	1
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
3	
4	APPLICATION OF NEWKUMET EXPLORATION, INCORPORATED, FOR A NONSTANDARD OIL SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT
5	AND COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO
6	CASE NO. 14095
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	第 17 22 C
12	Docket No. 7-08 March 6, 2008 1220 South St. Francis Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505
13	1220 South St. Francis Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	BEFORE: DAVID K. BROOKS, Hearing Examiner
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## 1 <u>APPEARANCES</u> 2 FOR THE APPLICANT: JAMES BRUCE 3 Attorney at Law 4 Post Office Box 1056 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-1056 5 FOR R & R ROYALTIES, LTD: 6 7 HOLLAND & HART, LLP Attorneys at Law 8 Post Office Box 2208 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208 BY: OCEAN MUNDS-DRY 9 10 11 I N D E X PAGE KIRK E. SMITH 12 Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce 3 13 Cross-Examination by Ms. Munds-Dry 11 14 RANDALL CATE 15 Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce 21 16 Cross-Examination by Ms. Munds-Dry 27 17 Court Reporter's Certificate 31 18 EXHIBITS Applicant's Exhibit No. Admitted 19 20 1. Land Plat 11 Working Interest Owners 2. 11 Letters with AFEs 21 11 Authority for Expenditure 11 Affidavit of Notice 22 5. 11 6. Affidavit of Notice 11 23 7. Production Data Map 27 8. Stratigraphic Cross-Section Map 27 9. Structure Map 27 24 Isopach 10. 27 25 Drilling Plan C-102 11. 27

1	EXAMINER BROOKS: I will call Case Number
2	14095, Application of Newkumet Exploration, Inc. for a
3	nonstandard oil spacing and proration unit and compulsory
4	pooling, Lea County, New Mexico. Call for appearances.
5	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of Santa Fe
6	representing the applicant. I have two witnesses.
7	MS. MUNDS-DRY: Mr. Examiner, Ocean Munds-Dry
8	with the law firm of Holland & Hart representing R & R
9	Royalties, Limited, this morning. I have no witnesses.
10	EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good. Will the
11	witnesses please stand and identify themselves?
12	MR. SMITH: I am Kirk E. Smith.
13	MR. CATE: I am Randall S. Cate.
14	EXAMINER BROOKS: Please swear in the
15	witnesses.
16	(Note: The witnesses were duly sworn.)
17	KIRK E. SMITH
18	After having been previously duly sworn under oath,
19	was questioned and testified as follows:
20	EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. BRUCE:
22	Q. Would you please state your name and city of
23	residence?
24	A. My name is Kirk, initial E, Smith. I am from
25	Midland, Texas.

- Q. Who do you work for -- first of all, what is your profession?
  - A. I am a petroleum landman.
- Q. And what is your relationship to the applicant in this case?
- A. I am an independent contractor working on behalf of Newkumet Exploration.
  - Q. Have you previously testified before the division?
  - A. I have not.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

- Q. Would you please summarize your educational and employment background for the examiner.
- A. Yes. I have a high school education. I have 30
  years of petroleum land experience, and I am a registered
  professional landman under the criteria of the APL, American
  Association of Petroleum Landmen.
  - Q. Have you testified before other state regulatory bodies?
- 18 A. No, I have not.
- Q. And you were the landman responsible for putting together this prospect for the applicant?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Are you familiar with the land matters involved in this application?
- 24 A. Yes.
- MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I tender Mr. Smith as

an expert petroleum landman.

2.3

EXAMINER BROOKS: He is so qualified.

- Q. (By Mr. Bruce) Would you identify Exhibit 1 and describe briefly what Newkumet Exploration seeks in this case?
- A. Exhibit 1 is a land plat which covers <u>Section 3</u>,

  Township 26 South, Range 33 East of the New Mexico Primary

  Meridian. Newkumet Exploration seeks to drill the Red Bull 3

  Fed. Com. Well No. 1H.

