Page 1 IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED ORIGINAL BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING: APPLICATION OF CIMAREX ENERGY CASE NO. 15619 COMPANY FOR A NONSTANDARD SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT, PROJECT AREA AND COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. Consolidated with . CASE NO. 15620 APPLICATION OF CIMAREX ENERGY COMPANY FOR A NONSTANDARD SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT, PROJECT AREA 10 AND COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY 11 COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 12 <u>1</u>.3. EXAMINER HEARING 14 February 2, 2017 15 Santa Fe, New Mexico BEFORE: PHILLIP GOETZE, CHIEF EXAMINER 16 DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL EXAMINER 17 · This matter came on for hearing before the 18 New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Phillip Goetze, 19 Chief Examiner, and David K. Brooks, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, February 2, 2017, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino 20 -Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, 21 Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico. 22 REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR New Mexico CCR #20 23 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 24 (505) 843-9241

```
Page 2
 1
                             APPEARANCES
 2
     FOR APPLICANT CIMAREX ENERGY COMPANY:
 3
          JENNIFER L. BRADFUTE, ESQ.
          MODRALL, SPERLING, ROEHL, HARRIS & SISK, P.A.
          500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 1000
          Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
 5
          (505) 848-1800
          jlb@modrall.com
 6
     FOR MOBIL PRODUCING TEXAS & NEW MEXICO, INC.
         JORDAN L. KESSLER, ESQ.
          HOLLAND & HART
 9
          110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1
          Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
          (505) 988-4421
10
          jlkessler@hollandhart.com
11
12
     ALSO PRESENT: James Bruce, Esq.
13
14
                                INDEX
15
                                                            PAGE
     Case Numbers 15619 and 15620 Called
                                                               3
16
     Request for Case to be Taken Under Advisement
                                                               3
17
18
     Proceedings Conclude
                                                               6
     Certificate of Court Reporter
                                                               7
19
20
21
22
                   EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED
23
     (No exhibits offered.)
24
25
```

(8:21 a.m.) EXAMINER GOETZE: Therefore, we will start with Case Number 15619, application of Cimarex Energy nonstandard spacing and proration unit, project area and compulsory pooling Eddy County, New Mexico, along with 5 Case Number 15620, application of Cimarex Energy Company for a nonstandard spacing and proration unit, project area and compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico. Call for appearances. MS. BRADFUTE: Mr. Examiners, my name is 10 Jennifer Bradfute, and I'm with the Modrall Sperling Law 11 12 Firm here on behalf of Cimarex Energy. 13 MS. KESSLER: Jordan Kessler, from the Santa Fe office of Holland & Hart, on behalf of Mobil 14 15 Producing Texas & New Mexico. Very good. Proceed... 16 EXAMINER GOETZE: MS. BRADFUTE: Mr. Examiner, this case was 18 continued from the January 19th docket because the 'Affidavit of Notice hadn't been published outside the 19 20 ten-day business period. The Affidavit of Publication 21 was presented as an exhibit at the hearing on January . 22 19th, so we continued the hearing to this date in order 23 to see if anyone appeared at the hearing to present any 24 objections to the applications. EXAMINER GOETZE: So we're fulfilling the 25

and I will discuss it with the director before adopting

where a published -- a notice is published late, that it

a new policy. But it seems to me that there is -- in

cases of this type where a publication affidavit --

22

23

24

25

- 1 should be republished rather than merely delaying the
- 2 hearing two weeks.
- The reason it seems that way is because it
- 4 is very unlikely that anybody is going to appear at a
- 5 hearing pursuant to a published notice, of course. It's
- 6 so unlikely that maybe we should disregard it
- 7 altogether. But at the same time, it's even more
- 8 unlikely that anybody has read any notice in the
- 9 newspaper after January the whatever and finds out that
- 10 there was a hearing on that date that's already past is
- 11 going to come in and appear on a hearing two weeks later
- 12 that is not mentioned in the notice. So I just put that
- 13 out for information.
- MS. BRADFUTE: Okay. Thank you.
- 15 EXAMINER GOETZE: Okay. Thank you.
- MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Mr. Brooks is
- 17 incorrect because I've had three cases with people that
- 18 showed up from publication. Mr. Carr can explain those.
- 19 (Laughter.)
- 20 EXAMINER BROOKS: But did they show up on
- 21 the date published, or did they show up on some other
- 22 date?
- MR. BRUCE: They -- they filed
- 24 applications to vacate the pooling orders.
- 25 EXAMINER BROOKS: Oh.

	Page 6
1	EXAMINER GOETZE: Well, evidently the
2	attorneys will have to discuss this at length to come
3	forward with some guidance, I'm sure.
4	EXAMINER BROOKS: Yes. This is strictly a
5	legal issue.
6	EXAMINER GOETZE: Very good. That'll be it
7	for this case.
8	MS. BRADFUTE: Thank you.
9	(Case Numbers 15619 and 15620 conclude,
10	8:25 a.m.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	1 Go horn
16	the board of the proceedings in the Conservation Discourse No. 15619/15620
17	heard by me or proceedings is
18	
19	Oil Conservation Divion Examiner
20	-contep
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	·