STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 13,695

APPLICATION OF CHAPARRAL ENERGY, LLC, FOR APPROVAL OF A SALTWATER DISPOSAL WELL, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: RICHARD EZEANYIM, Hearing Examiner

JUL 13

July 6th, 2006

Santa Fe, New Mexico

3 PM

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, RICHARD EZEANYIM, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, July 6th, 2006, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

INDEX

July 6th, 2006 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 13,695

PAGE

APPEARANCES

3

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

11

* * *

EXHIBITS

Applicant's	Identified	Admitted
Exhibit 3	5	8
Exhibit	A 5	8
Exhibit	B 5	8
Exhibit	C 6	8
Exhibit	D 6	8
Exhibit	E 6	8
Exhibit 4	7	8

* * *

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

GAIL MacQUESTEN
Deputy General Counsel
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR THE APPLICANT:

HINKLE, HENSLEY, SHANOR & MARTIN, L.L.P. 218 Montezuma
P.O. Box 2068
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
By: GARY W. LARSON

* * *

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 8:24 a.m.: 2 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: All right, the next is that I 3 have to call Case Number 13,695, and this is the 4 Application of Chaparral Energy, LLC, for a saltwater 5 disposal well, Lea County, New Mexico. 6 Call for appearances. 7 MR. LARSON: Good morning, Mr. Examiner, Gary 8 Larson for Chaparral Energy. 9 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Any other appearances? 10 This case was heard on June 8th and was continued 11 12 in four weeks today, so that -- to give the Applicant the opportunity to present the following, as I understand that. 13 You need to present the diagram of all the area of the new 14 wells that were mentioned in the transcript on that date, 15 and then submit your formation tops from the surface to 16 9000 feet, the surface -- formation tops from the surface 17 to 9000 feet, and also a diagram of the injection well 18 19 before conversion to injection well. 20 So do you have those information to submit today? 21 MR. LARSON: Yes, I do. If I might approach? 22 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Sure, you may. 23 MR. LARSON: What I've handed to you, Mr. Examiner, is a supplemental affidavit of Ronald K. Brown. 24 25 Mr. Brown provided testimony at the hearing on June the

8th, and he was directed by the Hearing Examiner at that time to provide the additional information and documents that you just listed. I've marked this as Chaparral Hearing Exhibit Number 3.

EXAMINER EZEANYIM: This one?

MR. LARSON: The one I just handed you, correct.

Exhibit A to the supplemental affidavit are copies of the certified return receipts of the hearing notice to Phoenix Hydrocarbons and Weldon Dallas, who's the grazing lease owner of the property.

Mr. Brown had submitted an initial affidavit at the June 8th hearing. He attached the letter but neglected to attach the certified return receipts, so these are being offered now to supplement his initial affidavit.

EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. There is also a requirement that you supply an Application to the State Land Office. Is that done too?

MR. LARSON: Yes, that is Exhibit B. It's a letter to the Commissioner of Public Lands. Along with this letter Chaparral submitted a copy of its Application and provided notice of the hearing today. As of late yesterday afternoon, Chaparral had not yet received the return receipt for this letter.

EXAMINER EZEANYIM: From BLM -- I mean -- BLM, right? State Land Office?

MR. LARSON: State Land Office, correct. 1 know if it's a problem with the postal service, but as soon 2 as they receive that, and I will file it in the record in 3 4 this case. EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. 5 MR. LARSON: Exhibit C to the supplemental 6 affidavit is the conversion diagram of the well that is the 7 subject of Chaparral's Application. 8 This is before the well was EXAMINER EZEANYIM: 9 converted to injection? 10 Correct. 11 MR. LARSON: EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. 12 13 MR. LARSON: Exhibit D to the supplemental affidavit is a diagram of the Bell B well, which is the 14 plugged and abandoned well in the area of concern. 15 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. And this well is owned 16 by you or by other operators? This Bell B? 17 MR. LARSON: It is not owned by Chaparral. 18 19 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. 20 MR. LARSON: To my knowledge. I will check on that. 21 22 And then Exhibit E is the information Examiner 23 Jones requested regarding the formation tops down to 9000 24 It's a letter prepared by Chaparral's geologist. 25 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Exhibit E, is that what you

