

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

ORIGINAL

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED
BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR
THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CONSOLIDATED CASES
15311, 15312, 15313

APPLICATION OF COG OPERATING LLC
FOR A NON-STANDARD SPACING AND PRORATION
UNIT AND COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY,
NEW MEXICO.

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
EXAMINER HEARING
MAY 28, 2015
Santa Fe, New Mexico

RECEIVED OCD
2015 JUN 16 P 4:17

BEFORE: WILLIAM V. JONES, CHIEF EXAMINER
GABRIEL WADE, LEGAL EXAMINER

This matter came on for hearing before the
New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, William V. Jones,
Chief Examiner, and Gabriel Wade, Legal Examiner, on May
28, 2015, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals, and
Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building,
1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102,
Santa Fe, New Mexico.

REPORTED BY: ELLEN H. ALLANIC
NEW MEXICO CCR 100
CALIFORNIA CSR 8670
PAUL BACA COURT REPORTERS
500 Fourth Street, NW
Suite 105
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

1 A P P E A R A N C E S .

2 FOR THE APPLICANT COG OPERATING LLC:

3 Jordan Lee Kessler, Esq.
4 Holland & Hart
5 110 North Guadalupe
6 Suite 1
7 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
8 (505)988-4421
9 jlkessler@hollandhart.com

10 FOR YATES BROTHERS:

11 JAMES G. BRUCE, ESQ.
12 P.O. Box 1056
13 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
14 (505)982-2043
15 jamesbruc@aol.com

16 I N D E X

17 CONSOLIDATED CASES, NUMBERS 15311, 15312, and
18 15313 CALLED

19 COG OPERATING LLC CASE-IN-CHIEF:

20 WITNESS Ryan D. Owen

21 By Ms. Kessler Direct Redirect Further
22 5

23 EXAMINATION
24 Examiner Jones 13

25 WITNESS Henry Zollinger

By Ms. Kessler Direct Redirect Further
18

EXAMINATION
Examiner Jones 23

Reporter's Certificate PAGE
28

E X H I B I T I N D E X
Exhibits Offered and Admitted

	PAGE
1	
2	
3	
4	COG Operating LLC Exhibit 1 13
5	COG Operating LLC Exhibit 2 13
6	COG Operating LLC Exhibit 3 13
7	COG Operating LLC Exhibit 4 13
8	COG Operating LLC Exhibit 5 13
9	COG Operating LLC Exhibit 6 13
10	COG Operating LLC Exhibit 7 13
11	COG Operating LLC Exhibit 8 13
12	COG Operating LLC Exhibit 9 23
13	
14	COG Operating LLC Exhibit 10 23
15	
16	COG Operating LLC Exhibit 11 23
17	
18	COG Operating LLC Exhibit 12 23
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 (Time noted 11:32 a.m.)

2 EXAMINER JONES: There are three more cases
3 on the docket; do we want to combine them for the
4 purposes of testimony?

5 MS. KESSLER: Yes, Mr. Examiner, we ask that
6 they it be consolidated.

7 EXAMINER JONES: Thank you.

8 At this time let's call cases 15311, 15312
9 and 15313. They are all application of COG Operating
10 LLC for a non-standard spacing and proration unit and
11 compulsory pooling in Lea County, New Mexico.

12 Call for appearances.

13 MS. KESSLER: Jordan Kessler from Holland
14 and Hart in Santa Fe for the applicant.

15 EXAMINER JONES: Any other appearances?

16 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of Santa
17 Fe representing Yates Brothers. I have no witnesses.

18 EXAMINER JONES: Any other witnesses? I
19 guess we had one from Chevron and --

20 MS. KESSLER: They've withdrawn their
21 appearance.

22 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Any witnesses?

23 MS. KESSLER: I have two witnesses today.

24 EXAMINER JONES: Will the witnesses please
25 stand. And the court reporter will swear the witnesses.

1 (Whereupon, the presenting witnesses were
2 administered the oath.)

