STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 13,920

APPLICATION OF THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR A COMPLIANCE ORDER AGAINST NORTHSTAR OIL AND GAS

ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

COMMISSION HEARING

BEFORE: MARK E. FESMIRE, CHAIRMAN

JAMI BAILEY, COMMISSIONER

WILLIAM C. OLSON, COMMISSIONER

RECEIVED 3

April 16th, 2008

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the Oil
Conservation Commission, MARK E. FESMIRE, Chairman, on
Wednesday, April 16th, 2008, at the New Mexico Energy,
Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint
Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T.
Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of
New Mexico.

* * *

INDEX

April 16th, 2008 Commission Hearing CASE NO. 13,920

	PAGE
EXHIBITS	3
APPEARANCES	4
OPENING STATEMENT By Ms. MacQuesten	6
APPLICANT'S WITNESS:	
DANIEL SANCHEZ (Compliance and enforcement manager, NMOCD) Direct Examination by Ms. Macquesten Cross-Examination by Mr. Bruce Examination by Commissioner Bailey Examination by Chairman Fesmire Redirect Examination by Ms. MacQuesten	12 23 24 26 27
NORTHSTAR WITNESS:	
JOHN C. CORBETT (Geologist; President, Northstar Oil and Gas) (Present by telephone) Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce Cross-Examination by Ms. Macquesten Examination by Commissioner Bailey Examination by Commissioner Olson Examination by Chairman Fesmire	29 34 42 43 45
CLOSING STATEMENTS By Ms. MacQuesten By Mr. Bruce By Ms. MacQuesten	49 50 52
(Continued)	

	CLOSING OF THE RECORD FOR DELIBERATIONS	53
	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	57
١	* * *	

EXHIBITS

Applicant's	Identified	Admitted
Exhibit 1	6	23
Exhibit 2	6	23
Exhibit 3	6	23
Exhibit 4	13	23
Exhibit 5	14	23
Exhibit 6	16	23
Exhibit 7	16	23
Exhibit 8	16	23
Exhibit 9	21	23
	* * *	
Northstar	Identified	Admitted
Exhibit 1	30	34
Exhibit 2	33	34
Exhibit 3	33	34

* * *

APPEARANCES

FOR THE COMMISSION:

CHERYL BADA
Assistant General Counsel
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR THE APPLICANT:

GAIL MacQUESTEN
Deputy General Counsel
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR NORTHSTAR OIL & GAS:

JAMES G. BRUCE Attorney at Law P.O. Box 1056 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

* * *

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 2 9:50 a.m.: CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: The next cause before the 3 Commission is Case Number 14,000, the Application of the 4 New Mexico Oil Conservation Division for a continuing -- a 5 6 compliance order against Northstar Oil and Gas. 7 Are counsel present? MR. BRUCE: Yes, sir. 8 MS. MacQUESTEN: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 9 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Would you be so kind as to 10 11 make your announcements on the record, please? MS. MacQUESTEN: Gail MacQuesten, representing 12 the Oil Conservation Division. I have one witness. 13 14 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Chairman, Jim Bruce of Santa Fe, representing Northstar Oil and Gas Corporation. I have one 15 16 witness. 17 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay. Mr. Bruce, do we need to phone your witness now, or would you rather wait until 18 19 he testifies? 20 MR. BRUCE: Why don't we wait until he testifies? That way -- I think he's on a cell phone, and I'd hate for 21 22 his cell phone to die out on him like mine normally does. 23 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay. MR. BRUCE: I don't think it'll be -- take 24 25 exceedingly long.

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Ms. MacQuesten, I believe this 1 is your Application. 2 MS. MacQUESTEN: Yes, sir. 3 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Would you like to begin with 4 5 an opening statement? Yes, please. 6 MS. MacQUESTEN: The Oil Conservation Division is moving to 7 8 reopen Case 13,920 to clarify the requirements of Order R-12,779-A. 9 You should have an exhibit packet in front of 10 The first exhibit is the affidavit of notice and 11 publication for this reopened hearing. 12 Exhibit 2 is a copy of the transcript of the 13 Commission Hearing that resulted in the order that we're 14 15 going to be talking about today. And Exhibit 3 is a copy of the order itself. 16 The remaining exhibits will be addressed through 17 the testimony. 18 As you may recall, this is a plugging case on two 19 inactive wells. The OCD had been trying to get Northstar 20 to bring the wells into compliance since 1999. 21 By the time the case was heard by the Commission 22 de novo in 2007, Northstar had plugged the wellbores of the 23 two wells. 24 25 Northstar's focus at the Commission hearing was