This well is a Bone Springs horizontal well from an orthodox surface location, 330 feet from the north line and 330 feet from the east line to a terminus 990 feet from the north line and 330 feet from the west line.

Newkumet Exploration requests an order approving a nonstandard 160-acre unit in the Bone Spring formation comprised of the N/2 of the N/2 of Section 3, Township 26 South, Range 33 East, and pooling all mineral interests in the nonstandard unit.

- Q. And Mr. Smith, this plat is a few months out of date. There is a bunch of new leases on here, are there not, of new operators?
- A. That is correct. There are new oil and gas leases that are not reflected by the land plat, as well as the -- a number of the offset working interest owners or operators have changed names.
  - Q. And why don't we run through some of these now.

MR. BRUCE: First of all, Mr. Examiner, I wouldn't know it, but this is a wildcat Bone Spring, and therefore, I don't have a pool name for you.

- Q. (By Mr. Bruce) Let's start up to the north in Section 35. We've got Newkumet circled in a couple of tracts. Those are listed under Energen and Concho. Those are actually Newkumet Exploration leases, are they not?
- A. That's correct. In Section 35, in the southwest quarter, the south half northwest, the west half southeast is a federal oil and gas lease issued to Newkumet Exploration at the October 2007 lease sale.

In Section 34, the east half of the southeast is also a part of that USA oil and gas lease. In Section 34 of the northeast quarter, the west half of the southeast contains numerous fee oil and gas leases wherein Newkumet Exploration is now the lessee. And there is no other changes in 34.

- Q. Well, actually in the east half southwest corner, that is part of that federal --
- A. Yes, correction. East half southwest is also part of the Newkumet USA oil and gas lease issued in October of '07.
- Q. And then in the rest of the west half of Section 34, is the operator BTA?
- 23 A. That's correct.
  - Q. As to the Bone Spring depths at least?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And then over to the west and southwest, you have the Red Hills Unit. Who is the operator of that unit?
- A. Currently, Cimarex Energy is the operator of the Red Hills Unit in Section 33 of 25, 33 and in Section 4 of 26 South, 33 East.
- Q. Now, when you go over -- moving east again in Section 3, you've got the units surrounded. There is a federal lease that is owned by R & R Royalty in a good chunk of Section 3. What does that lease cover?
- A. That lease covers the east half of the northeast, the northwest quarter, and the east half of the southwest quarter of 26 South, 33 East.
- Q. And then the fee leases that you mentioned up in Section 34 in the northeast quarter and the west half southeast, that continues down into the west half northeast quarter of Section 3, does it not?
- 17 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

21

22

23

- Q. It is all a single tract?
- 19 A. That's correct. Common ownership.
- 20 Q. And then who is the lessee over to the east?
  - A. The lessee to the east in Section 2 of 26 South, 33 East, the current lessee is EOG Resources of Midland, Texas.
  - Q. And I think there is a couple of state leases in that section, and EOG owns both of them, does it not?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. What does Exhibit 2 reflect?
- A. Exhibit 2 reflects the working interest owners in the N/2 of the N/2 of Section 3, 26 South, 33 East.
  - Q. And who do you seek to pool in this case?
- 5 A. R & R Royalty, Limited.
  - Q. Now, there is a Stephen Susman, executor of the estate of Helen Susman, listed as unleased minerals. You do not seek to force pool the executor, do you?
  - A. No.

4

6

7

8

- Q. You understand that they will be executing a lease in Newkumet's favor?
- 12 A. Yes, we are -- that is pending.
- Q. Were proposals letters sent to R & R Royalty regarding this proposed well?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 0. Is that reflected in Exhibit 3?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- Q. And proposal letter -- two letters were sent with AFEs, were they not?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Have you or personnel employed by Newkumet
  Exploration or Mr. Cate, our other witness, have you had
  telephone discussions with Mr. Ahujah of R & R Royalty
  regarding this prospect?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Were you trying to obtain a farm out from him?
- A. Yes, trying to sign a farm out.
- Q. A term assignment of the lease, but you could not reach satisfactory terms?
- A. Correct.