1	said?
2	MR. LARSON: Exhibit E, yes.
3	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. How many of these
4	area-of-review wells are you supposed to submit a diagram
5	for?
6	MR. LARSON: It was my understanding it was the
7	before-conversion diagram of the well that's the subject of
8	the Application, and then the plugged and abandoned well.
9	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Those two only?
10	MR. LARSON: Yes, that was my understanding.
11	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Well, if I read the
12	transcript correctly, I think there might be about two
13	area-of-review wells that they are supposed to submit
14	diagrams for.
15	MR. LARSON: I do have a copy of what's called
16	the Bell A well, I have a copy of that diagram. I didn't
17	attach it to the affidavit.
18	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: I think that's required too.
19	MR. LARSON: Okay, could I just mark that as
20	Hearing Exhibit Number 4?
21	If I might approach.
22	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Sure. Thank you.
23	Anything further in this case?
24	MR. LARSON: I'm sorry?
25	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Anything further?

1	MR. LARSON: I would move the admission of
2	Chaparral Exhibit 3, which is the supplemental affidavit
3	and attached documents.
4	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Exhibit 3 and the
5	supplemental documents shall be admitted into evidence.
6	Now
7	MR. LARSON: Excuse me, as well as Exhibit Number
8	4.
9	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Number 4
10	MR. LARSON: Yes.
11	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: oh, is that I thought
12	it's an attachment.
13	MR. LARSON: No, it wasn't.
14	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay.
15	MR. LARSON: The one well, the Bell B well, was
16	attached
17	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay, yeah.
18	MR. LARSON: the Bell A was not, so I've
19	marked that as Exhibit 4.
20	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay, it's my mistake.
21	Exhibit 4 will be admitted into evidence.
22	Before I take this case under advisement, there
23	are some issues here we need to revisit, and I think my
24	attorney mentioned it in the original hearing.
25	At this point Chaparral Energy is not in

compliance with Rule 40, and as you know, before Rule 40 is -- before you can get this injection well, you have to comply with Rule 40.

I think what I need to do now is to refer to my attorney because I think she is the driving force in this case, but basically what I'm saying is that the case may be taken under advisement and we'll give you 30 days to comply with Rule 40. After 30 days and you are not in compliance with Rule 40, we dismiss the case without prejudice.

Let me refer to my attorney, Ms. Gail MacQuesten.

Maybe we'll be able to tell you more of what you need to do

in this case.

Gail?

MS. MacQUESTEN: We had discussed this in the previous hearing. Under Rule 40 an operator can have no more than a certain number of wells out of compliance with the inactive well rule. The number of wells an operator may have out of compliance depends on the size of the operator. An operator of Chaparral's size may have no more than five on the list, and currently it has more than that.

And as we had discussed before, there are ways for Chaparral to come into compliance. It can reduce the number of wells on the list by returning them to compliance by plugging and abandoning them, by placing them on temporary abandonment status, or by returning them to

production or other beneficial use. 1 Alternatively, they may enter into an agreed 2 compliance order setting a schedule for them to bring the 3 wells into compliance. 4 What the Hearing Examiner is saying at this point 5 is that we would like to give Chaparral 30 days in which to 6 come into compliance with Rule 40. If it does, then we may 7 proceed to decide the issue of the injection well. 8 not, we would be dismissing the case without prejudice, and 9 Chaparral could re-apply once it is in compliance. 10 Any comments? EXAMINER EZEANYIM: 11 I understand. I've actually had MR. LARSON: 12 some conversations with Ms. MacQuesten over the last 13 several days, working toward entering into an agreed 14 compliance order, so I think it's very feasible that we'll 15 have that in place within 30 days. 16 17 Okay, thank you very much. EXAMINER EZEANYIM: So Case Number 13,695 will be taken under advisement and in 18 19 the compliance with Rule 40 within 30 days. 20 MR. LARSON: Understood, thank you. 21 (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at 22 8:34 a.m.) I do hereby certify that the foregoing is 23 a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Cake No. 24 heard by me on 25 . Exemmer

Oil Conservation Division

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL July 6th, 2006.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2006