on reducing or eliminating the \$10,000 penalty that had been imposed by the Division below. That \$10,000 amount was calculated at \$1000 per year, per well, from 2003 to 2007. That represented the time period during which Northstar took no action to bring its wells into compliance.

Mr. Corbett, president of Northstar, testified that the total cost of plugging both wells, including surface restoration work, would be approximately \$50,000, which was the amount of the financial assurance Northstar had posted. You can see that at the transcript, page 49.

To do the work, Northstar had taken out a \$50,000 loan which would come due in December, and Mr. Corbett stated he would need to have the financial assurance released at that time so he could pay off his loan. You can find that at the transcript at page 65.

At the close of the hearing, the Commission moved to adopt an order, and that motion is at pages 75 through 76 of the transcript. The Commission upheld the \$10,000 penalty but allowed Northstar credit for, quote, the actual amounts expended to do the surface restoration, close quote, and stayed the payment date to the date that the bond would be released. In other words, he'd get his bond released, he'd have the money necessary.

On November 8th, 2007, the Commission issued its

order, and that's Exhibit 3 in your packet. The language at issue in this hearing is at page 3, paragraphs 2 and 3. It states Northstar Oil and Gas Corporation shall restore the well sites associated with the wells in the manner provided in Subsection B of 19.15.4.202 NMAC and seed the well sites with seed of plants native to the area by December 15, 2007.

2.2

It goes on to say, If Northstar Oil and Gas
Corporation restores the well sites and seeds the well
sites with seeds of plants native to the area by December
15, 2007, it may reduce the civil penalty by its actual
expenditures for restoring the well sites. The Division
shall not release Northstar Oil and Gas Corporation's
financial assurance until Northstar Oil and Gas Corporation
restores the well sites, seeds the well sites with seeds of
plants native to the area and provides invoices and proof
of payment for the restoration costs.

Northstar has now asked for release of its financial assurance. The OCD seeks guidance from the Commission on whether Northstar has met the terms of the order on two points.

The first point is, may we release the financial assurance in this case? The order stated that Northstar needed to restore the sites, reseed and provide proof of -- provide invoices and proof of payment.

You will hear from our witness that they have restored the sites according to the rule. That point has been met. They did provide invoices, but we do not have proof of payment.

They have not reseeded either site. They have asked the OCD to waive the requirement that they reseed one of the sites, the Davie Number 1, because the land and mineral owner does not want it reseeded.

Because reseeding is a requirement of the Commission order, the OCD has taken the position that only the Commission can waive that requirement. So we're asking you today whether you intend to waive that requirement.

We have not heard from Northstar on why they haven't reseeded the other site, the Barbara Number 1. We have no explanation at this point.

So on the first issue, may we release the financial assurance, they have restored the sites, they've provided invoices but no proof of payment, and they have not reseeded.

Now the second point that we need clarification on is whether we should reduce the penalty and, if so, by what amount?

Now the order stated that to get a reduction of the penalty, Northstar needed to restore the location and reseed the location by December 15, 2007. There was a deadline involved in this part of the order. If they met the deadline, they could reduce the penalty by their actual expenditures for restoring the well sites.

Again, on restoration, they have restored the well sites. However, the restoration was not complete by the December 15 deadline.

The second point, reseeding, has again -- has not been done.

The third point, have they provided invoices and proof of payment and what do we do with those -- that information?

They provided copies of invoices with a letter of March 11, 2008, claiming \$12,857.63 in costs. Northstar did not provide proof of payment, although many of the invoices have handwritten notations indicating that the invoices have been paid, with a check number and a payment date, but we have no receipts or canceled checks.

