Page 1		
STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT		
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION		
IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE DURBOSE OF CONSIDERING:		
THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:		
APPLICATION OF MEWBOURNE OIL CASE NO. 15227 COMPANY FOR A NONSTANDARD OIL		
SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT AND		
COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.		
REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS		
EXAMINER HEARING .		
October 30, 2014		
Santa Fe, New Mexico		
BEFORE: PHILLIP GOETZE, CHIEF EXAMINER KEITH HERMANN, LEGAL EXAMINER		
in E		
This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Phillip Goetze,		
Chief Examiner, and Keith Hermann, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, October 30, 2014, at the New Mexico Energy,		
Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall,		
Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.		
REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR New Mexico CCR #20		
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105		
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 (505) 843-9241		

		
		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES	
2	FOR APPLICANT MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY:	
3	JAMES G. BRUCE, ESQ. Post Office Box 1056	
4	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504 (505) 982-2043	
5	jamesbruc@aol.com	
6		
7		
8	INDEX	PAGE
9	Case Number 15227 Called	3
10	Presentation by Affidavit by Mr. Bruce	3
11	Proceedings Conclude	5
12	Certificate of Court Reporter	6
13		
14		
15	EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED	
16	Mewbourne Oil Company Exhibit Numbers 1 through 4	4
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

- 1 (8:38 a.m.)
- 2 EXAMINER GOETZE: Next, Case 15227,
- 3 application of Mewbourne Oil Company for a nonstandard
- 4 oil spacing and proration unit and compulsory pooling,
- 5 Eddy County, New Mexico.
- 6 Call for appearances.
- 7 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of
- 8 Santa Fe representing the Applicant. Again, I'm
- 9 submitting this by affidavit.
- 10 EXAMINER GOETZE: Any other appearances?
- 11 Continue, Mr. Bruce.
- MR. BRUCE: Again, Mr. Examiner, I have the
- 13 same exhibits. I've got the affidavit of Paul Haden,
- 14 the landman. The facts of this case are virtually
- 15 identical to the prior case, force pooling the record
- 16 title owner only, Mr. B.N. Muncy. The only difference
- 17 is that a different federal lease is involved than the
- 18 previous case. Mr. Muncy owns no working interest.
- 19 Therefore, we do not seek a penalty on production or any
- 20 overhead rates or approval of the AFE, for that matter,
- 21 although I have one available if you want one.
- Exhibit 2 is, again, the affidavit of David
- 23 Rawlins. Since this -- the well in this case is a
- 24 direct offset to the first well, and, therefore, the
- 25 exhibits are identical. And, again, it contains

25

total affidavits, but --

	Page 5
1	EXAMINER GOETZE: Other than that, no
2	changes?
3	MR. BRUCE: No technical changes, no.
4	EXAMINER GOETZE: Very good. In that case,
5	Case 15227 is taken under advisement.
6	(Case Number 15227 concludes, 8:40 a.m.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	t Go has assessed
13	the Examiner hearing of Control of the proceedings in
14	heard by me on Class No. 15227
15	Dullo V. 200
16	Oll Conservation Division Examiner
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
L	