

REPORT NO.

10691118

PAGE NO. 1

TEST DATE:

17-Dec-04

STAR

Schlumberger

Schlumberger Transient Analysis Report

Based on Model Verified Interpretation
Of Well Test Data

COMPANY : DEVON	WELL: PQ OSUDO ST #2
TEST IDENTIFICATION	WELL LOCATION
Test Type PRESSURE BU	Field OSUDO SOUTH
Test No. ONE	County LEA
Formation MORROW	State NEW MEXICO
Test Interval (ft) 12,155-12,162	Location
COMPLETION CONFIGURATION	TEST STRING CONFIGURATION
Casing / Liner Size (in) 5 1/2	Tubing Length (ft) / O.D. (in)
Perforated Interval (ft) 12,155-12,162	Packer Depth (ft)
Perforated Interval (ft)	Gauge Depth (ft) / Type 11,994
Perforated Interval (ft)	Downhole Valve (Y/N) / Type N/A
Perforated Interval (ft)	TEST CONDITIONS
Perforated Interval (ft)	Tbg / Wellhead Pressure (psi)
Net Pay (ft) 6	
INTERPRETATION RESULTS	ROCK / FLUID / WELLBORE PROPERTIES
Model of Behavior HOMOGENEOUS	Viscosity (cp) 0.029142
Fluid Type Used for Analysis GAS	Total Compressibility (1/psi) 7.01E-05
Ext. Reservoir Pressure (psi) 6,667 @ GAUGE	Porosity (%) 19
Transmissibility (md.ft/cp) 1,862	Reservoir Temperature (F) 164
Effective Permeability (md) 9.0	Gas Gravity (API)..... 0.652
Skin -5	
Pressure Drop (skin, psi) N/A	
Radius of Investigation (ft) 1710	
P @ delta t=0 (psi)..... 6,281	
Distance to boundary (ft)..... 230,421,225	

PRODUCTION RATE DURING TEST: 796 MSCFD**SUMMARY:**

This report contains the analysis of the data acquired during the build up test conducted on the Devon PQ Osudo St #2 well in Lea County, New Mexico. This test was performed by Schlumberger's Midland Testing District (432 689 2001). The data was taken using slickline conveyed, electronic pressure gauges. The gauges were run in the wellbore with the well flowing, taking flowing gradient stops as specified. Once the gauges were in place, the well was shut in and a build up test was taken.

The data was modeled using a homogeneous reservoir model with changing wellbore storage and skin. Both semi log and log log type curve matching techniques were used to interpret this data. Agreement between the two techniques was excellent. Pressure history matching was used to confirm this analysis. The permeability was calculated to be 9 md, using a thickness of 6 feet. The skin was calculated to be negative 5. The reservoir pressure was extrapolated from the model constructed using the type curve to be 6,667 psi at gauge depth.

For a more detailed discussion of this analysis, please refer to page two of this report. If you have any questions, please call Marc Percy or Angie Fenton at 405 840 2781.