

KELLAHIN AND KELLAHIN

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

EL PATIO BUILDING

117 NORTH GUADALUPE

POST OFFICE BOX 2265

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87504-2265

W. THOMAS KELLAHIN*

*NEW MEXICO BOARD OF LEGAL SPECIALIZATION
RECOGNIZED SPECIALIST IN THE AREA OF
NATURAL RESOURCES-OIL AND GAS LAW

JASON KELLAHIN (RETIRED 1991)

TELEPHONE (505) 882-1883
TELEFAX (505) 982-2047FACSIMILE COVER SHEETDATE: November 9, 1994
TIME: 12:40 PM (Santa Fe)

NUMBER OF PAGES: -1-

TO: Michael E. Stogner
OF: Oil Conservation Division
FAX NO: (505) 827-8177FROM: W. THOMAS KELLAHIN, Esq.REF: Compulsory Pooling Order
NMOCD Case 11048
Order R-10230 issued 11/3/94
S/2 Sec 30, T23S R30EMESSAGE:

Mike:

I think there is a "glitch" in the referenced pooling order. Did you intend that the well be commenced by December 31, 1994 or is that a typo. I think the pooling order usually provide about 90 days after the date of the order to spud the well. The order was issued November 3, 1994 but requires the well to be commenced by December 31, 1994. Other parts of the order refer to "90" days.

Please let me know.

Regards,

This information contained in this Facsimile Message and Transmission is ATTORNEY PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, as the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this Facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and turn the original message to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service. Thank you.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

DRAFT

CASE NO. 10444 (Reopened)
Order No. R-9696-A-1

APPLICATION OF AMERADA HESS
CORPORATION FOR POOL CREATION,
SPECIAL POOL RULES AND THE
CONTRACTION OF THE VERTICAL
LIMITS OF THE HOBBS-BLINEBRY
POOL, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

NUNC PRO TUNC ORDER

BY THE DIVISION:

It appearing to the Division that the order designated as Division Order No. R-9696-A dated September 21, 1994, issued in Reopened Case 10444 which was heard on September 1, 1994, does not correctly state the intended order of the Division;

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

(1) Division Order No. R-9696-A was inadvertently issued twice, the first in Case No. 10444 heard De Novo before the Oil Conservation Commission on January 14, 1993 and dated January 29, 1993, and the second being erroneously issued in the immediate case as described above; therefore, all references to "Order No. R-9696-A" throughout said order issued September 21, 1994, are hereby amended to read "Order No. R-9696-B."

(2) The corrections set forth in this order be entered nunc pro tunc as of September 21, 1994.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on this _____ day of November, 1994.