

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED
BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR
THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

ORIGINAL

Case No. 15245

APPLICATION MATADOR PRODUCTION COMPANY.
FOR COMPULSORY POOLING

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

August 20, 2015

Santa Fe, New Mexico

BEFORE: WILLIAM V. JONES, EXAMINER
GABRIEL WADE, LEGAL EXAMINER

This matter came on for hearing before the
New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, William V. Jones,
Examiner, and Gabriel Wade, Legal Examiner, on August 20,
2015, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals, and Natural
Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South
St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New
Mexico.

REPORTED BY: MARY THERESE MACFARLANE
NEW MEXICO CCR 122
CALIFORNIA CSR 3547
PAUL BACA COURT REPORTERS
500 Fourth Street NW
Suite 105
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

RECEIVED OGD
2015 SEP -4 P 12:58

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

APPEARANCES

FOR THE APPLICANT MATADOR PRODUCTION COMPANY:

Earl E. DeBrine, Esq.
Modrall Sperling
P.O. Box 2168
Albuquerque, Nm 87103-2168
(505) 848-1800
earl.debrine@modrall.com

Jennifer L. Bradfute, Esq.
Modrall Sperling
P.O. Box 2168
Albuquerque, Nm 87103-2168
(505) 848-1800
jennifer.bradfute@modrall.com

I N D E X

CASE NUMBER 15245 CALLED

APPLICANT CASE

WITNESS: RUDY H. SIMMS	PAGE
EXAMINATION BY MS. BRADFUTE:	5
EXAMINATION BY EXAMINER JONES:	13
WITNESS JERON WILLIAMSON	
BY MR. DeBRINE:	16
BY EXAMINER JONES:	22

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE: 27

E X H I B I T I N D E X

Exhibits Offered and Admitted
MATADOR PRODUCTION COMPANY

EXHIBIT	PAGE
APPLICANT MATADOR PRODUCTION COMPANY EXHIBIT 1	12
APPLICANT MATADOR PRODUCTION COMPANY EXHIBIT 2	12
APPLICANT MATADOR PRODUCTION COMPANY EXHIBIT 3	12
APPLICANT MATADOR PRODUCTION COMPANY EXHIBIT 4	12
APPLICANT MATADOR PRODUCTION COMPANY EXHIBIT 5	12
APPLICANT MATADOR PRODUCTION COMPANY EXHIBIT 6	12
APPLICANT MATADOR PRODUCTION COMPANY EXHIBIT 7	12
NOTE: NO EXHIBIT 8 MARKED	
APPLICANT MATADOR PRODUCTION COMPANY EXHIBIT 9	22
APPLICANT MATADOR PRODUCTION COMPANY EXHIBIT 10	22
APPLICANT MATADOR PRODUCTION COMPANY EXHIBIT 11	22

1 (Time noted 8:25 a.m.)

2 EXAMINER JONES: Let's call the first case
3 of the day, Case No. 15245 Reopened, which is an
4 Application of Matador Production Company for compulsory
5 pooling in Eddy County, New Mexico.

6 Call for appearances.

7 MR. DeBRINE: Good morning, Mr. Examiner.
8 Earl DeBrine and Jennifer Bradfute with the Modrall
9 Sperling firm for the Applicant Matador Production
10 Company.

11 EXAMINER JONES: Any other appearances?

12 (Note: No response.)

13 MR. DeBRINE: We will have two witnesses.

14 EXAMINER JONES: Will the witnesses please
15 stand.

16 (WHEREUPON the presenting
17 witnesses were administered the oath.)

18 MR. DeBRINE: Just briefly, Mr. Examiner, a
19 little background about this case.

20 It was originally brought back in 2014
21 seeking compulsory pooling in a non-standard location for
22 the Tom Walters well. The compulsory pooling aspect of
23 the case was dismissed because we thought we had reached
24 agreement with the mineral interest owners. Negotiations
25 were continued, didn't quite get there, and so it was

1 reopened, refiled and reopened to bring the
2 compulsory pooling case against the mineral interest
3 owners.

4 The Division entered an Order granting the
5 non-standard location by Order R-13970 on April 2nd of
6 this year, and so we're proceeding today with the
7 compulsory pooling aspect of the case.