3 MS. KESSLER: I'd like to call my first
4 witness.

5 RYAN D. OWEN
6 having first been duly sworn, was examined and testified
7 as follows:

8 DIRECT EXAMINATION

9 BY MS. KESSLER:

10 Q. Please state your name for the record and tell
11 the Examiner by whom you are employed and in what
12 capacity.

13 A. Ryan D. Owen with COG Operating LLC as a land
14 supervisor over the New Mexico Basin Asset team.

15 Q. Have you previously testified before the
16 Division?

17 A. No, I have not.

18 Q. And can you please review your educational
19 background.

20 A. Yes, I can. I attended Texas Tech University and
21 received a degree in energy commerce in 2007. After
22 that -- do you want me to go into my work history?

23 Q. Please.

24 A. After that, I went to work for ConocoPhillips in
25 Midland working the Balkan for four years, approximately

1 four years. And then went -- joined Concho in 2011.
2 I've been with them for four years working Texas Permian
3 Basin and New Mexico Permian Basin.

4 Q. So you have worked for approximately four years
5 in the Permian Basin?

6 A. Yes, ma'am.

7 Q. Are you a member of any professional
8 affiliations?

9 A. Yes, I am. I'm a member of the APO, the PBLA,
10 and the New Mexico Landman Association.

11 Q. Are you familiar with the applications that have
12 been filed in the consolidated case?

13 A. Yes, I am.

14 Q. And are you familiar with the status of the lands
15 in the subject area?

16 A. Yes, I am.

17 MS. KESSLER: I tender Mr. Owen as an expert
18 in petroleum land matters.

19 MR. BRUCE: No objection.

20 EXAMINER JONES: Mr. Owen is so qualified.

21 MS. KESSLER: Thank you.

22 Q. I would like to turn your attention to COG
23 Exhibits 1, 2, and 3. Can you please identify these
24 exhibits for the Examiner.

25 A. Yes, I can.

1 Okay, Exhibits 1, 2, and 3 are the C-102s for
2 multiple Gunner wells. We have the Gunner 6H, which
3 comprises of a -- Exhibit 1 is the Gunner 6H, comprised
4 of a 320-acre spacing unit within sections 5 and 8 of
5 226 south, R 34 east.

6 Exhibit 2 is for the Gunner 7H. It's an
7 additional 330-acre spacing unit in the east half of the
8 west half of sections 5 and 8; two, 26; 34 east.

9 And Exhibit 3 is for Gunner 9H well, comprised of
10 the west half of the west half of section 5 and 8, also
11 a 320-acre proration unit.

12 Q. Do you seek to pool the uncommitted mineral
13 interest underlying these three spacing units in the
14 Bone Spring formation?

15 A. We do.

16 Q. Do you have the API numbers for each of these
17 wells?

18 A. I do. The 6H well is 30-0230-41181.

19 EXAMINER JONES: 025?

20 THE WITNESS: Yes.

21 EXAMINER JONES: Please say that again.

22 A. 30-025-041181.

23 EXAMINER JONES: Is it -41181?

24 THE WITNESS: Yes.

25 EXAMINER JONES: Thank you.

1 A. And the 7H well is 30-025-41211.

2 And then for the 9H well, we have submitted an
3 APD and are currently waiting on the, you know...

4 Q. Has the Division identified a pool and pool code
5 for these three wells?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. And is that pool WC-025-G-06 S-263407P Upper Bone
8 Spring Pool?

9 A. Yes, it is.

10 Q. And is the pool code 97892?

11 A. Yes, it is.

12 Q. Is the pool governed by Division statewide rules?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. So will the completed intervals for each well
15 comply with the setback requirements?

16 A. Yes, they will.

17 Q. Are sections 5 and 8 all federal lands?

18 A. No. We have federal and fee land.

19 Q. If you could turn to COG Exhibit 4 and identify
20 COG's interest and the uncommitted interest owners in
21 the proposed spacing unit?

