

LAW OFFICES

Ranne B. Miller Alice T. Lorenz Stephen M. Williams Stephan M. Vidmar Seth V. Bingham James B. Collins Timothy R. Briggs Rudolph Lucero Deborah A. Solove Gary L. Gordon Lawrence R. White Virginia Anderman Marte D. Lightstone J. Scott Hall Thomas R. Mack

Thomas M. Domme Ruth O. Pregenzer James J. Widland Bradley D. Tepper Robin A. Goble James R. Wood Dana M. Kyle Kirk R. Allen Ruth Fuess H. Brook Laskey Paula G. Maynes M. Dylan O'Reilly Jennifer D. Hall Todd A. Schwarz Nell Graham Sale Scott P. Hatcher Ann M. Conway Randall J. McDonald Robert H. Clark Richard L. Alvidrez Jennifer L. Stone Kelsey D. Green Marcy Baysinger Caroline Blankenship Matthew S. Rappaport Karen E. Wootton Nicole V. Strauser Kelly A. Stone Deron B. Knoner Charlotte Lamont T. Aaron Garrett Rebecca M. Alves Alisa R. Wigley-DeLara W. Scott Jaworski Anita M. Kelley Elizabeth B. Driggers Jerome A. O'Connell Christopher J. Tebo Brian J. Haverly Erin Wideman

Of Counsel William K. Stratvert Sharon P. Gross Robert D. Taichert Reply to Santa Fe

150 Washington Ave., Suite 300 Santa Fe, NM 87501

Mailing Address: P.O. Box 1986 Santa Fe, NM 87504-1986

Telephone: (505) 989-9614 Facsimile: (505) 989-9857



October 8, 2007

Mark Fesmire, Chairman New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 Hand-Delivered

Re: Case No. 13492 De Novo; In The Matter Of The Application Of Samson Resources Company, Kaiser-Francis Oil Company And Mewbourne Oil Company For Cancellation Of A Drilling Permit And Approval Of A Drilling Permit, Lea County, New Mexico; and

Case No. 13493 De Novo; Application of Chesapeake Permian, L.P. for Compulsory Pooling, Lea County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Fesmire:

Enclosed for the Commission's consideration at the October 11, 2007 Commission meeting are the original and six copies of a proposed final order in the above-referenced consolidated cases. A copy on disk is also enclosed.

This proposed order references the final settlement of all issues among the parties, Chesapeake Operating, Inc., Chesapeake Permian, LLP, Mewbourne Oil Company, Samson Resources Company and Kaiser-Francis Oil Company. Counsel for each of the parties have authorized me to represent to the Commission that all parties have agreed to

Mark Fesmire October 8, 2007 Page 2

this form of order.

Thank you.

Very truly yours,

1. Swirlall

J. Scott Hall

JSH/kfh

Enclosures cc: Cheryl Bada, Esq., NMOCC

W. Thomas Kellahin, Esq., Earl Debrine, Esq., Attorneys for Chesapeake Operating, Inc. and Chesapeake Permian, LLP James Bruce, Esq., Attorney for Mewbourne Oil Company

J. E. Gallegos, Attorney for Samson Resources Company

Mark Lauer, Esq., Samson Resources Company

P:\Clients\11375\35019\Correspondence\Order Transmittal^1003071.doc

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF SAMSON RESOURCES COMPANY, KAISER-FRANCIS OIL COMPANY AND MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY FOR CANCELLATION OF TWO DRILLING PERMITS AND APPROVAL OF A DRILLING PERMIT, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO CASE NO. 13492-De Novo

APPLICATION OF CHESAPEAKE OPERATING, INC. FOR COMPULSORY POOLING LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

CASE NO. 13493-De Novo

ORDER NO. R-12343-H

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

This matter came before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission ("Commission") on October 11, 2007, for rehearing in Santa Fe, New Mexico and the agreement of the parties concerning the resolution of the issues raised by such rehearing. The parties to this proceeding are Chesapeake Operating, Inc., Chesapeake Permian, LLP ("Chesapeake") Mewbourne Oil Company ("Mewbourne"), Samson Resources Company ("Samson") and Kaiser-Francis Oil Company ("Kaiser")

A.. NATURE OF THE REHEARING:

- (1) The proceeding before the Commission in these combined cases involved:
 - (a) an application by Chesapeake to force pool acreage in the bottom third of irregular Section 4, T. 21S, R. 35E, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico to form a lay-down proration unit for the KF "4" State No. 1 well ("KF-4") comprised of (1) the southeast quarter (geographically, the east half of the south one-third), and (2) the southwest quarter (geographically, the west half of the south one-third); and

- (b) an application by Mewbourne seeking to cancel Chesapeake's APD for the KF-4 well, to approve a stand-up proration unit for the well comprised of (1) the southeast quarter as described above, and (2) lots 9, 10, 15 and 16, being the quarter section immediately north of the southeast quarter and also referred to as "the east half of the middle one-third" of irregular Section 4 and to designate Mewbourne as operator of the well.
- (2) On March 16, 2007, the Commission entered Order R-12343-E establishing a 640-acre spacing unit for the KF-4 well consisting of the southern 2/3 of irregular Section 4, and designating Samson as operator of the well.
- (3) On April 5, 2007 Chesapeake filed an Application for Rehearing which the Commission granted by Order R-12343-F, dated April 11, 2007, "to take evidence and testimony on (1) why the Oil Conservation Commission should or should not uphold its establishment of a 640-acre proration unit in the southern two thirds of Section 4, Township 21 South, Range 35 East, NMPM, and (2) which entity should be the operator of the KF-4 Well and any future wells in the proration unit."

B. JURISDICTION

(1) The Commission has jurisdiction over this proceeding pursuant to Oil & Gas Act, NMSA 1978 §§70-2-1 to 70-2-38.

C. SETTLEMENT

- (1) The parties have advised the Commission that they have reached a settlement of all issues presented in these cases which includes acceptance of the Commission's establishment of the 640-acre spacing unit for the KF-4 well as set forth in Order R-12343-E, and have entered into a joint operating agreement which establishes the respective division of interests in the unit, designation of operator for the KF-4 well and future wells drilled in the unit and the allocation of past and future costs for drilling and producing the KF-4 well and for drilling and producing future wells.
- (2) In addition, the parties have advised the Commission that this settlement resolves the well costs dispute concerning the K-F State 4-1 well now pending before the Division so that it is clear that past costs and the amounts of credit/reallocations are fixed and agreed to and not subject to further objections.
- (3) In order to implement this settlement, the parties request that the Commission vacate the October 11, 2007 rehearing and all deadlines associated with such rehearing and modify Order R-12343-E to delete decretal Paragraphs 4 through 17 of such Order.

D. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

- (1) All provisions of Order R-12343-E which conflict with the settlement agreement and joint operating agreement among the parties are superseded by the applicable terms of such settlement agreement and joint operating agreement.
- (2) The rehearing set for October 11, 2007 and all associated deadlines are hereby vacated and the Commission's Order R-12343-E entered March 16, 2007 is hereby modified to delete decretal paragraphs 4 through 17 of such order.
- (3) The Commission retains jurisdiction of this matter for the entry of such further orders as may be necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico this day of October 2007

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

JAMI BAILEY, C.P.G., MEMBER

WILLIAM OLSON, MEMBER

MARK E. FESMIRE, P. E., CHAIR