2

3

4

5

8

9

- Q. And you have had a couple of telephone conversations,
  I understand?
  - A. Numerous conversations.
  - Q. Starting approximately when, Mr. Smith?
- 10 A. Approximately, January the 2nd or January the 3rd of 11 2008.
- Q. And, again, you just haven't been able to reach satisfactory terms?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. In your opinion, has Newkumet made a good faith
  effort to obtain the voluntary joinder of R & R in this well?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. What is Exhibit 4?
- A. Exhibit 4 is an authority for expenditure for the referenced well, the Red Bull 3 Fed Com No. 1H. This AFE reflects a 12,200 foot Bone Springs test with a dry haul cost of approximately \$2.4 million and a completed well cost of \$4.265 million.
- Q. And are these costs in line with the costs of other wells drilled to this depth -- of other horizontal wells

- 1 drilled to this depth in this area of New Mexico?
- 2 A. Yes.

7

8

9

- Q. Who does Newkumet request be named the operator of the well?
- 5 A. RSC Resources, LP.
  - Q. And they are a registered operator with the Oil and Conservation Division, are they not?
    - A. Correct.
    - Q. Do you request the maximum costs plus 200 percent risk charge if R & R does not consent in this well?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. What overhead rates do you propose?
- A. We request a drilling rate of \$7,500 per month and a producing rate of \$750 per month.
- Q. And are these rates equivalent to what is charged by operators in this area for wells of this depth?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And do you request that these rates be adjusted under the COPUS accounting procedure?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Was R & R notified of this application?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And is that reflected in the notice affidavit marked
- 24 | Exhibit 5?
- 25 A. Yes.

1	Q. And were the offset operators also notified of this
2	application?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. And is that reflected in Exhibit 6?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. In your opinion, is the granting of this application
7	in the interests of conservation and the prevention of waste?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And were Exhibits 1 through 6 prepared by you or
10	under your supervision or compiled from Newkumet's business
11	records?
12	A. They were, yes.
13	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I move the admissions
14	of Exhibits 1 through 6.
15	EXAMINER BROOKS: Any objections?
16	MS. MUNDS-DRY: No objection.
17	EXAMINER BROOKS: 1 through 6 are admitted.
18	(Applicant's Exhibits 1 through 6 admitted into evidence.)
19	EXAMINER BROOKS: Pass the witness?
20	MR. BRUCE: I have no further questions.
21	MS. MUNDS-DRY: I just have a few questions,
22	Mr. Smith.
23	EXAMINATION
24	BY MS. MUNDS-DRY:
25	Q. What is the percentage interest, working interest

ownership of RSC or Newkumet?

- A. With respect to Exhibit 2?
- Q. Yes. And really, I'm just asking really within the nonstandard spacing unit that you're proposing today. What is the working interest ownership? Do you know?
- A. Well, if you will refer to Exhibit 2, column 5, there is a heading there that reads, "Unit WI."
- Q. And on a percentage, that is about below the 25 percent?
- A. .22134 percent currently is the unit working interest of Newkumet Exploration, which does not reflect the joinder of Stephen D. Susman, Executor.
- Q. And total, what is the working interest ownership for R & R?
- A. I'm sorry, counselor, it would be the reciprocal of one minus Newkumet minus Susman.
  - Q. You just have to help me with math. I'm --
- 18 A. Okay. Well, it's 75 plus .027289063.
  - Q. Okay. Thank you. And you said you had numerous conversations with the folks at R & R. Was it mainly with Mr. Ahujah?
    - A. The question from Mr. Bruce was did Newkumet and/or myself have conversations with R & R, and Mr. Newkumet had numerous conversations with R & R. We have a number of e-mails between R & R Royalties and Newkumet Exploration that