22 A. We have two pages with Exhibit 4. The first is a
23 plat that shows section 5 and 8 of 226, 34 east. Within
24 those sections we have three tracts outlined.

25 The first tract is an 80-acre tract in the north

1 half of the northwest. The second tract is a 40-acre
2 tract in the northwest to the northeast.

3 And the third is the remaining out of section 5
4 and 8. Section 5, southwest quarter, south half of the
5 northwest quarter, southwest quarter of northeast
6 quarter and the west half of the southeast quarter.

7 Section 8 is the west half, and the west half of
8 the east half comprising 840 acres.

9 So if you turn to page 2, within the tracts,
10 there's an outline of the working interest owners.
11 Tracts 1 and 2 have the exact same interest breakdown.
12 Track 3 is 100 percent COG.

13 And then we have a unit recapitulation at the
14 bottom, with COG Operating owning about 97 percent
15 working interest. And then the remaining parties are
16 Oxy, COG, and Chevron.

17 Q. Am I correct that you have recently leased the
18 Yates Brothers and Sugar Berry?

19 A. That's correct.

20 Q. So their interest is combined with COG Operating
21 LLC; is that correct?

22 A. Yes, ma'am.

23 Q. And you also recently reached an agreement with
24 Chevron?

25 A. We have.

1 Q. If you could turn to Exhibits 5, 6, and 7.

2 Are these copies of well proposal letters that
3 were sent to the parties to be pooled for each of these
4 three wells?

5 A. Yes, ma'am. We put a packet together and mailed
6 out three AFEs on March 5th for each of these wells.

7 Q. The AFE is included on the last page of each of
8 those exhibits; is that correct?

9 A. Yes, it is.

10 Q. Are the costs reflected on these AFEs consistent
11 with what COG has incurred for drilling similar
12 horizontal wells in this area?

13 A. Yes, ma'am.

14 Q. Do the well proposal letters identify the
15 overhead and administrative costs while drilling this
16 well and also while producing, should you be successful?

17 A. Yes, we show them in the second paragraph.

18 Q. What are these rates?

19 A. \$100 and \$300 non-consent penalty; and as far as
20 drilling and producing, we have asked for \$7,000 and
21 \$700. And we feel like this is consistent for an
22 \$11,000,000 two-mile horizontal well.

23 Q. So these overhead rates are consistent with what
24 other operators are charging for similar wells?

25 A. Yes, ma'am.

1 Q. Do you ask that these rates be incorporated into
2 any order resulting from this hearing?

3 A. I do.

4 Q. And do you ask that they be adjusted in
5 accordance with the Copas accounting procedures?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. With respect to the uncommitted interest owners,
8 do you request that the Division impose a 200 percent
9 risk penalty?

10 A. I do.

11 Q. In addition to the well proposals letters that
12 you sent out, what other efforts did COG undertake to
13 reach agreement with the parties whom you seek to pool?

14 A. So, recently, we have come to terms on an
15 operating agreement with Chevron. And then as to the
16 two parties, Oxy and EOG, they have such a minor
17 interest that they are not holding us up. They've told
18 us that they'd term sign their format to us, possibly
19 participate.

20 But they told us to go ahead and force pool them
21 in this matter and then send them an offer, an AFE under
22 the force pooling.

23 Hopefully, we will be able to come to some sort
24 of an agreement with them.

25 Q. In the event that the parties reach an agreement,

1 will COG notify the Division?

2 A. Absolutely.

3 Q. Did COG identify the lease mineral interests in
4 the 40-acre tracts surrounding the proposed units?

5 A. We did, yes.

6 Q. And did COG include these known lease mineral
7 interest owners in the notice of this hearing?

8 A. Yes. I believe it's Exhibit 8.

9 Q. And those are letters -- an affidavit from my
10 office in addition to letters to the parties to be
11 pooled and the offset owners giving them notice of this
12 hearing, correct?

