STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 13,870

APPLICATION OF QUEST CHEROKEE, L.L.C., FOR APPROVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PERMIT TO DRILL, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

ORIG鯯AL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: DAVID R. CATANACH, Hearing Examiner

CT CT

February 15th, 2007

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, DAVID R. CATANACH,
Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, February 15th, 2007, at the
New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources
Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa
Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter
No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

I N D E X

February 15th, 2007 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 13,870

CASE NO. 13,870	
	PAGE
EXHIBITS	3
APPEARANCES	5
APPLICANT'S WITNESSES:	
DAVE BOLTON (Landman)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce	8
Cross-Examination by Mr. Newell	16
Examination by Examiner Catanach	48
Examination by Mr. Brooks	50
VERNON D. DYER (Landman)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce	51
Cross-Examination by Mr. Newell	57
Examination by Mr. Brooks	66
STEVEN HOCHSTEIN (Geologist)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce	67
Cross-Examination by Mr. Newell	78
Examination by Examiner Catanach	105
Examination by Mr. Brooks	108
INTERVENOR'S WITNESSES:	233
PRIOR DATGET (ACAR)	
BRUCE BAIZEL (OGAP) Direct Examination by Mr. Newell	110
Cross-Examination by Mr. Newell	113
Examination by Mr. Brooks	153 154
Examination by Examiner Catanach	154 157
Redirect Examination by Mr. Newell	157
Realized Braminacion by Mr. Newell	136
	'
	Ĭ

(Continued...)

INTERVENOR'S WITNESSES (Continued):

BARBARA COX (Property Owner; present by telep	none)
Direct Examination by Mr. Newell	
Cross-Examination by Mr. Bruce	
Examination by Mr. Brooks	
Redirect Examination by Mr. Newell	
Further Examination by Mr. Brooks	
LEE ROBERSON (Property Owner)	
<u>LEE ROBERSON</u> (Property Owner) Direct Examination by Mr. Newell	
LEE ROBERSON (Property Owner) Direct Examination by Mr. Newell Cross-Examination by Mr. Bruce	
Direct Examination by Mr. Newell Cross-Examination by Mr. Bruce	
Direct Examination by Mr. Newell	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

208

* * *

EXHIBITS

Applicant's		Identified	Admitted
Exhibit	1	9	16
Exhibit	2	10	16
Exhibit	3	10	16
Exhibit	4	11	16
Exhibit	5	12	16
Exhibit	6	12	16
Exhibit	7	13	16
Exhibit	8	14	16
Exhibit	9	14	16
Exhibit	10	15	16
Exhibit	11	71	-
Exhibit	12	73	-
Exhibit	13	74	_
Exhibit	14	74	-

(Continued...)

E	X	H	I	В	Ι	${f T}$	S	(Continued)

Intervenor's	Identified	Admitted
Exhibit 1	119	121
Exhibit 2	41, 176	177
Exhibit 3	127	152
Exhibit 4	125	127
Exhibit 5	129	130
Exhibit 6	143	144
Exhibit 7	138	139
Exhibit 8	148	148
Exhibit 9	170	173
Exhibit 10	174	174
Exhibit 11	175	176
Exhibit 12	178	178

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

DAVID K. BROOKS, JR.
Assistant General Counsel
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR THE APPLICANT:

JAMES G. BRUCE Attorney at Law P.O. Box 1056 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

FOR THE INTERVENORS:

HEIDEL, SAMBERSON, NEWELL, COX & McMAHON 311 North First P.O. Drawer 1599 Lovington, NM 88260 By: MICHAEL T. NEWELL

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 2 9:30 a.m.: EXAMINER CATANACH: All right, I'll call the 3 4 hearing back to order, and at this time I'll call Case 5 13,870, the Application of Quest Cherokee, L.L.C., for 6 approval of an application for permit to drill, Lea County, 7 New Mexico. Call for appearances. 8 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of Santa Fe, 9 10 representing the Applicant. I have three potential witnesses to be sworn. 11 EXAMINER CATANACH: Additional appearances? 12 13 MR. NEWELL: Your Honor, Michael Newell for the Intervenors. 14 And I have Mr. Lee Roberson, one of the 15 Intervenors, present with me, and he'll need to be sworn. 16 17 And we've got another witness, Bruce Baizel, who will be here shortly, and we have two people in Hobbs who are 18 19 standing by, by phone, who could not make it because of 20 travel and weather conditions yesterday coming up southeast 21 New Mexico, and that's Steve and Barbara Cox. And all 22 these witnesses have been identified, your Honor. 23 EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Newell, are the witnesses in Hobbs -- do you plan on putting them on? Are they going 24

25

to testify?

MR. NEWELL: Your Honor, if that's possible. Ι 1 don't know the Rules. I believe Mr. Roberson called up 2 yesterday because he was concerned about him getting --3 being able to make it. He did make it through, but Ms. Cox 4 is elderly and she couldn't, and I think the -- who is 5 the -- the one up here that's -- Mr. Fesmire said there's a 6 number that can be used to call in and appear by telephone. 7 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. Why don't we take a 8 short break and we'll set up the phone. 9 (Off the record at 9:32 a.m.) 10 (The following proceedings had at 9:37 a.m.) 11 MR. NEWELL: We can proceed. I think they only 12 13 want to be there when it's time for them to testify, if that's all right. 14 EXAMINER CATANACH: That would be fine. 15 me that we need to swear them in, if they're going to 16 17 testify. 18 MR. NEWELL: I will. We'll have a number to 19 call. Lee, would you tell them that we'll call them? 20 Thanks. 21 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. All right, let us 22 proceed. 23 Mr. Bruce? 24 Oh, I'm sorry, can I get the witnesses to stand 25 and be sworn in at this time? Let's see, you've got one

1	out of the room?
2	MR. NEWELL: Yes, and we'll remember to swear him
3	in as well.
4	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay.
5	(Thereupon, the witnesses were sworn.)
6	<u>DAVID BOLTON</u> ,
7	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
8	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. BRUCE:
11	Q. Would you please state your name and city of
12	residence?
13	A. David Bolton, Oklahoma City. My residence is
14	Mustang, Oklahoma.
15	Q. Who do you work for and in what capacity?
16	A. I work for Quest Resources Corporation. I'm the
17	executive vice president of land for that company.
18	Q. And what is the relationship between Quest
19	Resource and Quest Cherokee?
20	A. Quest Cherokee, L.L.C., is a subsidiary of Quest
21	Resource Corporation.
22	Q. Have you previously testified before the
23	Division?
24	A. No, I have not.
25	Q. Would you please summarize your educational and

employment background? 1 I'm a graduate of the University of Oklahoma. Ι 2 attended the Oklahoma City University School of Law. 3 been a landman for a little over 15 years. I've previously 4 testified before the Oklahoma Corporation Commission. 5 worked primarily Oklahoma. I've also worked Texas, 6 Louisiana, Kansas, New Mexico. 7 Does your area of responsibility at Quest include Q. 8 this part of southeast New Mexico? 9 Yes, it does. Α. 10 And are you familiar with the land matters 11 Q. involved in this Application? 12 13 Α. Yes, I am. MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I'd tender Mr. Bolton 14 15 as an expert petroleum landman. EXAMINER CATANACH: Any objection, Mr. Newell? 16 17 MR. NEWELL: No objection. 18 EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Bolton is so qualified. 19 Q. (By Mr. Bruce) Mr. Bolton, let's go through your 20 exhibits. What is Exhibit 1? 21 A. That is the State of New Mexico Form C-102. 22 Q. And does this reflect the location of the well 23 that Quest seeks to drill? 24 A. Yes, it does. 25 Q. And you are seeking -- What is the depth of -- I

mean the primary zone of interest in this well? Is it the 1 San Andres formation? 2 I believe that's correct, yes. 3 And that's an oil formation? 4 Q. 5 A. I believe so, right. And this would be a standard well location for an 6 Q. 7 oil well? Α. Yes, it would. 8 9 Q. What is Exhibit 2? This is a map of the vicinity, sort of a plat. 10 And does it identify the approximate location of 11 Q. your proposed well? 12 Α. Yes, it does. 13 Is this northwest of 9 in the City of Hobbs? Q. 14 Not to my knowledge, it is not. 15 Α. And attached as page 2 is another plat, is it Q. 16 not? 17 Yes. A. 18 Is there public-road access to your proposed 19 Q. drillsite? 20 21 A. Yes, there is. What is Exhibit 3? 22 Q. Exhibit 3 is a survey plat that we had prepared. 23 A. 24 Q. Showing the immediate environs of the proposed well site? 25

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And on Exhibit page 2, there's a hand-drawn
3	plat. Does this reflect the well site with respect to
4	structures in the area?
5	A. Well, I believe it really reflects the well site
6	in relation to the ownership tracts.
7	Q. The tracts owned in this area?
8	A. Yeah.
9	Q. Okay. And so it would reflect In other words,
10	there's names
11	A of the various owners
12	Q of the various owners, Cox, et cetera. And
13	this is just to show where your well site is with respect
14	to the other tracts in the area?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Now is Exhibit 4 just information taken from the
17	State Land Office website?
18	A. Yes, it is.
19	Q. Now the minerals of the northwest quarter of
20	Section 9 are owned by the State of New Mexico, are they
21	not?
22	A. That is correct.
23	Q. And this information just shows information like
24	the patent number, the surface patent number, and the oil
25	and was lease number?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. What is Exhibit 5?
3	A. Exhibit 5 is the original patent of the surface
4	from the State of New Mexico.
5	Q. And it covers all of Well, we're here today on
6	the northwest of 9, but it covers all of the west half of 9
7	and other acreage
8	A. Correct
9	Q does it not?
10	A correct.
11	Q. And does it reserve minerals?
12	A. Yes, it does.
13	Q. And is that reflected on page 2?
14	A. That is, and the State of New Mexico reserved the
15	right to prospect for, mine, produce and remove oil and gas
16	under these lands.
17	Q. Okay, what is Exhibit 6?
18	A. Exhibit 6 is the state oil and gas lease between
19	the State of New Mexico and Upland Corporation, covering
20	the northwest of Section 9.
21	Q. And then the last couple of pages of this
22	exhibit, is this an assignment of the lease?
23	A. That's correct.
24	Q. Who was it assigned to?
25	A. Chesapeake Exploration.

And Quest rights derive from Chesapeake 1 Q. 2 Exploration, do they not? That's correct. Subsequent to Cherokee obtaining 3 Α. the lease, they assigned a term assignment to Tierra Oil 4 Company, and subsequent to that we purchased their rights. 5 Ouest Cherokee purchased Tierra Oil Company's 6 Q. 7 rights? That's correct. 8 Α. And is that reflected in the exhibits and other 9 0. instruments compiled as Exhibit 7? 10 11 Yes, it is. Α. Now was there a time limit in the term assignment 12 0. from Chesapeake to Tierra? 13 Yes, and that's expired once, and we've -- or 14 Α. maybe twice now. We have extended that. Tierra extended 15 it once, and then we just recently extended it for an 16 17 additional 90 days. 18 Q. Okay, so it didn't actually expire; the deadline came up and the deadline was extended? 19 20 Α. Yes, that's correct. 21 Q. Okay. So under the term assignment you now have 22 the right to drill -- you have to commence by May 1, 2007? 23 Α. That's correct. 24 Therefore Quest does have a mineral interest, Q. 25 owns a mineral interest, and is entitled to develop its

1 minerals? Α. We own a leasehold interest right. 2 What is Exhibit 8? 3 Q. Exhibit 8 is a summary of the surface issues on 4 this tract. This was prepared by Tierra, and prior to us 5 6 purchasing this property. And they contacted the City of Hobbs, Lea County 7 0. and the State Land Office, to determine whether or not 8 there are any restrictions on drilling at this location? 9 Α. Yes, they did. 10 And what was their conclusion? 11 Q. That it was not in the city limits and was not 12 Α. regulated as such. Before we purchased this property I 13 also went and met with the city and discovered the same 14 15 thing. 16 Q. And the county? 17 And the county, yeah. Α. 18 So you independently confirmed what is reflected 0. 19 on Exhibit 8? 20 A. Yes, I did. 21 What is Exhibit 9? 0. 22 That is a series of correspondence between our field landman, Vernon Dyer, and the current surface owner 23 and their attorney. 24 25 Q. And Mr. Dyer, on behalf of Quest, was not able to

come to terms with arranging an agreement regarding the 1 well site, was he? 2 3 That is correct. And Mr. Newell on behalf of these owners objected 4 to the OCD; is that correct? 5 6 Α. Yes. And the OCD Hobbs office, as a result, refused to 7 Q. issue an APD? 8 That's right. 9 Α. And said we must come up to Santa Fe? 10 0. Correct. 11 A. And that's why we're here today? 12 Q. 13 It appears so, yeah. A. 14 Q. Now this is correspondence to or from Mr. Dyer. If there are any specific questions on that, is Mr. Dyer 15 here today on behalf of Quest? 16 17 A. Yes, he is. MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Exhibit 10 is simply a 18 certified mail notice to Mr. Newell of the hearing date. 19 had spoken with Mr. Newell and he confirmed that I could 20 just simply notify him, rather than the various surface 21 22 owners, of the hearing date. And I would -- and that's 23 just Exhibit 10. (By Mr. Bruce) Mr. Bolton, were Exhibits 1 24 Q. 25 through 10 prepared by you or under your supervision or

•	
1	compiled from company business records?
2	A. Yes, they were.
3	Q. And in your opinion is the granting of this
4	Application necessary to protect the correlative rights of
5	Quest Cherokee?
6	A. Yes, it is.
7	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I'd move the admission
8	of Exhibits 1 through 10.
9	EXAMINER CATANACH: Any objection?
10	MR. NEWELL: No objection.
11	EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibits 1 through 10 will be
12	admitted.
13	MR. BRUCE: I pass the witness.
14	EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Newell?
15	MR. NEWELL: Thank you.
16	CROSS-EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. NEWELL:
18	Q. Mr. Bolton, my name is Michael Newell. Our firm
19	represents the intervenors in this matter.
20	Let me ask you something. Has a C-102 been
21	filed?
22	A. Has the C-102 been filed?
23	Q. Yes.
24	A. Yes, I believe it has.
25	Q. Can you explain why it doesn't appear in the

record? 1 MR. BRUCE: Well, if I could interject, the Hobbs 2 office has refused to accept the APD, and that's where the 3 C-102 would be filed. And so I doubt it's been filed, 4 because the OCD has refused to accept anything. 5 6 **EXAMINER CATANACH:** Okav. (By Mr. Newell) And the same thing for C-101? 7 0. 8 Α. Yes. It hasn't been filed either? 9 Q. That's correct. 10 Α. Okay. And are you aware of OCD regs that require 11 Q. them to be filed prior to the application? 12 Yes, I presume that would be the case. 13 Α. Okay. Is there a reason why -- On Exhibit Number 14 0. 15 1 you submit a Form C-102; is that correct? A. Yes. 16 17 It's not signed; there's no operator 0. certification on there, is there? 18 19 A. No, it's not signed. 20 And that is a certification where the operator Q. 21 certifies that, I hereby certify that the information 22 contained herein is true and complete to the best of my 23 knowledge and belief and that this organization either owns 24 a working interest or unleased mineral interest in the

land, including the proposed bottomhole location, or has a

25

right to drill this well at the location pursuant to a 1 contract with an owner of such mineral or working interest, 2 or to a voluntary pooling agreement or compulsory pooling 3 order heretofore entered by the Division. Do you see that? 4 5 Α. Yes. So Quest Cherokee has not made that certification 6 0. 7 to the State of New Mexico, has it? Well, it would be my understanding that that 8 9 wouldn't be required until all of the processes were 10 approved. And since the Hobbs office wouldn't approve it, we had to come here instead, it's my understanding that's 11 why that's not signed. 12 Is your position that the C-101s and C-102s do 13 0. not have to be part of the record prior to the approval of 14 15 the Application; is that correct? Is that Quest Cherokee's position? 16 17 No, I think we want to comply with all the Rules Α. of New Mexico. 18 19 Now Quest Cherokee only recently -- Does Q. Quest Cherokee have any other -- or any production in New 20 Mexico at this time? 21 22 No, they don't. Α. 23 Okay. And has Quest Cherokee provided -- Well, Q.

let me ask you this: Has Quest Cherokee registered with

24

25

the OCD as an operator?

1	Α.	Yes, we have.
2	Q.	Okay, and do you have an OGRID number?
3	Α.	Yes. If you don't have it, I may have it I
4	know I've	got it in my office. I don't think I have it
5	with me th	nough.
6	Q.	Okay, so you're not prepared to give it here
7	today?	
8	Α.	(No response)
9	Q.	And I will note that it does not appear on your
10	Form C-102	2, which is your Exhibit Number 1.
11	Α.	Well, I know we have it, but I don't have it with
12	me.	
13	Q.	Okay, is there any reason why it wasn't included
14	on Exhibit	t Number 1?
15	Α.	I don't know what that reason would be.
16	Q.	Okay. And what's the current address for notice?
17	Α.	For Quest Cherokee?
18	Q.	Yeah.
19	Α.	It would be 9520 North May, Suite 300, Oklahoma
20	City.	
21	Q.	Okay, so you don't have a New Mexico address for
22	record of	address; is that correct?
23	Α.	Mr. Bruce is our service agent.
24	Q.	Agent for service of process
25	Α.	Yes.

1	Q pursuant to the New Mexico Public Regulation
2	requirements, correct?
3	A. I would presume that would be right.
4	Q. All right. Now have you registered anyone with
5	the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division as a contact
6	number so that they can send Quest Cherokee current
7	notices?
8	A. Mr. Bruce.
9	Q. Okay. And who's the emergency contact name and
10	telephone number for Quest Cherokee in Lea County?
11	A. I don't know that we have one in Lee County.
12	Q. Okay, so right now there is no emergency contact
13	name and telephone number for each district where the
14	operator operates, correct?
15	A. Not that I'm aware of.
16	Q. Okay. And have you met the financial assurance
17	requirements of the OCD that are required by Rule
18	19.15.3.101?
19	A. I don't know what Rule 19
20	Q15
21	A specifically states. If you're asking if we
22	have obtained our bond Is that what you're asking? I'd
23	have to review the Rule, whatever rule you're stating.
24	Q. Okay, all right. And has Quest Cherokee
25	disclosed to the New Meyico Oil Consorvation Division the

1	name of each director, partner or officer or person of
2	interest with the Applicant who owns more than 25 percent
3	or has served in that capacity over the last five years?
4	A. If that was part of the required filing, then
5	yes, we did.
6	Q. Okay, is that filing Do you have a copy of
7	that filing?
8	A. I don't have one right here. Mr. Bruce might
9	have it, but I don't.
10	Q. Okay, do you have something that indicates that
11	you have complied with the Division's financial assurance
12	requirements?
13	A. We sent the we obtained a cash bond, cash
14	plugging bond, with the Wells Fargo bank here in Santa Fe.
15	Q. Okay.
16	A. I don't have the paperwork back from them yet.
17	Q. Okay. Was that the What was the amount of the
18	bond?
19	A. \$10,000.
20	Q. Okay. And how many feet do you anticipate the
21	well to be?
22	A. 5000 feet.
23	Q. No more?
24	A. No, not that I'm aware of.
25	Q. Okay. And is that amount sufficient to reclaim

1	and recover the surface estate in the event the well is		
2	unsuccessfully completed?		
3	A. It's the amount required by law, by the state,		
4	for a single well plugging bond in Lea County.		
5	Q. Okay, is it sufficient, though, to cover not only		
6	the amount of plugging but the amount of reclamation and		
7	recovery?		
8	A. I presume it would be.		
9	Q. Okay. Now you know this an urban interface area,		
10	correct?		
11	A. I know it's close to the City of Hobbs, yeah.		
12	Q. Okay.		
13	A. It's close to the city limits.		
14	Q. Now let me ask you, are you familiar with your		
15	notice in this matter?		
16	A. Our notice?		
17	Q. Yeah. May I approach the witness?		
18	EXAMINER CATANACH: Yes.		
19	MR. NEWELL: What's the Should we start our		
20	numbers with I think your last number is 11, right?		
21	Should we start ours with 12 or		
22	EXAMINER CATANACH: No, you		
23	MR. NEWELL: do you want to		
24	EXAMINER CATANACH: can start yours at 1.		
25	MR. NEWELL: Okay, or Okay, like Intervenor's		

Number 1? 1 EXAMINER CATANACH: Uh-huh. 2 MR. NEWELL: Okay. Counsel -- I'm going to show 3 him a copy of the notice here. 4 MR. BRUCE: Okay. 5 (By Mr. Newell) Let me hand you a document that Q. 6 was purported to be the notice of hearing that was issued 7 by the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division. 8 familiar with that notice? 9 No, this is the first time I've seen it. 10 A. Did Quest Cherokee provide the New Mexico 11 Oil Conservation Division with information that was used to 12 13 put together this notice? I would presume. I didn't prepare this notice, 14 so I don't know. 15 16 Okay. Would you look at the description of where 17 the notice -- or where the well is going to be located, on 18 that notice? 19 Α. Okay. 20 Do you see that? Q. Α. Yes. 21 22 And does that say the well is located five miles Q. 23 north northwest of Hobbs, New Mexico? Yes, it does. 24 Α. Uh-huh. 25 Now I'd ask you to look at your Exhibit Q. Okay.

Number 2. 1 Α. Okay. 2 Now is Exhibit -- Exhibit Number 2 is a document Q. 3 that you had prepared, or Quest Cherokee had prepared, that 4 shows the outline of the city limits, correct? 5 Yes. Α. 6 And you're familiar with -- This shows 7 Okay. Q. section lines, correct? 8 Yes, it does. Α. 9 And a section is a square mile, correct? 10 Q. Yes. 11 Α. So if you move down a section, you move a 12 Okay. Q. 13 mile, correct? A. Yes. 14 Now the city limits of Hobbs is actually 15 Q. Okay. 16 just a matter of a few hundred feed away from the proposed 17 well location to the north, correct? 18 A. A portion of the city limits is, you know, within 19 a quarter mile or so from the proposed well. 20 Okay, and a portion of the city limits is 0. 21 actually west of the well location, making the well east of 22 the proposed city limits, roughly a mile --MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, if I can interject. 23 24 you know, the attorneys prepare these. And I can inform

Mr. Newell that I prepared this ad on behalf of my client,

25

which is what the Division requires us to do. Furthermore, in any of these ads, generally, whether it's Mr. Carr or Mr. Kellahin or the other people who practice over here, we take the distance from the downtown center of a city like Carlsbad or Hobbs, and that's where the distance comes from.

There's nothing in this ad that is intended to be incorrect or deceiving, that's simply how it was done. And if Mr. Newell wants to question me on it, fine. That's all this is.

MR. NEWELL: I respect that, Mr. Hearing Officer, and I respect Mr. Bruce's statement. But the reality from our standpoint is, individual notice was not given to the property owners. And I'm not suggesting it's required. In fact, I think it's not required unless -- you know, the notice requirements in the Rules are fairly specific, and so they have to give notice by publication. And when you give notice by publication and say something is five miles outside the city limits to the north northwest, that mischaracterizes the location of this well. And we believe that there's a problem with notice with respect to this particular issue. We're right in the middle of an urban interface here, and --

MR. BRUCE: And Mr. Examiner, if he wants me to correct it I can say it's five miles from the intersection

of such-and-such highways, that's fine. We didn't say five miles from the exterior boundaries of Hobbs, and if you want me to do that, that's fine.

MR. NEWELL: Well, I guess my point is, it's misleading. It goes to an issue that we think is relevant here, and that's the defective nature of the notice.

MR. BRUCE: And Mr. Examiner, there is no requirement to notify the surface owners, and notice was given by certified mail. And if it's merely that they want me to correct it, fine. It's not defective. If that's the case, then I would guess half the ads before the Division are somewhat incorrect because they don't specify -- I mean, it gives the section, township and range, or quarter section, township and range. And if they can't figure that out from that data, then that's their problem and not Quest's.

(Off the record)

EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Newell, do you have a motion on this point?

MR. NEWELL: Yes, we would move that either the Application be dismissed or that notice be required to be issued again, because there may be several other people that would like to participate in this hearing, but because they believe that the well -- you know, when they saw the notice they believed the well was located somewhere five to

-- five miles north northwest of Lovington, which would put it out in a clearly rural area, next to dairies and other things. They probably weren't too concerned.

But what we have here, and what we would present through evidence is the fact that you have a great deal of residential development here, you have a school in the area, you have a retirement community situated right by this well location. And we believe that the notice did not give the parties -- or interested people, shall we say -- proper notification of this proposed well location.

I will agree with Mr. Bruce, and I will state this for the record: As far as the Intervenors go, he did provide notice, hand-delivered it to my office on roughly January 25th. That's not the nature of my objection.

It's -- the nature of my objection is that it mischaracterizes where the well is located and leaves a false impression to the community. Notice was published, and that means you give an accurate description to the community of what's going on. And they failed to do that because, as we can see from their Exhibit Number 2, while they may not be in the city limits per se, they are certainly north, west, east and south of city limit boundaries -- they're almost in the middle of a city limit boundary -- and that's just not what the notice reflects.

So we would either request, you know, that they

renotice this hearing and have it set somewhere down the line, or that the Application be dismissed for defective notice, in which case they can come in and refile.

MR. BROOKS: Mr. Newell, is there any notice requirement that you contend is applicable that would have required notice to be published in this case?

MR. NEWELL: Well, your Honor, I believe under the notice requirements of the Division only require, if they're in the city limits, for the city limit -- or for the city to be notified --

MR. BROOKS: Right.

MR. NEWELL: -- and I believe also that there's not a specific rule on this, however I would represent to you that there are certain due process requirements that have to be met, and if you do do notice, if you do send notice, it still has to be accurate. Let's assume that it's not required. By them taking affirmative action to send notice, then I think there's a requirement also that the notice be factually accurate, and I don't think there is here.