13 reflect the product of those conversations. Numerous e-mails. 1 2 Ο. So the conversations were mostly by e-mail? No, the conversations were verbal and were evidenced 3 Α. by e-mail correspondence trying to make a trade. 4 I want to make sure I understand that. Okav. 5 0. most of the conversations were between Mr. Newkumet and 6 7 Mr. Ahujah? 8 That's correct, as well as the additional representative of Newkumet Exploration, which is Randall S. 9 10 There was conversations by Mr. Cate as well. Cate. Mr. Smith, did you have any conversations with --11 Ο. 12 Α. I had a conversation with R. David Ahujah at the BLM in December, but it was very brief in nature. Just, we'll 13 14 talk and we will make a deal, so there was no substance in 15 that conversation. 16 Q. That was at the time they had just purchased their --

A. This was actually at a subsequent sale.

17

18

19

20

- Q. Okay. And so what is your understanding of where the negotiations are at this point?
- A. My understanding is that the parties have failed to reach an agreement.
- MS. MUNDS-DRY: That's all the questions I have. Thank you.
- EXAMINER BROOKS: You went over the adjoining
  ownership rather quickly, so I need to be sure I understand

- it. First of all, Mr. Bruce indicated that this is a wildcat
  Bone Springs, so I assume there are no adjacent units that
  have wells completed in the Bone Spring at this time; is that
  correct?

  A. I will defer that question to an additional expert
  witness, if I may.

  EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. Now, going around this
  - EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. Now, going around this proposed unit, I understand that Newkumet has under lease or has recently procured a federal lease that covers in Section 35 the southwest quarter among other acreage?
- 11 A. That's correct.

9

- EXAMINER BROOKS: And in Section 34, the west half of the southwest; is that correct?
- A. Specifically, the federal lease covers in Section 34, 25, 33, the east half of the southeast, and the east half of the southwest. That is a common lease with the southwest quarter.
- EXAMINER BROOKS: So it covers the east half of the southeast?
- 21 A. Correct.
- EXAMINER BROOKS: That is marked Concho on this
  map?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- EXAMINER BROOKS: That is Exhibit 1?

Α. Yes. 1 EXAMINER BROOKS: So that is actually now --2 Newkumet owns that lease? 3 Α. Yes. 4 EXAMINER BROOKS: Now, Mr. Bruce has written in 5 Newkumet in regard to this area that includes the west half of 6 7 the southeast. Is Newkumet also lessee on the west half of the southeast of 34? 8 Α. Yes. 9 EXAMINER BROOKS: Now, is that a federal lease, 10 or is that --11 No, sir, it is a fee lease, and I would refer you to 12 Exhibit 2. Mr. Newkumet owns currently an 88.53 percent 13 leasehold estate in the west half of the southeast of 34 and 14 15 the northeast of 34. 16 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, the other lessee in 17 that entire tract is R & R Royalty, unleased mineral owner 18 actually. 19 EXAMINER BROOKS: Newkumet and R & R 20 collectively have the entire working interest in the west half of the southeast? 21 22 Α. Correct. 23 EXAMINER BROOKS: Of 34? 24 Α. Yes, sir. 25 EXAMINER BROOKS: Now, BTA operates this lease

that includes the west half of the south -- the west half of the southwest of 34?

A. Yes.

EXAMINER BROOKS: And do you have the ownership on that or just BTA is the operator?

A. No, sir, I did not prepare a working interest exhibit associated with Section 34.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I could provide you with a portion of the title opinion. BTA is the -- it's a federal lease. BTA is the 100 percent operating rights owner of record.

EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good. Thank you,

Mr. Bruce. Then you move on over to the Red Hills Unit, and I

take it the -- there appears to be a dividing line on this map

between the west half and the east half of the east half of

Section 33. And I take it that the east half, because it's

written across there, I'm assuming then that the east half of

the east half of Section 33 is also part of the Red Hills

Unit?