13 A. Correct.

14 Q. Was it necessary to publish notice or were all
15 the parties in receipt to pool locatable?

16 A. No, it was not necessary and, yes, they were all
17 locatable.

18 Q. Were Exhibits 1 through 7 prepared by you or
19 compiled under your direction and supervision?

20 A. Yes, they were.

21 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiner, I would move
22 into evidence Exhibits 1 through 8, which includes my
23 affidavit.

24 EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 1 through 8 are
25 admitted.

1 (Whereupon, COG OPERATING LLC Exhibits 1
2 through 8 were offered and admitted.)

3 EXAMINATION BY MR. JONES

4 EXAMINER JONES: The base lands here are
5 federal, so the tracts, you just split them up by
6 federal leases?

7 THE WITNESS: No. So there's
8 one-mile-and-three-quarters of fed and that's tract 3.
9 It's 100 percent fed and 100 percent owned by COG.

10 EXAMINER JONES: Say that again.

11 THE WITNESS: Okay. So tract 3 on the plat
12 is a 100 percent fed lease, and it's 100 percent owned
13 by COG Operating.

14 EXAMINER JONES: COG has the lease?

15 THE WITNESS: Yes.

16 The remaining 40 is across the north half,
17 at the north half of the section are fee tracts.

18 EXAMINER JONES: So even though they have
19 exactly the same --

20 THE WITNESS: All the owners are the same,
21 all the mineral owners are the same, but due to tract 1
22 and 2, there's a little bit of diverse ownership
23 percentage in each of the tracts, even though the owners
24 are the same. And so that is why we have two tracts
25 here.

1 EXAMINER JONES: So the numbers are not --

2 THE WITNESS: As for working interest, on a
3 working percentage, they come out to be exactly the
4 same. But as to the mineral ownership, there's a little
5 bit of some diversity between tract one and track two.

6 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. I'm sorry,
7 Mr. Bruce, did you want to ask --

8 MR. BRUCE: No, I have no questions. I
9 promised them.

10 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. I would have to be
11 reminded of the rules and do an entry of appearance.

12 If you do it before Thursday, you can still
13 question the witness; is that correct?

14 MS. KESSLER: I believe if you enter a
15 prehearing statement, you're entitled to...

16 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Unless the applicant
17 agrees to let them question the witness. I am spiraling
18 into a legal arena here.

19 So 7,000, 700, for a two-mile well. And I
20 notice that -- what's interesting to me is there's
21 orange wells here that go almost two miles.

22 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

23 EXAMINER JONES: But you just included that
24 fee tract?

25 THE WITNESS: Right. So COG Operating

1 drilled two wells, 100 percent COG,
2 a-mile-and-three-quarter wells.

3 And then, according to the new rules in the
4 development of the Knox Center project area, we had to
5 notice for our -- for our proposed 3rd and 4th wells, we
6 had to notice additional parties to the north.

7 And so part of that notice, they would
8 prefer us to drill a two-mile long horizontal on future
9 wells, instead of possibly a lay-down across the north
10 half, north half.

11 EXAMINER JONES: You are not drilling the
12 lay-down because it's not advantageous to drill lay-down
13 wells?

14 THE WITNESS: It would be more advantageous
15 to drill two-mile horizontal. And maybe Henry, the
16 geologist, can answer questions as to the direction of
17 the well.

18 EXAMINER JONES: So is section 5 standard --

19 THE WITNESS: Yes.

20 EXAMINER JONES: -- or does it have lots at
21 the top of it, or just slightly irregular?

22 THE WITNESS: My assumption would be it
23 probably does have lots across the top of it. But I
24 would have to double-check.