But I still submit that irrespective of whether this kind of notice is required by the Rules, which I think publishing has always been a requirement that -- you know, that I think has been well known. I think the -- and let me pull out the notice Rule here.

I believe there are -- when it comes to notice, the requirements include giving the OCD the appropriate information so that you all can put it on your website, and then publishing under certain circumstances, which we would submit this fits into, in a paper of general circulation either in the area affected or, if it affects the whole statewide, then area of general -- or a paper of general circulation in the state.

MR. BROOKS: Well, that's a key issue here, because my question -- I'm not clear on why we would be required to even have a newspaper publication notice in this case. We used to require newspaper publication notice of all Examiner Hearings, and we changed the Rule a few years ago to only require that where a party is required to be notified we didn't have adequate address information, otherwise it was sufficient if it's published on the website and distributed to the OCD's mailing list, as I understand it. That's what we attempted to say when we rewrote the Rules.

Now a question is, is there something that requires by statute or by rule that requires public notice in this character of case?

MR. NEWELL: And I would submit to the extent that, you know, it impacts surface owners and other people, I would submit yes, there is under a due-process

requirement the requirement that someone be given notice and, you know, people generally impacted should be given And we believe it's a due process requirement with the basic concepts of notice and the opportunity to be heard.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And I think if you look at the Rules and how they're designed, I think there's a statement in here that the Rules are designed to encourage participation in the Division and the Commission's hearings, making possible effective presentation of evidence and the parties and the general public's points of view, allowing all participants a reasonable opportunity to submit data, views and arguments and to assure that Division and Commission hearings are conducted in a fair and equitable manner.

And I would submit that notice is fundamental to allowing all participants a reasonable opportunity to submit their points of view, submit data, views and arguments.

MR. BROOKS: Well, I think that this notice is probably not required by the Rule, and the notice itself is accurate because it gives the township and range, so I would recommend that the Examiner overrule the objection.

EXAMINER CATANACH: I would concur with that, Mr. Brooks.

> MR. NEWELL: May I proceed?

EXAMINER CATANACH: 1 (By Mr. Newell) Okay. You were aware that this Q. 2 urban interface exists at this proposed well location, 3 correct? 4 I am aware that it is near the city limits, yes. 5 Α. Okay. Well, your Exhibit Number 8 discusses the 6 Q. fact that it may even be part of the Country Living Estates 7 Subdivision Number 2, correct? 8 Yes. 9 A. Okay. And I noticed on the C-102 that has not 10 0. been filed that you propose an open-pit system, correct? 11 That could be. I'd have to defer to our 12 engineers for that. 13 Okay, that's what's reflected on the C-102, 14 Q. 15 correct? This doesn't say anything about an open pit. 16 Α. Okay, let me see -- do you have a -- Okay, let me 17 Q. 18 ask you to look at Exhibit Number 3 -- I'm sorry --19 A. Okay. 20 Do you see that? You have a proposed pit area Q. there to the north --21 22 Α. Yes. 23 -- of the proposed well location, correct? Q. 24 Α. Yes.

So you don't even propose to do a closed-loop,

25

Q.

internally constrained system, even though you're in a 1 residential subdivision, correct? 2 As I said, I'd defer to our engineers about how Α. 3 they're going to drill this well. 4 5 Is there an engineer that's going to be present Q. here today to testify? 6 7 Α. No. Okay. And you know that one of the things that 8 Q. the Commission has authority to do in the Application is to 9 put restrictions or conditions on the Application, correct? 10 Α. Say that again. 11 Do you understand that this Commission has the 12 0. right to impose restrictions or conditions on your 13 14 Application? 15 Α. Sure. And those conditions could include form 16 0. 17 and nature of pits and drilling methods and things of that nature, correct? 18 19 Α. I'm sure it could. Okay. Now have you all taken into consideration 20 Q. what's going to be necessary to protect this community from 21 22 the adverse impacts of this drilling operation? 23 Α. I'm sure that they have and that they will. 24 will --25 And what will --Q.

-- we will readily comply with any rule or 1 A. regulation imposed upon us by the State or any, you know, 2 regulatory authority that requires us to do whatever we 3 need to do, to comply with their Rules. 4 Okay. Do you feel like there's any particular Q. 5 need that you're going to have to meet to drill this 6 particular well at this particular location? 7 Not that I'm aware of. 8 Α. Okay, so you feel it's -- you conduct your Q. 9 operations just like if you were out in the pasture, 10 10 miles away from the nearest farmhouse? 11 I would say that we, you know, are always safety 12 conscious, whether we're in the middle of a pasture or in 13 the middle of a, you know, town. 14 15 Where is the closest elementary school to Q. Okay. 16 this location? 17 Α. There is a school north of this location. It's an elementary school, and it's on College 18 Q. 19 Lane, correct? 20 A. It's across the -- it's across the road in the 21 next section north, yeah. 22 Q. Okay. Now let me ask you to look at that Exhibit 23 3 again. 24 A. Okay.

College Lane is the road on the very top of the

25

Q.

1	document,	correct?	
2	А.	I presume.	
3	Q.	Okay.	
4	Α.	That looks like it would be right, yeah.	
Ī		Okay. And those are houses that re depicted	
5	Q.	<u>-</u>	
6	there, correct?		
7	Α.	Well, there are houses in the area, but this plat	
8	depicts of	wnership, it doesn't have specific structures.	
9	Q.	Okay, but there are structures in those	
LO	locations	, correct?	
11	Α.	There are some structures there, yeah.	
12	Q.	Okay. And there's a home right across the	
13	street, c	orrect? Right across Ja-Rob?	
14	Α.	I don't know Oh, Ja-Rob, yes, yeah.	
15	Q.	And it says "Mr. Lynch's home" on your Exhibit	
16	Number 3,	correct?	
17	Α.	That's what it says, yeah.	
18	Q.	Okay. And do you know that school would be right	
19	up there	about where that 49 number is, correct?	
20	A.	That would be close. It would be north of that,	
21	even, I believe.		
22	Q.	Well, the elementary school has I mean, it's	
23	on College Lane, correct?		
24	Α.	Yes.	
5	0	Okay and that is College Lane servest?	

I presume it is, yeah. It doesn't say on here 1 Α. College Lane, but the scale of the map would lead me to 2 believe that that's College Lane. 3 Okay. And then the retirement community is just 4 immediately to the west of the school, correct? 5 I'm not familiar with the retirement community. Α. 6 I couldn't say where that is. 7 Okay, it's Beehive Retirement Community. You all 8 9 aren't aware of that? I know there's some structures in the area. I'm 10 I did inspect this area, but I did not 11 not from that area. 12 take note of a retirement community there. Are you talking about a nursing home? Is that what you're talking about? 13 0. Yeah. 14 There very well could be, I don't know for sure. 15 Yes, sir. What particular plans do you have to 16 0. keep elementary school students from getting over in your 17 facilities, either during the drilling operation or later 18 if a well is made during the production phase? 19 Well, we would comply with any rules that are 20 imposed by the State, and if the State requires us to do 21 22 certain things we'd be glad to do that. As a practical 23 matter, I'm sure that if we commence production we'd want to fence the property. 24

Now is there any type of barrier you're

25

Q.

going to put up during drilling? 1 I don't know what the engineer has planned for Α. 2 that. 3 Okay, again you're not prepared to testify to 4 that here today --5 I'm not the engineer, so you'd have to ask --A. 6 Is there anyone here that's going to testify 7 Q. to --8 No, we have a geologist here that may be able to 9 Α. 10 speak to that, but you'd have to ask him. Okay, but to your knowledge, Quest Cherokee has 11 Q. implemented no particular protections with respect to this 12 13 drilling operation to protect the location from the presence of elementary school kids that are in the area 14 15 because of the elementary school, correct? Well, I don't agree with the way you're framing 16 17 your question. What I'm saying is that we will comply with 18 any regulation that is imposed upon us. We will take every 19 safety measure necessary. Whatever that is, the specifics 20 of that, I can't speak to. 21 Q. Okay. Now drilling operations are a 24-7 operation, correct? 22 23 Α. Yes. 24 Q. And so you're going to have trucks, transports, 25 service personnel coming in and out of this location all

times of the day or night, correct?

- A. That could be, yeah.
- Q. Have there been any measures or steps taken to address the noise that's going to be emanating from the drilling location to protect and -- you know, protect the community there and the people that live in and around the drilling location?
- A. Again, the specifics of it I'm not familiar with. I would think that, again, we would comply with any rule or regulation imposed upon us in that area. It's not in the city limits, and there's no specific ordinance requiring any sort of noise abatement in that area that I'm aware of.
- Q. Okay. You recognize that your use of the surface has to be reasonable, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And do you consider it reasonable to not do anything to abate any noise that would be emanated from the drilling location with residences in such close proximity?
- A. Well again, I mean, we want to be a good neighbor to the ones in the area there. I'm sure that something like that could be negotiated if there was no specific regulation for it, which we would meet anyway.
- Q. Okay. As you sit here today, are you willing to represent to the Division any particular steps that Quest

Cherokee is willing to take to address the noise that's 1. going to be emanating from the well location? 2 The specifics of that would be beyond my scope. 3 Now I'm going to ask you the same thing 4 0. The drilling rigs generally run on diesel 5 about the fumes. 6 fuel, correct? 7 A. Some do, yeah. 8 Q. Okay. Well, do you -- What kind of drilling rig 9 are you going to use here? 10 Α. I'm not the engineer, I don't know. Okay. 11 Q. It's my understanding it's a mud rig, but that's 12 Α. all I know. 13 And that's a diesel-operated rig, correct? 14 Q. A lot of them are. I don't know the specifics of 15 what rig they've contracted, I just don't know. 16 17 Q. There's going to be engines that are going to be emanating exhaust, correct? 18 There could be, I just don't know. 19 A. 20 What measures are going to be taken to Q. Okay. 21 ensure that the community in and around there is protected 22 from exhaust fumes? We would take any measures that are required --23 24 that are implemented upon us by any regulatory authority, and I'm sure that common sense would come into play too. 25

Now let me ask you, is Quest's position Okay. 0. 1 that they're going to do only what's minimally required by 2 the Division? 3 Α. No. 4 But you're not prepared to tell the Division what 5 Q. additional steps Quest is willing -- Quest Cherokee is 6 willing to take in this matter; is that accurate? I mean, 7 would that be a good summary of where we are? 8 You're asking questions that are beyond my 9 Α. expertise, and that I just can't speak to. I don't know 10 11 the specifics of what type of rig. They could be using an electric rig for all I know. I don't know. 12 All right. Now what kind of chemicals are in the 13 Q. mud components that are going to be used --14 15 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I would object. 16 keeps asking these engineering questions. Ask something 17 that's directed towards land issues. 18 MR. NEWELL: Your Honor, if I might, I would just 19 want to make sure that the record reflects that there are a 20 variety of issues here that I think this Division needs to 21 consider. 22 MR. BRUCE: And he can do that in his own case. 23 MR. NEWELL: Okay, I will, that's fine. 24 move on. 25 EXAMINER CATANACH: All right.

(By Mr. Newell) Now has there been any action 1 0. taken on the surface issue summary, that you're aware of, 2 that was prepared and you all have introduced as Exhibit 3 Number 8? 4 What do you mean by "action"? A. 5 Well, obviously it states, The West Bishop Canyon Q. 6 project is located on the northern edge of the City of 7 Hobbs, New Mexico, and due to the close proximity of the 8 area your predecessor who assigned it to you conducted 9 numerous inquiries regarding the feasibility of drilling in 10 Has Quest Cherokee also engaged in inquiries the area. 11 regarding the feasibility of drilling an area? 12 Yes, I've already testified to that. 13 Α. 14 Q. Okay, what specifically were those? I've already testified to that. 15 Α. Okay. Have you talked to any residences? 16 0. Personally, no. 17 A. Okay. Other than --18 Q. 19 We do have a witness here today who has. Α. 20 Mr. Dyer, correct? Q. 21 Α. Yes, if you'd like to ask him those questions. 22 Q. Other than Mr. Dyer, has anyone spoken to anyone? 23 Not to my knowledge. Α. 24 Now you said there's public ingress and Q.

25

egress, correct?

1	A. Did I say that?
2	Q. You said there's public road access, correct?
3	A. There is a public road that runs south of College
4	Lane. It would be directly in front of our location,
5	proposed location.
6	Q. Is the structural integrity of that road
7	sufficient so it could handle the equipment that's going to
8	be brought in without tearing up the road?
9	A. I'm not a road engineer. I don't know.
10	Q. Okay, I'm just asking. Okay?
11	Now why is it called the West Bishop Canyon
12	project? I mean, Lea County is pretty flat, I don't think
13	there's any canyons in Lea County.
14	A. I didn't name it, I don't know.
15	Q. Okay, okay. What are the land values in that
16	area?
17	A. We did a little research on that, but I'm not
18	I don't recall the specifics of that.
19	MR. NEWELL: May I approach the witness?
20	EXAMINER CATANACH: Yes.
21	Q. (By Mr. Newell) Okay, I'm going to hand you a
22	document that we'll mark as Exhibit Number 2. There is a
23	property listing for Ja-Rob Lane for 3/4 of an acre, in
24	north Hobbs by Westminster, and that's where we're talking

25

about, correct?

1	A. I'm not intimately familiar with Hobbs, but
2	Ja-Rob Lane is mentioned on here. I would think that that
3	would be close, yeah.
4	Q. Okay, and for that 3/4 of an acre, someone is
5	asking \$30,000 for it, correct?
6	A. That's what they're asking.
7	Q. All right. Now you see at the bottom of that
8	same column where it says prime multi-purpose land?
9	A. Uh-huh, yes.
LO	Q. Okay, and we've talked about College Lane,
L1	correct?
L2	A. Yes.
L3	Q. Okay, and that's the other road that's closest to
L4	this proposed location to the north, correct?
L 5	A. Yes.
L6	Q. Okay, and for 13.39 acres the asking price is
L7	\$160,000. Do you see that?
L8	A. Yes.
L9	Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that the land
20	values here are more than the land values that one would
21	have if you all were drilling out in the middle of some
22	pasture somewhere, grazing land, correct?
23	A. That's possible. There's some pretty expensive
24	pasture land, though, too.
25	O. Okav. Now who are the officers of Ouest

1 Cherokee? Myself, Walter Uris is the vice president of 2 Α. 3 geology, Richard Marlin is the vice president of engineering, David Gross is the chief financial officer, 4 5 and Jerry Cash is the CEO. Okay. Now what entities have you been involved 6 0. in, in the last five years, as either an officer, a 7 8 director, a partner, or a person with a 25-percent or greater interest? 9 This is the only public entity that I've ever 10 been involved with. 11 What about a private entity? 12 0. 13 A. Well, I've had a private company of my own. Did that private company do work in New Mexico? 14 0. 15 Α. No. 16 Okay. Has any of the officers, directors, Q. 17 partners or applicants ever had interest in any entities in 18 the last five years that did business in New Mexico? 19 You'd have to ask them, I have no idea. 20 Q. Okay, you're not prepared to offer that kind of 21 testimony here today, correct? 22 A. About their personal finances and what they've done in the past, no. 23

Okay. How many water wells are in Section 9?

24

25

Q.

A.

I don't know.

1	Q. Where is the clösest water well?
2	A. I don't know.
3	Q. Has there been any work done to determine the
4	location and types of water wells in the area?
5	A. Not to my knowledge.
6	Q. Okay. How much did Quest Cherokee pay for the
7	assignment that's at issue in this matter that gives it
8	or purports to give it the right to drill this location?
9	A. I may be bound to some confidentiality on that.
10	I'm not sure I can answer that here.
11	Q. So are you refusing to give that testimony?
12	A. Do I have to answer that?
13	MR. BRUCE: I would object if there is
14	confidential data. I don't think that's necessary to the
15	hearing anyway.
16	EXAMINER CATANACH: What's the purpose of that,
17	Mr. Newell?
18	MR. NEWELL: Well, the purpose is to they've
19	taken the position that they're going to lose some kind of
20	right or interest in the event that this is this
21	Application is not allowed. And so they're to me,
22	they're trying to, you know, use the short time frame and
23	the extensions to put pressure on this Division to rush
24	this Application through.
25	My feeling is, my position is that there are a

lot of issues that have to be looked at here, consistent with this Division's authority in granting applications, and -- For example, they may have some arrangement where how much they pay is contingent on the ability to drill the well, and they may not have any financial obligation or risk if the well is not drilled, based on, you know, the term and nature of their contracts between them and their predecessor in interest or their predecessor's agreement with Chesapeake or whoever it would be, you know, you walk through the series of entities.

And so there's definitely a situation here where we've had a variety of assignments that have occurred over a relatively short period and extensions granted in those.

and my concern in the big picture is, we've got an entity here that has never produced in New Mexico, didn't exist in New Mexico prior to December 15th, has no track history in New Mexico, wants to come in here and drill in a residential area near a school and a retirement community with high land values -- and we'll introduce evidence to show there's a huge amount of water wells that are identified by the State Engineer in the section -- and we believe it fits a pattern here that -- and I'm not suggesting -- let's -- I want to say this. I assume these guys are honorable people.

But let's assume that they're not for the sake of

what I'm about to argue here. They could come in and have a shell corporation that has no other assets, drills this well, does things that are inconsistent with either the rules of this Division or its obligations to the State of New Mexico or the surrounding land owners, and then just walks away, and here's your \$10,000 bond.

And you know, there's nothing that we can do or say about it, and these people have had their community ruined, and -- you know, then -- here's where we are.

And we believe that's why in the Commission

Rules, or in the Division Rules, it gives this Division the

power to impose conditions and restrictions on the

application. And we believe that, you know, this whole

process is being kind of rammed through.

And I'll give you another example. The Application was filed on January 3rd. It was originally set for February 1st. That's not 30 days. I mean, there has been a rush to push this thing forward in a way that, you know, does not give this Division the opportunity to do its job and protect the Intervenors such as those I represent. So that's -- you know --

MR. BRUCE: And Mr. --

MR. NEWELL: -- narrowly, and big-picture, why I want to ask that question.

MR. BRUCE: And if I can respond, Mr. Examiner,

we've presented the assignments, we've shown that we have 1 the right to drill under these assignments. The fact of 2 the matter is, there is a time deadline -- that's at the 3 grace of Chesapeake -- and if that expires, Quest will lose 4 5 the right to drill, a valuable right to drill. Everything else Mr. Newell says is pure 6 7 speculation. He's saying Quest might do this or Quest --8 He's saying Quest is a bad actor, he's assuming everything the worst about them. 9 They've come in here, they've gotten qualified to 10 do business, they've bonded, they want to drill a well. 11 Quest has spent most of its time -- and Mr. Bolton could 12 testify about this, they're primarily an operator in 13 14 Oklahoma. They don't come in to act poorly, they come in 15 to drill a good well and to make some money. 16 nothing wrong with that. 17 Everything else Mr. Newell says is pure speculation. 18 19 MR. NEWELL: May I respond to that just briefly? 20 Okay, go ahead. MR. BROOKS: 21 MR. NEWELL: Then why didn't Quest come in here 22 and do it without setting up the subsidiary? 23 THE WITNESS: Can I -- I can answer that, if --24 Well, I think that was intended as a MR. BROOKS: rhetorical question for argumentative purposes, rather than 25

as a question to the witness. 1 It seems to me that what they may have paid for 2 the assignment is of very marginal relevance in testimony 3 as it is confidential information, so under the 4 circumstances I would recommend the Examiner overrule -- or 5 6 sustain the objection and not allow the question. I would concur again with 7 EXAMINER CATANACH: 8 counsel on that point. 9 MR. NEWELL: May I proceed? 10 **EXAMINER CATANACH:** Yes. MR. NEWELL: Thank you. 11 Pass the witness. 12 13 **EXAMINATION** 14 BY EXAMINER CATANACH: 15 Q. Mr. Bolton, the well is, I assume, going to be 16 dedicated to a 40-acre tract; is that correct? 17 Α. I believe that's correct, yes, sir. 18 0. And there's a little bit of inconsistency with 19 regard to the well name. The C-102 that you provided is not the same as the ad for this case. Can you address 20 that? 21 22 I don't know why it was mislabeled in the 23 publication. I don't know if that was a typographical error or what. 24 The name of the well is the West Bishop

25

State Number 1.

So that is the correct name? Q. 1 2 Α. Yes, sir. Mr. Bolton, do you know how long it's going to 3 0. take to drill the well? 4 In discussions with the engineers, they suggested 5 Α. that it would take approximately two weeks. 6 And the primary target, again, is the San Andres. 7 Q. I assume this is the same San Andres that's being produced 8 around the City of Hobbs right now, the Grayburg-San 9 Andres? 10 Α. I presume that to be the case. You might want to 11 ask our geologist. He could probably answer that better 12 than I could. 13 Okay. And as far as you know, the well is -- the 14 ο. well is not within the city limits; you've already checked 15 that, right? 16 17 Α. I'm certain the well is not within the city limits. 18 19 And again, you'd be -- Quest would be willing Q. 20 to -- any safety or other regulations that the Division may 21 deem necessary in this case, Quest would be willing to comply with? 22 23 Certainly. A. 24 And I don't know what those might be, if 25 anything, but I just wanted to make sure you'd be willing

1	to do tha	t.
2	A.	Certainly.
3		EXAMINER CATANACH: All right. Do you have any
4	questions	?
5		MR. BROOKS: A couple.
6		EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. BR	ooks:
8	Q.	It's my understanding that you personally have
9	had no co	ntact with the surface owners with regard to this?
10	Α.	Personally?
11	Q.	Yes.
12	Α.	No, I have not.
13	Q.	Now who from Quest did?
14	Α.	Vern Dyer is a broker that we hired, a local
15	broker fr	om the Hobbs area to do that.
16	Q.	And he's present here
17	Α.	Yes, he is?
18	Q.	and he'll testify?
19	Α.	Yes, he is.
20		MR. BROOKS: Okay, thank you. I think that's all
21	the quest	ions I have.
22		EXAMINER CATANACH: Anything further, Mr. Bruce?
23		MR. BRUCE: No, sir.
24		EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, this witness may be
25	excused.	

1		VERNON D. DYER,
2	the witness her	ein, after having been first duly sworn upon
3	his oath, was e	xamined and testified as follows:
4		DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. BRUCE:	
6	Q. Would	you please state your name for the record?
7	A. It's	Vernon Dyer.
8	Q. Where	do you reside?
9	A. Roswe	ll, New Mexico.
10	Q. And w	hat is your relationship to Quest Cherokee
11	in this matter?	
12	A. I'm w	orking for them as a contract landman.
13	Q. Where	you as part of your duties, were you
14	requested to tr	y to come to terms with the surface owner
15	regarding a wel	l location for the proposed well?
16	A. Yes,	that is correct.
17	Q. Have	you previously testified before the
18	Division?	
19	A. Yes,	sir, I have.
20	Q. And w	ere your credentials as an expert petroleum
21	landman accepte	d as a matter of record?
22	A. Yes.	
23	Q. And y	ou are familiar with the surface matters
24	with respect to	this proposed well?
25	A. Yes,	I am.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I'd tender Mr. Dyer as 1 an expert petroleum landman. 2 EXAMINER CATANACH: Any objection? 3 MR. NEWELL: No objection. 4 EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Dyer is so qualified. 5 0. (By Mr. Bruce) Mr. Dyer, just very briefly, I 6 7 wasn't planning you up, but what type contacts did you have with the surface out here? 8 Mostly telephone -- well, all telephone 9 Α. conversations with Ms. Cox and with Steve Cox. And those 10 11 are the two ones I was dealing with on this. I did receive a call from other surface owners in the area. 12 13 Q. Okay --MR. NEWELL: Mr. Hearing Examiner -- I don't mean 14 15 to interrupt you. Since he may be testifying to things that my witnesses who are on the telephone were other 16 17 parties to, could we go ahead and call them at this time so 18 that they can hear matters to the extent the testimony concerns specific interactions with them? I think that 19 20 would be --21 EXAMINER CATANACH: Sure, that would be 22 appropriate. 23 Okay, the number is 888-525- --MR. NEWELL: 24 EXAMINER CATANACH: Hang on. 25 MR. BROOKS: I don't believe we've even got a

1	dial tone yet, so something needs to be turned on that
2	isn't on.
3	(Off the record)
4	MR. BROOKS: We've got it.
5	MR. NEWELL: 888-525-0755.
6	EXAMINER CATANACH: I'll let you talk to them.
7	MR. NEWELL: Can I do it from here?
8	MR. BROOKS: No, you've got to have one of these
9	microphones.
10	MR. NEWELL: Okay, may I approach?
11	EXAMINER CATANACH: Yes, certainly.
12	A VOICE: [unintelligible].
13	MR. NEWELL: Yeah, Steve Cox, please.
14	A VOICE: Yes, hang on just a second, please.
15	MR. COX: All right, go ahead.
16	MR. NEWELL: Steve, this is Mike Newell. Mr.
17	Dyer is on the stand and so we're going to connect you all,
18	and you know, you all can listen to Mr. Dyer's testimony,
19	and then it will be some time before we get to you all.
20	But you know, this way you all can hear what's going on,
21	all right?
22	MR. COX: All right.
23	MR. NEWELL: And don't say anything. If you get
24	cut off, though, I guess call the New Mexico Oil
25	Conservation Division and tell them you've been cut off

1	
1	from the hearing room, okay?
2	MR. COX: Okay.
3	MR. NEWELL: All right, thanks, Steve. And it's
4	Vernon Dyer who's on the stand, and he's being asked
5	questions by Mr. Bruce, the Applicant's attorney. All
6	right?
7	MR. COX: All right.
8	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, before we get started,
9	Mr. Brooks has a telephone call to make, so I'm going to
10	take a short recess, because I'm not going to proceed
11	without him.
12	MR. BROOKS: Yes, I apologize for interrupting
13	the proceedings, but this relates to a matter pending
14	before the Legislature. And as you know, they are not very
15	tolerant of delays.
16	EXAMINER CATANACH: So we'll take just a short
17	break here, hopefully.
18	(Thereupon, a recess was taken at 10:43 a.m.)
19	(The following proceedings had at 10:52 a.m.)
20	A VOICE: [unintelligible].
21	MR. NEWELL: Steve Cox, please.
22	A VOICE: Okay, hang on just a second.
23	MR. COX: Okay.
24	MR. NEWELL: All right, Steve, we're going to go
25	back on the record. Okay?