OCD's position is that Northstar has not provided proof of payment, and we also request guidance from the Commission on how they intended us to reduce the penalty, if at all. Was the intent that the penalty be reduced by costs incurred after the hearing, or may we also reduce by costs incurred before the hearing, because a substantial amount of these costs were incurred before the hearing?

Also, did the Commission intend to include as

restoration costs only cost to restore the surface, or also 1 costs to, say, remove equipment? What was meant by 2 restoration? And whether the OCC intended to include only 3 those restoration costs that were not offset by salvage 4 recovery. In other words, does actual cost taken into 5 6 account any salvage. 7 We take the position that we can't resolve the financial assurance issue until the reseeding and proof-of-8

financial assurance issue until the reseeding and proof-of-payment issues are resolved. We can't reduce the civil penalty by actual expenditures because the terms of the order weren't met. The restoration and reseeding was not completed by the deadline, and we don't have proof of payment.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

If the Commission determines to waive the deadline and the proof-of-payment requirement and the reseeding requirement, then we need guidance on how to calculate the penalty.

And with that I would call Mr. Daniel Sanchez as my witness.

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Just a minute.

Mr. Bruce, would you like to make an opening statement or waive or reserve one?

MR. BRUCE: I will reserve that for closing, Mr. 24 | Chairman.

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay. Mr. Sanchez, you

haven't been previously sworn in this case, have you? 1 MR. SANCHEZ: No, sir. 2 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: You've been sworn at, but not 3 in, right? 4 5 MR. SANCHEZ: That's correct. (Thereupon, the witness was sworn.) 6 7 DANIEL SANCHEZ, the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 8 9 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 10 BY MS. MacQUESTEN: 11 Would you please state your name for the record? Q. 12 A. Daniel Sanchez. 13 And where are you employed? Q. 14 With the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division. 15 Α. What's your position there? 16 Q. 17 I'm the compliance and enforcement manager. Α. 18 Q. Are you familiar with the enforcement efforts 19 against Northstar and the order issued by the Commission in 20 the plugging case? Yes, I am. Α. 21 22 And what does that order require before the 0. Division may release the financial assurance posted by 23 Northstar? 24 25 For the restoration of the two sites for which Α.

the wells had been plugged, reseeding of those sites, and 1 proof of expenses. 2 Has Northstar requested release of its financial Q. 3 assurance at this point? 4 Yes, they have. 5 Α. When was its first request for that release? 6 Q. 7 That was January 11th of 2008. Α. 8 Q. Would you turn to what's been marked as Exhibit 4 in this case? 9 10 Α. Okay. 11 Is that the OCD's letter responding to 12 Northstar's request for release of its financial assurance? Yes, it is. Α. 13 Q. And does it also -- attached to that letter is 14 there a copy of Northstar's request? 15 Α. Yes. 16 What is the date of the OCD's response to 17 Q. Northstar? 18 January 15th of 2008. That was the date we 19 received the letter from Northstar. 20 And the letter that -- I'm sorry, the letter that 21 Q. the OCD sent to Northstar? 22 -- was January 15th. 23 Α. Q. January 15th. 24

At that time had the sites been restored?

Not officially. 1 A. Had the sites been reseeded? 2 Q. Α. No. 3 Had we received invoices and proof of payment? 4 0. 5 No, we did not. Α. Were these various deficiencies explained in the 6 0. 7 letter of January 15th? 8 Α. Yes, they were. Was there a second request for release of 9 Q. 10 financial assurance from Northstar? Yes, yes, there was. 11 Α. And is Exhibit 5 the correspondence back and 12 0. 13 forth on that request? Α. Yes, it is. 14 And what is the date of the letter from the OCD 15 0. responding to Northstar's second request? 16 Α. That was January 30th of 2008. 17 Q. At that time were the sites ready for inspection 18 to determine if they could be released? 19 No, they were not. The company had yet to submit 20 21 a C-103 showing that they were ready for inspection. 22 Q. And that requirement had been explained in the first letter? 23 Yes, it was. 24 Α.

Were the sites reseeded at that time?

25

Q.