8 EXAMINER JONES: Okay.

9 MR. DeBRINE: We would like to call our
10 first witness, Rudy Simms.

11 RUDY H. SIMMS,
12 having been duly sworn was examined
13 and testified as follows:

14 DIRECT EXAMINATION

15 BY MS. BRADFUTE:

16 Q. Could you please state your name for the record.

17 A. Rudy H. Simms, Jr.

18 Q. And Mr. Simms, who do you work for and in what
19 capacity?

20 A. I am employed with MRC Energy Company, an
21 affiliate of Matador Production Company as Operations Land
22 Manager.

23 Q. Have you previously testified before the
24 Division?

25 A. I have not.

1 Q. What are your responsibilities as a landman at
2 Matador?

3 A. Primarily I supervise a team of land
4 professionals who are responsible for getting wells ready
5 to be drilled.

6 Q. And could you describe your educational
7 background and work history.

8 A. Sure. I have a BA from the University of
9 Southern Mississippi, a JD from Mills College School of
10 Law. I've had about 35 years of experience working for
11 companies such as Husky Oil, Marathon Oil, Chesapeake,
12 Devon, Murchison Oil & Gas, and now Matador.

13 Q. Do you hold any certification or belong to any
14 professional associations?

15 A. Yes, I do.

16 Q. And what are those?

17 A. I'm a member of the Mississippi State Bar
18 Association and the American Association of Professional
19 Landmen.

20 Q. Does your area of responsibility at Matador
21 include this area of the southeast portion of New Mexico?

22 A. Yes, ma'am, it does.

23 Q. And are you familiar with the Application that
24 has been filed by Matador in this case?

25 A. I am.

1 Q. And are you familiar with the status of the
2 lands that are subject to the Application?

3 A. I am.

4 MS. BRADFUTE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, I
5 would like to tender the witness as an expert in land
6 matters.

7 EXAMINER JONES: Mr. Simms is qualified as
8 an expert in petroleum land matters.

9 Q. (BY MS. BRADFUTE) Mr. Simms, if you could please
10 turn to what has been marked as Exhibit No. 1, and could
11 you please identify what that exhibit is.

12 A. Yes. Exhibit 1 is Form C-102 for a new well.
13 It is Tom Walters 12-23 South-27 East, No. 203H, a
14 horizontal well with a surface Location 2262 feet from the
15 south line and 250 feet from the west line of Section 12.
16 The producing interval will be unorthodox, with the first
17 penetration point being 2262 feet from the south line and
18 330 feet from the west line of Section 12, and the last
19 perforation 2263 feet from the south line and 330 feet
20 from the east line of Section 12.

21 Matador wishes to form a gas spacing and
22 proration unit comprised of the south half of Section 12,
23 23 South, 27 East, Eddy County, New Mexico, in order to
24 drill a horizontal well identified in Exhibit 1.

25 Q. And what is the acreage from the proration unit?

1 A. The acreage is 320 acres.

2 Q. Did the Division previously approve the
3 establishment of an unorthodox location for the well?

4 A. The Division did indeed approve such a location.

5 Q. What is the working interest ownership of the
6 well unit?

7 If you could look at Exhibits Nos. 2 and 3.

8 A. MRC Permian's working interest is approximately
9 76 and 1/2 percent, we have additional joinder of roughly
10 17 percent, and we have 6.4 percent of the minerals that
11 have thus far not executed oil and gas leases.

12 Q. And if you could please turn to Exhibit 3 and
13 explain to the hearing examiner what that exhibit
14 includes.

15 A. Exhibit 3 is a list of the mineral owners that
16 currently have not executed oil and gas leases.

17 Q. And are those the parties that you are seeking
18 to pool in this case?

19 A. Yes. These are the parties that we are seeking
20 to pool.

21 Q. If you could please turn to Exhibit No. 4 and
22 explain what this exhibit is to the hearing examiner.

23 A. This is a Proposal Letter that was sent out in
24 October of 2014 where we proposed the drilling of this
25 particular well.

1 Q. And have you had any other contacts with the
2 parties that you are seeking to pool?

3 A. Yes, we have had frequent contact with
4 representatives of the family.

5 Q. And if you turn to Exhibit No. 5, could you
6 please explain what this exhibit is to the hearing
7 examiner.

8 A. Yes. This is a summary of the efforts that have
9 been made and are continuing to be made to obtain leases
10 from unleased mineral owners in this particular unit.

11 Q. And could you please explain what efforts
12 Matador has made to communicate with those mineral owners.

13 A. Yes. We've submitted proposals in writing on a
14 number of occasions. We've also sent representatives of
15 Matador to meet with those people on a number of
16 occasions.