All right. MR. COX: 1 MR. NEWELL: All right, thanks. 2 EXAMINER CATANACH: All right, Mr. Bruce, you may 3 proceed. 4 MR. BRUCE: 5 Okay. MR. NEWELL: Would it be acceptable to put a 6 7 chair and put this on a chair? 8 MR. BROOKS: That could be done. EXAMINER CATANACH: 9 Sure. MR. NEWELL: That way it might pick up everyone a 10 little better. 11 12 MR. BROOKS: It may be too far to pick up you, but you can move it closer if you need to. 13 14 Q. (By Mr. Bruce) Mr. Dyer, I just started asking 15 you, and I really just have a couple of brief questions which -- first of all, where the proposed well is located, 16 17 that land is owned by the Coxes; is that correct? Α. That is correct. 18 19 And could you just briefly go over your contacts Q. 20 with the Coxes and how those contacts terminated? 21 A. Well, the first contact I made was on the 16th of November with Ms. Cox. I had left a message at her home 22 23 and she called me back. And I talked to her briefly about what Quest would like to do, and I'd like to get permission 24 25 to go ahead and survey.

And she said she didn't want a well on the land, and she didn't want to give me permission to survey.

So I said that was her choice, and I thanked her and we finished our conversation.

And then later on, on the 27th through the 28th, 29th, somewhere along in there, of November, I made a couple of calls to her and left messages asking her to call me back.

And I think at the same time, right in that area, Steve made a call and left a message on my phone.

And in the meantime, on the 28th, I had written a letter to Ms. Cox, just -- it's part of the exhibits -- and to try to -- asked her to contact me.

But after that is when Steve called, and I wasn't in at the time and he left a message.

So before I could call them back on the 1st,

December the 1st, I received the letter from the law firm

stating that they were -- they had been hired to represent

them and that they were not going to do anything to let us

on the land, and we were not to -- that they were going to

block us by filing with the OCD to stop the Application.

So at that time I quit trying to make contact, because there wasn't any sense. I knew how Ms. Cox felt, I knew this was -- and so I quit trying to make contacts, because we never made a deal on it at that time. I had

talked to -- Steve and I had had a couple conversations 1 since then, but we never really -- we haven't made any 2 agreement on anything. 3 Okay. Now when you reference the law firm, Q. you're referring to Mr. Newell's law firm? 5 Yes, I'm sorry. The letter was from Michael Α. 6 7 Newell, and it's the Handel [sic] Samberson firm in 8 Lovington. 9 0. And then -- so talks ceased because the Coxes didn't want to deal with you; is that fair to say? 10 Yes, yes, it's fair to say they didn't want to 11 deal on location or anything like that. 12 And one final question, Mr. Dyer. Did Mr. 13 Q. Williams at the OCD's Hobbs office inform you that because 14 of the surface owner objections, that the Division District 15 Office wouldn't approve an APD for the well and that we 16 17 would have to come up to Santa Fe to get approval? Α. That is correct, when I talked to him. 18 19 MR. BRUCE: Thank you. I pass the witness. 20 MR. NEWELL: Thank you. 21 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NEWELL: 22 23 Mr. Dyer, my name is Mike Newell. And are you 24 referring to the letter that was written December 1st,

2006, to Chris Williams, that's part of Exhibit 9?

25

1	Α.	Yes, yes.
2	Q.	Okay. And you were given a copy of that,
3	correct?	
4	Α.	Yes.
5	Q.	Okay. And that does not say that the Coxes don't
6	want to de	eal with you anymore, does it?
7	Α.	No, it does not.
8	Q.	Okay. What it says, it tells Mr. Williams that
9	the Coxes	raised an objection and request a hearing on the
10	proposed 1	location of the Quest Resource Corporation well in
11	Section 9	, correct?
12	Α.	Yes.
13	Q.	Okay. And
14	А.	It's what one of the letters on December the 1st
15	says.	
16	Q.	Okay.
17	А.	There's two letters from you on December the 1st.
18	Q.	All right. Oh, and then there's one to you?
19	А.	Yes.
20	Q.	Okay. Now did you go back and check with
21	Chesapeak	e to see why they decided not to drill?
22	А.	Did I go back and talk to Chesapeake?
23	Q.	Yes.
24	А.	No, I did not.
25	Q.	Okay. And that letter that you're referring to

1	is also at	tached as Exhibit Number 9, correct?
2	Α.	Yes, that is correct.
3	Q.	Okay. Have you been to the physical location of
4	this propo	osed drilling site?
5	Α.	Yes, I have.
6	Q.	Okay. And there are houses to the north,
7	correct?	
8	Α.	That is correct.
9	Q.	And there are houses to the south, correct?
10	А.	Yes.
11	Q.	Okay. And there's a house across the street to
12	the west,	correct?
13	А.	That is correct.
14	Q.	And there is a housing development that's going
15	in to the	east across the pasture, correct?
16	А.	Now that I don't know.
17	Q.	Okay.
18	А.	When I talked to the City Commissioner, I talked
19	to one of	them and they didn't say anything about that.
20	Q.	Okay. Did you do any work to determine whether
21	this locat	cion was in a subdivision?
22	Α.	Yes, I did.
23	Q.	And did you find that it was in a subdivision?
24	А.	It was not in a subdivision.
25	Q.	Okay. Are you aware of the work that was done on

1	this that's reflected on Exhibit Number 8 that's styled
2	West Bishop Canyon Prospect?
3	A. No, I was not aware of it until today.
4	Q. Okay. So did you Do you know whether it is or
5	is not in the Country Living Estates subdivision?
6	A. Well, I talked to Gary Forna, the City
7	Commissioner, and he told me that it was not in a
8	subdivision
9	Q. Okay, is
10	A so I can only go by that.
11	Q. Okay, Mr. Forna is just a commissioner though,
12	correct?
13	A. Well, yes.
14	Q. Okay
15	A. He may not like to be called "just a
16	commissioner". He's a commissioner, yes.
17	Q. He doesn't work for the City of Hobbs?
18	A. No, he does not.
19	Q. And he does not he's not employed as the
20	person at the City of Hobbs that's in charge of
21	subdivisions, correct?
22	A. I assume that is correct, yes.
23	Q. Okay. What he is, he's an elected official who
24	is not an employee of the city, correct?
25	A. Yes.

And so did you check with any city officials to 1 Q. determine whether this area is in a subdivision or not? 2 3 Α. No, not with any of the city officials. I looked in the county records at Lovington. 4 5 0. Okay. Now I believe you had written a letter to the Coxes dated November 28th, 2006, correct? 6 That is correct. 7 Α. Okay, and you talk about dislocation causes less 8 0. disruption. Is that -- That was your term, correct? 9 Α. That's right. 10 How was this location determined to cause 11 0. Okay. less disruption, and to whom was less disruption caused? 12 Well, that was my personal opinion, because it 13 Α. 14 was far enough south that it wasn't close to the homes to 15 the north, and it didn't interrupt her home. I was more concerned about Ms. Cox than I was any of the other 16 landowners, I'll be honest with you. 17 Now Ms. Cox lives on College lane 18 Q. Okay. 19 immediately to the north? That is correct. 20 Α. 21 0. Okay. And Ms. Cox is a woman of retirement age, correct? 22 23 Α. That is correct. 24 And you've been around oil and gas drilling 25 operations, correct?

1	A. Right there in the middle of Hobbs when I grew
2	up.
3	Q. And in oil and gas drilling operations they
4	operate 24-7, or they're drilling 24-7, correct?
5	A. That is correct.
6	Q. Okay. And you're going to have a variety of
7	pieces of heavy equipment coming in and out of there,
8	correct?
9	A. I assume so.
10	Q. Okay, you're going to have water haulers and
11	you're going to have trucks, transport trucks bringing in
12	various things?
13	A. That's all possible but that's not guaranteed.
14	There may be a well there that we can use without having to
15	haul a water truck.
16	Q. Okay, a water well?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Now there are water wells in the area, correct?
19	A. I'm sure there is, yes, they're all that
20	homes they're not on city water, so all those houses got
21	to be on water somehow.
22	Q. Okay. And is there anything in place right now
23	that has been negotiated by Quest Cherokee which secures
24	them a water resource for the drilling of this well?
25	A. That I don't know. I don't have any part of

```
that. My question -- my responsibility is working with the
1
     surface owner.
2
                      Now -- and the bottom line is, your
          Q.
3
     dealings with the surface owner have been unsuccessful,
4
     correct?
5
               As to getting -- negotiating a land -- a
          A.
6
     location, that is correct.
7
               Right. I think on a personal level -- I think I
8
     can speak -- I think you all got along pretty well, you
9
10
     just couldn't --
11
          Α.
               Oh, yes --
12
          Q.
               -- ultimately agree?
          Α.
               -- yes, I think the world of Ms. Cox --
13
               Yeah --
14
          Q.
                -- don't get me wrong.
15
          A.
                It wasn't like you all --
16
          0.
                I knew her husband very well.
17
          A.
                It wasn't like you all fought or anything --
18
          Q.
               Oh, no.
19
          A.
20
          Q.
                -- you just ultimately had to disagree, kind
21
     of --
22
               Yes.
          A.
23
                -- is that -- would that be a fair
     characterization?
24
25
          Α.
                Yeah, I think that's very fair.
```

Okay. 1 Q. When she first told me that she didn't like it, I 2 Α. honored her wish and I called the company and told them, 3 and they just made their decision, so I went back. 4 Now I'm going to ask you to look at a 5 Q. document we've identified as Exhibit Number 2, and this 6 area is a high-land-value area, correct? 7 Α. Yes, it is. 8 Okay. And in your discussions the high land 9 0. values definitely were an issue, correct? 10 11 Α. In what way? 12 0. Well --13 Α. As an issue, you mean there was a disagreement or 14 something? 15 Q. Yes. No, there wasn't a disagreement on the values. 16 Α. Okay. Let me ask you this: 17 Q. There are no presently producing oil and gas wells in this area, 18 19 correct? At least in Section 9? Not that I know of. 20 21 Q. Okay. And do you understand what kind of production they are hoping to make out of this well? 22 23 The type? Α. 24 Q. Yes. 25 Today, I didn't know before today what it was. Α.

1	Q. Okay, so you have no present knowledge of that.
2	There's no battery facilities or any kind of
3	facilities that are located in this area, correct?
4	A. I wouldn't say that, because I don't know what
5	you consider that area, and also haven't driven the whole
6	area looking. There's oil wells all over Hobbs and around
7	the territory.
8	Q. Fair enough, let's say Section 9.
9	A. No, not in Section 9.
10	Q. Okay. I agree with you, "area" is kind of an
11	ambiguous term.
12	A. Yeah.
13	Q. All right. Did you represent to well, let
14	me
15	Have you ever been given authority to go to the
16	land owner and say, We can do certain things to protect you
17	from noise, pollution or chemicals or the things associated
18	with drilling of an oil and gas well?
19	A. No, I've never had the opportunity to say that to
20	him, because we never got that far in conversation.
21	MR. NEWELL: Okay. All right, I pass the
22	witness.
23	EXAMINER CATANACH: Anything further?
24	MR. BRUCE: Nothing for me.
25	EXAMINER CATANACH: Do you have anything?

66 **EXAMINATION** 1 2 BY MR. BROOKS: As I understand your last response, you didn't 3 make any offer because the Coxes' position was simply that 4 they were not going to grant permission on any basis; is 5 6 that correct? 7 No, the reason I stopped -- the reason we didn't pursue it any further is, I knew from the OCD where they 8 may not even get the well approved, was, they wasn't going 9 to accept the Application. You know, unless we get an 10 11 application approved, there's not any damages to do. 12 Okay, so it was because of the action of our District Office that you broke off negotiations? 13 Α. Yes, because of the client of the attorney and 14 then saying they was going to file with the District 15 Office, and then the District Engineer calling me saying 16 they wasn't going to accept it. 17 MR. BROOKS: Okay, very good. Thank you. 18 THE WITNESS: We still talk to them though. 19 20 EXAMINER CATANACH: Anything further of this witness? 21 22 You may be excused. 23 Mr. Newell, do you want to --

MR. NEWELL: I'm going to ask him if they want

Hello, Steve?

24

25

to -- Hey Steve? Steve?

I think that answers the question. And they'll 1 call if they need to call in. And I don't anticipate 2 putting them on until after lunch, okay? 3 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. 4 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, before I move on to my 5 next witness, I'd ask -- I think Mr. Dyer is going to stick 6 around for a little longer, but unless Mr. Newell has need 7 of him I'd ask that he can be excused so he can head back 8 down to Roswell at some point. 9 10 MR. NEWELL: No objection to that. EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Dyer. 11 12 STEVEN HOCHSTEIN, the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 13 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 14 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BRUCE: 16 17 What is your name, please? Q. 18 A. Steven Hochstein. Would you spell that for the record? 19 Q. 20 S-t-e-v-e-n H-o-c-h-s-t-e-i-n. Α. Where do you reside? 21 Q. 22 In Houston, Texas. Actually a small called Α. 23 Pearland, suburb of Houston. 24 Q. Okay, and you work in Houston? 25 A. I work in Bellair, another suburb of Houston.

1	Q. Who do you work for?
2	A. I work for Quest Resource.
3	Q. What is your job at Quest?
4	A. I'm a manager of new ventures, look for new
5	opportunities for Quest Resource.
6	Q. By profession are you a geologist?
7	A. I'm a geologist.
8	Q. Have you previously testified before the Division
9	as a geologist?
10	A. No, I have not.
11	Q. Would you summarize your educational and
12	employment background for the Examiner?
13	A. I graduated from the University of Texas in 1981
14	with a degree bachelor of science degree in geology.
15	I've been employed in the oil and gas business since 1981,
16	so 26 years in the oil and gas business, various companies.
17	I went to work for Quest in I believe last year.
18	Q. Okay. Have you worked New Mexico before?
19	A. Yes, I have, with the previous previous
20	employ, I worked Raton Basin.
21	Q. Does your area of responsibility at Quest include
22	this area of southeast New Mexico?
23	A. It includes the entire country.
24	Q. And have you studied the geology involved in the
25	drilling of Quest's proposed well?

- A. Yes, I have.
- Q. And so you are familiar with the geology involved in the drilling of this well?
 - A. Yes.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I'd tender the witness as an expert petroleum geologist.

EXAMINER CATANACH: Any objection?

MR. NEWELL: Your Honor, I would like to reserve objection, and we can either do it through a voir dire -- but it's not his general qualifications that I object to, it's maybe his specific knowledge of this project. He identifies that he was a -- he's manager of new ventures, and he didn't define, really, what that was. I don't know if that's more of a managerial/entrepreneurial-type, acquisition position, or if it's a geology position.

I don't want to slow down the hearing, and so what I would do is, if you would -- I do not object to him going ahead and providing testimony, and hopefully, you know, this issue about his extent of knowledge as to this particular project will be fleshed out, and that would be my only objection, and we can do it through a voir dire right now, or we can do it through just reserving the objection.

MR. BROOKS: Well, if you trust the Examiner to not consider the testimony, if you subsequently -- if he

subsequently strikes it, then I guess we can reserve it, because we don't have a jury here to consider, so I think we can do that procedure if you feel that would be more efficient.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think it may be more efficient, MR. NEWELL: but I'll do whatever the pleasure of the Commission is.

MR. BROOKS: Well, whatever the Examiner wants to do is fine with me, but I think that he can do that. think that's an option that's available to the Examiner if he wishes to take it.

EXAMINER CATANACH: All right, let's go forth on that note.

Q. (By Mr. Bruce) And Mr. Hochstein -- I think my voice is finally dying on me. Maybe we're all lucky here.

Why don't you identify Exhibit 11, and as part of that maybe start off with your discussion of what you do do for Quest to maybe answer some of Mr. Newell's questions, and then what types of materials you looked at in deciding whether Quest should purchase this prospect, and then how you came about preparing this exhibit?

A. My responsibilities for Quest include reviewing -- looking for new unconventional oil and gas opportunities for Quest across the U.S., and even some international. review a number of prospects and acquisitions for Quest.

At any given time I may have three or four projects ongoing

at any one time. My responsibility is to oversee the geologic components of any acquisitions and/or prospects that are acquired or developed internally for Quest.

- Q. Okay, and what does Exhibit 11 reflect?
- A. Exhibit 11 is a map that I constructed after reviewing data and maps and the prospect that was originally reviewed from Tierra, a company that had a prospect in the area that we were interested in after doing some regional geology. We liked the prospect area, we liked the concept, and subsequent to that acquired the prospect form Tierra and are in the process of permitting our well.
- Q. So you did review well data and other geologic data in preparing this exhibit?
 - A. Yes, I did.

- Q. There's lots of lines and numbers on here. Could you go through it and before you describe why you want to drill at this proposed location, just outline the structure, oil-water contacts, et cetera?
- A. Yes. This is kind of a combination -- it's two maps kind of overlain on top of each other. The black contour levels are structural contours at the top of the San Andres in proximity to the -- what's commonly referred to as the A zone or the Hobbs zone, which is the primary producing interval in the wells in this area.

The purple contours are the isopach of the -- or the net thickness of the -- of that A zone or Hobbs zone.

The green lines -- or the red-highlighted wells, the wells that are highlighted with a red dot, are wells that were productive from the San Andres formation. And you can see -- just underneath the title block there, you can start to see some red dots, kind of underneath the title block there. That would be Hobbs -- the large Hobbs field, which also produces from the San Andres.

The green lines labeled "Possible Oil-Water Contact" are my estimate of the original oil-water contact for these accumulations that were originally developed in the San Andres.

The "Perm Barrier?" labels there that you see for the -- and then the subsequent dashed lines that the arrows are pointing to, are inferred permeability barriers. And they're inferred for a couple of reasons. One, the -- as I mapped the thickness of the porosity zone, there is a thin that develops, and there needs to be -- there's no structural separation between these two accumulations, yet they have different oil-water contacts, and therefore -- I believe that that is due to the -- a permeability or some other form of separation between these two that separate those two oil-water contacts.

As we see as we move across the Bishop Canyon

field, which is the field immediately offsetting our proposed location, that we see the indications of another thin and a potential for another perm barrier over in that location.

The purpose of the location where we picked it, is, one, to stay proximal to the old production where we have a higher density of subsurface control, to stay -- remain updip of the original oil-water contact, and realizing that that oil-water contact may have migrated updip through the production of the old wells, and then to try to also attain a thicker porosity than was seen on the eastern limits of the -- or the western limits of the field.

Contouring suggests that there may be a thicker porosity interval, and -- that I have mapped, and that would be the hope, that we would indicate some thicker porosity, which would improve the economic viability of the well.

- Q. Okay. So when you consider all these factors, the best location for the initial well in your prospect is in the northeast quarter, northwest quarter of Section 9?
 - A. Yes, it is.

- Q. What is Exhibit 12, briefly?
- A. Exhibit 12 is just an example of a log in the area. It's the Mar Win Development Atlantic Richfield

Number 1 in Section 15, and that's just -- the logs in this field area are generally old, very old and very poor quality, and this was kind of the best quality log that I had, so I used it just to kind of annotate where the top of the San Andres mapping horizon is and the porosity, primary porosity zone, that the wells had produced from.

And our project, or our proposed completion interval is -- we hope to encounter, or attempt to establish production in this zone, as well as maybe some other very thin, porous zones that are suggested on the logs.

Q. San Andres zones?

- A. San Andres zones, yes, they would be deeper.
- Q. What is the cost of the proposed well? And I refer you to Exhibit 13.
- A. Yeah, we have an estimate of about \$695,000 to drill and complete this well. Costs have continued to increase dramatically with time.
 - Q. And what is Exhibit 14?
- A. Fourteen is a summary, economic one, of a -comparing a vertical well with a directional well, and
 the -- one of the reasons why this prospect is economic is
 the product price now, and projects that weren't economic a
 year ago or, you know, a year and a half ago are economic
 now because of the price of oil, and we're hoping to

capitalize on the current commodity price. However, costs have increased dramatically in the last couple of years as well, and directional work has gone up substantially.

So what we're looking at here is, we're comparing the cost of a straight hole versus -- and the economics associated with a straight hole, versus drilling -- moving to the west and drilling a directional well. And on our rate of return gets below 20 percent, which is -- once you get below that, it's really not worth taking the risk of an economic venture. As it is, it's a fairly skinny project already.

- Q. So you need to drill a vertical well --
- A. Yes, we do.
 - Q. -- at your proposed location?
- 15 A. Yes.

- Q. If the initial well is successful, could other wells potentially be drilled on your prospect?
 - A. Yes, they could.
- Q. And if that's the case, would Quest attempt to use common facilities to the extent feasible?
- A. To the extent that it's economically feasible, we would make every effort to limit the amount of impact on the surface.
- Q. Now there is -- in going through the objector's exhibits, there's stuff about well blowouts. Do you

anticipate that being a problem in drilling these shallow 1 2 San Andres wells? No, we are in a -- this area has been developed 3 for many, many years, and as you can see -- and from the 4 Bishop Canyon field, there were six producers there that 5 have produced, you know, a million barrels and a BCF of 6 So if anything, we're expecting maybe even slightly 7 8 underpressure environment. So there's not really any abnormal pressures in 9 this regime, and this would be the -- this would be the 10 extremely safe -- you know, not expecting any surprises at 11 all. This is very fundamental drilling. 12 Very straightforward --13 Q.

> Very straightforward. A.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Were Exhibits 11 through 14 prepared by you or Q. under your supervision, or compiled from company business records?
- Exhibits 13 and 14 were prepared by Brian Α. Simmons, an engineer that works in the Bellair office as well. I generated Exhibits 11 and 12.
- 0. In your opinion, is the granting of Quest's Application in the interests of conservation and the prevention of waste?
 - Yes, it is. Α.
 - MR. NEWELL: I object to the question. I don't

```
think he's established his qualifications to offer that
1
     type of opinion.
2
               MR. BROOKS: Well, subject to your -- you know,
 3
     we reserved your objections on his qualifications.
 4
     petroleum geologists are generally assumed to be, if
 5
     they're familiar with the area, competent to give that type
 6
     of opinion.
 7
               MR. NEWELL: And I don't think it's that latter
 8
     portion that we've established here. At least that would
 9
     be my position.
10
               MR. BROOKS: Well, you may take the witness on
11
12
     voir dire if you wish.
               MR. NEWELL: Well, I can do it in cross.
                                                          I mean,
13
     I think --
14
               MR. BROOKS:
15
                            Okay.
               MR. NEWELL:
                            -- that's what we agreed.
16
               I just felt like I needed, for the record, to
17
     make the objection --
18
19
               MR. BROOKS:
                            Okay.
                            -- and I wasn't trying to change the
20
               MR. NEWELL:
21
     rules that we agreed to a minute ago.
22
               MR. BROOKS: Well, I will advise that the
23
     Examiner overrule the objection.
24
               EXAMINER CATANACH: I will overrule the
     objection.
25
```

MR. BRUCE: Now, I was going to move to qualify 1 the witness as an expert petroleum geologist, but if Mr. 2 3 Newell wants to cross-examine him first, that's fine. MR. NEWELL: That would be my preference, if 4 5 that's all right, Mr. Bruce. EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, that would be fine. 6 MR. NEWELL: All right. 7 MR. BRUCE: I pass the witness. 8 CROSS-EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. NEWELL: 10 Mr. Hochstein -- Is that Hochstein? 11 Q. Hochstein. 12 Α. Hochstein, okay. My name is Michael Newell, and 13 Q. I represent the Intervenors in this matter. 14 15 You indicate that the relative margin on this -economic viability on this well -- I think your term was 16 "skinny", correct? 17 Yeah, it's a very -- yeah, it -- I'm not sure the 18 19 word I used a moment ago. 20 Q. Okay. And basically \$165,000 to directionally drill is something that you don't believe makes it 21 economically feasible, correct? 22 23 That's correct. Α. 24 Okay, and that's how much it is, \$165,000 **Q.** 25 difference, correct?

1	A. That's our estimate.
2	Q. Okay. Based on what, Exhibit Number 13
3	A. Uh-huh.
4	Q is that correct?
5	A. Fourteen.
6	Q. Fourteen, I'm sorry. Now, what's your
7	familiarity with the Grayburg-San Andres formation in Lea
8	County, New Mexico?
9	A. I have looked at a number of productive
10	production trends. I've mapped the structure, reviewed a
11	number of well logs, mud logs, test information and
12	production information and mapped over the a small
13	amount of Lea County.
14	Q. Okay. It's H ₂ S-bearing or -producing strata,
15	correct?
16	A. Yeah, there is a small amount of H_2S in the gas.
17	Q. Okay. And that H ₂ S, though, has the presence
18	of H ₂ S has increased as the field has aged, correct?
19	A. I'm not sure which field you're talking about?
20	Q. The Grayburg-San Andres formation in what would
21	be considered the Hobbs field, I guess.
22	A. In Hobbs field, I'm not familiar with that trend.
23	Q. Okay, you're not familiar with the
24	A with the increase in
25	Q. Okay.