1	A. No, they were not.
2	Q. Did Northstar offer any explanation for not
3	reseeding the sites?
4	A. Only for one of they sites, that the landowner
5	had requested that the site not be reseeded.
6	Q. And is that request attached to Exhibit Number 5?
7	A. Yes, it is, it's a letter from the owner,
8	landowner, Mr. Bill Davie, and it was submitted and
9	notarized and was actually submitted December 7th of 2007.
10	Q. If you look at the bottom of that letter, the
11	date of the notary appears to the December appears to be
12	crossed out?
13	A. Yes, the actual date on the notary was January
14	14th of 2008.
15	Q. And the receipt date stamped on this?
16	A. January 22nd, 2008.
17	Q. Did Northstar offer any explanation at this time
18	regarding why they had not reseeded the other well, the
19	Barbara Number 1?
20	A. No, they did not.
21	Q. Did Northstar provide invoices and proof of
22	payment at this time?
23	A. No.
24	Q. Was there a third request for release of the
25	financial assurance?

Yes, there was. Α. 1 And is Exhibit Number 6 --2 0. Yes, that --Α. -- the exchange of correspondence on that 4 Q. 5 request? Yes, that request was made on March 11th, 2008, 6 7 by Northstar. At this point had Northstar met the requirements 8 to restore the sites according to the rule requirements? 9 They met one of the requirements, and that was 10 Α. the site restoration. They had submitted the C-103, and 11 the district office had sent out an inspector to check out 12 the site to make sure it had been cleaned up. 13 Have you spoken to the district office? Q. 14 Yes, I have, this morning, as a matter of fact. Α. 15 Are they satisfied with the site restoration? 16 Q. 17 A. Yes, they are. In accordance with the OCD rules? 18 Q. Yes. 19 Α. Were Exhibits 7 and 8 the well inspection 20 0. histories for the two wells at issue? 21 22 Α. Yes, they are. 23 Q. And do they indicate that the locations are 24 clean?

Yes, they do.

25

Α.

1	Q. Do they indicate whether the sites have been
2	reseeded?
3	A. The notes show that the location does not appear
4	to be reseeded.
5	Q. And what is the date of the inspection that
6	looked at whether the sites have been reseeded?
7	A. March 5th, 2008. That's for both sites.
8	Q. Now getting back to Exhibit Number 6, the
9	exchange of correspondence, did Northstar provide invoices
10	of its costs with this request?
11	A. Yes, they did.
12	Q. And those invoices are attached to Exhibit Number
13	6?
14	A. They are.
15	Q. Did they also submit proof of payment?
16	A. No, they did not do that. What they did do was,
17	they did put little notes on some of the pages, not on all
18	of them, showing a date that it was paid, a check number,
19	and the amount.
20	Q. What would you be looking for, for proof of
21	payment? What would you expect?
22	A. Either a receipt or a canceled check.
23	Q. So we had three requests from Northstar to
24	release the financial assurance. Has the OCD released the
25	financial assurance?

No, we have not. 1 Α. Why not? 2 Q. They have still not met the terms of the 3 A. Commission order. 4 What terms are they missing? Q. 5 The reseeding of the properties and submittal of 6 Α. 7 proof of payment. Let's turn to the other issue, whether the 8 Q. penalty amount can be reduced and, if so, by what amount. 9 Now that provision in the order had a deadline for doing 10 certain things; is that right? 11 That's correct, the deadline was December 15th, 12 Α. 2007. 13 And what did they have to do by that deadline? 14 Q. They needed to complete the restoration of the 15 Α. surface, reseed the properties and provide the invoices and 16 proof of payment. 17 Okay, was the invoice and proof of payment part 18 Q. of that December 15th or -- ? 19 I believe it was. 20 Okay. At least we'd have to have an order to do 21 Q. 22 anything? 23 Α. Yes.

deadline for restoring the sites?

24

25

All right. Did Northstar meet the December 15th

- 19 No, they did not. 1 Α. When would you give them credit for restoring the 2 Q. 3 sites? At the time they submitted the C-103 and the 4 Α. District actually inspected the sites. 5 And that would be when? March? Q. 6 7 March 5th, I believe. Α. How about the requirement that they reseed the 8 Q. 9 sites? They have yet to meet the reseeding site 10 Α. 11 requirement. Now if you overlook the deadline issue and you 12 overlook the reseeding issue, has Northstar provided the 13 14 invoices and proof of payment we would need to do anything 15 about the penalty? 16 They have provided the invoices but no proof of Α. 17 payment. If we overlook the deadline issue, the 18 Q. reseeding issue and the proof-of-payment issue, can we 19 20 determine the actual expenses for restoring the well sites 21 based on the invoices that we have? 22 Α. We have a dollar amount given to us based on
 - those invoices. What we don't have is whether or not any funding was received by the company for any salvage in the Some of the invoices indicate that the tanks material.