17 And we have had success, frankly, with most
18 of the family but there are a few members that
19 unfortunately we have not been able to reach any
20 agreement.

21 Q. And have any of the parties listed on Exhibit
22 No. 3 indicated that they are going to sign a lease with
23 Matador?

24 A. On Exhibit No.?

25 Q. No. 3.

1 A. We believe that some of the parties mentioned or
2 listed on Exhibit 3 will indeed execute leases to Matador.

3 Q. And will Matador update the Division in the
4 event that a lease agreement is --

5 A. Yes. Yes, we will update.

6 Q. And in Exhibit No. 4 is there that an AFE for
7 the cost proposals for the well?

8 A. Yes, there is an AFE.

9 Q. And does that AFE contain an estimated dry hole
10 cost?

11 A. It does.

12 Q. And what is that?

13 A. It's roughly 3.97 million.

14 Q. And does the AFE contain a completed well cost
15 for the well?

16 A. It does.

17 Q. And what is that?

18 A. Roughly 8.96 million.

19 Q. And are those costs in line with the costs of
20 other horizontal wells drilled to this length and depth in
21 this area of New Mexico?

22 A. Yes, they are.

23 Q. And in your opinion has Matador made a
24 good-faith effort to obtain the voluntary joinder in the
25 well from the parties you're seeking to pool?

1 A. Yes, we have.

2 Q. Who should be appointed as the operator of the
3 well?

4 A. Matador Production Company.

5 Q. And do you have a recommendation for the amounts
6 which Matador should be paid for the supervision and
7 administrative expenses?

8 A. I do.

9 Q. And what is that?

10 A. 7,000 per month for a drilling well and 700 per
11 month for a producing well.

12 Q. And are those amounts equivalent to those
13 normally charged by Matador and other operators in this
14 area for horizontal wells of this length and depth?

15 A. They are.

16 Q. And do you request that these rates be adjusted
17 periodically as provided by the COPAS Accounting
18 procedure?

19 A. I do.

20 Q. Does Matador request the maximum cost plus 200
21 percent risk charge if any working interest owner goes
22 non-consent on the well?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Were the parties that you are seeking to pool
25 notified of this hearing?

1 A. They were.

2 MS. BRADFUTE: And Mr. Hearing Examiner, if
3 you look at what's been marked as Exhibit No. 7, it's an
4 affidavit that I executed concerning Notice, and that
5 exhibit also contains the Notice letters that were sent to
6 the parties being pooled along with all of the Proof of
7 Mailing receipts. And we also published Notice and listed
8 any parties who we received undelivered mailings from or
9 didn't receive a green card back.

10 Q. Were Exhibits Nos. 1 through 6 prepared by you
11 under your supervision, or compiled from company business
12 records?

13 A. They were.

14 Q. Is the granting of this application in the
15 interest of conservation and the prevention of waste?

16 A. Yes, ma'am.

17 MS. BRADFUTE: Mr. Examiner, I would like
18 to move that Exhibits 1 through 7 be admitted into
19 evidence.

20 EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 1 through 7 are
21 admitted.

22 (Matador Production Company Exhibits 1
23 through 7 were offered and admitted.)

24 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Before I forget,
25 that newspaper Notice, how many people did you have to put

1 in there?

2 MS. BRADFUTE: At the time we sent it we
3 wanted it to meet the deadline for the publication, 10
4 business days before the hearing, so we listed all of the
5 parties who we hadn't received green cards from at that
6 point in time. We received two undelivered green notices,
7 and then one we didn't receive a green card for.

8 And those parties are all listed.

9 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Thank you.

10 EXAMINATION

11 BY EXAMINER JONES:

12 Q. And so the only people are these unleased --

13 The entire south half is fee; is that
14 correct?

15 A. That's correct.

16 Q. Do these people own undivided interests? Is
17 there any tracts separate from each other?

18 A. They are undivided interest under a 160-acre
19 tract.

20 Q. Under -- which one is -- which 160? Is it
21 the...

22 A. I don't know which one.

23 Q. But it's basically -- so one of the -- so that
24 you can divide the 320 into a 160? It's probably a
25 quarter.

1 A. Right.

2 Q. So there are basically two tracts. At least
3 two.

4 A. That is correct.

5 Q. And they are all fee. So you have joinder in
6 the other 160, complete joinder in the other 160.

7 A. Yes. Right.

8 Q. Okay. These -- The Guitars and the
9 Witherspoons, they didn't want to join but they said
10 initially they did? Is that correct?

