		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES	
2	FOR APPLICANT COG OPERATING LLC:	
3	JORDAN LEE KESSLER, Esq. Holland & Hart	
4	110 North Guadalupe	
5	Suite 1 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501	
6	(505) 988-4421 jlkessler@hollandhart.com	
7		
8		
9	INDEX	
10	CASE NUMBER 15282 CALLED	
11	COG OPERATING LLC CASE-IN-CHIEF	
12		
13		
14	EXHIBIT INDEX	
15	Exhibits Offered and Admitted	
16		PAGE
17	COG Operating LLC Exhibit 12	4
18	COG Operating LLC Exhibit 13	5
19		
20		,
21	Reporter's Certificate	PAGE 9
22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,
23		
24		
25		

- 1 (Time noted 11:48 a.m.)
- 2 EXAMINER McMILLAN: I call case No. 15282,
- 3 Application of COG Operating LLC for a non-standard
- 4 spacing and proration unit and compulsory pooling, Eddy
- 5 County, New Mexico.
- 6 Call for appearances.
- 7 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiner, Jordan Kessler
- 8 from Holland and Hart in Santa Fe for the Applicant.
- 9 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Any other appearances?
- 10 (No response.)
- MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiner, this case was
- 12 originally heard on April 2nd. At that time the
- 13 applicant sought to pool the Yeso Formation from the top
- 14 of the formation to 4,000 feet.
- There were two witnesses at the time, and 11
- 16 exhibits were presented. The two cases, for the 1H and
- 17 the 2H, were combined at that time.
- 18 Again, after that hearing, the Division
- 19 contacted counsel for COG and requested that additional
- 20 notice be provided to all of the owners in the Yeso
- 21 Formation.
- 22 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay.
- 23 MS. KESSLER: So the two exhibits that you
- 24 have in front of you now are letters for each, for the
- 25 1H and the 2H Wells, providing notice to mineral

- 1 interest owners in the Yeso Formation below 4,000 feet.
- 2 Again I would remind the Examiners that the
- 3 hearing at that time was continued for notice purposes
- 4 only to allow additional notice, both under the ten-day
- 5 publication period at the time for the notice that was
- 6 published, in addition to providing notice to these
- 7 additional parties in Yeso Formation.
- I move admission into evidence Exhibits 12
- 9 and 13.
- 10 EXAMINER McMILLAN: So it appears to me that
- 11 Exhibit 12 -- it relates to case 15282, right?
- 12 MS. KESSLER: That's correct.
- 13 EXAMINER McMILLAN: So Exhibit 12 will be
- 14 accepted as part of the record for case 15282.
- 15 (Whereupon, COG OPERATING LLC Exhibit 12 was
- 16 offered and accepted as part of the record for Case No.
- 17 15282.)
- 18 MS. KESSLER: And then the following
- 19 exhibit, Exhibit 13 is for case No. 15283.
- 20 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay.
- 21 MS. KESSLER: But I would remind the
- 22 examiner that those two cases were combined.
- 23 EXAMINER McMILLAN: I am just trying to --
- MS. KESSLER: Okay.
- 25 EXAMINER McMILLAN: And then Exhibit 13 will

- 1 be accepted into the record for case No. 15283. And
- 2 they are affidavit and notices?
- 3 MS. KESSLER: Correct.
- 4 (Whereupon, COG OPERATING LLC Exhibit 13 was
- 5 offered and accepted as part of the record for Case No.
- 6 15283.)
- 7 EXAMINER MARKS: I have basically the same
- 8 questions just for the record. If the applications are
- 9 granted, how do we protect the correlative rights of the
- 10 other interest owners?
- 11 MS. KESSLER: And, again, I would refer you
- 12 back to the original hearing on April 2nd. I believe
- 13 there was testimony at that time discussing correlative
- 14 rights. And I believe in this case in particular, there
- 15 was more discussion regarding the geological formation
- and how it would be prevented from draining below
- 17. 4,000 feet. Mr. Feldewert was the attorney that
- 18 presented that case.
- 19 EXAMINER MARKS: And, again, from the legal
- 20 perspective, do you believe there are rules to cover
- 21 vertical segregation of a pool?
- 22 MS. KESSLER: I believe that the rules are
- 23 unclear, but that they do not prevent vertical
- 24 segregation.
- 25 And this was again a conversation that was