23

24

were removed and cleaned, which would lead me to believe that they were going to be sold, and that other equipment was removed and cut up, and I would assume that that would have been sold for salvage as well.

- Q. So we don't know actual expenses until we know what they were able to recoup?
 - A. Yes, if they did recoup anything.
- Q. Okay. Do you have any questions about what counts as surface restoration? I mean, would -- given these invoices?
- A. Much of it was, you know, moving the equipment away from the sites, and there wasn't a lot of explanation as to what type of equipment was used to actually clean up, restore the site to its initial condition. So yeah, that would be a question that I would have.
- Q. So we just need guidance on what the Commission intended --
- 18 A. Intended, yes.
 - Q. -- by surface restoration?
 - A. Yeah.

1.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

- Q. If they intend that, then we would count it. If not, we would not. But we don't know at this point what to do.
- Do we have questions about the dates of the invoices, whether it be -- they were supposed to include

costs incurred before the Commission Hearing versus after the Commission Hearing?

- A. Yes, I believe that that is a question. Were the costs of restoration to occur from the time of the hearing forward, ending at that December 15th deadline, or was it to include all costs coming -- that were required to close those sites, including the plugging.
- Q. And again, it's just a point of clarification, we need to know the answer one way or the other.

Could you turn to what has been marked as Exhibit Number 9?

A. Okay.

2.2

- Q. Can you tell us what this is?
- A. This is an affidavit of Gail MacQuesten, you, showing what costs are involved in bringing a case like this before the Commission, and that there is an actual cost to taxpayers to have to bring these kind of cases, especially when we've worked with operators for a lengthy period of time to resolve these issues.
- Q. Now these costs only include the costs of conducting the hearings; is that right?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. It doesn't include the costs of working with the operator prior to the hearing, to try to obtain compliance informally?

A. No, it doesn't.

- Q. And as far as hearing costs, it's only out-of-pocket expenses, it's not salaries of the various participants in the hearing, the witnesses, the Commissioners, the attorneys and so forth?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. It's just advertisements, transcripts, witness travel, certified mail, things like that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And what was the total of those out-of-pocket expenses to date?
 - A. To date, \$1110.24.
 - Q. Okay. Why bring this up?
- A. Well, every time we have to work with an operator or bring an operator to hearing, it's just not trying to get an operator to come into compliance with certain issues, but it's meant to show that there is a cost to the taxpayer for us to have to do this over and over again, and we feel like if we could get a little bit more compliance or work with them a little bit better with this, where they would respond a little bit more to us, then it would negate some of those costs if not all of them, so....
- Q. How inactive wells does New Mexico have right now out of compliance with Rule 201?
 - A. 2657.

And this case was to take action on two? 0. 1 Just two wells, yes. 2 Α. MS. MacQUESTEN: I would move at this point to 3 admit Exhibits 1 through 9. 4 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Any objection, Mr. Bruce? 5 MR. BRUCE: No, sir. 6 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Exhibits 1 through 9 will be 7 8 admitted. 9 MS. MacQUESTEN: That concludes my examination of 10 this witness. CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Mr. Bruce, do you have a 11 cross? 12 MR. BRUCE: Just one or two questions, Mr. 13 Chairman. 14 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BRUCE: 16 As I understand it, Mr. Sanchez, the Division's 17 Q. inspection shows that everything on site of both wells is 18 fine, done according to Division Rules, except at this 19 point the Barbara well site has not been reseeded? 20 21 Α. That's correct, but neither -- neither of the 22 sites has been reseeded to this point. Correct, but the surface owner of the Davie well 23 Q. site did not want it reseeded? 24 That's correct. What we're asking for was a 25 Α.

