STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING: ORIGINAL

APPLICATION OF COG OPERATING, LLC FOR A NONSTANDARD SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT AND COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

CASE NO. 15764

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

August 3, 2017

Santa Fe, New Mexico

RECEIVED OCI

BEFORE: PHILLIP GOETZE, CHIEF EXAMINER

DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL EXAMINER

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Phillip Goetze, Chief Examiner, and David K. Brooks, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, August 3, 2017, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR

New Mexico CCR #20

Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105

Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

(505) 843-9241

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES	
2	FOR APPLICANT COG OPERATING, LLC:	
3	JORDAN L. KESSLER, ESQ. HOLLAND & HART, LLP	
4	110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501	
5	(505) 988-4421	
6	jlkessler@hollandhart.com	
7		
8	INDEX	
9		PAGE
10	Case Number 15764 Called	3
11	COG Operating, LLC's Case-in-Chief:	
12	Witnesses:	
13	Adam Reker:	
14	Direct Examination by Ms. Kessler Cross-Examination by Examiner Brooks	3 9
15	Redirect Examination by Ms. Kessler	12 12
16	Cross-Examination by Examiner Goetze	12
17	Cody Bacon:	
18	Direct Examination by Ms. Kessler Cross-Examination by Examiner Goetze	13 17
19	Proceedings Conclude	18
20	Certificate of Court Reporter	19
21		
22	EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED	i
23	COG Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 1 through 5	9
24	COG Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 6 through 8	16
25		

- 1 (10:04 a.m.)
- 2 EXAMINER GOETZE: Back on the regular
- 3 docket, Case Number 15764, application of COG Operating,
- 4 LLC for a nonstandard spacing and proration unit and
- 5 compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico.
- 6 Call for appearances.
- 7 MS. KESSLER: Jordan Kessler, from the
- 8 Santa Fe office of Holland & Hart, on behalf of the
- 9 Applicant.
- 10 EXAMINER GOETZE: Any other appearances?
- MS. KESSLER: Two witnesses today.
- 12 EXAMINER GOETZE: Would the witnesses
- 13 please stand and state your name for the court reporter,
- 14 and she shall swear you?
- MR. BACON: Cody Bacon.
- MR. REKER: Adam Reker, R-E-K-E-R.
- 17 (Mr. Bacon and Mr. Reker sworn.)
- 18 MS. KESSLER: I'll call my first witness.
- 19 EXAMINER GOETZE: Please.
- 20 ADAM REKER,
- 21 after having been first duly sworn under oath, was
- 22 questioned and testified as follows:
- 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 24 BY MR. KESSLER:
- 25 Q. Please state your name for the record and tell

- 1 the Examiner by whom you are employed and in what
- 2 capacity.
- 3 A. I'm Adam Reker. I'm a landman for COG
- 4 Operating, LLC.
- 5 Q. Have you previously testified before the
- 6 Division?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Were your credentials as a petroleum landman
- 9 accepted and made a matter of record?
- 10 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 11 Q. Are you familiar with the application filed in
- 12 this case?
- 13 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 14 Q. And are you familiar with the status of the
- 15 lands in the subject area?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiners, I would tender
- 18 Mr. Reker as an expert in petroleum land matters.
- 19 EXAMINER GOETZE: He is so qualified.
- Q. (BY MS. KESSLER) Mr. Reker, please turn to
- 21 Exhibit 1 and explain what COG seeks under this
- 22 application.
- 23 A. This is the C-102 plat for the Malco 23 Fed Com
- 24 #13H well. It's in an unorthodox location in the east
- 25 half of the west half of Section 23, Township 17 South,

- 1 Range 28 East, in Eddy County, New Mexico.
- 2 Q. Are you seeking to pool all of the uncommitted
- 3 interest owners in the entire Glorieta-Yeso Pool?
- 4 A. Yes, we are.
- 5 Q. And that pool and pool code are both identified
- on the C-102; is that correct?
- 7 A. Yes. It's Pool Code 96210. It's the entire
- 8 Glorieta-Yeso Pool.
- 9 Q. Has an APD been approved for this well?
- 10 A. Yes, ma'am. The API number is 30-015-44297.
- 11 Q. And what is the character of the lands that
- 12 make up this spacing unit?
- 13 A. The entire spacing unit is all federal land.
- Q. For the entire Glorieta-Yeso Pool, do the
- 15 Division statewide setbacks apply?
- 16 A. Yes, 330-foot spacing.
- 17 Q. This completed interval will not comply with
- 18 the Division statewide setbacks?
- 19 A. It will not. We are applying for an unorthodox
- 20 location administratively.
- 21 Q. And I understand that that application has been
- 22 submitted but not yet approved?
- 23 A. Correct. We have a sundry from the BLM that
- 24 has been approved for that unorthodox -- unorthodox
- 25 location, but the OCD has not approved it yet.