11 A. Well, we were struggling with a lease form that
12 was provided by that family, and there were provisions in
13 that form that we had some serious concerns with. Now,
14 ultimately most of the family was receptive to the changes
15 that we proposed, but, as with most families, there's
16 always one or two.

17 Q. The lease form that you finally have proposed to
18 them, is that in here anywhere?

19 This is it, isn't it. It's in Exhibit
20 No. 4? Is this the lease that went out to them, two-page
21 lease?

22 A. No. No, sir. It's a much larger lease. I
23 don't remember exactly how many pages but it's, you know,
24 18, 20 or more.

25 Q. Oh, really.

1 A. I would prefer a much smaller lease.

2 Q. Did they have a representative that --
3 representative like an attorney that represented them?

4 A. One of the individuals who thus far has not
5 signed a lease was their representative. And frankly,
6 that's what slowed us down. He took the lead and kind of
7 held back fruitful negotiations with the entire family.

8 Q. Okay. In the original hearing record I noticed
9 that there was appearance, I think, by a couple of members
10 of the family, or at least there was two people named for
11 compulsory pooling, and then -- I guess the idea was that
12 they were going to sign, and so we went ahead without
13 compulsory pooling.

14 A. That's correct.

15 Q. Okay. So --

16 A. We made a lot of progress with the family. At
17 the end of the day, I think there will just be a few
18 people. We are continuing with those negotiations, and we
19 will continue until there is absolutely no possibility
20 that we will come to terms with them.

21 Q. Can you summarize the lease terms that you
22 offered them, as far as the number of years, the bonus,
23 the percent?

24 A. Sure. It would have been, uh, quarter royalty
25 and three-year term, \$1500 an acre.

1 Q. The AFE that I see here is for October of 2014.
2 Is that -- would those numbers be less now or more than
3 they were before?

4 A. The witness that will follow me is probably your
5 best source for that information.

6 Q. Okay.

7 EXAMINER JONES: That's all I got.

8 MR. WADE: I do not have any questions.

9 EXAMINER JONES: Thanks, counselor.

10 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

11 MR. DeBRINE: Mr. Examiner, we would like
12 to call our next witness, Jeron Williamson.

13 JERON WILLIAMSON,

14 having been previously sworn, testified as follows:

15 DIRECT EXAMINATION

16 BY MR. DeBRINE:

17 Q. Would you please state your name.

18 A. May name is Jeron Williamson.

19 Q. Who do you work for, Mr. Williamson?

20 A. I am employed by MRC Energy Company, an
21 affiliate of Matador Production Company.

22 Q. And what are your duties and responsibilities
23 for MRC?

24 A. I'm a senior staff reservoir engineer.

25 Q. Can you just previously describe your

1 educational background and work history within the oil and
2 gas industry.

3 A. Sure. I have 18 years experience in the
4 industry. I have 13 years with Slumber J Dade &
5 Consulting Services, four years with Talisman Energy, and
6 the last year I've been employed by Matador.

7 Q. Do you hold any certifications or belong to any
8 professional associations?

9 A. Yes. I'm a member of the Society of Petroleum
10 Engineers.

11 Q. Does your work as petroleum engineer include
12 working with geologists for MRC and evaluating the
13 geological characteristics of drilling targets for the
14 company?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Have you previously testified before the
17 Division?

18 A. Yes, I have.

19 Q. Were your credentials accepted and made a matter
20 of record in those proceedings?

21 A. Yes.

22 MR. DeBRINE: We would tender
23 Mr. Williamson as an expert in petroleum engineering and
24 geological matters.

25 EXAMINER JONES: Mr. Williamson, what was

1 your undergraduate degree?

2 THE WITNESS: Bachelor's of Science degree
3 in petroleum engineering from Texas A & M.

4 EXAMINER JONES: And are you an analyst,
5 also?

6 THE WITNESS: No, I would not attest to
7 that.

8 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Mr. Williamson is
9 qualified as an expert in petroleum reservoir engineering.

10 Q. (BY MR. DeBRINE) Are you familiar with the
11 Application that has been filed by Matador in this case?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Are you also familiar with the status of the
14 lands that are the subject of the application?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Are you familiar with the APD that was filed for
17 the Tom Walters 12-23S-27E RB 203H well?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Have you conducted an engineering and geological
20 study of the area embracing the proposed spacing area for
21 the well?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. If you could please turn to what has been marked
24 as Exhibit 9 and explain to the examiner what's
25 represented by this exhibit and what conclusions can be

1 drawn from it.