clarification as to whether or not the Commission would 1 accept that as well. 2 The only other question I have is, why did you 3 0. require Mr. Davie's letter to be acknowledged? 4 That came from the District Supervisor wanting 5 actual proof that a letter to them accepting certain 6 7 conditions on a closure was legitimate. I really can't answer much more from Charlie Perrin, the District 8 Supervisor's --9 But you had no -- the Division had no evidence 10 Q. 11 that the letter was illegitimate? Α. No. 12 13 MR. BRUCE: That's all I have, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Commissioner Bailey? 14 **EXAMINATION** 15 BY COMMISSIONER BAILEY: 16 What was the date for the plugging of the 17 Q. Was that November 21st, 2007? I'm looking midway 18 through Exhibit Number 6, this invoice from A-Plus Well 19 Service. 20 There are two here. One was for November 16th, Α. 21 and one was for October 12th. 22 So can we figure what date it was actually 23 Q. 24 plugged?

They have a note on the well inspection history,

25

Α.

Exhibit Number 7, indicating on December 3rd that there was an inspection done, and it looked like everything other than that a reseeding had been done and plugged.

So I would assume that the plugging probably occurred on that November 16th date, or thereabouts.

MS. MacQUESTEN: Commissioner Bailey, the transcript of the hearing before the Commission at page 12 has the testimony of Charlie Perrin that the Davie 1 wellbore plugging and completion was September 6th, and the Barbara wellbore was finished October 9.

- Q. (By Commissioner Bailey) October 9. I'm trying to remember weather conditions in October and November, if there was already feet of snow on the ground up in that part of the country?
- A. I was up there in October, and the weather was actually pretty nice. If there was any snow up there, it was very little.
- Q. So that would have been the ideal time for reseeding?
 - A. Probably.
- Q. But if the well was actually plugged in November, it's questionable whether or not the snow was already on the ground and the ground frozen by that time?
- A. Yes.

Q. Because in my mind it's pointless to put seed out

on top of snow with frozen ground. But if it had gotten 1 reseeded before the ground was frozen and the snows had 2 come in, that would have been an ideal time. So I mean 3 it's important in my mind --4 Yeah, when the --5 A. -- to fix that date. 6 0. 7 Okay. So it looks like October 9th, according to Α. the record, is the time that it was actually plugged. And 8 early October -- Like I said, when I was up there in late 9 October, the weather was still pretty good. So if they 10 would have reseeded at that time, I can't imagine that 11 there would have been a problem with the weather. 12 If the plugging happened in October? 13 Q. Α. In October, yes. 14 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: That's all I needed to ask 15 16 you. CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Commissioner Olson? 17 COMMISSIONER OLSON: I don't have any questions. 18 **EXAMINATION** 19 2.0 BY CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Mr. Sanchez, is there any indication in the 21 Q. letter that Mr. Davie understands that he will be 22 responsible to the OCD, should the restoration of that site 23 be required in the future? 24

Not that I could see.

25

Α.

1		CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: I have no further questions.
2		Any redirect, Ms. MacQuesten?
3		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
4	BY MS. Mac	CQUESTEN:
5	Q.	Mr. Sanchez, would you turn to Exhibit Number 2,
6	the transo	cript of the hearing below
7	Α.	Okay.
8	Q.	and what is the date of that hearing, on the
9	cover page	∍?
10	Α.	October 11th, 2007.
11	Q.	And that was the hearing before the Commission?
12	Α.	Yes.
13	Q.	And North Star's position at that hearing was
14	that the v	wellbores were plugged at that time?
15	Α.	Yes, that's right.
16		MS. MacQUESTEN: Thank you. That's all I have.
17		CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Mr. Bruce, anything else?
18		MR. BRUCE: No, sir.
19		CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Commission?
20		COMMISSIONER BAILEY: No.
21		COMMISSIONER OLSON: No.
22		CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Mr. Sanchez, thank you very
23	much.	
24		Ms. MacQuesten, is that your only witness?
25		MS. MacQUESTEN: Yes, sir.