A. It is.

EXAMINER BROOKS: And you said that Cimarex now is the operator of that unit?

A. Yes.

EXAMINER BROOKS: In what formation is that unit?

1	MR. BRUCE: It is not depth restricted.
2	EXAMINER BROOKS: It is a federal unit?
3	MR. BRUCE: It is a federal unit, correct.
4	EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay.
5	MR. BRUCE: It was an exploratory unit.
6	EXAMINER BROOKS: Yeah. Then you move down to
7	Section 4, and I didn't get at all what you said about the
8	ownership in Section 4.
9	A. Section 4 is a part of that same exploratory unit
10	with Cimarex as the operator.
11	EXAMINER BROOKS: So all of Section 4 is in the
12	Red Hills Unit?
13	A. Correct.
14	EXAMINER BROOKS: And then you move into
15	Section 3, the south half of the northwest, R & R is the lease
16	owner?
17	A. The south half of the northwest, R & R is the lease
18	owner of the federal oil and gas lease.
19	EXAMINER BROOKS: Now, is that 100 percent
20	ownership?
21	A. Yes.
22	EXAMINER BROOKS: And similarly R & R is the
23	lease owner in the southwest of the northeast of Section 3?
24	A. Southwest of the northeast?
25	EXAMINER BROOKS: Yeah.

1	A. No. That is, again, a fee mineral tract, and
2	currently
3	EXAMINER BROOKS: Oh, okay. I'm sorry. I
4	misspoke. I was speaking of the southeast of the northeast.
5	A. In the southeast of the northeast, R & R is the 100
6	percent leasehold owner of the federal oil and gas lease.
7	EXAMINER BROOKS: Now, go back to the southwest
8	of the northeast of Section 3.
9	A. The southwest, northeast of Section 3 is common
10	ownership to the fee lands in 34, and in the southwest of the
11	northeast, Newkumet Exploration currently owns 88.539 percent
12	of the leasehold estate.
13	EXAMINER BROOKS: So the southwest of the
14	northeast is common ownership with the northwest of the
15	northeast?
16	A. Correct.
17	EXAMINER BROOKS: And does R & R own the rest
18	of that that Newkumet doesn't own or
19	A. Yes. R & R owns 34.933 or 10.915 percent of the
20	minerals in the west half of the northeast.
21	EXAMINER BROOKS: But they actually own a
22	smaller interest than that, than they do in the unit? Than
23	they do in the proposed unit?
24	MR. BRUCE: That's correct, Mr. Examiner,
25	because they own the other federal acreage within the well

unit. That is correct. 1 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. Then we move over to 2 Section 2, and what is the ownership of the north half of 3 4 Section 2? That is EOG Resources, Incorporated. It's a state Α. 5 6 owned gas lease. EXAMINER BROOKS: Are they the sole owner of 7 the operating rights in that lease? 8 Yes. 9 Α. MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, that lease number is 10 11 V-6842-1. That was cut off when the --EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. Very good. 12 So then, Mr. Bruce, you have given notice to BTA, Cimarex, and EOG? 13 14 MR. BRUCE: That is correct. And I think I also put on there Magnum Hunter, which is a lessee over in the 15 -- I think, the lessee over in the Red Hills Unit. It's a 16 sister company of Cimarex. 17 Right. 18 EXAMINER BROOKS: 19 MR. BRUCE: And so I notified -- gave notice to 20 them also. 21 EXAMINER BROOKS: Yeah, I knew there was some 22 relationship between them, but I didn't know what that 23 relationship was. And then the owners within the unit are

only Newkumet, R & R, and Steve Susman?

24

25

Α.

Yes.