A. -- in H₂S in Hobbs field --1 Okay. 2 Q. -- I'm not -- I'm not familiar with that. 3 Okay. What H₂S protections have been put into 4 Q. the AFE that's Exhibit Number 13? 5 Again, I'm not an engineer, I did not prepare the 6 A. 7 AFE, but all protections would be taken that are required. And required based on what? Q. 8 Based on oil and gas regulations. 9 Okay. Is there any enhanced requirement because 10 Q. of the proximity of residences and a school to this area? 11 I'm not familiar with the -- if there are any 12 13 enhanced requirements. Okay. Why is the -- On Exhibit Number 13, why is 14 Q. the line item for "Environmental" blank? 15 Α. I don't know. 16 17 So there's been no allocation in the AFE for Q. environmental protections, correct? 18 I don't see any in there. 19 Okay. And what kind of system is anticipated, if 20 Q. the Application is granted as it exists, for the 21 confinement and disposal of drilling muds and waste? 22 23 Α. All normal procedures of oil and gas development, 24 you know, everything required by the State. 25 Q. Are you going to use a closed-loop system?

I do not know if we're using a closed-loop system 1 Α. 2 or not. Can you look at the AFE and tell me? 3 Q. I wouldn't be able to tell. 4 Α. Okay. Is there anything on there for frac tanks 5 Q. or other tanks that would be consistent with use of a 6 7 closed-loop system? I'm sure we will have -- Well, I say I'm sure. 8 Frac tanks are a very common item on a drilling location. 9 I'm not sure what type of system that we'll be using. 10 Okay. It looks like you have production 11 Q. equipment also on this AFE, on the completion side; is that 12 correct? 13 Α. That is correct. 14 Okay, and you anticipate putting a tank battery 15 Q. out here on this location, correct? 16 Yes. 17 A. 18 Q. Okay, and how do you anticipate handling the 19 presence of an elementary school near a tank battery 20 facility? 21 A. I don't understand your question. 22 Q. Well, do you know there's an elementary school 23 close to this prospect? 24 Α. Yes, we've covered that today. 25 Q. Okay. And you're proposing to put a tank battery

out there, right? 1 That is correct. 2 Α. Kids are going to be walking back and forth to 3 Q. school, right by your tank battery, correct? 4 I believe this question was already answered 5 Α. previously, but in a producing -- under a producing well it 6 7 is common practice to fence the production facilities. Okay. With the kind of fence that's a three-8 Q. strand barbed-wire fence to keep out --9 We will do whatever fence is required. 10 Α. Okay. And that's not in the AFE though, correct? 11 Q. Fencing would be covered in the AFE. 12 Α. sure under what line item that it is, but the cost of 13 constructing the fence would be included in the AFE. 14 Okay, but there's no item that says fencing, 15 Q. correct? 16 Not every -- these are categories, these are not 17 Α. individual line items. 18 19 Q. Can you tell me which category fencing would e 20 in? 21 Α. I cannot. Okay. And I believe given the fact that there's 22 23 the presence of H2S, you need to do some kind of --24 MR. BRUCE: Well, I would object to the form of 25 the question. That hasn't been established that there's

1	H ₂ S in this area.
2	Q. (By Mr. Newell) Do you anticipate $\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{S}$ in the
3	production from the Grayburg-San Andres formation in Lea
4	County, New Mexico?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Now, have you conducted any air emissions
7	analysis to determine, you know, what's going to be
8	appropriate?
9	A. I'm not sure No, we have not done any air
LO	emissions analysis.
11	Q. How do you anticipate disposing of H ₂ S on
12	location?
L3	A. There are a number of means of handling H ₂ S.
14	Q. Are you going to
15	A. There are very common oilfield practices. I'm
16	not sure which system will be best for our particular
L7	circumstances. That will be determined upon testing.
18	Q. Okay, do you anticipate flaring?
19	A. I don't know.
20	Q. Okay, do you think it's appropriate to flare,
21	given the proximity of this location to housing, schools
22	and a retirement community?
23	A. I'm not in a position to make that kind of a
24	judgment. It's If done correctly under all the
25	regulations and all the necessary safety precautions are in

place, I would assume it would be okay. 1 Okay. Now let me ask you this: What kind of 2 Q. backup facilities would you have if a no-flare ban is 3 instituted like has been instituted by this Division in Lea 4 5 County in drought conditions? I'm not prepared to answer. 6 Α. It looks like --7 Q. I guess I don't understand -- you know, we will 8 9 do whatever we need to do to drill and complete the well in 10 a safe manner under the -- and satisfy all of the 11 regulatory requirements made upon us. Okay. And you recognize that this is a peculiar 12 Q. location because of its proximity, right? 13 I would object to the form of the 14 MR. BRUCE: question. 15 (By Mr. Newell) Okay, Exhibit Number --16 Q. 17 It's a very standard location. Α. What? 18 0. 19 It's a standard surface location. I mean --20 0. It's a standard surface location from your 21 standpoint? 22 A. Yeah, sure. I mean, it's -- we -- I mean, it's 23 not requiring any special cut-and-fill or -- you know, the topography is fairly routine. I recognize the cultural 24

25

areas, we've discussed that.

1	Q. Right, and I guess that's what and what I hear
2	you saying
3	A. I don't want to say peculiar, it's not peculiar.
4	Q. Okay.
5	A. These are done you know.
6	Q. Let me just make sure, though, I understand what
7	Cherokee is willing to do. What you're saying is, you're
8	willing to do whatever is necessary, whatever this
9	Commission requires, either by its rules or by whatever it
10	imposes if it approves this Application, to make sure that
11	the community that's impacted by this drilling location is
12	safe, correct?
13	A. I'm saying that we will do whatever is required
14	and requested by the Commission and the regulatory agencies
15	in drilling this well.
16	Q. Okay. And then you've presented to this
17	Commission Exhibit Number 8, which is the surface issue
18	summary, correct? Do you see that?
19	A. Okay, yes.
20	Q. Okay. So you know it's in close proximity to an
21	urban area, correct?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Okay. And have there been any particular
24	protections built into your AFE to address the proximity of
25	the well to an urban area?

I don't know. Α. 1 Okay. And --2 Q. It's an estimate. 3 A. Okay. Well, what does Quest Cherokee tell this 4 Commission, or this Division, is necessary to make this a 5 safe, viable location so that not only its interests are 6 protected, but the correlative rights of the surface owners 7 and the adjacent area rights are protected? 8 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I suppose I can let Mr. 9 Newell ask these questions all day long. The Applicant has 10 11 stated it will comply with Division regulations regarding 12 the drilling, equipping and producing of the wells. those regulations, wells have been drilled in Hobbs, 13 they've been drilled in Farmington. They're drilled all 14 15 over the state. I don't know what Mr. Newell is getting at. His clients wouldn't speak to us so that we might come 16 to terms with anything. 17 And so other than complying with Division 18 regulations, I don't know what he wants other than to try 19 20 to make my clients look bad, but they're ready to comply with Division regulations. I don't know what more needs to 21 be said. 22 23 MR. NEWELL: Was that an objection? I mean, I 24 don't know how to --

I would object to any -- If they've

MR. BRUCE:

got something that they propose, let them propose. 1 proposing drilling a well under the regulations of the 2 Division, period. There are no surface-use restrictions, 3 there are no City of Hobbs requirements, there's no Lea 4 5 County requirements. It will drill and complete and produce the well in a safe manner. 6 Other than that -- I suppose Mr. Newell could 7 speculate all day long, and my witness could be up here 8 saying, Gosh, I don't know, Gosh, I don't know. But what's 9 10 the point? I would object to any further questioning along 11 these lines. 12 MR. NEWELL: Let me see if I can move on. 13 14 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. 15 0. (By Mr. Newell) Now what things have you 16 put in place to protect the health and safety --17 MR. BRUCE: This is the same thing, Mr. Examiner. 18 MR. NEWELL: The Division specifically has authority to look at the health and safety issues, the 19 20 environment, and the protection of correlative rights. 21 And I think it's incumbent upon them as an 22 applicant, if they're going to come in here and say -- and as they acknowledge on Exhibit 8 and the other exhibits, 23 that they're going and drilling in a residential area with 24

a school close to it, how are they going to then drill this

in a manner that is consistent with their requirements to do this in a safe manner and protect the environment and those things that the Division is responsible for protecting?

And I think -- them as the Applicants -- it's their burden to show the -- you know, that they can meet all of these standards. And I think since they're saying it's in the urban area -- or a residential area with these other characteristics -- in close proximity to an urban area, I guess that's the phrase there, then I think...

For example, they keep using the City of Hobbs as an example. There's no battery facilities in the City of Hobbs. There are specific -- all the batteries are located external from the City of Hobbs. The wells have specific characteristics and protection characteristics. If you're drilling in West Fort Worth for that production that's out there, there are specific things that are put in place to protect those residential communities, even in someplace like Texas, which doesn't have the residential and environmental protections that New Mexico has.

My point is this, if -- and I think the testimony is quite clear. They've made no accommodations in their drilling. They're treating this just like if they were drilling 10 miles away from anyone else's house, out in the middle of a pasture. They approach this in the same

1 | manner.

And what they're telling you also is that a \$165,000 difference makes this non-economical. And so, you know, I get back to my point: How are we supposed to feel comfortable that if you all say, Okay, here's what you have to do to protect the residences and the community in this area, that they're going to economically do that when it's such a narrow prospect anyway?

MR. BROOKS: Well, I think you said the testimony is clear, and I think that's basically what Mr. Bruce was saying. As such, I think the objection is addressed to repetition and marginal relevance, so I think it's just discretionary with the Examiner.

MR. NEWELL: And I'll move on, I won't even --

MR. BROOKS: I won't make a recommendation.

EXAMINER CATANACH: Let's try and move on from that.

MR. NEWELL: And I'll move on. I think the point is made.

- Q. (By Mr. Newell) Okay, let's look at Exhibit Number 11. More than happy to move to something like Exhibit 11. Do you have Exhibit 11 in front of you?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Okay, and you've testified that this is kind of a double contour map that's laid on top of each other, and it

depicts a variety of things, correct? 1 A. That's correct. 2 Now, I see a number 20 there. Do you see 3 Q. that? 4 Yes. 5 Α. And what does that represent? 6 Q. That represents the thickness of the San Andres 7 Α. porosity, A porosity zone. 8 Okay. And then right below number 20 you have a 9 Q. number there that's a negative 1066. Do you see that? 10 11 Α. Yes. What does that represent? 12 Q. 13 Α. That's the subsurface elevation of the San Andres. 14 15 Okay, is that the top of the formation or --Q. Α. Yes. 16 17 Q. Okay. And then you have a red and green dot below that, or circle or whatever -- however you want to 18 19 characterize that. Do you see that? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Q. And what is that? 22 That is a -- that's a -- just a -- The green dot signifies that it's an oil well, and the red dot is just a 23 highlight to show that it's a San Andres producer. 24 25 And is it your testimony that this was a -- is Q.

1	that And that would be in unit A, would it not?
2	A. Unit A?
3	Q. Of Section 9?
4	A. I don't understand your question.
5	Q. Are you familiar with the way this Division
6	identifies a unit, 40-acre spacings, in
7	A. Are you talking about the northwest of the
8	northwest of or the northeast of the northeast of
9	Section 9?
10	Q. Yeah. Do you know how that would be referred to
11	by this Division?
12	A. No.
13	Q. Okay. I'm going to represent to you that the
14	northeast quarter of the northeast quarter of Section 9
15	would be unit A. Can we agree on that, counsel?
16	MR. BRUCE: That's fine.
17	THE WITNESS: That's a unit?
18	MR. NEWELL: Yeah, it's
19	MR. BRUCE: It's an internal designation, Mr.
20	Hochstein.
21	Q. (By Mr. Newell) Yes. And I'll explain this
22	further. What they do is, they have 16 letter
23	designations
24	A. I understand the concept.
25	O. Okav. Now did you look at the production history

of the Bishop Canyon Uranium Company well that was drilled 1 in Section 9, unit A, that's depicted there on your map? 2 Α. Yes. 3 And that was plugged and abandoned in 1968, 4 correct? 5 I know it's been abandoned, yes. I do not recall A. 6 7 the year. Okay, so nearly 40 years. How much -- Well, let 8 0. me then ask you, on all of these wells here, are any of 9 them currently producing in Section 9? 10 To my knowledge, no, they've all been abandoned. 11 Α. 12 Q. Plugged and abandoned. Do you know when the last 13 one was operated? A. No, I don't. 14 If I represent to you that the well that's Okav. 15 Q. in unit J was abandoned also in 1968, would you be 16 17 surprised at that? Α. 18 No. MR. NEWELL: Mr. Hearing Examiner, can we ask the 19 Division to take administrative notice of the well file for 20 the Bishop Canyon -- or for all the wells that were drilled 21 and located in Exhibit Number 9 by either the Bishop Canyon 22 23 Uranium Company, Wayne Moore, Tom Brown Drilling Company, 24 H.L. Brown, Jr., or the Pennzoil Company? 25 EXAMINER CATANACH: For what purpose, Mr. Newell?

The purpose is, these will depict, MR. NEWELL: 1 you know, the status of those wellbores that are identified 2 in this -- the impression that I see from Exhibit Number 11 3 that it gives, is that they're not abandoned. As I read 4 5 Exhibit Number 11, the abandoned oil well has a green dot 6 and a line through it. Do you see on their legend there 7 where it says well symbols? EXAMINER CATANACH: Uh-huh. 8 MR. NEWELL: And the other wells that are 9 depicted in Section 9 that are green and red don't reflect 10 11 that they are abandoned wells. And so that would be the purpose that I'm wanting the Division to take 12 administrative notice of its own records. 13 14 MR. BROOKS: Okay, you said Exhibit 9. I think 15 you meant Section 9. 16 MR. NEWELL: Section 9, I'm sorry, Exhibit 11. 17 Thank you, I'm sorry. 18 EXAMINER CATANACH: Well, I believe the witness 19 did testify that the wells were all plugged and abandoned 20 to his knowledge, but I will take administrative notice of 21 the well files for that purpose. 22 MR. NEWELL: I appreciate that. 23 (By Mr. Newell) Mr. Hochstein, is there any Q. 24 reason why Exhibit 11 doesn't accurately depict that those

other wells in Exhibit 9 are plugged and abandoned?

A. I was just -- That wasn't the intent of my making this map. That wasn't anything I contemplated as being significant. I mean, I have a mapping software, and that -- wells that produce oil, I have them set up -- and this is globally across many counties -- to treat those symbols as -- you know, as -- if it's produced oil, it's a green symbol.

Q. Okay.

- A. There's no attempt to hide the fact that they were plugged. As a matter of fact, that's one of the reasons why we want -- we think this is a viable prospect, is, these wells were plugged, and almost all of them were still producing, under -- in today's world would be economic quantities of oil.
- Q. Okay. Let me ask you, you testified that those green lines depict the possible oil-water contact?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. It looks like in Exhibit Number 11 there's a disconnect on the oil-water contact. Do you see that, in Section 11?
 - A. A disconnect?
- 22 O. Yeah --
- A. The difference in the oil-water contact?
- Q. Yeah.
 - A. I explained that previously.

I'm sorry, I missed that, do you mind doing that Q. 1 again? 2 That's a separate production over there, on the 3 east Bishop Canyon area, that's a separate pod of 4 5 production, and they have different oil-water contacts. 0. So it's on the other side of that barrier, the 6 7 perm barrier that you've identified, at least, on this exhibit, correct? 8 Well, you have to have a separation -- you know, Α. 9 you have to have some form of separation. 10 You also testified that there may have 11 Q. been a migration of that possible oil-water contact point, 12 correct? 13 It's possible, yes. 14 Α. What's the most current data you have to 15 0. determine that that's where the oil-water contact is? 16 17 Α. This is purely based upon the original accumulation. 18 19 0. So that data is at least 40 or 50 years old, 20 correct? 21 Α. Well, data is data, but yeah, that's the --22 that's my estimate of the original oil-water contact, 23 correct. 24 Q. If there has been a migration of the possible 25 oil-water contact, in which direction would it be

1	migrating?
2	A. South.
3	Q. Okay.
4	A. South and south and perhaps west
5	Q. Okay
6	A but that
7	Q what factors would cause that oil-water
8	contact to migrate?
9	A. Production.
10	Q. Okay, production drawing, if you will, the water
11	towards the production?
12	A. Well, that yes.
13	Q. Okay. Such as the production of the San Andres
14	field to the south or the San Andres formation to the
15	south in the Hobbs field; is that correct?
16	A. I'm sorry, come again?
17	Q. Okay, and I kind of butchered that question. You
18	said you know, I think you testified that production
19	drawing that oil-water contact to the south would be a
20	factor that might make it migrate, correct?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Okay. And that would be something that would
23	make it migrate would be some kind of significant, if you
24	will, depletion of the formation, correct?
25	A. That is correct.

1	Q. Okay. And to the south there's Grayburg-San
2	Andres production that's not reflected on Exhibit 11,
3	correct?
4	A. There's a yes.
5	Q. A significant unitized
6	A. To the south, to the north, to the west, to the
7	east, this whole area is surrounded with San Andres.
8	Q. Okay. But some of the more significant San
9	Andres production is located in the Hobbs field immediately
10	to the south, correct?
11	A. That is correct.
12	Q. Okay. And do you know how what impact, if
13	any, that San Andres production has had on that oil-water
14	contact line?
15	A. The Hobbs field production?
16	Q. Yeah.
17	A. We're postulating nothing.
18	Q. Okay, what factors would, then where would the
19	production come from that might impact that oil-water
20	contact line?
21	A. The wells that in Bishop Canyon field.
22	Q. The past production?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. That Okay.
25	A What is someout

- Q. Okay. Now what was the -- was there a significant increase in water production from those wells that you have identified there?
- A. The water-oil ratio increased, but I don't think the volume of water increased --
 - Q. Okay.

- A. -- specifically.
- Q. So the amount of total fluids remains fairly consistent, the ratio of --
- A. Yeah, you know, I don't have the decline curves, you know, with me. I'm not prepared to talk to the specific. I know that the oil-water con- -- the water-oil ratio, if you will, increased and that at the time these wells were abandoned, they were producing more water than oil, and they were producing oil at what I would assume would be uneconomic rates, and that's why the wells were plugged.
- Q. Okay. And is there a facility available to remove produced water? Is there a -- Well, let me ask you this: How do you -- under your proposed drilling and completion approach for this well, how do you propose removing the produced water?
- A. Initially we would truck the water, and then if economically viable we would try to run a pipeline to a nearby water disposal well, if there is one.

1	Q. Okay. And do you know where the closest water
2	disposal well is?
3	A. We are in the process of looking for that right
4	now.
5	Q. Okay. And under your Exhibit Number 13, it looks
6	like you've only identified two 500-barrel tanks; is that
7	correct?
8	A. Where do you see that?
9	Q. Under tangible completion assets.
10	A. Production, liner, tubing, surface equipment
11	tanking and fittings Two 500 barrels. Yeah.
12	Q. And how do you propose to remove the produced
13	water with two 500-barrel tanks?
14	A. Trucking.
15	Q. No, are you going to remove it from those two
16	tanks, or do you anticipate other facilities being added on
17	this battery location?
18	A. I'm not sure I understand your question.
19	Q. Sure. Okay, are these both Do you anticipate
20	both these tanks will be production tanks?
21	EXAMINER CATANACH: Are you going to put oil in
22	the tank or water?
23	THE WITNESS: For oil or okay, I assume one is
24	gas and one is water.
25	Q. (By Mr. Newell) Okay, one is oil and one is

1	water?
2	A. Did I say gas? Yeah, one is oil, one is water.
3	Q. Okay.
4	A. Yeah.
5	Q. How do you anticipate separating them
6	A. With a sep
7	Q gravity?
8	A. With a separator.
9	Q. Okay. And is there a separator identified on
10	the
11	A. Well, we're pumping oils. Yeah, I you know,
12	again, you're getting into more of the engineering and
13	completion design, and I'm actually my last answer was
14	speculation on my part.
15	Q. And I guess where I'm headed with this is, you
16	know, I think if we're looking at the impact that this is
17	going to have on the health and environment
18	A. There's surface separation equipment in the AFE,
19	so that might answer your question.
20	Q. Okay, \$6000 surface separation equipment? Is
21	that right?
22	A. Surface separation equipment, six yeah, \$6000.
23	Q. And how is the oil to be sold? Truck, or is
24	there a line out there to sell the oil?
25	A. I'm assuming it would be trucked, but that's an

assumption on my part. It would be trucked early on. 1 Again, if economically viable, we could run a line 2 somewhere, if there's a viable alternative. But we're 3 anticipating oil production to be somewhat moderate. 4 Okay. But at the very least, though, we -- based 5 Q. 6 on what you've proposed here today, even after completion 7 there will be truck traffic that's created by transport of water and transport of oil, that will be present at this 8 location near the school district, correct? 9 Α. Yes, sir. 10 Q. Near the school. 11 Now on Exhibit Number 11, what's the yellow-12 shaded area? 13 14 Α. That's our leases. Those are our leases, our 15 acreage position. Okay, so from an acreage position, you can go to 16 Q. 17 the west and directionally drill, correct? 18 Α. From a mineral ownership position, yes. 19 Q. Okay, and there's not as much development in the pastures to the west, correct? 20 21 I have no idea. Α. Has anyone looked at that on behalf of Quest 22 Q. 23 Cherokee? We do not feel it's economically viable to drill 24

a directional well.

1	Q. Okay, and that's the bottom line from Quest
2	Cherokee's standpoint, correct?
3	A. That's the bottom line. That is the bottom line,
4	yes.
5	Q. Okay. Irrespective of the impact on the rights
6	of the surface owners in Section 9, correct?
7	A. I'm not going to answer that question.
8	Q. Does Quest Cherokee have any production in the
9	Permian Basin?
10	A. I believe that's already been asked.
11	Q. No, I think I asked if it was had production
12	in New Mexico, and the Permian Basin
13	A. Permian Basin, okay. No, we do not.
14	Q. Okay. Do you have any experience as a geologist
15	with working in the Permian Basin?
16	A. I have mapped several areas in the Permian Basin,
١7	we have another San Andres prospect that we have leased in
18	Gaines County, we have attempted have looked at several
19	other projects in the Permian Basin.
20	Q. Okay. What Other than what you've just
21	identified, what knowledge do you personally have about the
22	production or the geology, if you will, in the Permian
23	Basin, whether that's the New Mexico portion of the Permian
24	Basin or the Texas portion of the Permian Basin?
25	A. Outside of you know mapping and working it over

the last year and a half, that's been my experience. 1 Okay, do you have any -- Other than in the Raton 2 Q. Basin, which is in northern New Mexico, do you have any 3 experience in New Mexico with the geological structures of 4 this state? 5 Yes, I've worked the San Juan Basin, the Raton 6 Basin, I've done some surface mapping in and around 7 Carlsbad, and then the Permian Basin oil and gas work that 8 I mentioned earlier. 9 You've never -- have you ever been -- Well, who 10 0. was your prior employer? I think you didn't identify him, 11 12 but you indicated that you had some experience in New 13 Mexico with a prior employer. 14 I previously worked for El Paso Corporation and 15 Sonat Exploration Company. What was the last one? I'm sorry, I didn't hear Q. 16 17 you. Sonat, S-o-n-a-t, Exploration Company. 18 A. 19 Q. Okay. And did they have oil wells, gas wells, both? 20 21 A. Both. 22 Okay, and where were those wells located in New Q. 23 Mexico? In New Mexico? I think the only assets that 24 A. 25 either owned in New Mexico were in the San Juan Basin and

in the Raton Basin. 1 Okay, and were those gas or were those both? 2 Q. Both of those were gas. A. 3 Okay. So really, you have very limited 4 experience with oil production from the Grayburg-San Andres 5 formation in the Permian Basin, whether it's in Texas or 6 New Mexico, correct? 7 I've been working the San Andres for about a year 8 and a half in New Mexico and west Texas. 9 10 Q. Okay. Have you ever been the geologist who signed off on a drilling location in the Permian Basin in 11 the San Andres formation? 12 Not until this one, no. 13 A. Okay. Have you ever been on location at a Q. 14 15 drilling location into the Grayburg-San Andres unit in the Permian Basin, whether it's in New Mexico or Texas? 16 No, I have not. 17 Α. Okay. How long have you worked for -- Do you 18 0. work for Quest Cherokee or just for Quest? 19 20 A. Quest Resource Corp. 21 Q. Okay. 22 I guess I work for them both. I'm not quite Α. 23 exactly sure how that works. 24 Q. Good, I'm not either. 25 Does Quest Cherokee have -- yeah, does -- No,

excuse me, move back up. Does Quest Resources have any 1 2 Permian Basin production? 3 Not to my knowledge, no. MR. NEWELL: I will pass --4 5 THE WITNESS: This is a new venture. MR. NEWELL: -- the witness, and I will assert my 6 objection now that this witness is not qualified to testify 7 as a geologist over matters relating to the Grayburg-San 8 Andres formation in the Permian Basin, whether it's in New 9 Mexico or Texas. He doesn't have the requisite background 10 and knowledge of, you know, the geology associated with 11 that, and that foundation has not been laid. 12 13 (Off the record) EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Newell, this geologist 14 has developed this prospect. It seems to me he's worked 15 16 the area for a year and a half, he's knowledgeable about 17 the wells in this area, and we have no strict 18 qualifications on these types of situations. opinion that this witness is qualified, and we'll go from 19 there. 20 MR. NEWELL: Okay, I pass the witness. 21 **EXAMINATION** 22 BY EXAMINER CATANACH: 23 24 Q. Within the northwest quarter of Section 9, you've chosen a location in the northeast -- the northeast quarter 25

of the northwest. Can you explain to me why that is the best available location in that northwest quarter to drill that well at this time?