25 EXAMINER JONES: But, basically, there was

1 only one what we would call a quarter, quarter that was
2 left out --

3 THE WITNESS: Right.

4 EXAMINER JONES: -- before. So when you
5 drill, these new wells are going to be exactly the same
6 Bone Spring --

7 THE WITNESS: Yes, they would be in the same
8 formation.

9 EXAMINER JONES: You are spending the money
10 to redrill these wells?

11 THE WITNESS: Well, as part of a settlement
12 agreement with a few parties in the north forties, they
13 would prefer us to go ahead and drill the north, south
14 and include them in additional wells.

15 We feel like it is advantageous to drill
16 additional wells --

17 EXAMINER JONES: You can do it?

18 THE WITNESS: Yes.

19 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, you don't want to
20 hear the entire story.

21 EXAMINER JONES: Sounds a bit like I
22 probably shouldn't hear it because I probably couldn't
23 understand it anyway.

24 But that's interesting, so they're going to
25 be drilled -- and I guess I could ask that you all just

1 tell how the wells have produced and all that. So
2 you've got all that information?

3 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

4 EXAMINER JONES: I thought that the rules
5 were specifically on non-standard notification of
6 non-standard project areas, that if you drill within a
7 section of only three of them and left the fourth one
8 out, it says you have to notify to the fourth quarter,
9 quarter owners.

10 But this where your drilling all the way
11 so --

12 THE WITNESS: On the first two wells that we
13 drilled, they were a-mile-and-three quarters long, and
14 so the fourth or the last 40 was stranded.

15 EXAMINER JONES: I understand. Yeah, it's
16 true. I probably should think about anything else to
17 ask you.

18 Have the costs changed a lot since you
19 drilled them before versus now?

20 THE WITNESS: The first wells, I don't
21 know -- actually, both of those wells were drilled prior
22 to me joining this team. So it's been interesting.

23 EXAMINER JONES: And those wells were not
24 compulsory pooled; is that correct?

25 THE WITNESS: No. They are were 100 percent

1 COG.

2 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. I think that's it.

3 EXAMINER WADE: No questions.

4 EXAMINER JONES: Thank you very much.

5 HENRY ZOLLINGER

6 having first been duly sworn, was examined and testified
7 as follows:

8 DIRECT EXAMINATION

9 BY MS. KESSLER:

10 Q. Could you please state your name for the record
11 and tell the Examiner by whom you are employed and in
12 what capacity.

13 A. My name is Henry Zollinger, and I'm employed by
14 COG Operating LLC as a geologist.

15 Q. And have you previously testified before the
16 Division?

17 A. I have.

18 Q. And were your credentials as an expert in
19 petroleum geology accepted and made a matter of public
20 record?

21 A. They were.

22 Q. Are you familiar with the applications that have
23 been filed in this consolidated case?

24 A. I am.

25 Q. And have you conducted a geologic study of the

1 lands that are the subject of this hearing?

2 A. I have.

3 MS. KESSLER: I would tender Mr. Zollinger
4 as an expert in petroleum geology.

5 EXAMINER JONES: How do you spell your last
6 name?

7 THE WITNESS: Z-o-l-l-i-n-g-e-r.

8 EXAMINER JONES: Mr. Zollinger is so
9 qualified.

10 Q. Mr. Zollinger, if you could turn to COG
11 Exhibit 9. And can you identify this exhibit for the
12 Examiner?

13 A. Yes. This is a structure map, a subC structure
14 map on the base of the upper Avalon Shale, which is the
15 target for these three well boards.

16 The contours are in 50-foot contour intervals.
17 They are subC. The red line denotes the line of
18 section, which is going to be a following exhibit.

19 The green dashed lines are the three proposed
20 wells to which we're pooling in this hearing. And then
21 the solid lines are the already producing wells. The
22 green lines are the wells that are producing out of the
23 Avalon Shale.