1	EXAMINER BROOKS: And is that Steve Susman, the
2	products liability lawyer?
3	MR. BRUCE: I believe it is.
4	EXAMINER BROOKS: Too bad he couldn't make it
5	down here.
6	MR. BRUCE: I think he had more profitable
7	things to do.
8	EXAMINER BROOKS: I suspect he probably does.
9	A. He has been very slow to finalize our trade.
10	EXAMINER BROOKS: Well, he is a very busy man,
11	I'm sure.
12	A. He transferred the responsibilities to his brother,
13	so.
14	MR. BRUCE: I figured that out when I saw the
15	Houston address when I was looking at the records.
16	EXAMINER BROOKS: Well, there have been times
17	in the past when he appeared before me, so I remember him
18	well. You're seeking this unitization only, or compulsory
19	pooling only in the Bone Spring?
20	MR. BRUCE: Yes.
21	EXAMINER BROOKS: I think that is all the
22	questions I have.
23	MR. BRUCE: Then I would call Mr. Cate to the
24	stand.
25	RANDALL CATE

After having been previously duly sworn under oath, 1 was questioned and testified as follows: 2 EXAMINATION 3 4 BY MR. BRUCE: Would you please state your name for the record? 5 Q. My name is Randall Cate. Α. 6 7 0. And where do you reside? I reside in Midland, Texas. 8 Α. By trade, what are you? 9 Q. Α. I am a petroleum engineer. 10 Are you the owner of RSC Resources, LP, the operator 11 Q. of the proposed well? 12 Yes, I am. 13 Α. Have you previously testified before the division as 14 Q. a petroleum engineer? 15 Α. Yes, I have. 16 17 0. And were your credentials as an expert accepted as a matter of record? 18 19 Α. Yes. And are you familiar with the technical matters 20 involved in this case? 21 22 Α. Yes. MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I tender Mr. Cate as 23 an expert petroleum engineer. 24

So qualified.

EXAMINER BROOKS:

Q. (By Mr. Bruce) Mr. Cate, could you identify Exhibit 7 for the examiner and describe the permit zone in your proposed well a little bit?

2.4

A. The Exhibit 7 is a production data map of the area around our Red Bull 3 Fed Com Number 1 proposed horizontal well. The key field up to the north and the east -- well, you see the yellow acreage dedication here in the center of the exhibit, and that is the acreage that we're talking about. And then the red outline of the north half of the north half of Section 3, this is our location.

And to answer your question, Mr. Examiner, about -yes, this is undedicated. There is no established production
except in the Bone Spring. The nearest is three miles to the
north and east up in the Red Hills Bone Spring Unit, which I
think is called North Red Hills actually, RHNU, is a Bone
Spring field. I was the engineer on that field with EOG
Resources for -- well, since its inception in 1992. I left
EOG Resources four years ago, and I started my own company.

- Q. So this is a wildcat Bone Springs?
- A. It is a wildcat. The nearest Bone Spring production from this is four miles away, yes. No tests within four miles. The green numbers are the Bone Spring cumulative production on the top and MBO and MMCF. And then on the bottom is the current daily rate.

To the west, our acreage, the red numbers there are

the Wolfcamp. And that is gas zoned, and that is mostly in that Red Hills Unit operated by Cimarex. They haven't -there is no Bone Spring tests in there either. There is far to the -- about six miles to the east, due east, there is some marginal Bone Spring production. That well in Section 3 of 20 -- let's see, that would be 26, 34 over there. That's a Yates well. It is operated by Yates. So that is the nearest production.

2.2

Again, I was the engineer in charge on this Red Hills, the full development of that field for EOG and was instrumental in getting the horizontal program drawing up there, and that is pretty much the basis of this prospect here.

- Q. So the -- up in the northeast Red Hills Unit, those laterals, they are not reflecting wells directionally drilled? They are actually horizontal wells up in that unit?
- A. That's right. When the field was initially developed in the early '90s, it was all vertical. And then around 1999 to 2000, we began an in-field horizontal program, and those laterals are reflected on that map.
- Q. Would you then move on to your Exhibit 8 and discuss the Bone Spring zone in a little more detail.
- A. This Exhibit 8 is a two well cross-section B to B prime, and it is designated on Exhibit 7. There is a -- the well to the left is a Pioneer -- was drilled by Pioneer

Natural Resources. It is called the Chambers 35, and it is approximately three-quarters of a mile -- well, half a mile to three-quarters due northeast. That is the nearest Bone Spring penetration to our Red Bull 3 horizontal proposed well.