- A. Well, our original location was even further to the east, but there were the 300-foot -- there were some surface restrictions as far as how close to structures we could be. So this was the best location we could get to in proximity to the known control, where we felt like we could get high to the original oil-water contact, encounter good porosity and maintain those restrictions that we had, 300 feet from the structure.
- Q. You have the entire leasehold in the northwest quarter of Section 9. Would the other three 40-acre tracts within that unit -- would they be inferior geologically to that -- to your proposed location?
- A. Yes, they would. They all move away from control, and they all move away from the known oil accumulation and move toward what we suspect may be a decrease in permeability.
- Q. So is your primary consideration, you want to keep it close to where the well is produced?
- A. That's a big part of it, yes, sir. From the stated reason that these wells were actually producing economic quantities at the time they were plugged.
 - Q. Would you recommend drilling a well in any of the

other quarter quarter sections in that area?

- A. Upon success of this well I would, yes.
- Q. Now this, I guess -- you're calling it the Bishop field. This is a separate -- in your opinion, this is a separate producing interval than from the Hobbs field, right?
 - A. A separate reservoir.
 - Q. It is a separate reservoir?
- A. That's our speculation. And the reason for that would be, you can correlate, perhaps, that the same porosity zone that is productive in Bishop Canyon field is a similar stratigraphic equivalent to the zone that's productive in the Hobbs field, but there were a number of wells drilled in between the two fields that were non-productive, so there has to be some separation between them.

And you can see, you know, wells immediately updip of the current field, of the Bishop Canyon field, were dry holes, and they tested the San Andres.

- Q. You're referring to wells in Section 5 and Section 3 and Section 4?
- A. Wells in Section 10 and Section 9, and -- up -- yeah, updip is to the south.
- Q. Okay. Now, this is -- Is it strictly San Andres, and there's no Grayburg interval?

A. Yeah. You know, there's -- from my research of the literature, as well as looking at regional correlations and work across New Mexico and Texas, some people use those words interchangeably, and they have been mixed.

I don't think there's been a lot of -- well, historically in the literature, there's not been a tremendous amount of care given to the exact nomenclature given to the Grayburg and/or San Andres for -- We have chosen to take the traditional top of the San Andres pick as we see on this well and apply that across our entire interpretive area, in the entire Basin, regardless of what certain local names may have been called it. There's been some -- a lot of local terminology where they called the San Andres the Grayburg, and the Grayburg the San Andres.

But this is the pretty much accepted top of the San Andres formation. The Grayburg would be the interval above it. And so -- But sometimes those names are used interchangeably, or collectively as counsel did earlier. It's not uncommon.

EXAMINER CATANACH: I don't think I have anything else.

EXAMINATION

23 BY MR. BROOKS:

Q. I have a couple of questions, keep it fairly -try to keep it efficient here. I have some questions about

your Exhibit 14, your economic analysis --1 Α. Yeah. 2 -- just so I understand it. Of course D&C means 3 0. drilling and completion, right? 4 Yeah, yeah. A. 5 And ROR is rate of return? 6 Q. Uh-huh. 7 Α. And Inc/Inv, what does that mean? 8 Q. That's income to investment, and I see a mistake 9 Α. on this one. Those are actually reversed. 10 11 Q. Okay --The income to investment, that's -- the 60 cents 12 Α. 13 goes on the straight hole, and the 30 cents goes to the directional well. 14 15 Q. Could you explain what that --16 That is basically a discounted return. 17 words, you make for every dollar -- for every dollar that 18 you invest, you make 60-cent discounted return on that investment. 19 In other words, does that -- You take the amount 20 Q. 21 of money you're going to make over the life of the well, 22 and you discount it to present value, and you figure that 23 the amount of money that you're going to make is 60 cents 24 for every dollar that you --25 A. That's correct.

1	Q.	Okay, I think I under
2	Α.	And that's unrisked, yeah.
3	Q.	Okay
4	Α.	And then
5	Q.	F&D, what does that mean?
6	Α.	That's find and development cost.
7	Q.	Okay. So your cost, then, would be \$33 per
8	barrel?	
9	A.	Per barrel of oil equivalent. That's
10	Q.	Okay.
11	Α.	the gas has been converted to oil
12	Q.	Right.
13	Α.	using six to one.
14	Q.	What price are you assuming to get this rate
15	of	
16	Α.	I believe this was based on a \$55 flat price.
17	Q.	Okay. And then when you say 2000-foot kick, that
18	means mov	ing the well 2000 feet
19	Α.	and drilling directionally.
20	Q.	and drilling directionally.
21	А.	That is correct.
22	Q.	Okay, are you familiar with this I should have
23	asked the	previous witness, but are you familiar with this
24	Exhibit 3	that shows the well pad, and then a second sheet
25	that show	s a drawing of some of the things in the

1	vicinity?
2	A. I've seen yes, I've seen the plat. The second
3	sheet I'm not familiar with.
4	Q. Okay. Well, that's really, the second sheet
5	was what I was going to ask about. And as I said, I should
6	have asked the previous witness about that, so I won't ask
7	any questions.
8	The lease well, this yellow area is all the
9	yellow area outlines all the leases that you've had in the
10	area, right?
11	A. That is correct.
12	Q. And this lease this particular lease is only
13	the northwest quarter of Section 9, correct?
14	MR. BRUCE: That's correct, Mr. Examiner.
15	MR. BROOKS: That's what I thought.
16	MR. BRUCE: That's Exhibit
17	MR. BROOKS: I think that's all my questions.
18	EXAMINER CATANACH: Anything further of this
19	witness?
20	MR. BRUCE: No, sir.
21	EXAMINER CATANACH: This witness may be excused.
22	Does that take care of your presentation, Mr.
23	Bruce?
24	MR. BRUCE: I'm done.
25	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, I guess it would be a

```
good time to take a lunch break.
1
               MR. NEWELL: Yes.
                                  One of our witnesses is not
 2
     going to testify, so we'll -- it should be shorter.
 3
     what I anticipate is beginning with Bruce and maybe putting
 4
     on the people by telephone after Bruce, and then Lee is my
 5
     follow-up witness, and I think we ought to -- depending on
 6
     cross, I think from our standpoint an hour ought to -- hour
7
     and 15, 20 minutes, ought to handle the time necessary for
 8
     our portion.
 9
               EXAMINER CATANACH: You won't ask any cross, will
10
11
     you?
               (Laughter)
12
               MR. BRUCE: On the other hand, Mr. Examiner, you
13
     know I'm usually pretty brief --
14
               EXAMINER CATANACH:
                                   I know --
15
               MR. BRUCE: -- on cross-examination.
16
17
               EXAMINER CATANACH: -- I know.
               Okay, let's take a break, and we'll reconvene
18
     about 1:30.
19
20
               (Thereupon, noon recess was taken at 12:18 p.m.)
21
               (The following proceedings had at 1:34 p.m.)
22
               EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, let's get started then.
23
     Call the hearing back to order, and at this time I'll turn
24
     it over to Mr. Newell.
25
               MR. NEWELL:
                            Your Honor, at this time the
```

1	Intervenors will call Bruce Baizel.
2	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay.
3	MR. NEWELL: And I believe Mr. Baizel has been
4	sworn in, but the rest of our witnesses, I think, still
5	have to be sworn in.
6	EXAMINER CATANACH: And are we going to try and
7	call the other parties on the phone?
8	MR. NEWELL: When there comes time for them to
9	testify, and I anticipate calling them as soon as Mr.
10	Baizel is complete.
11	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay.
12	MR. NEWELL: And I appreciate the accommodation
13	of counsel and the Commission in that regard. I mean, it
14	was kind of dicey traveling yesterday from the southeast,
15	and
16	EXAMINER CATANACH: Oh, yeah, we do it all the
17	time with our own witnesses, so it's totally
18	understandable.
19	MR. NEWELL: Appreciate that.
20	BRUCE BAIZEL,
21	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
22	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. NEWELL:
25	Q. Would you please state your name?

1	A. Bruce Baizel.
2	Q. And Mr. Baizel, where do you live?
3	A. I live in Hesperus, Colorado.
4	Q. Okay, and what do you do?
5	A. I'm the staff attorney for the Oil and Gas
6	Accountability Project, which is a nonprofit organization
7	based out of Durango.
8	Q. Are you licensed to practice law anywhere?
9	A. I'm licensed in Colorado, have been since 1986.
10	Q. Okay, so for 20 years, then, you've been a
11	licensed practicing attorney?
12	A. That's correct.
13	Q. Any particular areas of practice that you
14	specialize in?
15	A. Environmental law. Most of those 20 years have
16	been on environmental law in various forms. The last four
17	years I've been employed full time by OGAP, as we call it,
18	working on nothing but oil and gas issues.
19	Q. Okay. Would you please state for the record what
20	OGAP is?
21	A. Oil and Gas Accountability Project.
22	Q. Okay, and are you staff counsel for
23	A. I am.
24	Q Oil and Gas Accountability Project, or OGAP?
25	A. Yes, I am.

All right, and you're comfortable if I use 0. Okay. 1 2 the acronym OGAP? That's fine. 3 Α. 4 Q. Okay. I'm sure I'll probably use it as well. 5 Α. Okay. And why don't you tell me what OGAP is? 6 Q. As I said, we're a nonprofit organization. 7 work on nothing but oil and gas issues. The organization 8 was formed in 1999. I came on board at the end of 2001. 9 It primarily deals with the impacts of oil and gas, as 10 opposed to the production end of things. And so in my work 11 what I am dealing with usually is phone calls from either 12 13 surface owners, or I do a lot of consulting with local governments and, to some extent now, in both the Colorado 14 15 and New Mexico Legislature in drafting legislation dealing with oil and gas. 16 And usually when we get called and when I get 17 called, it's a surface owner that has an issue with a 18 particular well, either before it's been drilled or that 19 20 impacts afterwards. So that's a lot of what I've been -my day-to-day work. 21 22 Have you ever testified before any commissions or Q. 23 anything in any oil and gas producing states? 24 Α. No, I've not -- I've been on the other side of

things; I've been asking the questions, but I've not

testified.

- Q. Okay. And you have a -- what's the basis of your -- Well, let me ask you this. Since the last four years of working with OGAP, you have acquainted yourself with various, I guess, issues relating to the impacts of oil and gas exploration, particularly in the intermountain west, correct?
 - A. Actually across the country --
 - Q. Okay.
- A. -- from Pennsylvania, Michigan, Alabama, and then from New Mexico north, and then up into Alaska. And I would say probably upwards of 300 individual surface owners that I have either advised or dealt with during most of that time. So that's the basis for the experience.
- Q. And you've dealt with surface-owner issues, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And in fact, you earlier this morning were over at the Legislature on a committee meeting for the surface on a protection act that's being debated in the State of New Mexico, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And what was your role in formulating that piece of -- or that bill that's going through the legislative process?

1	A. I did the initial drafting, and then I've been
2	involved in the negotiations with the New Mexico Oil and
3	Gas Association and the Independent Producers Association
4	on that bill.
5	Q. So you have an independent basis of knowledge
6	about oil and gas matters and how they impact surface
7	owners and
8	A. That's correct.
9	MR. NEWELL: Okay. I would tender Mr. Baizel as
10	an expert to testify as to the impacts of oil and gas
11	production on surface owners.
12	EXAMINER CATANACH: Any objection?
13	MR. BRUCE: Only insofar, Mr. Examiner, as it
14	concerns the area of law. If it gets into engineering,
15	geology, chemistry, anything like that, I would object.
16	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, we'll qualify the
17	witness.
18	MR. NEWELL: And in that regard I think, you
19	know, he can speak to the basis of his knowledge, and this
20	Commission would obviously have the ability to weigh that.
21	To the extent I understand that objection, I think it may
22	be one that goes to weight instead of admissibility,
23	depending on the question, but I think we can get into
24	that.

MR. BROOKS: Well --

MR. NEWELL: Depends on what I ask him, 1 obviously. 2 Yeah, I -- You know, I used to be a MR. BROOKS: 3 district judge in a former life, and I always sort of had 4 the idea that all objections to the qualifications of 5 experts went to weight rather than admissibility, but the 6 Supreme Court didn't seem to agree. 7 It's not a bright line, is it? 8 MR. NEWELL: 9 MR. BROOKS: No. We will continue, subject to Mr. Bruce raising specific objections to specific 10 11 testimony --You know, and I have --12 MR. NEWELL: -- if that's okay with the Examiner. 13 MR. BROOKS: MR. NEWELL: I have no objection giving him the 14 15 same leeway you all afforded me this morning in dealing with his geologist, if that's all right. 16 17 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. Q. 18 (By Mr. Newell) Mr. Baizel, would you, I guess, share with me some concerns you have? And first of all, 19 20 let's talk about in the area of notice. Have you had the 21 chance to look at this particular situation and the notice issue involved? 22 23 Well, as I understand the OCD Rules, the only 24 notice requirement in a matter like this would be if it's 25 within a municipality or village, and so the surface owner

upon whom a well is proposed has -- there's nothing in the 1 regulation that requires notice. 2 Okay, and that would be under Rule 102, correct? Q. 3 Α. Yes. 4 Okay. And in your experience is New Mexico 5 Q. unique in this regard, in terms of the lack of notice, if 6 you will, that's given to surface owners that are impacted 7 by a drilling location? 8 I don't know of another western state that Α. 9 doesn't require notice currently. 10 11 Okay. Q. It doesn't mean that the surface owner has rights 12 Α. in terms of where an actual location would be, but in terms 13 of just the notice part, just about every state that I'm 14 15 aware of has a notice provision for the surface owner. Okay. And you've had occasion to look at the Q. 16 17 notice in this particular matter, correct? Yes, I have seen it. 18 Α. And I'll ask you to look at Exhibit Number 1, 19 20 Intervenor's Exhibit Number 1, that should be up there. And do you recognize that to be the notice of this hearing? 21 22 Α. Yes, they're on the second page. 23 Q. Okay. 24 I do. Α. 25 Q. And in this notice does it identify that this

well is going to be located approximately five miles north 1 northwest of Hobbs, New Mexico? 2 That's what's written here, yes. 3 Okay. And are you familiar with the relative --4 well, let me -- I'll refer that -- You have seen that 5 notice, correct? 6 Yes, I have. Α. And did you pull it off of the New Mexico Oil 8 Conservation Division website when you reviewed it? 9 Yes, I did --10 Α. Okay --11 Q. -- and I printed a copy --12 A. 13 Q. -- and is that the same notice --It is the same notice, yes. 14 Α. Okay, I'd move the introduction of Intervenor's 15 Q. Exhibit Number 1. 16 EXAMINER CATANACH: Any objection, Mr. Bruce? 17 MR. BRUCE: No objection. 18 EXAMINER CATANACH: The only -- My exhibits 19 20 aren't numbered, as far as I can tell. 21 MR. NEWELL: Yeah, Number 1 -- and I'm sorry, it 22 was the notice that we --23 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, it's the Division's docket. 24 25 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, okay.

MR. NEWELL: That would be Exhibit Number 1, and 1 I'11 --2 Just if you could identify so 3 EXAMINER CATANACH: 4 I can write on them as we go. I'm not sure why those don't have numbers on them. 5 MR. NEWELL: I think the reason they don't have 6 numbers is my fault, but I wasn't sure about the numbering 7 And I did go ahead and start numbering some with 8 the court reporter, and I'll make sure that I clearly 9 10 identify the numbers that we're attaching to the exhibits, okay? 11 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. Did we already -- we 12 already had some exhibits -- or those are the same ones --13 MR. NEWELL: One and 2. 14 15 EXAMINER CATANACH: -- we're working on now? MR. NEWELL: Yeah, 1 and 2. 16 I don't think 17 they've been introduced. 18 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. 19 MR. NEWELL: They were just discussed. 20 EXAMINER CATANACH: All right. 21 MR. NEWELL: Okay. 22 EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibit 1 will be admitted. 23 Q. (By Mr. Newell) Okay. Now you've had occasion 24 in your employment to look at specific impacts of oil and 25 gas, correct?

A. That's correct.

- Q. You have a book that has been put together by OGAP; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And would you tell the Commission what this book is?
- A. This book, Oil and Gas at your Door? A

 Landowner's Guide to Oil and Gas Development, we prepared
 that based on our experience and research, first in 2004,
 and then we updated it in 2005, to try to address from
 start to finish in the oil and gas production process
 through the reclamation, what kinds of impacts a surface
 owner could expect to experience during that process.

And so my part in the production of this was to review the entire document. I was the primary preparer for Chapters 2 and 3 -- 2 is the legal and 3 is the Tips for Landowners -- and then I supervised the woman that actually put the document together. So that's sort of our organizational expertise as of 2005. It's expensive to keep updating it, so now we do it by website.

- Q. Okay. And I believe beginning on page Roman numeral I-8, it talks about the drilling process, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And this drilling process identifies various things, site selection, site preparation, rigging up and

spudding in; is that correct? 1 That's correct. Α. 2 And so you're familiar with -- and on the next 3 0. page it goes to the Exploration Stage - Issues and Impacts, 4 5 correct? Correct. 6 Α. Okay. And would you share with the Commission 7 ο. some of the issues and impacts that are expected when oil 8 and gas drilling occurs? 9 Well, I think, if I may, page I-42 --10 Α. 11 Q. Okay. 12 -- and the succeeding pages through to I-62 are a 13 summary of the health, safety, quality-of-life type issues 14 that we are often dealing with. So it's those issues that 15 I feel that my expertise is most oriented towards --0. All right. 16 17 -- and those would be the pages I would direct your attention to. 18 19 Would you share with the Commission some of those 0. 20 health, safety, quality-of-life and environmental issues 21 that have been identified? Well, I think the initial one -- and I was 22 Α. 23 listening to the testimony this morning regarding land 24 La Plata County where I reside and with whom we 25 consult at times -- we consult with the county government

-- has quite a bit of coalbed methane development, as well as regular natural gas, not that many oil wells. But when they were faced with a downspacing proposal early 2001, 2002, from BP, they conducted a -- hired a consultant, did a comprehensive study of various impacts they could expect.

In my experience, one of the items that they did has not been duplicated elsewhere, and that's what I would like to draw your attention to.

On page I-44, it references a study conducted in La Plata County, and it found that -- an overall increase in housing values during the 10-year period. What it also found -- and it looked at a little over 170 different sales -- properties that were sold -- it found a 22-percent decrease in sale value for those properties that had a well located on them. And --

- Q. Mr. Baizel, let me interrupt you for just a moment. Do you have an exhibit that -- or do you have a document before you that would be the study you're making reference to?
- A. Yes, the study was titled "La Plata County Impact Report, October, 2002". It is currently available on their website still. It's about a 300-page report. I didn't print the whole report off. What I have is the executive summary.
 - Q. This is a public document?

1	A. It is, it's	
2	Q. It's maintained by La Plata County?	
3	A. That's correct.	
4	Q. Okay, and it's	
5	A and it's still there, I checked before I came	
6	down yesterday.	
7	Q. Let's identify that as Exhibit Number 4, if you	
8	will.	
9	MR. BROOKS: Is that the same document that says	
10	"Exhibit 2" here in our stacks?	
11	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that was my numbering the	
12	night before	
13	MR. NEWELL: And I didn't have enough foresight	
14	this morning to begin with 7.	
15	THE WITNESS: In that exhibit, if you look at	
16	E-2, it gives a bit more detail on the work by BBC Research	
17	and consulting. But to my knowledge, that is the only	
18	systematic study that has looked at the impact of an oil or	
19	gas well on property value. There's a lot of anecdotal	
20	evidence one way or the other, but this is the only study	
21	that we have been able to find.	
22	Q. (By Mr. Newell) Okay, and this study shows a	
23	decline in value of 22 percent, correct?	
24	A. Correct.	
25	MR. NEWELL: Okay. I would move the introduction	

of Exhibit Number 4.

MR. BRUCE: I would object on relevancy. This has to do with La Plata County, Colorado, not Lea County, New Mexico.

MR. NEWELL: I'll address that. First, I think, on relevance it goes to the rights of surface owners, correlative rights, if you will, which I think is a part of this Division's duty to look at the impact of correlative rights. It's a study that's been done in another oil and gas producing area on the impacts of oil and gas development on property values. It is not Lea County, but it is some evidence that does show that there is a negative impact on surface owners' property values by oil and gas development.

I think it is relevant, and I think it's consistent with the Commission Rules that I read this morning to allow this information to be presented to the Division and for it to be considered in that context.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I suppose that's as relevant as, in Intervenor's Exhibit 3, on page I-44, when it states in there that, In some Wyoming counties, housing values, in general, have increased due to the boom because of extra jobs. I think this is all just speculative, and it has nothing to do with Lea County, New Mexico.

EXAMINER CATANACH: Well, I'm going to go ahead

and admit it, and I will give it the consideration that I think it is due.

- Q. (By Mr. Newell) All right. Mr. Baizel, now I'll ask you to go back to your exhibit -- what we identified as Exhibit Number 3, which is the Oil and Gas at your Door?

 OGAP --
 - A. Uh-huh.

- Q. -- publication.
- A. Yes.
- Q. Now what are some other impacts that are present when oil and gas development occurs?
- A. The testimony this morning that I was hearing, and particularly with regard to truck traffic, but also what -- OGAP was a party to the rulemaking in Colorado regarding formulation of a noise regulation for oil and gas operations in Colorado, and that was passed in 2005, it was amended in 2006.

As part of that involvement on a task force, working group, stakeholder group, we worked through the literature on what kinds of noise levels could be expected from oil and gas operations. And I also draw your attention to page I-45 in Oil and Gas at Your Door?, which were measurements taken in La Plata County as well.

In general, what we have found, both in that Colorado rulemaking process but in terms of these

measurements is, noise is often expressed in DBAs, which is

-- I don't -- I'm not testifying as to what that exactly

means, that's just the common level at which noise is

expressed. It's used in -- with the regulations that

address noise.

In general, the noise levels from an oil and gas operation tend to be between 50 and between 85 and 90 DBAs, based on actual measurement. And I think -- I don't know if -- I guess it would be a matter of notice, but at least in Colorado they limit the noise that may be produced 350 feet from a well site to 50 and 55 DBA, because of all the noise complaints.

- Q. And did you bring that Colorado regulation?
- 14 A. I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- 15 Q. And is that --
- 16 | A. It's --
- 17 | Q. -- identified --
- 18 | A. -- Rule --
- 19 Q. -- as --
- 20 A. -- 802.
- 21 Q. Yeah, I'm sorry, what?
- 22 A. It's their Rule 802.
- Q. Okay, and is it a page entitled "Aesthetic and Noise Control Regulations"; is that correct?
- 25 A. Correct.

1	Q. Okay, and this is, again, a regulation that's
2	maintained by the State of Colorado relating to noise
3	abatement; is that correct?
4	A. That's correct, it's maintained by the Colorado
5	Oil and Gas Conservation Commission.
6	Q. And you pulled this off yourself, correct?
7	A. I did.
8	Q. And it's a public record?
9	A. It is.
10	MR. NEWELL: Okay. I'd move the introduction of
11	what we've identified as Exhibit Number 5, the "Aesthetic
12	and Noise Control Regulations", including Rule 802, from
13	the State of Colorado.
14	MR. BRUCE: No objection, Mr. Examiner.
15	MR. BROOKS: Now, this is not what's been marked
16	as Exhibit 5 in our stack?
17	MR. NEWELL: No, it will be Exhibit 3 in your
18	stack. Everything is two numbers off because of the fact I
19	had a 1 and 2 identified this morning.
20	MR. BROOKS: Okay, I'm not finding it
21	MR. NEWELL: May I
22	MR. BROOKS: Well, the Examiner has got it. It
23	doesn't particularly matter that I do or not. Go ahead.
24	MR. NEWELL: I have a copy here.
25	EXAMINER CATANACH: The That's Exhibit 5?

Yes. MR. NEWELL: 1 Exhibit 5 will be admitted. EXAMINER CATANACH: 2 (By Mr. Newell) All right. So did they do any Q. 3 studies on particular types of activities, such as truck 4 traffic or anything like that, that identified the decibel 5 levels or the DBAs relating to those activities? 6 Well, as I said, the range tended to be between 7 A. 50 and 85 DBA for the whole gamut of oil and gas drilling, 8 production activity. It depends whether you're talking 9 about trucks or whether you're talking about compressors. 10 There's variation there. We're talking about oil here, so 11 you wouldn't have a compressor; you'd have the truck 12 13 traffic and the drilling rig, would be the two primary 14 sources of noise there. 15 And you're familiar with this Application; I've Q. 16 asked you to look at this Application, correct? Yes, I have. 17 Α. Is there anything in the Application that 18 0. 19 20

suggests that Quest Cherokee or the Applicant has put in measures to protect from the noise impacts associated with this drilling?

Α. I didn't see anything in the Application. heard reference in the testimony this morning to maybe using electric motors to address noise issues in other contexts; that's often done on a wellhead.

21

22

23

24

That was on the production side though, correct? 1 Q. That's correct. 2 Α. And when he was talking about electric motors in 3 Q. testimony today, I believe the witness was talking about 4 using electric motors in the drilling process, correct? 5 I think you're right, and -- correct. 6 Α. Okay. So other than that, did you hear of any 7 Q. effort made by the Applicant to address the noise issues 8 9 that are going to be relevant to this particular drilling location? 10 I heard nothing, and I've seen nothing in the 11 Α. 12 materials. Okay. Now let's leave noise behind us for a 13 Q. minute. What other impacts do you anticipate? 14 One of the issues that we have been asked to 15 Α. address has been hydrogen sulfide. We've done some 16 monitoring on sites in Alabama. As part of a internship we 17 had a student from University of California, Berkeley, 18 working with us last year, and as part of her master's 19 degree she was looking at what kinds of studies have been 20 -- and measurements of H₂S, hydrogen sulfide, have been 21 22 done, and she prepared a paper which laid out those results. 23 As part of her research, she identified with the 24

sister New Mexico Environment Department agency, some

monitoring that they did in 2002. And they did it in the southeast part of New Mexico, and the results were that there were levels of H_2S above ambient level, and it varied depending on what kind of oil and gas facility you were by, or a dairy farm, or they also looked at municipal waste facilities.