24 Q. Have you identified the structures being
25 consistent throughout these two sections?

1 A. Yes, I have.

2 Q. You haven't identified any faulting
3 or impediments?

4 A. No, I have not.

5 Q. You stated that the A to A Prime line represents
6 wells that are depicted on the following exhibit.

7 Do you consider these wells representative of
8 wells in the area?

9 A. Yes, I do.

10 Q. If you could please turn to Exhibit 10, and
11 identify this exhibit for the Examiner.

12 A. This is a stratographic cross section hung on the
13 base of the Upper Avalon Shale, which is the map of the
14 previous exhibit. The base of that Upper Avalon Shale
15 is that solid black line at the bottom of the page.

16 The top of the page has a solid blue line, which
17 is the top of the Bone Spring line, which is the top of
18 the Bone Spring formation.

19 The other lines in between those two are
20 correlation lines which we use internally to correlate
21 these sections.

22 Q. Have you noted the target landing zone on this?

23 A. I have not noted the target landing zone.

24 However, it is roughly 30 to 50 feet below the solid
25 orange line down near the bottom two-thirds of the page.

1 We target there to access both below that orange
2 line and above that orange line and above that orange
3 line with a single wellbore through fracture
4 stimulation.

5 Q. Have you identified continuity across the
6 proposed non-standard units?

7 A. Yes, I have.

8 Q. What conclusions have you drawn based on your
9 geologic study?

10 A. The conclusions that I've drawn is that there are
11 no geologic impediments, such as faulting and
12 fracturing, to impede horizontal development of these
13 two sections, that horizontal wells are indeed the most
14 effective and economic way to develop these minerals.

15 Q. Do you believe that the proposed non-standard
16 units will, on average, contribute more or less equally
17 to the production from each well?

18 A. Yes, I do.

19 Q. Will the completed intervals for each of these
20 three wells comply with the Division's setback
21 requirements?

22 A. Yes, they will.

23 Q. And is this noted for the 6H and 7H wells in
24 Exhibits 11 and 12?

25 A. It is. The C-102's draw a straight line from our

1 surface hole to our bottom hole location. Our plan
2 drilling is to get within a legal setback with both of
3 these wells before our first perforation point.

4 These wells will be pad drilled from the same
5 pad, which is why we had to deviate from the surface
6 hole.

7 Q. And if you could refer back to Exhibit 3, does
8 this demonstrate compliance with the statewide setbacks
9 for the 9H well?

10 A. Yes, it does.

11 Q. So the first and last perf will be at 330 feet
12 from the outer boundary?

13 A. Yes, it will.

14 Q. In your opinion is the granting of COG's
15 applications in the best interest of conservation,
16 prevention of waste, and the protection of correlative
17 rights?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And were Exhibits 9 through 12 prepared by you or
20 compiled under your direction or supervision?

21 A. Yes, they were.

22 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiner, I move admission
23 of COG Exhibits 9 through 12.

24 EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 9 through 12 are
25 admitted.

1 (Whereupon, COG OPERATING LLC Exhibits 9
2 through 12 were offered and admitted.)

3 EXAMINATION BY MR. JONES

4 EXAMINER JONES: So the target here is the
5 Avalon?

6 THE WITNESS: Correct.

7 EXAMINER JONES: And the existing well
8 that's already there, where is that drilled?

9 THE WITNESS: There are actually three
10 existing wells in the two sections, the 4H, 5H and the
11 8H. They are all in the same target, so directly below
12 that solid orange line.

13 EXAMINER JONES: So you are going to drill
14 above the existing well?

15 THE WITNESS: We are actually going to drill
16 the same target.

17 EXAMINER JONES: The same --

18 THE WITNESS: As the existing wellbores.

19 EXAMINER JONES: So what are you going to do
20 with the wells that are there already? Are you going to
21 keep producing them?

