pooling and approval of nonstandard locations for two 8 wells. 9 Would you care to explain what we are doing 10 today? 11 MR. FELDEWERT: Yes, Madam Chair. Michael 12 Feldewert appearing on behalf of COG Operating, LLC. 13 And also in the room are the attorneys for the other 14 parties to the appeal and also for one of the parties 15 that appeared in the case below. 16 What I'm able to report is after some tireless and sustained and dedicated efforts by 17 18 Ms. Chappelle, and particularly by Mr. Bruce even after 19 he withdrew from the case, the parties were able to 20 finally agree on a development plan for the acreage in question. And since a picture is worth a thousand 21 22 words, if you have our package, COG's exhibits, Exhibit Number 2 depicts the acreage in question. 23 And 24 essentially what you'll see on there are Sections 5 and 25 8 in Township 26 South, Range 34 East, in Lea County.

I'm not going to admit this exhibit. I just want to use
 it for demonstrative purposes.

3 Most of that acreage is covered by a single federal lease, and it's the acreage there in the north 4 5 half-north half of Section 5, which is fee acreage. And the order that was entered by the Division essentially 6 7 approved the development plan that you see depicted here, one of which involved the 5H, which is a well that 8 has been drilled. The 8H was drilled sometime ago 9 before a lot of the rules went into effect. And then 10 11 there are three other additional wells involved as 12 stand-ups, and then the 1H was a lay-down in the north half-north half. 13

14The approval of that -- that case was controversial. The order was appealed by the parties in 15 16 the north half-north half of the area, but they have now been able to reach a resolution on the development of 17 this acreage, and essentially it's going to involve the 18 19 drilling of some additional stand-up wells that will 20 penetrate all of the 40-acre tracts in the north half-north half of the section. So that has been --21 22 that development plan has been agreed upon by all 23 parties to the appeal, but it will require amendments to the existing order and essentially keep the approvals 24 25 for the existing 5H well, and then there would no longer

Page 6 be the need for the approvals granted for the 6H well 1 2 that you see on here or the 1H well that you see in the 3 north half-north half. If we get this remanded down to the 4 Division level, an amendment can be made to the order 5 that would accomplish the settlement that was reached by 6 7 the parties. So we're here today to request that the 8 Commission remand this case back to the Division so that 9 10 the parties can then work with the Division in issuing a 11 revised order that will accomplish the settlement that was reached by the parties. 12 13 CHAIRPERSON BAILEY: Are there other appearances? 14 MR. BRUCE: Madam Chair, Jim Bruce on 15 behalf of Yates Brothers, Sugarberry and Katy Pipeline. 16 MR. PADILLA: Madam Chair, Ernest L. 17 Padilla for EOG Resources. We have just been looking at 18 19 this case. We have not participated, and whatever Yates Brothers and COG agree to would be fine with us. 20 MS. CHAPPELLE: Good morning, Chairman and 21 Commissioners. 22 23 Germaine Chappelle representing Inland Title and OXY, Occidental Permian. And we support the 24 25 settlement in this and the remand.

Page 7 CHAIRPERSON BAILEY: Mr. Bruce. 1 2 Mr. Padilla, do you agree with the remand and the 3 settlement? 4 MR. PADILLA: Yes, Madam Chair. MR. BRUCE: Yes, Madam Chair. 5 6 CHAIRPERSON BAILEY: This is not an 7 evidentiary hearing at all then. So do we have a motion for continuance that we need to deal with? 8 9 MR. BRUCE: I did withdraw that motion for continuance, Madam Chair, due to the settlement. And I 10 11 think Mr. Feldewert and Ms. Chappelle and Ms. Munds-Dry 12 and I worked what seemed like nonstop for two weeks to take care of this, so please don't ask us what we did, 13 14 but we did it (laughter). 15 CHAIRPERSON BAILEY: So, Mr. Brancard, do we just vote on remanding it back to the Examiner? 16 17 MR. BRANCARD: I guess we'll take Mr. Feldewert's presentation as a motion for a remand 18 and treat it as that. 19 20 MR. FELDEWERT: Yes. We would formally 21 request that the Commission remand the case down to the 22 Division for the entry of an amended order consistent 23 with the parties' settlement. 24 MR. BRANCARD: I guess perhaps the way to 25 do this is -- we can do a very simple order remanding,

Page 8 but perhaps it would be best that the parties attach to 1 that a very simple stipulation that says whatever you 2 want to say -- we've reached some sort of agreement 3 about it, and we would like to present this to the 4 5 Hearing Examiners -- rather than the Commission trying 6 to characterize what you've agreed to. I don't know 7 that you need to provide any details --MR. FELDEWERT: 8 Right. 9 MR. BRANCARD: -- other than you have a 10 framework that you want to present to the Division Hearing Examiner and attach that stipulation as part of 11 12 the order for the Commission. 13 MR. FELDEWERT: We can do that, certainly. CHAIRPERSON BAILEY: Then in that case --14 15 MR. BRANCARD: Is there a motion before us? CHAIRPERSON BAILEY: Commissioners, do you 16 17 have any issues --18 COMMISSIONER BALCH: I don't have any 19 issue. 20 COMMISSIONER WARNELL: No issues. 21 CHAIRPERSON BAILEY: Okay. Well, then we ask for a draft order to be due before our next meeting, 22 23 which is August 17th. 24 MR. BRANCARD: Is there a need to do this 25 quickly?

Page 9 1 MR. FELDEWERT: I would -- we would -- if 2 at all possible, we'd like to expedite the process so we 3 can get back down to the Division so we can get an 4 order -- an amended order issued. 5 MR. BRANCARD: Okay. Well, I quess I would 6 suggest, then, that you vote on the motion for remand 7 now and then the Chairman be given the authority to sign 8 the order. I don't see these orders as being very 9 complicated. 10 COMMISSIONER BALCH: I make the motion for 11 remand with Chairman Bailey having authorization to 12 sign. 13 COMMISSIONER WARNELL: Second that motion. 14 CHAIRPERSON BAILEY: All those in favor say 15 aye. 16 (Ayes are unanimous.) 17 CHAIRPERSON BAILEY: We can expedite it 18 that way. 19 MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you very much. 20 CHAIRPERSON BAILEY: Is there any other 21 case or anything to be presented before the Commission 22 today? 23 MR. FELDEWERT: Not from me, Madam Chair. 24 CHAIRPERSON BAILEY: Then do I hear a 25 motion for us to adjourn?

	Page 10
1	COMMISSIONER BALCH: I'll make a motion to
2	adjourn.
3	COMMISSIONER WARNELL: Second that motion.
4	CHAIRPERSON BAILEY: All those in favor?
5	(Ayes are unanimous.)
6	CHAIRPERSON BAILEY: We are adjourned.
7	Thank you very much.
8	(Case Numbers 15035 and 15044 conclude,
9	9:12 a.m.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 1
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	COUNTY OF BERNALILLO
3	
4	CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER
5	I, MARY C. HANKINS, New Mexico Certified
6	Court Reporter No. 20, and Registered Professional
7	Reporter, do hereby certify that I reported the
8	foregoing proceedings in stenographic shorthand and that
9	the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcript of
10	those proceedings that were reduced to printed form by
11	me to the best of my ability.
12	I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's
13	Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects
14	the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.
15	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
16	employed by nor related to any of the parties or
17	attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in
18	the final disposition of this case.
19	Man of the land
20	Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR
21	Paul Baca Court Reporters, Inc.
22	New Mexico CCR No. 20 Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2014
23	
24	
25	