- 1 discussed at length with Mr. Feldewert, myself, and
- 2 Hearing Examiners after the hearing when we were told
- 3 that we needed to provide additional notice.
- 4 EXAMINER MARKS: Okay. And is this the same
- 5 as what makes this a non-standard proration --
- 6 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Yes, it's the same
- 7 thing. It's an inadequacy almost in the Administrative
- 8 Code, so you have to do kind of a work-around.
- 9 EXAMINER MARKS: Okay.
- 10 And I have the same question on the legal
- 11 description, is the legal description a legal
- 12 description of the surface, and it's the formation that
- 13 provides -- that you believe makes it an adequate legal
- 14 description?
- MS. KESSLER: That's correct. As with all
- 16 pooling cases that are presented in front of the
- 17 Division, there is a surface location that is provided
- 18 and then also a description of the formation.
- 19 EXAMINER MARKS: Okay. And was there
- 20 testimony previously or do you believe that there is a
- 21 separate source of supply here?
- MS. KESSLER: I believe that in this case
- 23 there was testimony addressing that question.
- 24 EXAMINER MARKS: Okay. And how are
- 25 allowables handled here?

- 1 MS. KESSLER: The same. These will be
- 2 shared within the pool according to the statewide rules.
- 3 EXAMINER MARKS: I have no other questions.
- 4 (Discussion off the record between the
- 5 Examiners.)
- 6 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. So what's going
- 7 to happen if you are drilling to a vertical depth of
- 8 3,198 and you frac it and it goes -- the frac extends
- 9 100 feet down, are you going to get around that
- 10 particular situation?
- MS. KESSLER: I believe there was testimony
- 12 in the hearing on April 2nd addressing that particular
- 13 question.
- 14 EXAMINER McMILLAN: And the same thing with
- 15 the drill 4,975, what's going to happen if you frac and
- 16 you frac vertically out to 5,075?
- 17 MS. KESSLER: Again, I would point you,
- 18 Mr. Examiner, to the testimony in the hearing on
- 19 April 2nd. And in the event that that testimony is not
- 20 satisfactory, please let me know.
- But we were under the impression that at
- 22 that time all of these questions were answered and that
- 23 this was just going to be a notice hearing, so I did not
- 24 come prepared to speak to fracking issues.
- 25 EXAMINER McMILLAN: So case 15282 will be

	Page 8
1	taken under advisement. And case 15283 will be taken
2	under advisement. And then if there are issues in
3	either one of these cases, we will notify we will go
4	through the proper channels in notifying you.
5	MS. KESSLER: Okay.
6	EXAMINER McMILLAN: All right. It's noon.
7	Let's come back at 1:15 p.m.
8	
9	
10	
11	(Time noted 12:00 p.m.)
12	
13	
14	* Paranua VIC
15	haraby cartify that the foregoing is a conclude record of the proceedings in
16	heard by me on
17	Event
18	Oil Conservation Division
19	
20	
21	
22	•
23	
24	
25	

		Page 9	
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO)		
2)	SS.	
3	COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)	·	
4			
5			
6			
7	REPORTER'	S CERTIFICATE	
8	T. ELLEN H. ALLANTO	, New Mexico Reporter CCR	
9	No. 100, DO HEREBY CERTIFY	that on Thursday, May 14,	
10	2015, the proceedings in the above-captioned matter were taken before me, that I did report in stenographic		
11	shorthand the proceedings set forth herein, and the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription to		
12	the best of my ability and	control.	
13		1 1 7 112 1 12	
14	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by nor related to nor contracted with (unless excepted by		
15	the rules) any of the parties or attorneys in this case, and that I have no interest whatsoever in the final disposition of this case in any court.		
16	disposition of this case i	in any court.	
17			
18			
19		Illen allanec	
20		H. ALLANIC, CSR	
21	NM Ce	ertified Court Reporter No. 100 ase Expires: 12/31/15	
22	ricei	rae myhttea: IS/OT/IO	
23			
24			
25			