It would be consistent, I think, with the testimony earlier this morning that you're expecting some H₂S from this well. How much, I don't know, and I couldn't...

- Q. And they didn't address how they were going to handle the H_2S , other than to say they would comply with whatever regulations are appropriate, right?
- A. This morning that was what I heard, that's correct.
- Q. Now what other concerns did you have, or what other issues do you anticipate the surface owners would have to deal with, with respect to this oil and gas drilling location? Do we have issues of dust?
- A. I guess -- Yeah, I mean, truck traffic generates dust. I have not seen the roads. I don't know if you're on a dirt road or a paved road. But in the San Juan Basin one of our staff members is a member of the air quality task force, which is operating up there -- it's organized by New Mexico Environment Department -- and they are trying

gas operations, versus vehicle traffic, versus power plants. And that information is all available through the NMED website in terms of what their recommendations are, but dust is a significant issue up there, at least in the San Juan Basin, and air quality.

- Q. Okay. And you also have other airborne contaminants or substances, including volatile or organic chemicals, or VOCs, correct?
- A. Yes, what I heard in the testimony this morning was that there would be oil, water and some gas. At least, that's the historical -- what's been produced from these wells that are now plugged and abandoned.

We have gotten involved in a Colorado rulemaking on the VOC part of it because the volatile organic compounds, if -- depending on what's done with the gas -- if those are just emitted into the air, the medical literature is such that -- it is accepted EPA state health departments that VOCs are linked with increased levels of asthma.

So it would depend on what their production process was. If they were to capture the gas, then it would not be such an issue. But if they're just going to vent it, then you could see some health issues related to VOCs.

And technologically they are able to capture the Q. 1 gas, correct? You could put production facilities that 2 basically capture the gas and recirculate it into the 3 production stream, correct? 4 That's correct. Williams does that quite a bit 5 Α. in western Colorado -- green completion, they call it --6 and they can capture up to 90 percent in their production 7 8 process. Okay. Now given the location of this facility to 9 0. 10 a school where you might have, you know, the impacts of 11 asthma felt more acutely by students, do you think that would be an appropriate condition to put on this production 12 facility, would be that their facilities recapture the cast 13 14 that's either emanated from or produced from production? 15 MR. BRUCE: And I would object to this question and anything along this line, because it has to -- he would 16 17 be testifying not only as an engineer but a public health expert. 18 19 MR. NEWELL: My response would be, he's someone 20 who's looked at oil and gas issues. He's testified that they worked with the New Mexico Environmental Department in 21 22 formulating studies of H2S, other compounds that are also 23 produced and airborne. I think he's certainly able to 24 speak to the fact that -- although I think the ultimate

determination rests with the Division, and so you can

accept his testimony or not accept his testimony.

But I think this would be one of the things that would fall in -- potentially under the terms and conditions or, you know, clause, if you will, that you all have in the approval process. I think you all -- It's not just a yes or no answer for the Division. The Division could say yes, with these conditions attached. And I think no one thus far has been in a position to speak to, you know, what some of these conditions are.

I submit -- I think it's the Applicant's position, or responsibility, to tell this Division, you know, here's our concerns, here's what's going on at this location, and here's how we can address health, environmental and correlative rights issues. But they didn't do that.

So I'm trying the best I can to present that type of information to this Division so that they can consider these things as they consider this Application.

(Off the record)

EXAMINER CATANACH: We'll let the witness answer the question. But again I will determine, when we decide the case, how much weight to give to his testimony.

MR. NEWELL: All right --

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question,

25 | please?

Q. (By Mr. Newell) Sure. Would a closed system that captured the vapors be something that -- whether they're H₂S vapors or VOCs or anything like that, would that be something that you think would be prudent, given the location and its proximity to residences and school and things of this nature?

A. The health literature that I reviewed and then prepared submissions to the Colorado Air Quality Control Commission, which regulates air emissions from oil and gas in Colorado, identified two at-risk populations, and that was the young and the old.

And so when I looked at the Application, and you had a school and you had an assisted-living facility, in terms of the literature that's out there that we gathered and submitted and certainly accepted by industry in Colorado, those are two at-risk populations close by. So it would seem to me it would be prudent if they were not to vent these things in close proximity to these facilities.

- Q. Now you have a -- have you done any studies to show, like for example, what a gas well would produce in terms of tons of VOCs?
- A. The best information that we have, the study in Colorado, 16 tons of VOCs in a single completion. Now that's a gas well.
 - Q. And you understand this is a dual-completion

well, oil and gas?

A. I understand, and I don't know what the mix would be. The data we have for a gas well, 16 tons was the figure. Given the fact that it's an oil and gas well, there's a spill hazard associated with this too, correct?

- A. Spills happen, yes.
- Q. Okay. And did you hear of any particular testimony this morning about how they plan on addressing spills or keep spills from happening or, in other words, protecting the environment in the area from the effects of the oil and gas operations that they're proposing?
- A. I heard nothing in the testimony this morning about spill-prevention plans or those sorts of things.
- Q. Okay. Now finally, are there chemicals and muds used in the drilling process?
- A. As a general industry practice, yes. What we have done -- OGAP and I supervised this -- we have gone to the -- under federal law, service providers, particularly Halliburton, BJ, Schlumberger, are required to report chemicals used in both oil and gas production that they may store or have on site above a certain volume, and those are the Tier 2 forms which are maintained -- I believe in New Mexico it's through emergency preparedness offices.

We accessed that database, and then through time we have been copying MSDS sheets and making an inventory of

what's being used in drilling, reworking operations. As part of that, then, we're working with two PhD chemists in Colorado, Mary Bachran and Theo Coburn, and they have produced a database for us of chemicals that are listed on those sheets or in those Tier 2 reports. So it actually is public data. It's available out there.

What we have done is brought it into a single database, and that's "Chemicals used in -- " it actually should read " -- Oil and Natural Gas -- " because the sheets don't differentiate, so...

- Q. And that's a document that at the bottom of it, you've identified it as Exhibit 5, but what we'll call it now is Exhibit 7; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. Okay, and this is a -- this is a chemical listing that OGAP has put together, based on information that was available to the public sector; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And this information is maintained by OGAP; is that correct?
- A. That's correct, OGAP and TEDX. Mary is actually the one who physically maintains the database on her computer.
- Q. And there's a variety of chemicals identified here, and it looks like they're listed in alphabetical

1 order; is that correct? Α. That's correct. 2 Okay. And are these like additive chemicals? Is 3 Q. 4 that what these would be? You'll see a third column. The third column 5 lists the purpose. That information comes from either --6 from the reporter, so either from Halliburton or from the 7 actual operator on the MSDS. So if they call it a foaming 8 agent, that's what's listed here. If they call it a 9 friction reducer, additive, gellant, crosslinker, biocide, 10 that is pulled directly from those form. 11 MR. NEWELL: Okay. I'll introduce or tender 12 Exhibit Number 7, a document entitled "Chemicals used in 13 Natural Gas Development and Delivery in New Mexico", as 14 Exhibit Number 7. 15 I don't know if -- Mr. Newell never MR. BRUCE: 16 17 attempted to introduce Exhibit 6. MR. NEWELL: I haven't introduced 6 or 3. 18 still have to go back and clean those up. 19 20 EXAMINER CATANACH: Any objection to 7, Mr. Bruce? 21 22 MR. BRUCE: Not to 7. 23 EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibit 7 will be admitted. 24 Q. (By Mr. Newell) Okay, let's go back a minute and 25 then pick up. There's a document that's entitled "Hydrogen Sulfide, Oil and Gas, and People's Health". Do you see that, Mr. Baizel?

A. Yes, I do.

- Q. Okay. Would you tell the Division what this document is?
- A. It is a portion of a master's thesis, referenced earlier. Ms. Skrtic was an intern with us last year, 2006. She prepared -- she did the research with us on H₂S, and this printed portion here is the part that's relevant to New Mexico. The entire paper is available on our website currently, publicly available, it's about a 70-page paper. And she was looking at how -- H₂S's health impacts and how it is regulated in the various states, and that's what this paper was.
- Q. Let me call your attention to page 6 of that paper.
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And do you see at the top of the page where it talks about the fact that the regions with the highest or the largest percentage of proven reserves with at least four parts per million hydrogen sulfide are the eastern Gulf of Mexico, Overthrust and Permian Basin?
 - A. I see that, yes.
- Q. And is the Permian Basin, including southeast New Mexico, depicted on the Figure 1 map that's also found on

1 page 6? Α. Yes, it is depicted there. 2 In the event there's a flaring of hydrogen 3 0. 4 sulfide, it produces sulfur dioxide, correct? Yes, that's what the literature says. I've not 5 personally experienced it. 6 Okay, that's according to the paper then, 7 0. correct? 8 Uh-huh. 9 A. And this paper is peer-reviewed, correct? 10 0. It was a thesis for a master's project, so it was 11 reviewed by her committee. It is not what would be called 12 peer-reviewed in the scientific literature. 13 Okay, it's been reviewed for purposes of a 14 Q. master's thesis, correct? 15 That's correct, which she did receive. 16 Okay. And is this the paper that is utilized by 17 0. OGAP in their dealings with surface issues related to oil 18 19 and gas production? 20 It is. It summarizes what the various states 21 have done in terms of regulation of hydrogen sulfide, and, 22 if there has been data collection, what those levels were. 23 Q. Okay. And does this -- Well, let me ask you 24 this. Is this information that you thought would be

relevant to consideration by this Division in formulating

their decision on whether to grant this Application or not and in considering what, if any, qualifications, restrictions or requirements they may put on the Applicant, if the Application is granted?

- A. If I could reframe that, please?
- Q. Yeah.

A. In reviewing the materials that you sent up as far as the Application, and based on our knowledge that hydrogen sulfide in southeast New Mexico has historically been an issue, there was nothing in the Application materials that indicated the presence, absence, level of hydrogen sulfide that would be expected from this well.

Therefore, this information was the best we could draw from for the Permian Basin, in particular the New Mexico sampling that begins on text page 37, for what might be expected down here if you have no actual sampling.

Depending on what level of hydrogen sulfide -- I mean, the OCD has a regulation that may kick in and require an evacuation plan. But in the absence of any sampling that can't be addressed, so this was -- the purpose for this was, it was the best information we had on H₂S down there.

Q. Given the nature of this location by a school and the fact that they anticipate producing from a formation that has hydrogen sulfide, would it be your recommendation

143 to the Division that the -- if they grant the Application, 1 2 that the evacuation plan should be in place immediately to address the issues of an evacuation for the school or the 3 4 assisted living --MR. BRUCE: And I'm going to ask Mr. Newell to 5 6 explain whose evacuation plan? (By Mr. Newell) I think it's Quest's evacuation 7 Q. plan. 8 My understanding of Rule 118 for the OCD is, if 9 Α. you have a reasonable expectation of a certain level of 10 hydrogen sulfide, then it is required that you have an 11 evacuation plan, and the first question for me would be 12 some data sampling, what do you expect to get out of there? 13 I was hoping to hear that this morning. Maybe it's low 14 15 enough that it's not an issue, but I didn't hear that. So to me, then, the prudent thing is to go out 16 and -- well, okay, is it going to be -- I mean, the reg is 17 very specific, 118, about if you have so many parts per 18

million, this level and so on. It's an OCD Rule, and I just didn't see the data, so...

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. NEWELL: And you know, with that we'll move on from that, but I'll introduce the "Hydrogen Sulfide, Oil and Gas, and People's Health" exhibit as Exhibit Number 6 and move its introduction into evidence.

> EXAMINER CATANACH: Any objection?

MR. BRUCE: Yeah, I would object. The witness 1 hasn't -- I'm not sure what the author's degree is going to 2 be in, whether it's chemistry or chemical engineering or 3 what, but the witness cannot state that he has 4 independently reviewed this and verified it, and 5 furthermore she's not available for cross-examination. So I would object to the admission of Exhibit 6. 7 MR. BROOKS: It seems it's clearly hearsay. 8 MR. NEWELL: I don't think hearsay is precluded 9 in these proceedings though. 10 MR. BROOKS: Well, our Rules say that the Rules 11 of Evidence can be used as a guideline but aren't binding 12 13 on the Examiner, so I quess it's up to the Examiner. 14 (Off the record) EXAMINER CATANACH: I will take it for what it 15 I would be interested in reading this document, and I 16 don't know that we're going to rely heavily on the document 17 for the purpose of this case, but I would like to go ahead 18 and admit it. 19 This will be Exhibit Number 6? 20 21 MR. NEWELL: Yes, Mr. Examiner. 22 THE WITNESS: I guess for the record, if you want 23 the full document, I have a website address which all 70 24 pages --

(By Mr. Newell) Would you please read that in

25

Q.

the record?

A. Yeah, it would be:

http://www.earthworksaction.org/publications.cfm?pubid=168

MR. BROOKS: I would say that I think if we go into incorporating by reference material from websites that we're getting beyond the rule that says that the Examiner bases his recommendation on the record that's made in the proceeding. We can take judicial — take administrative notice of stuff in the OCD's files, but I think that's about as far as we're allowed to stray off that in the record.

MR. NEWELL: And for the record, I wasn't suggesting that that be admitted. I just -- I think, you know, in fairness, if you -- if counsel and the other side -- and to the Division, if you submit a document that maybe has -- you know, you've taken bits and pieces out of, in fairness it seems like it's appropriate to least cite the whole text in case the opposing side wants to go, you know, do what they need to do out of the balance of the document. So that's all I was trying to do. I wasn't trying to introduce stuff that's not part of this proceeding here today.

EXAMINER CATANACH: That's fine.

Q. (By Mr. Newell) Okay. Now you've also brought another document with you which is entitled "Chemicals used

in Oil and Natural Gas Development and Delivery in New 1 Mexico"? 2 Α. That's correct. 3 Okay, would you please explain to the Division 4 what this document is? 5 This document was prepared by Dr. Mary Bachran. 6 A. She's the one that maintains the chemical database that --7 she's in -- her PhD -- I cannot say what it's in actually. 8 Theo's is in chemistry. The two of them are TEDX. 9 10 went through this list that was --Exhibit Number 7? 11 0. -- Exhibit 7, and compared that against the 12 13 health literature. And this document here, then, is her written summary of that -- what she found when she 14 evaluated those chemicals against the environmental health 15 16 literature. 17 0. This is information that's out in the public sector, correct? 18 19 Correct, so she looked at peer-reviewed journals. 20 And this basically identified some of the health Q. 21 and environmental risks associated with the use of certain of these chemicals that were identified in oil and gas 22 23 development, correct? 24 Α. Oil and gas development in New Mexico, yes.

New Mexico, okay. And this is a document that's

25

Q.

maintained at OGAP; is that correct? Or TDEX [sic]? 1 We have a written agreement with her that she 2 Α. would do this analysis, so she did this for us. 3 Okay, and she did it on you all's behalf? 4 Q. Α. That's correct. 5 Approximately a year ago? 6 0. 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. Okay. I'm sorry, that's a typographic -- This is 9 Α. February 8 of 2007. 10 11 Q. Okay. This came last week. 12 Α. 13 Q. Okay. I apologize. I had not caught that. 14 Α. MR. BRUCE: It should be 2007? 15 16 THE WITNESS: It's 2007. She transmitted this to 17 me last week. 18 Q. (By Mr. Newell) Okay. And it looks like this 19 document is based off a compilation that she made from 20 reports sent to the State of New Mexico from Halliburton 21 Energy Services, Inc.; BJ Services, Inc.; and Schlumberger; 22 is that correct? 23 We obtained those and provided those to her. We 24 got those Tier 2 reports, and --25 Q. Okay. And it's also based off of material safety

1	data sheets which are required by the US Environmental
2	Protection Agency, correct?
3	A. Actually, they're required by OSHA because
4	they're for worker safety
5	Q. Okay.
6	A and but yes, they are required, and they're
7	in the public
8	Q domain?
9	A. Uh-huh.
10	Q. And this is basically a compilation of those MSDS
11	sheets compared to the matters, the chemicals that were
12	identified on Exhibit Number 7, correct?
13	A. She developed that list from these sheets, that's
14	correct.
15	MR. NEWELL: Okay. I'll move the introduction of
16	Exhibit Number 8, "Chemicals used in Natural Gas
17	Development and Delivery in New Mexico", prepared by Dr.
18	Mary Bachran.
19	MR. BRUCE: Same objection, Mr. Examiner, I won't
20	waste my breath.
21	MR. BROOKS: Same observation.
22	EXAMINER CATANACH: Same result.
23	Q. (By Mr. Newell) And then finally let's go back
24	to Exhibit Number 3. This is the OGAP publication, and it
25	deals with a variety of these issues, including those you

pointed out on pages I-44 -- excuse me, beginning at I-43 1 -- or -42, and over the next few pages, correct? 2 That's correct. Α. 3 And just to summarize, under the category, 4 Health, Safety and Quality of Life, the areas that OGAP 5 identified are health impacts that may result from surface 6 and subsurface contamination, dust and air pollution, soil 7 contamination, noise pollution, light pollution and stress 8 related to living in an industrial zone; is that correct? 9 That's correct, that's based on our experience Α. 10 with surface owners. 11 And they're identified with safety, maybe, and 12 13 danger due to potential home fires and explosions due to methane or hydrogen sulfide seepage, potential of fires or 14 15 pollutants from accidents or improper worker conduct in the field resulting in blowouts, valves left open, and flooding 16 17 relating to poor water and waste management practices; is that correct? 18 19 That's correct. Α. 20 Okay. And it also notes that -- potential 21 driving issues with respect to workers going in and out of the facilities, correct? 22 23 Α. Correct. I have a personal experience there, being run off the road. 24

25

Q.

Okay.

And --

MR. BRUCE: Not by Quest?

THE WITNESS: No. No, a subcontractor for another company.

Q. (By Mr. Newell) Okay. And I believe you've identified and discussed most of the rest of the areas. Is there any other information that you think is important that you want to share with the Commission relating to this

Application?

related to pits.

A. Well, on the map there was a potential pit area identified in their exhibits, and as part of another proceeding, the OCD has a database that it has maintained, developed, of groundwater contamination sites, some 700 plus, throughout the state. Upwards of 300 of those are

I think in this case, probably notice by the Hearing Officer -- I don't need to testify to that. They maintain the database, it's there, we've looked at it to see what part of the production process that data indicates caused the contamination, and several hundred of those were from well sites.

So I mean, it's the OCD database. It's there.

And the fact that they're proposing pits would raise the question, what are they going to do? Single-, double-lined, or a closed system, whatever, particularly in close proximity to school and fencing. In the City of

151 Farmington, they have very stringent fencing requirements 1 in their city limits. You're not in city limits, you're --2 So, you know, that's within the Division's discretion, I 3 quess, but pits are a safety issue, as well as a 4 groundwater issue in this state, historically. 5 Sure. And there are groundwater -- I mean, Q. 6 concerns here because there are water wells, domestic and 7 irrigation water wells that are in close proximity to this, 8 correct? 9 I don't have knowledge of that, one way or the Α. 10 other. 11 If there were, the use of an open pit would pose 12 some danger to those, correct? 13 It would depend on whether the pit was lined and Α. 14 whether it had leak detection. That would be more of an 15 issue with water. 16 And the testimony this morning was fairly 17 general, so I couldn't determine if they're planning on 18 using pits or not. I mean, it certainly is on their map. 19 20 Q. Yeah, and they didn't say what the quality of the 21 pit would be, correct?

- Α. No, not one way or the other. Just couldn't tell.

22

23

24

25

You're familiar with the wellfield ordinance that's been adopted by the City of Lovington, New Mexico,

1	correct?
2	A. Yes, I reviewed that when it was being considered
3	by the City.
4	Q. And to protect their water well field, they have
5	a requirement of closed-loop systems for drilling in that
6	area, correct?
7	A. That's right, they'd had and speaking I
8	spoke with the city manager about that and why they did
9	that, and they'd had enough contamination issues that when
10	the groundwater was close enough they just didn't want more
11	contamination.
12	Q. Are there any other issues you want to share with
13	the Division?
14	A. No.
15	MR. NEWELL: I would pass the witness, and also
16	ask the Division to introduce or I would move the
17	introduction of Exhibit Number 3, the Oil and Gas at Your
18	Door? book, prepared by OGAP. And then I have one more
19	We'll take these one at a time. I've got one more thing.
20	MR. BRUCE: I have no objection to Exhibit 3, Mr.
21	Examiner.
22	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, Exhibit 3 will be
23	admitted.
24	MR. NEWELL: And then the last thing, I would

move the Division to take administrative notice of its

25

groundwater contamination review and study. 1 2 EXAMINER CATANACH: I'm sorry, the -- are you 3 talking about our database, Mr. --MR. NEWELL: Yes. Yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner, I'm 4 5 sorry. EXAMINER CATANACH: We will take administrative 6 notice of that database. 7 MR. NEWELL: Thank you, I will pass the witness. 8 EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Bruce? 9 MR. BRUCE: Just a few questions. 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. BRUCE: 12 Q. Is it Baizel or Baizel? 13 Baizel. 14 A. 15 Q. Baizel. 16 Just like your -- except with a Z. Α. 17 Have you been down to Hobbs, Mr. Baizel? Q. I have not. 18 Α. 19 Well, that short-circuits a lot of my questions. Q. They keep telling me I should go, but life is 20 A. 21 busy. 22 Q. People are wonderful down there. I suppose, then, my only question is, you're 23 24 talking about dust and things like that. Have you ever been in southeast New Mexico in the spring when the wind is 25

1	blowing?
2	A. Yes, I have, actually, I drove through.
3	Q. It can get pretty dusty, can't it?
4	A. I got caught in a dust storm, I agree.
5	Q. What can be done about that?
6	A. Pray for rain.
7	MR. BRUCE: Thanks, that's all I have.
8	MR. BROOKS: I had a couple, but Do you want
9	me to go first?
10	EXAMINER CATANACH: Yeah, go ahead.
11	MR. BROOKS: Okay.
12	EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. BROOKS:
14	Q. This is mostly for curiosity, rather than having
15	any direct relevance to the case, so I'll keep it short.
16	But you said that OGAP was based out of Durango, Colorado,
17	and we've dealt with people from Durango before, but what's
18	your relationship to the people in Bozeman, Montana? I
19	think you have some
20	A. We have
21	Q that shows in Bozeman, Montana?
22	A. Right, Jennifer Goldman was our staff is and
23	was a staff member for us. She was based, actually, out of
24	Taos a few years ago, and so she had a lot of contact with
25	OCD. And she's now based out of Bozeman, and so her office

is in Bozeman.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

24

25

Q. You mentioned that most states require -- most western states, I believe you said, required notice to surface owners, and I'm familiar with the statutory requirements in Montana, Wyoming, and North and South Dakota, and I'm familiar with the regulatory requirements in Colorado. That's about as far as I go, but -- and also statutory requirements in Oklahoma.

Is there any notice requirement that you know of in Texas? There wasn't when I lived there, but that's been a while back.

- A. We have not found one in Texas.
- Q. Okay, I never heard of one in Texas, but like I say, I left Texas a while back.

What about Utah?

- A. Utah has no -- I do not know of my own knowledge.
- 17 Q. I'm not aware of one in Utah or Nevada.
- A. Yeah, there's so little oil and gas in Nevada

 19 that it's not been an issue.
 - Q. Well, I guess that's true --
 - A. It's becoming an issue, but it's not --
- Q. We'll pass Arizona because we know there's no oil and gas there. What about California?
 - A. California does have notice.
 - Q. Is it statutory or by rule?

1	A. It is statu no, it's by rule, excuse me.
2	Q. DNR, Department of Natural Resources?
3	A. Yeah.
4	Q. Okay. I guess I won't prolong that inquiry any
5	further. I believe that's all my questions. Are you going
6	to stay around till after the proceeding, or are you going
7	to be going back?
8	A. Well, I drive back today. I don't have a hard
9	and fast deadline. Legislature was this morning.
10	MR. BROOKS: Well, I believe I there's
11	something that some other things I want to ask you, but
12	I believe I have your phone number. I may be able to find
13	it somewhere, but if you could just leave me a card if you
14	leave
15	THE WITNESS: I can do that.
16	MR. BROOKS: that would be appreciated.
17	Mr. Catanach?
18	EXAMINER CATANACH: Is this questions outside the
19	scope of the hearing?
20	MR. BROOKS: Outside I told you that it was
21	going to be outside the scope
22	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay.
23	MR. BROOKS: so I won't go into those.
24	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay.
25	EXAMINATION

BY EXAMINER CATANACH:

- Q. The problems you discussed with -- in relation to some of the air-quality problems, is that based on the premise that a lot of this, or some of this stuff is going to be vented from the well or from the tank battery?
- A. Well, you have -- Yes, condensate tanks are one of the big stationary sources in Colorado, and that's what the rule applied to there. As a practical matter, what often happens with those is, the lids get wired open and so they're just venting the whole time. And so it's partly -- it's a matter of proper operation. But condensate tanks, separators, were the big sources that were being -- now being regulated in Colorado for the VOCs.

Venting is not yet regulated in terms of completion. You're not required to capture that gas when you're completing. We're watching one up near Farmington, and it can be a lot of gas. Economically it makes some sense, but -- to capture it, but it's not required.