2 A. Sure. Exhibit 9 is a slide that shows the
3 Cass Draw-Wolfcamp pool area where the Tom Walters 203H is
4 to be drilled. This is a slide that shows a structure map
5 of the top of Wolfcamp subsea. The contoured intervals
6 here are 50 feet, so you can see there that the structure
7 is dipping -- it's about a 1-to-2-degree dip towards the
8 east.

9 Shown on this page is the project area in
10 yellow, outlined in red, with the subject well to be
11 drilled west to east in that south half of the Section 12.

12 Also depicted on this map is the A to A
13 prime cross section that we will refer to in a later
14 exhibit.

15 Q. Did you identify if there were any geological
16 impediments to drilling a horizontal well?

17 A. There do not appear to be.

18 Q. If you would turn to Exhibit 10 and explain to
19 the examiner what this represents.

20 A. This is a stratigraphic cross section of the A
21 to A prime shown on the previous exhibit. There's three
22 wells shown here in the vicinity of Section 12. You'll
23 see these are hung on the top of the Wolfcamp is the
24 datum. The pink line there depicts the top of Wolfcamp
25 and then the yellow line depicts the base of the Wolfcamp.

1 So we've got over 1500 feet of gross interval here.

2 Included in the cross section, kind of left
3 to right, the tracts are the gamma ray, resistivity and
4 density logs. The subject well is depicted there with the
5 dark red line. So our plans are to land this lateral in
6 the upper portion of the Wolfcamp.

7 Q. Did you consider these wells to be
8 representative of the Wolfcamp Formation in this area?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And if you will turn back to Exhibit 9, are the
11 wells that are the subject of the cross section, are those
12 depicted on Exhibit 9?

13 A. They are, yes.

14 Q. Could you please turn to what's been marked as
15 Exhibit 11 and explain to the examiner what this document
16 represents.

17 A. Exhibit 11 is an isopach map of the gross
18 Wolfcamp. We're looking at about 1550, 1575 feet of
19 thickness of Wolfcamp in this south half of Section 12.
20 There's some thinning as you move easterly, northeasterly.

21 Q. And what conclusions did you draw from your
22 study of the area with regard to this prospect?

23 A. Our conclusions are that we feel like there's
24 significant reservoir here. We don't anticipate any
25 drilling hazards of any kind, and we do expect this to be

1 a productive and successful well for us.

2 Q. Based on the geology of the formation, do you
3 expect each quarter quarter section of the project to be
4 productive for the Wolfcamp and to contribute relatively
5 equally to the well?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Do you believe that horizontal drilling is the
8 most efficient method to recover the resources for this
9 area?

10 A. Yes, I do.

11 Q. Do you believe that it will prevent the drilling
12 of unnecessary wells and result in the greatest economic
13 ultimate recovery?

14 A. I do, yes.

15 Q. If you could turn to Exhibit 12, Mr. Williamson,
16 and just walk the examiner through this exhibit and what
17 it represents.

18 A. This is just a construction diagram of the well
19 situated there within the Section 12 boundary. The first
20 and last perforations in this well will be 330 feet off
21 the project boundary lines.

22 Q. And as previously indicated, the location will
23 be unorthodox and the Division granted the NSL with regard
24 to the unorthodox location for the well?

25 A. That's correct.

1 Q. In your opinion, would the granting of Matador's
2 Application be in the best interest of conservation and
3 prevention of waste and production of correlative rights?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Were Exhibits 9 through 12 prepared by you and
6 compiled under your direction or supervision?

7 A. Yes, they were.

8 MR. DeBRINE: Mr. Examiner, we move the
9 admission of Exhibits 9 through 12.

10 EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 9 through 12
11 will be admitted.

12 (Matador Production Company Exhibits 9 through
13 12 were offered and admitted.)

14 MR. DeBRINE: And I would note for the
15 record there is no Exhibit 8. We skipped one.

16 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. There was a plan
17 for Exhibit 8 and it...

18 MR. DeBRINE: We originally had two Notice
19 exhibits, so we just consolidated them into one.

20 EXAMINATION

21 BY EXAMINER JONES:

22 Q. Mr. Williamson, in 13 years with Summer J, I bet
23 you know about logs.

24 A. Well...

25 Q. Even though you say you're not a log analyst --

1 A. I would not attest to being a log analyst but
2 yeah.

3 Q. You keep saying that, but...

4 So why are you drilling this well in this
5 spot in the Upper Wolfcamp? How did you pick it?

6 A. We actually have existing wells in this similar
7 portion of the Upper Wolfcamp, about six -- there's a
8 couple of wells six to eight miles to the southeast.