- 1 an AFE?
- 2 A. Yes. AFEs went out with each proposal letter.
- 3 Q. Are the costs on this AFE consistent with what
- 4 other operators in the area charge for similar wells?
- 5 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 6 Q. Please discuss the additional efforts that you
- 7 undertook to reach agreement with the parties you're
- 8 requesting to pool.
- 9 A. It varied by owner. We're actually in
- 10 negotiations to buy three of the owners' interests.
- 11 Additionally, I requested that COG get a term assignment
- 12 from all owners. Some have been completely
- 13 unresponsive. Some, we have negotiated the JOA, and
- 14 they just haven't signed it yet. So they are on my list
- to be pooled today, but we will get a voluntary
- 16 agreement by spud. But it kind of varied depending on
- 17 which owner it was. Some were completely unresponsive,
- 18 like I said. But I did make efforts to term assign
- 19 every owner in the spacing unit.
- 20 Q. Did the well-proposal letter also offer a term
- 21 assignment --
- 22 A. Additionally, the proposal letter did, as you
- 23 can see in that third or fourth paragraph in the
- 24 terms -- initial terms that I set out.
- 25 Q. And if you reach agreement with any of the

	Page 8
1	parties you are now requesting to pool, will you
2	identify the Division?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Have you estimated overhead and administrative
5	costs for drilling and producing this well?
6	A. Yes. We estimated 6,000 for drilling and 600
7	for producing.
8	Q. Is that in line with what COG and other
9	operators in the area are charging for similar Yeso
10	wells?
11	A. Yes. It's a shallower well.
12	Q. Do you request that those costs be incorporated
13	into any order resulting from this hearing?
14	A. We do.
15	Q. Do you ask that those costs be adjusted in
16	accordance with the COPAS accounting procedures?
17	A. Yes, we do.
18	Q. For uncommitted working interest owners, are
19	you requesting that the Division impose a 200 percent
20	risk penalty?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. And did you identify the offset operators or
23	lessees of record in the 40-acre tracts surrounding the
24	proposed nonstandard spacing unit?

We did.

25

The -- sorry. I haven't been listening as

24

25

Q.

carefully as I should have.

1 Are all of the parties to whom -- how did

- 2 you come up with a list of people that you served --
- 3 that you included on the -- on the legal notice that was
- 4 published in the newspaper?
- 5 A. So we looked at offsetting ownership as far as
- 6 operatorship in wells. If not, we -- if there were no
- 7 wells in the offsetting 40-acre tracts, we looked up
- 8 lessee of record.
- 9 Q. Now, this is for the offsetting tracts?
- 10 A. Yes. That's for the offsetting tracts. And
- 11 the owners that we are pooling, we got a title opinion
- 12 for it, and that's how those interest owners were
- 13 located.
- 14 Q. Did you publish as to owners that you're
- 15 pooling -- or did you publish legal notice directly to
- 16 the owners that you're pooling?
- MS. KESSLER: The pooled parties, yes,
- 18 Mr. Examiner.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 20 EXAMINER BROOKS: Where is that in this?
- MS. KESSLER: That's Exhibit 5.
- 22 Q. (BY EXAMINER BROOKS) And did you include all of
- 23 the uncommitted owners in the -- on the legal notice?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Even though that -- so that -- that notice

- 1 duplicates the list that you made to --
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Now, are there some owners that you mailed to
- 4 that you did not get return receipts from?
- 5 A. No. We got return receipts. Actually, several
- of these owners are in other COG-operated wells. So we
- 7 have good addresses, and they're currently being paid on
- 8 other wells. They were just unresponsive on this well.
- 9 Q. So did you get return receipts from everyone
- 10 you mailed to?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And there is nobody to whom you do not have an
- 13 address?
- 14 A. Correct. We have addresses on everyone.
- 15 Q. Okay. I don't have to worry about your
- 16 publication. Thank you.
- 17 A. It was just to cover our bases.
- 18 Q. Yes. Well, I understand that a lot of people
- 19 do that because at the time you send out your certified
- 20 mail, you do not know whether you're going to get return
- 21 receipts.
- 22 A. Some of them come back in a week, and some come
- 23 back in six weeks. It just depends.
- Q. Return receipts has gotten so slow coming back.
- 25 It didn't used to be like that. They've gotten really

	Page 12
1	slow coming back, so I can understand.
2	MS. KESSLER: One follow-up question, if I
3	may.
4	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MS. KESSLER:
6	Q. Were you able to communicate with all of the
7	parties that you are seeking to pool?
8	A. Yes.
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION
10	BY EXAMINER GOETZE:
11	Q. And I only have one question. I notice in the
12	offset parties, we have S.P. Yates. Is this related to
13	the Yates as far as corporation, or is this a private
14	individual?
15	A. It's a private individual associated with the
16	Yates family, and that was that was one that was a
17	lessee of record as opposed to being an operator. And
18	he was noticed.
19	Q. There is no conflict with something that was
20	acquired by EOG?
21	A. I don't believe so, no. Not that I'm aware of.
22	I wasn't informed by S.P. Yates.
23	Q. I know you weren't there when they signed the
24	documents, but still
25	A. Right.