- Q. And these chemicals, it's a pretty -- is this a pretty comprehensive list of the chemicals that are used on your Exhibit Number 7?
- A. No, because the reality is, a lot of the chemicals on the sheets don't actually list the specific chemical. They list -- you know, as a crosslinker, or they'll give a generic name, and then that's considered

proprietary. 1 So I think Dr. Bachran references in there where 2 -- somewhere around 50 percent. She can't determine what 3 the full chemical composition is. These are just the ones 4 5 she could identify from those forms. So it under-reports rather than over-reports. 6 Okay. And we don't know at this point whether 7 Q. any of these chemicals will be used at this drill site? 8 That's correct. 9 Α. 10 Q. We just don't know? 11 Absolutely correct. Α. EXAMINER CATANACH: I don't think I have anything 12 13 else. Just one follow-up. 14 MR. NEWELL: 15 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. REDIRECT EXAMINATION 16 17 BY MR. NEWELL: You do anticipate some chemicals will be used in 18 Q. the drilling of this well, correct? 19 20 Α. Yes, either in the drilling muds or if there's any sort of -- in terms of completion, if there's anything 21 22 down there. It's standard practice, so I mean I don't know 23 of a well that doesn't use some sort of chemical mix in 24 their production process. 25 MR. NEWELL: Okay, that's all I have.

1	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, this witness may be
2	excused.
3	MR. NEWELL: And I will call the Coxes next. And
4	I have speaking with them at lunch, they said they could
5	not hear very well either the questions or the witness, and
6	so may I suggest that when myself and Mr. Bruce ask the
7	questions, if we might could sit in a chair and position
8	ourself close to one of those phone speakers, if that's
9	acceptable?
10	EXAMINER CATANACH: As long as Mr. Bruce is not
11	contagious.
12	(Laughter)
13	MR. BRUCE: That's your risk.
14	EXAMINER CATANACH: That would be fine.
15	A VOICE: [unintelligible].
16	MR. NEWELL: Yeah, Steve Cox, please.
17	A VOICE: Mr. Cox, okay, hang on just a second.
18	We can't hardly hear you. I hope that you can hear when
19	she picks it up.
20	MR. NEWELL: Okay, thank you.
21	MS. COX: Hello.
22	MR. NEWELL: Mrs. Cox?
23	MS. COX: Yes.
24	MR. NEWELL: Okay, this is Mike Newell, and we're
25	going to have you sworn in, and then I'm going to put your

testimony on the record. All right? 1 MS. COX: I can't hardly hear you. 2 MR. NEWELL: Okay, Mrs. Cox? 3 MS. COX: Yes. 4 MR. NEWELL: Can you hear me better now? 5 MS. COX: A little bit. 6 MR. NEWELL: Okay, Mrs. Cox, hold on just a 7 Let me ask counsel something. second. 8 9 MS. COX: Okay. (Off the record) 10 MR. NEWELL: Mrs. Cox? 11 MS. COX: Yes. 12 MR. NEWELL: We're going to try to shorten this a 13 little bit. Counsel for the Applicant is willing to 14 stipulate that you're the surface owner and that you're 15 objecting to this particular Application, all right? 16 MS. COX: 17 Yes. MR. NEWELL: And so as soon as they swear you in, 18 I'll probably just ask you a couple of questions and then 19 pass you over to Mr. Bruce to ask whatever questions he 20 21 has, or to the Hearing Examiner, or Division counsel to ask 22 what questions they ask, okay? 23 MS. COX: Okay. 24 MR. NEWELL: So we'll do this very quickly, and 25 we'll probably just use you, and then -- Yeah, probably be

the same thing with Steve, if we even need him. 1 Do you want to swear in the witness? 2 EXAMINER CATANACH: Please, yes. 3 (Thereupon, the witness was sworn.) 4 5 BARBARA COX (Present by telephone), the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 6 her oath, was examined and testified as follows: 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. NEWELL: 9 Mrs. Cox, state your full name for the record. Q. 10 Barbara Dan Cox. Α. 11 Okay, and Mrs. Cox, everyone agrees that you own 12 the property where the -- or the surface of the proposed 13 well location, so we don't need to go there. 14 Would you tell this Division and counsel and 15 everyone else present in the room what your objections are 16 17 to this location? Well, for one thing it's going to hurt the value 18 Α. of my property. You know, I'm just getting ready to sell 19 20 it, and now they're going to put that well out there, and it's really going to hurt my property --21 Okay, would you explain what --22 Q. 23 -- as well as a lot of other things, you know. Sure, would you explain what's going on in the 24 25 area with respect to property values, particularly in that

1 area around Ja-Rob and -- the intersection of Ja-Rob and College Lane? 2 Well, they're building a lot of new homes, real 3 nice homes there, and you know, I think it will just hurt 4 the value. And then there's a school right up the street, 5 not too far from where I live --6 7 Q. Okay. -- and also an old folks' home --8 Α. Okay, and is this --9 0. -- so --10 A. Mrs. Cox, is this property part of your 11 Q. retirement nest egg, if you will? 12 Yes. Yes, I was planning on that --13 A. 14 Q. Okay, so it's a --15 -- for my retirement. 16 Q. Okay, so this was a significant investment for you and your late husband, correct? 17 A. Yes, yes. 18 19 Okay. Now, let me ask you this. What's your Q. 20 age? I'm 67. 21 A. Okay. And Mrs. Cox, are you concerned about 22 Q. 23 noise and dust and these type of things that are -- and the contaminants that are going to be at this well location? 24 25 Α. Yes, I sure am.

1	Q. Okay. And what's the traffic light there, and
2	what would be the impacts of a lot of truck traffic in the
3	area?
4	A. Well, it would be kind of bad. I mean, you know,
5	to have all that going on down there.
6	Q. Okay. Ja-Rob is a paved street, correct?
7	A. Yes, it is, Ja-Rob, and also College Lane is
8	paved.
9	Q. Okay, and they're two-lane streets, correct?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And they're not laned for traffic, are they?
12	A. No.
13	Q. Okay. And there's no at least on Ja-Rob,
14	there's no shoulder or anything like that, correct?
15	A. No, huh-uh.
16	Q. Okay. Do you have anything else you want to tell
17	the Hearing Examiner or anyone else present about, you
18	know, why you object to this location?
19	A. Well, I guess that about covers it all.
20	Q. Okay. Well, I'm going to pass you to Mr. Bruce,
21	and he's the attorney for the Applicant, Quest Cherokee,
22	and he's going to ask you questions, and then the Hearing
23	Examiner or counsel may ask you questions. All right, Mrs.
24	Cox?
25	A. Yes.

1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. BRUCE:
3	Q. Mrs. Cox, my name is Jim Bruce. I apologize, I
4	have laryngitis.
5	A. That's fine.
6	Q. I just have a couple of quick questions. When
7	did you buy this property?
8	A. We bought it in 1976.
9	Q. Do you recall what you paid per acre for the
10	property?
11	A. How much
12	MR. NEWELL: I'll object to
13	THE WITNESS: we give per acre?
14	MR. NEWELL: And Mrs. Cox, just a second. I will
15	object. If it was not relevant this morning as to what was
16	paid for the rights to drill, I would submit that it's not
17	relevant what she paid either. I'm not going to belabor
18	the point, I just want to make the objection for the
19	record.
20	MR. BRUCE: My point would be, they're claiming
21	loss of value.
22	MR. NEWELL: Okay.
23	EXAMINER CATANACH: I will allow that question to
24	be answered.
25	O. (By Mr. Bruce) Okay. And so Mr. [sic] Cox. the

Hearing Examiner asks that you answer the question. 1 did you pay per acre for this property? 2 Excuse me, I didn't hardly understand you. 3 I'm sorry, what price per acre, what dollar per 4 Q. acre, did you pay for this property? 5 Well, at that time I think it was \$37,500 --Α. 6 That's the total --7 0. -- for the whole thing. 8 Α. And how many acres was that? 9 Q. We had 30 acres at that time. 10 Α. MR. BRUCE: Thank you, that's all I have, ma'am. 11 EXAMINER CATANACH: Any more? 12 **EXAMINATION** 13 BY MR. BROOKS: 14 Yeah, Ms. Cox, when -- Can you hear me? 15 Q. Yes. 16 Α. I'm David Brooks, I am one of the attorneys for 17 Q. the Division and I'm representing the Hearing Examiner 18 19 today. Uh-huh. 20 Α. 21 Q. When you purchased this property in 1976, were 22 you aware that you were not buying the oil and gas under 23 the property, that that belonged to someone else? 24 Well, of course my husband bought it. I didn't 25 know.

1	Q. So you didn't inquire into that subject?
2	A. No, not really.
3	Q. Okay. And if the record in this proceeding
4	indicates that the oil and gas under this property belongs
5	to the State Land Trust for the State of New Mexico, you
6	wouldn't dispute that?
7	A. Well, I don't guess.
8	MR. BROOKS: Okay, I think that's the only
9	question I have.
10	EXAMINER CATANACH: Yeah, I have no questions of
11	Mrs. Cox, so
12	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. NEWELL:
14	Q. Okay. And then Mrs. Cox, that's all I have, and
15	I think Why don't you tell Steve that I don't think we
16	need him to testify. I've named him, so I guess Mr. Bruce
17	has the right to have him called if Okay. And we don't
18	need his testimony. I think it's pretty much going to be
19	redundant to yours.
20	But Steve, just for the record, is your son,
21	correct?
22	A. Yes, uh-huh.
23	Q. Okay, and he was going to help you out with
24	respect to these matters in dealing with Quest Cherokee,
25	correct?

1	A. Yes, he was.
2	Q. Okay, and he's had some dealings with the landman
3	for Quest Cherokee, and you heard the landman testify this
4	morning, correct?
5	A. You know, we couldn't hear.
6	Q. Okay, fair enough.
7	A. We couldn't hear a lot of what was being said.
8	MR. NEWELL: Okay. Well in that event, why don't
9	you let me put on Steve Cox, just to address those issues
10	that were and I'll be real limited
11	MR. BROOKS: Yeah.
12	MR. NEWELL: just to the issues that were
13	raised
14	THE WITNESS: Well
15	MR. NEWELL: this morning.
16	THE WITNESS: Steve's not here right now, but
17	you could
18	MR. NEWELL: Okay.
19	THE WITNESS: get him on his phone.
20	MR. NEWELL: No, that's quite all right, we don't
21	need to. Mrs. Cox, thank you very much.
22	THE WITNESS: Okay.
23	MR. NEWELL: I'll report to you when I get back
24	to Lovington, all right?
25	THE WITNESS: All right.

MR. BROOKS: I guess I would like to ask Ms. Cox 1 one other question, since David didn't ask any. 2 FURTHER EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. BROOKS: 4 Ms. Cox, your position is that you object to this 5 Q. well being located on this property on any terms; is that 6 correct? 7 Yes, uh-huh. A. 8 9 Q. And even if the Division were to impose some conditions on the way that it's operated to attempt to 10 11 protect environmental concerns, you would still object? 12 Α. Yes, I would. 13 MR. BROOKS: Thank you. EXAMINER CATANACH: Thank you, Ms. Cox. 14 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh, thank you. 15 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. 16 MR. NEWELL: Can she be excused? 17 EXAMINER CATANACH: Yes. 18 MR. NEWELL: Mrs. Cox, thank you for waiting, and 19 20 you're excused. 21 THE WITNESS: Okay. 22 MR. NEWELL: Thank you. 23 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 24 EXAMINER CATANACH: Bye, bye. 25 THE WITNESS: Bye, bye.

1	MR. NEWELL: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
2	EXAMINER CATANACH: Sure.
3	MR. NEWELL: We'll call I'm sorry, did you
4	want to say something? I interrupted you.
5	EXAMINER CATANACH: No.
6	MR. NEWELL: I was going to call my next witness
7	and
8	EXAMINER CATANACH: No, go ahead.
9	MR. NEWELL: We'll call Lee Roberson.
10	LEE ROBERSON,
11	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
12	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. NEWELL:
15	Q. Would you please state your name for the record?
16	A. Lee Roberson.
17	Q. Mr. Roberson, where do you live?
18	A. I live at 1321 College Lane.
19	Q. Okay. And do you have property that's close to
20	this proposed well location?
21	A. Yes, I do, I have property that adjoins it to the
22	east.
23	MR. NEWELL: Okay. And let me ask you to May
24	I approach the witness, your Honor?
25	EXAMINER CATANACH: Certainly.

(By Mr. Newell) Let me hand you a document that Q. 1 we will mark as Exhibit Number 9. You can mark that. And 2 3 this is the document right here. EXAMINER CATANACH: 4 (By Mr. Newell) Mr. Roberson, do you recognize 5 Q. that document? 6 Yes, I do. 7 Α. Is that a document you caused to be prepared? 8 Q. 9 A. Yes, it is. Okay, and would you explain to this Division what 10 Q. the document is? 11 This document, page 1 of the document shows the 12 well location and some proximities by feet to different 13 structures that are close to it, not all structures by any 14 15 stretch of the imagination. Just to show the distance, for 16 example, to a home close by, 567 feet. 17 It says Tom's, that's Tom Duncan -- he was not able to attend today -- his home that he built there about 18 19 three or four years ago, 663 feet. 20 Todd's, that's my son's home with where my little 21 five-month-old granddaughter lives, 1845 feet. 22 The nursing home, 2055 feet. 23 Elementary school, 1439 feet. Barn, that is my barn with my -- one of my water 24 25 wells there, 756 feet. Back porch of my house, 967 feet.

And to my property line, 333 feet.

- Q. And Mr. Roberson, are these reflected on the second page of that exhibit?
- A. As best as you can see it, it is. The X there, or the sign there on the photocopy is the location of the well. And you can see, for example, where the school is, just right around the corner, and those other structures in relation to this photograph.

This photograph appears to me to be a bit old. You notice down at the bottom, Lindsey Manufacturing Company, developed this photograph for me. The gentleman that owns that company is named Mike Carter. He is at Pivot Irrigation Company, and he came out and got those measurements for me with his -- whatever instrument he used, and developed this photograph.

- Q. Okay. Mr. Roberson, are there are additional structures and residences that aren't depicted in this areal photo that are present now?
- A. Yes, there are, there are numerous structures that have been added since this areal photo. For example, just north of the location there are, I believe, five homes there now. Of course where that little dot is, is my home. To the east of me, going eastward along College Lane, all those five-acre tracts have been developed and homes built. A friend of mine, Kenny Facke, just built a 5000-square-

foot home right in that area.

To the east of my property, directly east of where this well location is, Cimarron development subdivision has just been completed with 38 lots that have all been sold. To the south of this photograph is one of the major high-end subdivisions of Hobbs, Westminster subdivision.

Down -- You can see Ja-Rob Lane running north and south. Down on the end of Ja-Rob Lane on the south, yesterday two gentlemen, Dwayne Taylor and Johnny Cope, announced a subdivision of, I believe, Windsor Homes, about 65 homes that they're going to build right there on Ja-Rob Lane.

So that's -- Also the retirement home just west of the school is not in this photograph. So that's what's going on in the area.

- Q. And there's a home right across Ja-Rob --
- A. That is correct, Mr. -- I can't remember that gentleman's name, he's a retired gentleman directly across the street. When Chesapeake originally staked this well they staked it in his front yard, and then they moved it across the street two years ago where the present location is now with Quest.

MR. NEWELL: Okay. Now I'm going to move the introduction of this document as Exhibit Number 9.

1	MR. BRUCE: No objection.
2	MR. NEWELL: Okay. Mr
3	EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibit Number 9 will be
4	admitted.
5	Q. (By Mr. Newell) Mr. Roberson, I'm going to ask
6	you to look at a newspaper article, and May I approach
7	the witness?
8	MR. NEWELL: Sure.
9	Q. (By Mr. Newell) Okay. And would you identify
10	the newspaper and the date?
11	A. This is the Hobbs News-Sun, the daily newspaper
12	in Hobbs, New Mexico, dated February 13th, 2007.
13	Q. Okay. And Do you have one, counsel?
14	MR. BRUCE: Yeah, thank you.
15	MR. NEWELL: Okay. Do you all have one?
16	EXAMINER CATANACH: No, we don't.
17	MR. NEWELL: Okay. I'll make copies, but
18	EXAMINER CATANACH: There isn't
19	MR. NEWELL: you know, we've got an extra.
20	EXAMINER CATANACH: Was it in your package of
21	exhibits?
22	MR. NEWELL: Yes, that may be right there.
23	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay.
24	MR. NEWELL: Yeah, that's it.
25	Q. (By Mr. Newell) And this is the Hobbs News-Sun

from February 13th --1 Yes, sir. A. 2 -- 2007? Okay, and you were talking about 3 Cimarron Estates; is that correct? 4 I might have said Cimarron Development. A. Yes. 5 Cimarron Estate, same thing. 6 Okay. And does this article talk about that 7 Q. Cimarron Estates that you just testified to? 8 Yes, sir, it talks about a good friend of mine, 9 Α. Mr. Gary Jones, who developed that subdivision just east of 10 my property and east of this proposed well location. 11 Okay. And so this is property that would also be 12 0. impacted with a west prevailing wind by this well location? 13 That is correct? Α. 14 I will identify and introduce MR. NEWELL: Okay. 15 this newspaper article as Exhibit Number 10. 16 17 MR. BRUCE: No objection. 18 EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibit Number 10 will be admitted. 19 MR. NEWELL: Now I think you also identified --20 21 and this is in yesterday's paper, after I already left, and so we do not have copies for it, and so I propose that I 22 23 circulate it by counsel first, and then ask the witness 24 about it if counsel has no objection. 25 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay.

MR. NEWELL: He had just testified about the 1 Windsor Estate subdivision, and that's an article that 2 discusses that. 3 I have no objection, Mr. Examiner. 4 MR. BRUCE: I'd only ask that, afterwards, if the counsel could make 5 6 copies and give them to us after the hearing. MR. NEWELL: I have no problem with that, if 7 there's a facility here that I can do that; is that --8 9 MR. BRUCE: Or he can do it when he gets back to his office. 10 11 MR. NEWELL: Okay, thank you. (By Mr. Newell) Let me hand you a document that 12 0. we will mark as Exhibit Number 11 and ask you if you can 13 identify that? 14 15 This is the Hobbs News-Sun dated February 14th, 2007. 16 17 Okay, and does this newspaper contain an article Q. discussing the Windsor Estates subdivision? 18 19 A. Yes, it does. 20 And where is that located? Q. 21 That is at the -- that's on Ja-Rob Lane. It will Α. 22 be just south of Todd's house there on Ja-Rob Lane. 23 0. Okay. And Todd's house was identified, it's the 24 southernmost point on that --25 Α. -- on that exhibit, yes, sir. I'm sorry.

MR. NEWELL: That's all right. 1 So I'll move the introduction of that newspaper 2 as Exhibit Number 11. 3 EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibit Number 11 will be 4 admitted. 5 (By Mr. Bruce) Okay. And Mr. Roberson, I'm Q. 6 going to ask you to look at a document we identified this 7 morning as Exhibit Number 2. Do you see that? 8 Α. Yes, sir. 9 Okay, and did you have occasion to pull that out Q. 10 11 of the Hobbs News-Sun as well? Well, actually my wife pulled that out of the 12 Hobbs News-Sun for me. It shows the -- a couple acreages 13 there in the proximity, giving values of what people are 14 asking for their land. 15 Okay. And is that a newspaper article from the 16 17 Hobbs News-Sun dated Sunday, January 28th, 2007? 18 Α. That is correct. Okay. And as we discussed this morning, that 19 Q. 20 includes a 3/4-acre lot on Ja-Rob that is -- the asking 21 price is \$30,000, and then 13.39 acres on College Lane that 22 \$160,000 is the asking price for; is that correct? 23 Α. That's correct. 24 Okay. And are you familiar with this? Q. 25 Α. Yes, I am familiar with it.

1	MR. NEWELL: I'll move the introduction of
2	Exhibit Number 2 or Intervenor's Exhibit Number 2.
3	MR. BRUCE: I have no objection to
4	EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibit Number 2 will be
5	admitted.
6	Q. (By Mr. Newell) Now Mr. Roberson, I believe you
7	testified that you have a water well in the area; is that
8	correct?
9	A. I have two water wells in the area, yes.
10	Q. Okay, and there are other water wells that you're
11	familiar with in the area, correct?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Okay. And you've had occasion to go to the State
14	Engineer and New Mexico State Engineer's Office website and
15	look at the wells located in Section 9; is that correct?
16	A. I have not looked at those wells, no, sir, I have
17	not.
18	Q. Okay. Are you let me ask you to May I
19	approach the witness, your Honor?
20	EXAMINER CATANACH: Yes.
21	Q. (By Mr. Newell) Let me hand you a document that
22	we've identified as Exhibit Number 9. I believe it's this
23	document right here. It will be the State Engineer's
24	document right here.
25	MR. BRUCE: That's going to be Evhibit 122

1	MR. NEWELL: Yes.
2	Q. (By Mr. Newell) Mr. Roberson, would this be a
3	document that would purport to be from the State Engineer's
4	website, for Township 18 South, Range 38 East, Section 9?
5	A. Yes, it would.
6	Q. Okay. And is your water well reflected on here,
7	or your water wells?
8	A. Yes. Yes, they are.
9	Q. Okay. And do you understand this to be a listing
10	of all the water wells, then, in that area, in Section 9?
11	A. I would agree that this is a list of is every
12	all water wells on the State Engineer's website, yes,
13	sir.
14	Q. And there are numerous wells, are there not, in
15	Section 9?
16	A. That is correct.
17	MR. NEWELL: Okay. I'd move the introduction of
18	this document as Exhibit Number I think I'm on 12 or 13?
19	EXAMINER CATANACH: I believe it's 12.
20	MR. NEWELL: Okay.
21	MR. BRUCE: I have no objection, Mr. Examiner.
22	EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibit 12 will be admitted.
23	Q. (By Mr. Newell) Now Mr. Roberson, you were
24	present this morning when you heard testimony from the
25	Applicant that they felt like the blowout risk was low

because of the nature of the pressure in the formation, correct?

A. That's correct.

- Q. Okay. If there was a blowout and you had to evacuate, what's the situation like in Hobbs for even getting a motel room?
- A. Well, thanks for asking that. The City of Hobbs -- I've live in the City of Hobbs since 1980. The City of Hobbs is busting loose currently, thank the good Lord. There's no available housing anywhere to be had, no motels to be rented. Motels are building frequently, so the availability of housing is absolutely very minimal at best.
- Q. And you indicated in your testimony earlier that there was a proposed drilling location that was staked earlier, correct?
- A. Yes, I've had occasion to work with Mike
 Whitefield over at Chesapeake Oil and Gas. We have some
 property in Eddy County that Chesapeake staked a well on at
 the same time that they staked this well here, and Mr.
 Whitefield and I became acquainted very well.

And Whitefield agreed that this was a sensitive area in which to drill, and pulled up the stake and thought that it was probably not a good location for Chesapeake Oil and Energy Company to drill a well.

Q. Okay. Mr. Roberson, I want -- but I don't want you to be redundant with what Mr. Baizel testified to, but I think you've got some health concerns, correct?

A. Well, you know, I've lived in -- I grew up in southeast New Mexico, I was born and bred there. I'm third-generation New Mexican. Okay? I've lived around the oilfield my whole life and I've lived Hobbs since 1980, and I've owned numerous properties in Lea County, farm, ranch properties. This property here represents a -- with my house that I built on it two years ago, represents a significant investment on my and my wife's part, our life's savings. Okay?

And I've been around this oil patch, and I've seen some really lousy operators. Okay? I've seen gas flared out of gas wells, I've seen blowouts in Eddy County, I've seen blowouts in Lea County, I've seen oil and gas production, I've seen pits and tanks overfilled and run out the -- down the roads, hundreds of yards. I've seen water contamination due to drilling pits. I even have a current situation on one of my farms with some chlorides in one of my water wells, due to waterflood injection and spilling of pit.

So I've seen the impact that oil and gas can have on surface owners. Not saying that I do not support the oil and gas industry, because we are very pro-oil industry

in Lea County, as you know. But I've also seen the adverse side to landowners, if not properly operated.

- Q. Do you see anything in the Application that caused you to think that there was a concern or any accommodation being made for your rights as a property owner whose property is less than 300 feet from this well?
- A. Well, when I read the Application it was basically null and void of any information, with the exception on the drawing of a drilling pit. And I have great concern about a drilling pit being so close to all the residences. This is a neighborhood. Just right around the corner is an elementary school. Okay? Most of these residences have domestic water wells. Okay? So I have grave concern about having a drilling pit and drilling -- burying any chemicals.

I have a reservation about -- until today I didn't now this was going to be an oil well or a dual production well. I have a lot of concerns about storage tanks being on facility and overflowing. I've seen that numerous times in the oil and gas industry. So I have grave concerns about that.

I have concerns about -- since this is a dualproduction well, that a minimum amount of gas on a daily
basis will be flared out into the neighborhood, just let
out. That's going to cause grave health concerns. There's

a lot of small kids in this area. Just down the street on Ja-Rob Lane, like I said, my granddaughter Addison lives, and two houses down another young lady that's five months old lives that's a good friend of mine. So I have real grave concern about air quality. Okay?

My back porch is 967 feet from this proposed well. I'm building my granddaughter a swimming pool as we speak. According to my wife, that's what I'm doing. I have a real concern about breathing any air that might blow right into my porch, because I'm strictly 100-percent downwind from this property. Okay? And I have a real concern with that. So I'm concerned about the air quality. And if you've ever been to Lea County, you know what I'm talking about. Okay?

I've had property that was operated by EnerQuest,
CrownQuest and Texland Petroleum in New Mexico. They
flared gas out into the atmosphere. Okay? Under OCD regs
and rules. So that's a real concern for me.

There's no doubt, if this well were even to be approved, which it should not be, that it should be drilled with a closed-loop system. There should not be any bearing of any chemicals or contaminants in the soil. Okay?