Q. Did that well produce from the Bone Spring?

A. No, it did not produce. They abandoned it as DNA, and that was back in 1997, I believe, when they drilled that. And then the well to the right on B prime, I chose an edge well up in the Red Hills North Unit that has kind of similar characteristics of pay and water saturation calculations. And what it shows if you notice -- and that well, let me identify it first, is the EOG Resources 901, that unit number is 901.

It was originally a reentry of an old abandoned well also, and the significance of this, the reason I chose this well, Mr. Examiner, is it was completed as a vertical well first. And on the curve, the production curve below the type, below the log, you can see for about, oh, approximately 15 years, the well has produced as a vertical well around ten to 15 barrels of oil a day. And then the well was subsequently kicked out of that casing and completed as a horizontal well, and the production increased about threefold.

And so that is the basis. What we found in doing the drawing of the horizontal laterals in this field was it was a much more efficient way to produce this reservoir. The porosities were around 12 percent. The permeabilities were

around half a millidarcy, so it is a very tight reservoir. So we found that the horizontal technology is the best way to drill this reservoir, and that is why we have proposed the wells we have.

- Q. Why don't you move on to your Exhibit 9. What does that show?
- A. Exhibit 9 is a structure map. On top of the Red Hills Bone -- 3rd Bone Spring Sand, it's designated on that cross-section that we were just looking at, but there is no faulting or anything that shows. It is basically a -- what I would call a reentrant area. We're basically on structural trend with the Red Hills North Unit, but there is nothing else that is really that significant.
  - Q. Okay. How about Exhibit 10, what is that?
- A. Exhibit 10 is an isopach that I generated of net porosity greater than 12 percent. It's a cross-plot porosity off the logs, and I chose 12 percent as a pay quality indicator. Wells up in the field that have less than 12 percent porosity are typically marginal. Almost every well --- I think virtually every Bone test out here will produce some oil, but the wells with better than 12 percent porosity are the ones that are considered commercial.

We have very little well control other than the Chambers 35 Well that was on the B to B prime, and therefore, my contour that is shown down in this -- around our prospect

and around our Red Bull 3, that is a ten-foot contour. And within this area, if you go to the west of our -- of that Chambers Well, there are wells up in the Red Hills Unit that have -- ranging between 11 sand, 28 feet at the most. And what I have chosen to show here is that I do believe that the sands will be present out there in the direction that we are drilling from east to west.

The sands basically are ubiquitous in this area. It believe we will encounter sands. The true risk is do they develop the porosity that we need, and do they develop any thickness, and that is of course what we're hoping for.

- Q. And that Chambers Well in Section 35, which is starting out about half a mile from your well, at least it does have some thickness comparable to some of the wells drilled in the North Red Hills Unit, correct?
- A. That's correct. That's correct. And the red -- the water saturations calculate 50 percent and above, so there is a concern for water production, but -- and that is one of the reasons the Red Bull 3 location will be up structure or at least it is mapped to be up structure from the Chambers, and hopefully will result in a better oil cut.
  - Q. And finally what does Exhibit 11 reflect?
- A. That is our -- Exhibit 11 is our drilling plan,
  C-102. It is filed -- it's not actually filed yet. I am
  waiting on the surveyor to get the C-102 in actually.