	S. G. P. Novi
1	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Did you have a close, or would
2	you rather We'll wait until after he's done.
3	Mr. Bruce?
4	MR. BRUCE: If we could call Mr. Corbett on the
5	phone.
6	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Do you have the number?
7	MR. BRUCE: 412-352-3787.
8	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: 412 ?
9	MR. BRUCE: 412-352-3
10	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Whoops. 412 ?
11	MR. BRUCE: 412-352-3787.
12	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Do you want to answer it?
13	MR. BRUCE: Yeah.
14	MR. CORBETT: John Corbett.
15	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Corbett, this is Jim Bruce, and
16	we're on record at the Oil Conservation Commission Hearing
17	right now.
18	MR. CORBETT: Very well.
19	MR. BRUCE: And Mr. Chairman, I turn it over to
20	you. I believe the witness needs to be sworn in.
21	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Mr. Corbett, would you raise
22	your right hand, please?
23	MR. CORBETT: Yes.
24	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: I'm going to ask the court
25	reporter to swear you in. He's going to speak real loud so

	NA ALL
1	you can hear him.
2	COURT REPORTER: Mr. Corbett, can you hear me?
3	MR. CORBETT: I can. There's a buzz, but I can
4	hear you.
5	(Thereupon, the witness was sworn.)
6	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Mr. Bruce?
7	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Corbett, can you hear me from
8	where I'm standing here?
9	MR. CORBETT: Uh-huh.
10	<u>JOHN CORBETT</u> (Present by telephone),
11	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
12	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. BRUCE:
15	Q. Mr. Corbett, for the record can you state your
16	name and your association with Northstar Oil and Gas
17	Corporation?
18	A. Yes, I'm John Corbett, and I am the president of
19	Northstar Oil and Gas.
20	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Counsel, can you hear?
21	MS. MacQUESTEN: Yes, thank you.
22	Q. (By Mr. Bruce) And Mr. Corbett, you testified in
23	the previous Commission Hearing on this matter; is that
24	correct?
25	A. That's correct.

And it was you who directed the plugging and 0. 1 2 abandonment and restoration of the well sites for Northstar? 3 Α. That's correct. 4 Okay. Mr. Corbett, I've marked certain exhibits 5 0. which I believe you have copies of. Did you receive that 6 7 package in the mail from me? 8 Α. Yes, I did. Okay. Could you identify Exhibit 1 for the 9 0. Commission, Northstar Exhibit 1, just very briefly? 10 Yeah, Exhibit 1 is a tabulation of invoices for 11 work that was done to restore the surface of two wells, the 12 13 Davie and the Barbara. 14 Q. Okay, and are these -- is Exhibit 1 true and correct copies of the invoices you received from various 15 contractors for that work? 16 17 Α. Yes, it is. Now were these bills paid, these invoices paid? 18 Q. Yes, they were. 19 Α. Mr. Corbett, the Commission witness has already 20 Q. testified, and one thing they asked about was, they didn't 21 receive proof of payment, in other words, either receipts 22 23 or canceled checks. Would it be possible for you to

provide -- copy and provide to the Commission canceled

checks showing payment of these bills?

24

- Yes, I can -- I can provide a photocopy of those. A.
- The second matter is, some of the bills Q. Okay. predate the Commission hearing, some of these invoices for work done. But in your opinion was all of this work necessary to clean and restore the surface of the two well sites?
 - Yes, it was. Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Were there any other costs incurred, for 0. instance, for cleanup, any reconditioning, et cetera, of tanks?
- Α. Yes, there was. There was -- Just off the top of my head, there are two invoices that totaled almost \$8000 there, incremental to there.
 - And what was that work for? 0.
- One of them was reconditioning a tank, and then Α. another was for some dirt-moving. We dug up the pit, and I didn't get that invoice until -- in fact, just about three weeks ago.
- Okay. Now with respect to the reconditioning of Q. the tank, you did not include that bill in this exhibit package correct?
- That's correct, neither of those invoices are included here.
- Now, do you hope to sell that tank and at least 25 recoup some of that money?