9 We tested the Upper Wolfcamp in those wells
10 and have had really good results, so we wanted to test
11 that here, as well.

12 Q. Yeah. I look at these log characteristics, and
13 of course it's kind of compressed but is there any one log
14 characteristic you would look at to pick a well location?

15 A. Well, it's -- admittedly it's a little tough to
16 see, but, you know, we are landing this well in an area
17 that if you will look on the Column No. 1 log, and that's
18 the third track, the density porosity is -- the cut-off
19 there that is a cut-off with the red shading is 8 percent
20 porosity.

21 So there's sections throughout the Wolfcamp
22 and where we're planning on landing this lateral that have
23 porosity in excess of 8 percent. So it's -- have an 8 to
24 12, 15 percent porosity in the area where we intend to put
25 this well.

1 Q. Okay. Basically falling back to what they use
2 in The Rockies, you're using a density porosity.

3 A. It's density porosity in conjunction with, you
4 know, looking at the resistivity log and trying to land in
5 areas where we've got, you know, at least 10 Ohms.

6 Q. At least 10 and not less than 10.

7 A. Yeah.

8 Q. So these other wells that produce in the Upper
9 Wolfcamp, I guess they're a ways away, but do you know
10 what the oil gravity is?

11 A. Uh, I don't know off the top of my head what
12 that number is.

13 Q. What about the GOR?

14 A. The GOR in those two wells are about 1800 SCF
15 per barrel.

16 Q. So that's producing GOR.

17 A. That's correct.

18 Q. What do you think the reservoir GOR would be
19 downhole to your...

20 A. I don't have an answer for that.

21 Q. Okay. I noticed that this location is
22 surrounded by a Cass Draw-Wolfcamp Northwest. Uhm, I
23 believe it's a gas pool. It's called a gas pool.

24 On your cross section here you put Cass
25 Draw-Wolfcamp Pool, which would imply it's an oil pool.

1 Is that a typo or just --

2 A. It's a gas pool is my understanding.

3 MS. BRADFUTE: On the location it has the
4 gas pool number.

5 EXAMINER JONES: Okay.

6 Q. And what about these costs? You think -- are
7 they higher now or are they less, lower?

8 A. They would very likely be less now. I think it
9 was put together towards the end of the year, toward the
10 end of the year last year. Prices have come down. You're
11 looking at 25 to 35 percent less.

12 Q. Really.

13 A. In some instances.

14 So it's very likely it will be less.

15 Q. Okay. Did you prepare these exhibits or were
16 they prepared under someone that works for you?

17 A. They were prepared by a geologist in house.

18 Q. And the AFE was by a drilling engineer?

19 A. It was combination drilling, completion, and
20 production engineers put together the AFE.

21 Q. I appreciate you bringing a thorough case here,
22 even though it's one spacing unit. I appreciate it.

23 MR. DeBRINE: Sure.

24 EXAMINER JONES: You got any more
25 questions?

1 MR. WADE: No.
2 EXAMINER JONES: Thank you, Mr. Williamson.
3 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
4 MR. DeBRINE: That concludes our
5 presentation. Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
6 EXAMINER JONES: Okay.
7 Okay. Case No. 15245 (Re-opened) is taken
8 under advisement.
9 (Time noted 9:15 a.m.)

10
11
12
13
14
15
16 I do hereby certify that the foregoing is
17 a complete record of the proceedings in
18 the Examiner hearing of Case No. _____
19 heard by me on _____

20 _____, Examiner
21 Oil Conservation Division
22
23
24
25

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO)

2) SS.

3 COUNTY OF TAOS)
4
5
6
7

8 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

9 I, MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, New Mexico Reporter
10 CCR No. 122, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that on Thursday, August
11 20, 2015, the proceedings in the above-captioned matter
12 were taken before me, that I did report in stenographic
shorthand the proceedings set forth herein, and the
foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription to the
best of my ability and control.

13 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by
14 nor related to nor contracted with (unless excepted by the
15 rules) any of the parties or attorneys in this case, and
16 that I have no interest whatsoever in the final
17 disposition of this case in any court.
18
19



20 MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, CCR
21 NM Certified Court Reporter No. 122
22 License Expires: 12/31/2015
23
24
25