22 THE WITNESS: Yes, we will.

23 EXAMINER JONES: And they are going to be
24 dedicated only to those seven quarter, quarters?

25 THE WITNESS: Yes.

1 EXAMINER JONES: But the new well will be
2 dedicated to --

3 THE WITNESS: To all eight.

4 EXAMINER JONES: So your frac jobs,
5 hopefully they'll go all right, I guess. Have you been
6 producing awhile?

7 THE WITNESS: Yes, our plan is to monitor
8 the existing wellbores. We're going to shut them in
9 while completing the others. And our plan, of course,
10 is not to interfere with those wellbores within the
11 units.

12 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. It changes pool
13 pressure, I guess, a little bit. So, hopefully, your
14 fracs will go in a whole different direction, and maybe
15 you will end up with a payout on this deal.

16 THE WITNESS: Yes. We study that intensely
17 internally, yes.

18 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. So the differences
19 between that quarter, quarter to the very north and
20 these others, how would you describe it? Any
21 differences or all similar?

22 THE WITNESS: They are all very similar
23 rock. And, actually, if you notice on the cross
24 section, wells 2 and wells 3 are roughly three miles
25 apart. And there's not a lot of difference on the petro

1 physical well logs between the sections.

2 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. So are you going to
3 drill the new wells on the same pads, like the 9H will
4 be on the 8H pad?

5 THE WITNESS: No, we will not.

6 EXAMINER JONES: So you are going to drill
7 them all on one pad?

8 THE WITNESS: We are going to drill the 6H
9 and 7H on one pad. And will drill those back to back
10 and complete those back to back.

11 The 9H is going to be a new pad which is
12 going to be southeast of the existing 8H.

13 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. And so because they
14 are different project areas, that means they are
15 different properties, so you are going to have to
16 measure them separately for production for the wells, I
17 guess, or get approval to do surface commingles, some
18 sort of surface commingle approval.

19 But is it acceptable to everybody that you
20 notify for surface commingling?

21 THE WITNESS: That's correct. And I believe
22 the plan is for a full two-section comm to get these
23 into --

24 EXAMINER JONES: So you might come back and
25 ask for a two-section comm --

1 THE WITNESS: Correct, after we drill the
2 wells.

3 EXAMINER JONES: But there's no well over on
4 the west half -- on the east half, east half?

5 THE WITNESS: There is actually. It's a
6 recently drilled -- the 4H well is --

7 EXAMINER JONES: And that drilled all the
8 way, didn't it?

9 THE WITNESS: Yes. And that was a
10 100 percent COG location.

11 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. So you got all of
12 the little comms and then you would just form a big comm
13 to overlay it and plug out the completion and the
14 computer for the existing ones and complete them?

15 THE WITNESS: Yes.

16 EXAMINER JONES: That would all have to be
17 voluntary from everybody concerned?

18 THE WITNESS: Correct.

19 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. I don't have any
20 more questions.

21 THE WITNESS: Excellent.

22 EXAMINER JONES: Thank you very much.

23 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

24 EXAMINER WADE: No questions.

25 EXAMINER JONES: Anything else in these

1 cases? If not, we take cases 15311, 15312, and 15313
2 under advisement. And that's the end of the docket. So
3 we are finishing at twelve noon on May the 28th.

4

5

6

(Time noted 12:00 p.m.)

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is
a complete record of the proceedings in
the Examiner hearing of Case No. _____
heard by me on _____.

15

16

17

_____, Examiner
Oil Conservation Division

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
2) ss.
3 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)
4
5
6

7 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

8
9 I, ELLEN H. ALLANIC, New Mexico Reporter CCR
10 No. 100, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that on Thursday, May 28,
11 2015, the proceedings in the above-captioned matter were
12 taken before me, that I did report in stenographic
13 shorthand the proceedings set forth herein, and the
14 foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription to
15 the best of my ability and control.

16
17 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by
18 nor related to nor contracted with (unless excepted by
19 the rules) any of the parties or attorneys in this case,
20 and that I have no interest whatsoever in the final
21 disposition of this case in any court.

22
23
24
25


ELLEN H. ALLANIC, CSR
NM Certified Court Reporter No. 100
License Expires: 12/31/15