Q. Let me ask you to look at Exhibit Number 11 of the Intervenor -- not our exhibits, of their exhibits, and it's this document right here that their geologist

1	prepared.
2	A. I don't have it in front of me.
3	MR. NEWELL: Okay. May I approach the witness?
4	And I can do this
5	EXAMINER CATANACH: Sure.
6	MR. NEWELL: if I could just stand up there
7	with him, and we can look at the same document?
8	EXAMINER CATANACH: Sure.
9	MR. NEWELL: Is that okay with you, Counsel?
10	MR. BRUCE: Yes.
11	Q. (By Mr. Newell) To the west of the proposed
12	location, once you get past the residence, there's no
13	development to speak of to the west, correct?
14	A. At the present time that is correct.
15	Q. So there would be the ability to move the well
16	location to the west, still produce the minerals, and not
17	have the impact on the residences and the property along
18	Ja-Rob Lane and College Lane?
19	A. That would diminish the impact on that, that is
20	correct.
21	Q. Okay. And if this Application were approved,
22	would it be your request that it be only approved if the
23	well location were moved to an area where it didn't impact
24	the surrounding residences and the school?
25	A. That is correct.

1	Q. Now you indicated you had some chloride
2	contamination in one of your water wells on some property
3	you own, correct?
4	A. That's correct.
5	Q. Is the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division aware
6	of that?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Has it been cleaned up?
9	A. Nothing has been done for over two years.
10	Q. Is it your observation that the New Mexico Oil
11	Conservation is understaffed or Oil Conservation
12	Division is understaffed in Lea County, New Mexico?
13	A. I know Chris Williams very well, I know Mr.
14	Johnson very well. They're good people. But they're up
15	against it. They just I also know several of the other
16	staffers down there. They're working as hard as they can
17	work. Okay?
18	Q. Okay. And they can't keep on top of it?
19	A. There's no way they can keep up, that is correct.
20	Q. And so you have a situations like you've
21	experienced where known contamination has been allowed to
22	exist for years before it's cleaned up?
23	A. I have it currently on one of my farms, and it's
24	been existing for a long time, that's correct.
25	Q. Now you're familiar with a community or a

development that's on the west side of Hobbs, correct?

A. Yes.

- Q. And that's the Westgate subdivision; is that correct?
- A. I'm very familiar with the Westgate subdivision. When I first moved to Hobbs in 1980, I moved into the Westgate subdivision on Tasker Drive, named after Coach Ralph Tasker. And up the street from me was a lady that worked for me for a number of years. Her name was Virginia Perry, and her husband Roland. And I've known very, very well, because she worked for me for a lot of years. And Virginia was one of those type of people that always thought that she was mentally ill because she showed up every day to work sick, and I thought there was something wrong with her mentally. I thought perhaps that -- you know, just that type of person.

Well, come to find out in Westgate, her house was built on top of a pit, a drilling pit. Okay? That house had to be contaminated [sic], torn down, and all the contents taken away, after she lived there for over 20 years. Her and her husband, I know them well, have numerous health problems. I think Mrs. Perry has lupus. Okay? And their medical bills exceed \$30,000 to \$40,000 on an annual basis.

Q. You knew this because you employed her and you

paid for her health care, right?

- A. I employed her and I paid for her health care, and I prepared her tax returns for a number of years, that's correct.
- Q. Okay. And so you're concerned that development in this neighborhood would have the same impact as the development in Hobbs in the Westgate subdivision, correct?
- A. This is a proposed well that is going into a neighborhood, an up and coming neighborhood in Hobbs, New Mexico. I would be just ashamed to have any child killed or harmed. It would not be worth the profits that were made on this well. I would be very disappointed -- okay? -- that this neighborhood would be adversely impacted by this well if not operated safely.

And wells are going to have problems. That's just the nature of the beast. You're going to have a problem somewhere in the next 20 to 25 years on this well. That's just the way it is. There are just some places where an oil and gas well should not be drilled, and this is one of them. It's absolutely not.

I live on College Lane. I've lived there a little over two years. We built our home and moved in. We've been there about two and a half years, and there have been numerous traffic accidents up and down College Lane, because College Lane, as we discussed earlier, is not in

the city limits of Hobbs, it's in the county. It's not patrolled by the City of Hobbs. The Lea County Sheriff's Department is way understaffed. They go home every night at midnight, and they don't show back up to work till eight o'clock.

There have been numerous traffic accidents on College Lane. I can tell you of Donovan Youngblood, who likes to drive up and down that street about 80 miles an hour. He happens to be a freshman at New Mexico Junior College. Okay? So I'm real concerned about the traffic that this oil well will bring to the neighborhood, and it could easily adverse the neighborhood due to traffic problems.

- Q. Okay. Do you have anything else you want to share with this Division in this hearing?
- A. Well, I want to say something to Quest Resources. I want to congratulate Quest Resources on what you're doing. Okay? You know, Hobbs is not opposed to oil and gas drilling and development. I know the risks that you gentlemen take. Okay? I deal with clients in the oil and gas industry every day. Okay? And I certainly hope that you're successful in your ventures, and I know you're taking a lot of risk. And there's no hatred towards you gentlemen, I want you to be aware of that.

But there are just some places that oil and gas

1	development should $[sic]$ exist, and I want you to really
2	seriously consider and think about the economic not the
3	economic, the health and welfare impact that you're going
4	to have in this neighborhood, with all these kids and all
5	these residences and if you're successful in your
6	Application. Because I think the risks are not worth that,
7	any stretch of the imagination. And that's basically what
8	I take out of this hearing today. Okay?
9	MR. NEWELL: I pass the witness, Mr. Hearing
10	Examiner.
11	EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Bruce?
12	CROSS-EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. BRUCE:
14	Q. Now Mr It's Roberson?
15	A. I say Roberson, yes, sir.
16	Q. Roberson.
17	A. Uh-huh. But you can say you can say it any
18	way you want to.
19	Q. No "t"?
20	A. No "t".
21	Q. Thanks. Now there's been talk about excess
22	traffic and fumes and dust. Now the roads to this drill
23	site are paved, are they not?
24	A. Yeah, let's talk about that a minute. Ja-Rob
25	Lane is a little two-lane road, okay?

Paved? 1 Q. And it's paved. However -- and College Lane is 2 Α. paved, and it's a little two-lane road. But off Ja-Rob 3 Lane to this well site it's not paved. Okay? It will be a 4 5 caliche road. Now you mentioned the school and the old folks' 6 0. Now you're talking about 7 home and the other houses. accidents on College Lane. I presume it's fairly busy? 8 9 A. College Lane is very busy, yes. 10 0. Hundreds, thousands of cars going by each day? 11 Α. I haven't done a traffic count. I can't tell 12 you. I do know yesterday I was pulling out of Hobbs about 13 1:30 to come up here, and there was probably 50 mothers picking up kids at the elementary school, sitting outside, 14 15 up and down both sides of the street. Okay? How many kids in the elementary school? 16 Q. 17 Α. I don't have a clue. I know it's K through 6. know that I try to get to work before 7:00 so I can avoid 18 19 the traffic. Several hundred, probably? 20 Q. I don't have a clue. 21 Α. 22 Q. Okay. But Ja-Rob Lane and College Lane are very 23 busy? 24 Yes, they are. Α. 25 Q. Cars going by all the time?

1	A. More so on College Lane than Ja-Rob, yes.
2	Q. Trucks?
3	A. Trucks, you bet. Now semi-trucks or pickup
4	trucks? What kind of trucks are you referring to?
5	Q. Let's start with pickup trucks. Oh, yeah, I have
6	a pickup truck. I drive up and down Ja-Rob every day,
7	absolutely.
8	Q. Okay, let's go up one step above that, not semi's
9	but say delivery trucks, like UPS trucks?
10	A. Very seldom. Only occasionally when they come to
11	residences, I assume.
12	Q. Well, there are residents out there and
13	A. A lot of residents, that's right.
14	Q and there must be at least a couple of semi's
15	doing deliveries now and then?
16	A. I you know, I haven't seen any semi's, but
17	there may be.
18	Q. Okay. And now you're talking about what, the
19	Cimarron Cimarron Estates? I think that was it?
20	A. You're talking about the Cimarron Estates
21	subdivision?
22	Q. Yeah.
23	A. Yes, sir.
24	Q. And that's adding 38 houses?
25	A. Yes, it has.

```
How many -- around our neighborhood and
          Q.
                Okay.
 1
     around these new subdivisions, how many cars are at each
 2
     house?
 3
                Boy, I don't have the -- I couldn't guess.
                                                               Ι
 4
     imagine at least two. I think my neighbor next door to me
 5
     has about five.
 6
                That's --
 7
          Q.
 8
          Α.
                Okay.
                -- I was thinking anywhere --
 9
          Q.
10
          Α.
                All right.
                -- from two to four --
11
          Q.
12
          A.
                Right.
                -- wouldn't be uncommon --
13
          Q.
                Right.
14
          A.
                -- in New Mexico?
15
          Q.
                Sure.
16
          Α.
                It's sure --
17
          Q.
          A.
                Sure.
18
19
          Q.
                -- common up here.
20
          Α.
                Sure.
                They're all --
21
          Q.
22
                A lot of cars, you bet.
          Α.
                And so there you have at Cimarron Estates 38
23
          Q.
     lots, probably another 150 vehicles. And you mentioned the
24
     Windsor subdivision, that's 65 houses. Multiply that --
25
```

let's just be conservative -- by three. That's another 1 couple hundred. So right there, you -- just with those two 2 3 new subdivisions, you probably have three to -- three to 4 four hundred new vehicles going by every day and driving by 5 every day in that neighborhood? Oh, that's -- I don't know if that's a good guess 6 Α. 7 or not, but I don't know --It's not reasonable? 8 Q. -- you've got several -- I don't know, I don't 9 Α. know. I know we have an increase of traffic flow. 10 Plus delivery trucks, Fed-Ex --11 Q. Well, I'm sure we have the Swan's man come up and 12 Α. down the neighborhood. 13 Swan's man? 14 Q. 15 How's that? Α. There's all sorts of people like that. And those 16 Q. 17 vehicles -- and that's just in addition to what's already out there? 18 Oh, in the last six months you're talking about, 19 Α. or what? 20 21 0. I'm saying if you add these two subdivisions. 22 what we are talking about is another 300, 400 vehicles per day, in addition to the vehicles that already use College 23

Drive.

A.

Okay.

24

1	Q. And you said 50, 60 at a time to pick up the kids
2	at the school.
3	A. Oh, I don't know if I said 50 or 60, I said there
4	was at least 50 or 60 cars out there yesterday.
5	Q. Okay, that's what I meant, 50 or 60 cars. School
6	buses?
7	A. I'm sure there's school buses.
8	Q. And they all give off fumes, don't they?
9	A. Well, you know, I think they probably do.
10	Q. And are you or your neighbors proposing any
11	restrictions on car traffic, truck traffic
12	A. Well, you know
13	Q to the school, around the old folks' home or
14	anything like that, because of the fumes?
15	A. That's a good question. Okay? Diesel semi-
16	trucks exhaust more fumes than regular cars, do they not?
17	Q. Well, I'm not an expert.
18	A. Uh-huh.
19	Q. I say it looks that way.
20	A. Uh-huh.
21	Q. On the other hand, they're supposed to be
22	A. But when
23	Q they're less polluting also?
24	A. I wasn't even thinking about the fumes of the
25	vehicles when I talked about traffic.

So

You were just talking about raw numbers? 1 Q. No, I was talking about semi-truck traffic in 2 Α. that neighborhood. 3 Okay. But it sounds like, from what you told me 4 before, the accidents are mainly from cars and cars going 5 too fast? 6 Well, I don't know, I haven't done a traffic 7 Α. I know my son's -- my partner's son flipped his car 8 at 3:00 in the morning, so I don't know what that was due 9 10 to. 11 Q. Well, I hope he's okay. Another thing you mention is, you know, in Hobbs 12 13 -- and I go down there a couple -- every other month, Mr. 14 Roberson, and Hobbs is growing. And you said there's no 15 available housing. There's a lot of things going on in Hobbs, isn't there? 16 17 You know, Hobbs is just busting loose right now. 18 0. And part of it is the booming oil and gas 19 business, isn't it? You know, I'm glad you asked that question 20 because I sit on the economic development board of Lea 21 22 County, and I'm part of the executive committee of the EDC 23 of Lea County, and Hobbs has a lot of things going for it. One is oil and gas, there's no doubt. We also have a race 24

track and casino that we worked real hard to attract.

we attract a lot of Texans from across the state line.

And one of our biggest attractions now that is making Hobbs bust loose is the uranium enrichment facility, the \$1.6-billion project that is being constructed in Eunice, New Mexico. And I also happened to get to sit in on the G-net meeting last week over in Carlsbad for the centrifuge recycling center.

So Hobbs has a lot going for it, not just oil and gas. But yeah, oil and gas is a contributor to that economy.

- Q. And normally if you mention nuclear power -- now I used to work in that business, Mr. Roberson, but a lot of people get afraid of that business too, don't they?
- A. That's the reason why we located it down there at Eunice, out there at the state line, away from everybody.
 - O. Let Texas deal with the adverse winds?
- A. Do what?

- Q. Let the winds blow the stuff over to Texas?
- A. Well, you know, it might be different if somebody had a house 967 feet from the uranium enrichment facility, but I don't think that occurs. I happen to have at home 967 feet where I sit on the back porch in the evening, and I would venture to guess that you probably wouldn't like your home 967 feet from a pumpjack --
 - Q. Well --

1	Α.	so I think that's probably about the how I
2	feel about	it.
3	Q.	I don't agree with you.
4	Α.	Yeah.
5	Q.	I used to handle plutonium with my bare hands
6	every day,	, so here I am, so
7	Α.	Yeah. Well, I get to I'm going to get to
8	smell it	every day.
9	Q.	But Now you bought your property knowing you
10	didn't ow	n the minerals; is that correct?
11	Α.	That's correct.
12	Q.	And this proposed well is not on your land?
13	Α.	That is correct.
14	Q.	Now you're an accountant by trade, Mr. Roberson?
15	А.	Yes.
16	Q.	Do you ever check stocks or anything like that?
17	Α.	Occasionally.
18	Q.	Have you ever checked Quest Resources on NASDAQ?
19	Α.	You know, I checked your website out. I sure
20	did. But	I don't know if I checked the stock quotation.
21	Q.	Okay, are you aware
22	А.	Is it a good buy right now?
23	Q.	Are you
24		(Laughter)
25		THE WITNESS: Huh? Should I invest in it?

(Laughter) 1 (By Mr. Bruce) Any advice --Q. 2 What's the PE ratio? Α. 3 MR. HOCHSTEIN: I'm an insider, I can't --4 THE WITNESS: I know that, you can't say that. 5 Maybe I shouldn't invest either, depending on --6 MR. BROOKS: If everybody talks at once the court 7 reporter --8 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 9 MR. BROOKS: -- is liable to have apoplexy. 10 (By Mr. Bruce) Are you aware it's a \$500-million 11 Q. 12 company that's listed on NASDAQ, Mr. Roberson? Α. No, I was not aware that they are -- \$500 13 million, I don't understand what you mean by \$500 million, 14 if it's \$500 million in assets or \$500 million in equity. 15 Now one thing you said, Mr. Roberson -- this is 16 Q. 17 the final question -- you suggested -- well, maybe the --18 maybe Quest should just move the -- and this is the last question you answered for Mr. Newell -- we should move the 19 well further to the west. But if they did that, wouldn't 20 21 it make the well closer to the elementary school and the nursing home? 22 23 I doubt it. I haven't gone out there and plotted Α. 24 websites -- sites. 25 Q. Just by looking at your Exhibit 9, wouldn't the

1 simple geometry --Well, I guess if you just took a ruler and drew a 2 A. straight line you could do that. But what if you took a 3 ruler and drew a line right over here to this --4 I said move to the west. 5 Q. Yeah. Well, there's different --6 You're talking about moving to the southwest. 7 Q. I'm talking about moving to the west. 8 9 A. Well, was the question west, directly west? don't know. Out there in the pasture away from everybody, 10 how's that? Common sense. 11 MR. BRUCE: That's all I have, Mr. Examiner. 12 13 EXAMINER CATANACH: Do you have any --MR. NEWELL: No, I mean -- well, you all -- I may 14 have some after -- I don't really have any redirect right 15 now. 16 17 **EXAMINATION** BY EXAMINER CATANACH: 18 19 Mr. Roberson, what would you say is the closest Q. well right now to your house? 20 21 Oil well, sir? Α. Oil or gas well. 22 Q. 23 I have no clue because I don't think there's any existing production in a large area. I would guess that --24 25 there is a well in the City of Hobbs down there -- you

know, when I bought that property I just didn't think there was any oil and gas production anywhere in the local area at all, so I can't tell you of -- It would probably have to be further west, probably three or four miles, is my best guess, I would guess, over there close to the racetrack and casino.

On Okay. At your house do you ever smell any oil

- Q. Okay. At your house do you ever smell any oil and gas operations?
 - A. No.

- Q. Never?
- A. No. At my former residence I did all the time, because I lived right in the middle of the oilfield. But right now, no. Now everybody smells something in Hobbs, New Mexico, a little bit. Okay?
 - Q. I used to live there.
- A. Yeah, uh-huh.
 - Q. Is it common for companies to drill in the city limits of Hobbs?
 - A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 20 Q. Are there existing wells in Hobbs?
 - A. There's been some existing wells in Hobbs, there have been a number of wells plugged in Hobbs. But I do not have a personal recollection in the last 20 years -- and I may be mistaken, but I can't recall of a well being drilled in the city limits of Hobbs, directly in the city limits.

There might be one on the outskirts, but I don't -- I can't recall of one.

- Q. And you don't think that there is that many wells in the city limits?
- A. I don't know how many wells there are. There's probably some oil and gas producing wells in the city somewhere, but I don't know.
- Q. Okay. This area that you're talking about appears to be under a lot of development. Is it true that maybe to the west of you you're going to have some future development?
- A. I think to the west and to the southwest there will be some future development at some point. I think it's not as rapid to the east and to -- well, to the east of that property, no, it's not as rapid, but to the west it's going to be slower. I think -- I think all of that area, general area in there, is going to develop, if the price of oil stays strong and we keep attracting uranium enrichment facilities and all those things that we're doing.

EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, I have nothing further.

THE WITNESS: I will also say this. There is -being on the EDC board, we have a prospect of the UNIDAD
project, which is a subdivision that is going to be west
and south of the College Lane Elementary School, which will

be a huge thing. It's an MFA-funded thing, so traffic will 1 increase as well there. It's a big thing. 2 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. 3 **EXAMINATION** 4 BY MR. BROOKS: 5 Do you have an Applicant's Exhibit 3 up there? Q. 6 No, sir, it's not in front of me. 7 Α. Well --Q. 8 MR. NEWELL: I have one here. Do you want me to 9 approach the --10 MR. BROOKS: Yeah, if you could --11 MR. BRUCE: I'll give him ours here. 12 MR. BROOKS: -- give him one to look at, just for 13 the purposes of questions here. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 (By Mr. Brooks) On the other side of -- on the 16 Q. 17 west side of Ja-Rob Lane there's drawn in Mr. Lynch's home, so I take it there's a house over there on -- southwest of 18 19 this location? 20 Directly west of this location, yes, sir. 21 Q. Yeah, directly west, okay. And is that the only house on that area west of Ja-Rob Lane on --22 23 No, there's some -- there's some houses along Α. 24 College Lane. There's one that's been drilled -- I mean --25 "drilled", excuse me.

```
(Laughter)
1
               THE WITNESS: I apologize, I've been drilling all
2
           There's one that's been built west of Mr. Lynch's
 3
     house, but I'm not sure it's as far south, so there's
 4
 5
     some --
                (By Mr. Brooks)
                                 So there's --
 6
          0.
               -- so there's some --
 7
          Α.
               -- development --
 8
          Q.
               -- development, yes, sir, scattered houses
 9
10
     throughout there --
11
               Yeah, they --
          Q.
               -- yes, sir.
12
          Α.
               -- the areal that you introduced into evidence is
13
          Q.
     -- it's old, right?
14
               It's an old areal, yes, sir.
15
          Α.
16
               It doesn't purport to show all the existing --
          Q.
17
          Α.
               That's exactly right.
               Okay. But you don't know exactly what's over
18
          Q.
19
     there?
20
               I have a recollection, yes, sir. I can tell you
21
     that the Appletons built a home over there, because that's
22
     where Todd's house -- he bought the Appletons' house.
     Okay? So they built a home over there, west of Mr. Lynch's
23
24
     property. Okay?
25
               And you said there were some homes up on --
          Q.
```

Yes, all along College Lane is developing out, Α. 1 yes, sir. 2 Okay, thanks. MR. BROOKS: 3 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. 4 I have just a couple of follow-up. MR. NEWELL: 5 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. 6 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. NEWELL: 8 Would you look at the -- Can I approach him with 9 Q. this Exhibit Number 11 --10 11 **EXAMINER CATANACH:** Sure. MR. NEWELL: -- I think it might address some of 12 these questions. 13 (By Mr. Newell) While you're doing -- While 14 Q. 15 you're looking at this, let me ask you this, Mr. Roberson. Now production facilities in the City of Hobbs, there are 16 17 wells you've seen in the City of Hobbs, but they're 18 enclosed and there's high fences and slats in them where they're --19 20 I'm -- There may be a few that I've seen, but I don't just have a real direct recollection of the 21 22 production facilities in Hobbs. I think I've seen one down there by Comet Cleaners that was there with a high fence 23 and that kind of stuff, right. Okay? 24 25 Q. But there are no tank batteries in the City of

Hobbs? 1 No tank batteries. 2 Α. Okay, all the production -- all the storage 3 0. facilities are located outside the city limits? 4 That's correct. 5 Okay. Now looking at Exhibit Number 11, the 6 0. 7 Applicant's Exhibit Number 11, this shows the area in and 8 around the Application's proposed location, correct? 9 Α. Yes. None of these facilities are producing 10 ο. Okav. 11 facilities, correct? Α. That is -- There's no oil and gas infrastructure 12 or production there. 13 14 0. Okay. Is there any way to send the production off via pipeline from this location? 15 16 Well, I don't -- I don't have a clue. I wouldn't 17 guess, unless they can build one somewhere, no. 18 0. Any pipelines there or anything like that? 19 There is, I think, a gas transmission line close, 20 a major gas transmission line, close to this well that -- I think it's a natural gas line that crosses my property. 21 22 would be just south of this location. 23 Now you heard them talk about getting rid of the Q. wastewater, and if they put an injection well in this area 24

would that cause you concern?

1	A. It would cause me grave concern.
2	Q. And that's because of Why?
3	A. Well, because most of these homes are on domestic
4	wells, and I would be very concerned about chloride seeping
5	into the water table and contaminating those wells.
6	MR. NEWELL: Okay, thank you. Pass the witness.
7	EXAMINER CATANACH: Anything further of this
8	witness?
9	MR. NEWELL: No.
10	MR. BRUCE: This witness may be excused.
11	MR. NEWELL: And the Intervenors would rest.
12	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. What do we want to do?
13	MR. BROOKS: That's up to you, if you want to
14	give the counsel an opportunity for summation or invite
15	them to submit briefs
16	MR. NEWELL: And I I would propose that we
17	submit some written summations for two reasons. One is the
18	relative hour, and I would just as soon get to Vaughn or
19	somewhere there close while the sun is still shining on the
20	highways.
21	And second is, there's been a lot of evidence
22	presented here today, and I think if we, you know, had a
23	week or so to submit some written submissions, it may be
24	helpful to the Division. We could pull some of this
25	together and fashion it in a cohesive argument. That would

1	be our preference.
2	EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Bruce?
3	MR. BRUCE: I don't have any problem with Mr.
4	Newell's requests. I don't know if I can get through a
5	closing anyway.
6	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay.
7	MR. NEWELL: Yeah, that's Darn, I should have
8	thought of that.
9	(Laughter)
10	MR. NEWELL: Strategic mistake on my part.
11	MR. BRUCE: I told you, Mike, you were at an
12	advantage.
13	EXAMINER CATANACH: Would you have anything to
14	submit, Mr. Bruce?
15	MR. BRUCE: Well, let me talk with my clients.
16	Possibly, if Mr. Newell's You said you may submit a few
17	things, and
18	MR. NEWELL: Yeah, I would probably just like a
19	you know, like a closing brief, you know, where I said
20	the evidence, and here's how it fits into the Commission
21	Rules.
22	MR. BRUCE: Yeah, that's just a closing
23	argument.
24	MR. BROOKS: Yeah, I think that would probably be
25	helpful to me, because I'll probably be asked to advise the

1	Examiner on the legal aspects of this case, and, you know,
2	anything that counsel could furnish would be helpful.
3	EXAMINER CATANACH: And if you would, just state
4	the position of your clients. I know that some don't want
5	to see the well at all, some ma allow the well with certain
6	restrictions. I mean, it would be helpful if you
7	summarized the positions and made these recommendations
8	with regard to some of the issues.
9	MR. NEWELL: I'd be more than happy to do that.
10	What's the time frame?
11	MR. BRUCE: Yeah, that's just what I was going to
12	ask.
13	MR. NEWELL: When do you all want it?
14	EXAMINER CATANACH: I don't know, two weeks? Two
15	weeks, pretty standard.
16	Okay, is there anything further?
17	There being nothing further, Case Number 13,870
18	will be taken under advisement, and this hearing is
19	adjourned.
20	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
21	3:38 p.m.)
22	ido hereby certify that the foregoing is * a complete the proceedings in
23	the Examiner occurring of Case No./3870. heard by me on february 15, 3007.
24	Land Katamb, Examiner
25	Oil Conservation Division

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL February 20th, 2007.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

Dune

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2010