Are you familiar with the application filed in

24

25

Q.

this case?

- l A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And have you conducted a study of the lands
- 3 that are the subject of this application?
- 4 A. I have.
- 5 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiners, I would tender
- 6 Mr. Bacon as an expert in petroleum geology.
- 7 EXAMINER GOETZE: He's so qualified.
- Q. (BY MS. KESSLER) Mr. Bacon, let's look at
- 9 Exhibit 6. Can you please identify this exhibit for the
- 10 Examiners?
- 11 A. Sure. This is a subsea structure map across
- 12 the area. It has a 100-foot contour interval. The
- 13 yellow shading is the proposed spacing unit. And the
- 14 red line within that yellow shading is the location of
- 15 the Malco 13H, and it shows the north-to-south
- 16 orientation. The circles that are blue are wells that
- 17 are producing from the Blinebry. The circles that are
- 18 red are wells producing from the Paddock.
- As you can see from the structure map, it
- 20 demonstrates a very gentle west-to-east dip. It's very
- 21 consistent. It shows no faulting. And when you look
- 22 further to the south where your contour interval is --
- 23 excuse me -- where the contours are getting closer
- 24 together, that is approximately where you begin to go
- 25 from the shelf down into the Delaware Basin.

- 1 Q. Is Exhibit 7 a zoomed-in map of the line of
- 2 section drawn on it?
- 3 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. What is the line labeled A to A prime?
- 5 A. The pink line labeled "A to A prime" is the
- 6 line of section across the area, and the pink dots are
- 7 the wells that make up that line of section.
- 8 Q. Do you consider the wells used on this
- 9 cross-section line representative of Yeso wells in the
- 10 area?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Is Exhibit 8 a corresponding cross-section
- 13 exhibit?
- 14 A. It is.
- 15 Q. Can you please walk us through this?
- 16 A. This is a structural cross section, A to A
- 17 prime, from the previous exhibit. Looking at the very
- 18 base of the cross section is the bold red line. That
- 19 indicates the top of the Blinebry. The bold red line
- 20 above that one is the top of the Paddock, and above that
- 21 is the top of the Glorieta. The green shading is the
- 22 Paddock Formation, which is the target interval for this
- 23 well, and on the far left side is the approximation of
- 24 the landing zone. It demonstrates that the thickness
- 25 across the area is very consistent. There is no major

- 1 structural change. There is no faulting, no pinch-outs
- 2 or any other geologic impediments.
- 3 Q. No impediments or hazards to drilling a
- 4 horizontal well?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. In your opinion, can the area be efficiently
- 7 and economically developed by horizontal wells?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And in your opinion, will each quarter-quarter
- 10 section contribute more or less equally to production
- 11 from the well?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And finally, in your opinion, will granting
- 14 this application be in the best interest of
- 15 conservation, for the prevention of waste and the
- 16 protection of correlative rights?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Were Exhibits 6 through 8 compiled by you or
- 19 prepared under your direction or supervision?
- 20 A. They were.
- 21 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiner, I move
- 22 admission of Exhibits 6 through 8.
- 23 EXAMINER GOETZE: Exhibits 6 through 8 are
- 24 so entered.
- 25 (COG Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 6

	Page 17
1	through 8 are offered and admitted into
2	evidence.)
3	MS. KESSLER: Thank you.
4	EXAMINER GOETZE: Any questions?
5	EXAMINER BROOKS: I have no questions for
6 this witness.	
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION
8 BY EXAMINER GO	ETZE:
9 Q. I mus	t congratulate you that you have found 160
10 acres in a str	aight line that doesn't have a vertical
11 well in this a	rea.
12 A. Thank	you.
13 Q. So on	that note, the preexisting wells in this
14 area in produc	tion, you feel will not affect your
15 completion of	this well?
16 A. I don	't believe so.
17 Q. And I	notice that we have both Paddock and
18 Blinebry produ	ction. The current target is the
19 shallower zone	or shallower producer. Are there any
20 plans or inter	est in going to the lower?
21 A. Inter	est, yes. As far as plans, I am not sure.
22 Q. Okay.	So we are looking at just going for the
23 shallower for	now?
24 A. That'	s correct.
25	EXAMINER GOETZE: I have no further

	Page 18
1	questions for this witness.
2	MS. KESSLER: Thank you. I'd ask this case
3	be taken under advisement.
4	EXAMINER GOETZE: Very good.
5	Then Case Number 15764 is taken under
6	advisement.
7	Thank you very much.
8	(Case Number 15764 concludes, 10:19 a.m.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	the hareby carrify that the foregotte to
16	in Examiner hearing of Case May 150///
17	2007 by me on August 3 2017
	Multiple Standard
18	Oll Conservation Division
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
1	