- Q. And the location will be orthodox within the project area/nonstandard unit?
- A. That's correct. We anticipate all of the wellbore will be within the producing -- it will be orthodox within the producing area.
- Q. And you believe that based on what you see now, the Bone Spring interval will be productive in each of those 40 acres within the 160-acre nonstandard unit?
  - A. Yes, I do.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. Were Exhibits 7 through 11 prepared by you or under your supervision or compiled from company business records?
- A. Yes, they are or were.
- Q. And in your opinion, will the granting of this application be in the interests of conservation and the prevention of waste?
  - A. Yes.
- MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I move the admission of Exhibits 7 through 11.
- MS. MUNDS-DRY: No objection.
- EXAMINER BROOKS: 7 through 11 are admitted.
- 21 (Applicant's Exhibits 7 through 11 admitted into evidence.)
- MR. BRUCE: Pass the witness.
- 23 EXAMINATION
- 24 | BY MS. MUNDS-DRY:
  - Q. Mr. Cate, I realize you're only pooling from the Bone

Spring here, but do you see any secondary targets for this well?

- A. No, not really. There has been some Delaware tests right in the immediate vicinity that were dry, and this is basically going to be a one-shot deal in the Bone Spring.
- Q. And Mr. Smith mentioned that you had some contacts with R & R. Would you elaborate on what your contacts were with -- was it Mr. Ahujah?
- A. Both, yes, Mr. Ahujah and his son, Rajian David, or R. David. Initially, after the well proposal with the AFE in early January, I think the date on the AFE was, what, January 6, but in that vicinity, and after a week or so, I called and left messages with both Mr. Ahujah and R. David, but I did not receive any return call at that time.

In the federal sale of January -- I think it was the 16th or 17th, I can't remember --

Q. Somewhere in there?

A. -- a federal sale, I actually made it a point to go find them, and they were in the break room at the federal sale, and I initiated a conversation to encourage them to contact either myself or Mr. Newkumet, and they assured me that -- well, Mr. Ahujah assured me that they would -- they wanted to farm out. They did not want to, in his words, they did not want to join. As far as negotiations, I have not had negotiations. Mr. Newkumet, that is his responsibility.

I have been involved in running some economics based on the proposals between the two, and frankly, it is very far apart for a wildcat, so that's all I have to say.

MS. MUNDS-DRY: Okay. Thank you very much. That's all the questions I have.

EXAMINER BROOKS: You must be rather sanguine about this prospect, wanting to drill it for \$4 million with only 22 percent interest?

## A. Say that again.

EXAMINER BROOKS: You must be fairly sanguine about this prospect, to want to drill it for \$4 million with only 22 percent interest even with the 200 percent penalty?

A. Well, we would prefer to have their joinder. They have acreage to the south and we have acreage to the north, and I think it benefits both of us, so we have pursued their joinder. Frankly, on a -- if you look at risk economics, of course, and this is a wildcat, it can't stand huge promotes. But, yes, it seems to be the best approach that we have is to -- even at that 3 to 1 risk or the 200 percent penalty, to go with this well.

MR. BRUCE: Nothing further, Mr. Examiner.

EXAMINER BROOKS: Ms. Munds-Dry, is your client

25 | taking a position on this unit?

MS. MUNDS-DRY: No. I think they are still 1 2 interested in trying to reach an agreement, and they understand that they have some time after the order as well. 3 EXAMINER BROOKS: So they don't oppose the 4 5 creation of the NSP? MS. MUNDS-DRY: No, they do not. 6 7 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. Very good. is nothing further, then Case Number 14095 will be taken under 8 9 advisement. 10 11 12 13 I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in 14 the Examiner hearing of Case No. heard by me on\_\_\_ 15 16 Oil Conservation Division Examiner 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, CONNIE JURADO, do hereby certify that I reported the foregoing case in stenographic shorthand and transcribed, or had the same transcribed under my supervision and direction, the foregoing matter and that the same is a true and correct record of the proceedings had at the time and place.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by nor related to any of the parties or attorneys in this case, and that I have no interest whatsoever in the final disposition of this case in any court.

WITNESS MY HAND this 6th day of March, 2008.

Connie Jurado, CCR, RPR New Mexico CCR No. 254 Expires: December 31, 2008

Connie Jurado