Yeah, that's my hope. Α. 1 And so that's why you did not include that in the 2 Q. listing of these invoices? 3 That's right. 4 Α. Now what work remains to be done to take care of 0. 5 either of these well sites? 6 Just an hour ago I spoke with the man who was 7 Α. supervising this work for me, and --8 9 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Mr. Corbett, could you speak up a little bit? 10 I spoke about -- probably two hours 11 THE WITNESS: ago with the man who's supervising this work for me. 12 13 Q. (By Mr. Bruce) And who is that? 14 Α. The man's name is West Hahn, he's with Walsh 15 Engineering. Q. And what did he inform you? 16 17 He ordered a peat mix for the Barbara Number 1 Α. location, and that arrived within the last week in 18 19 Farmington. It's at his office now, and he has a 20 contractor who is prepared to go and put it out. 21 Apparently it's been quite windy the last few days, and so 22 they aren't able to do that work. But he was hoping to have it done this week. 23

Okay. But admittedly the reseeding of the

Barbara site has not been done at this time?

24

25

Q.

A. Yeah, I concede that.

- Q. And will that be an additional expense to be incurred by you?
- A. Yes, it will. The seed mix itself is between \$700 and \$750. He has asked for that invoice and has not received that yet from the vendor. And then there will be some incremental costs to apply it.
- Q. Okay. And again, in your opinion were all of the costs that are listed in Exhibit 1, plus the additional costs that you have incurred, necessary to reclaim and restore the surface?
 - A. Yes, they were.
- Q. The second exhibit is the C-103s which you signed. Were those submitted to the Division?
 - A. Yes, they were.
- Q. Okay. And Exhibit 3 is simply the correspondence that you had -- that you sent to Ms. MacQuesten at the OCD, is it not?
- A. That's correct.
 - Q. Now, other than the reseeding on the Barbara 1 well site, what was the approximate date of the last work done on that well site?
 - A. That was probably -- probably in December. Let me go through these invoices and see. But I think -- I'm pretty sure that that would have been in December.

And would reseeding be the last thing you would Q. 1 do on a well site? 2 3 A. Yes, it would. Mr. Corbett, I think that's all I have for you, 4 but were Exhibits 1, 2 and 3 compiled from Northstar's --5 prepared by you or compiled from Northstar's business 6 7 records? 8 Yes, they were. 9 MR. BRUCE: Okay. Mr. Chairman, I'd move the 10 admission of Exhibits 1 through 3 and pass the witness. CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Any objection to the admission 11 of Exhibits 1, 2 and 3? 12 MS. MacQUESTEN: No, thank you. 13 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: The exhibits will be so 14 admitted. 15 16 Ms. MacQuesten, do you have a cross-examination of the witness? 17 18 MS. MacQUESTEN: Yes, please. 19 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. MacQUESTEN: 20 Mr. Corbett, this is Gail MacQuesten. Can you 21 Q. hear me? 22 23 Α. Yes, I can. 24 Okay. At the beginning of your testimony you 25 talked about some additional costs that aren't included yet

regarding the reconditioning of a tank or tanks?

- A. That's right, there's reconditioning of a tank, and there is some earthmoving too that is not included here.
- Q. All right. I'm looking at the summary of the expenses, the first page of Northstar's Exhibit Number 1, and I see a number of entries regarding tanks. On the right-hand column there's transport tank, dismantle and transport tank, dismantle and transport tank, heat tank bottoms, pressure clean tank, escort for oversized load (tank), escort for oversized load (tank), escort for oversized load (tank).

And if I look at the dollar amount, we're probably over \$5000 on those costs. So what else is left to do on the tanks?

- A. There was one tank that there was concern that it was not in saleable condition. They -- We inspected the tanks and the -- there was concern that it just wasn't saleable, and so there was some repair to one of the tanks.
- Q. So this is so you can recoup some costs from the equipment? Selling the tanks?
 - A. Yeah, right.
- Q. Do you know how much you're going to get from reselling the tanks?
 - A. I am hoping that it will break even.

Q. Are you --

A. The options with that tank were to scrap it or to recondition it for reuse, sale and reuse. The costs on those two -- it was going to be kind of close to even, it was going to have to be -- there was -- You can see the one tank that we pressure-cleaned. This was going to involve pressure-cleaning and then cutting up and disposing of the tank.

The alternative was to clean it and then reinforce some of the areas that were of concern, and hope that we can recoup those costs.

- Q. So the cleaning of the tank and the work that you want to do on the tank in the future is so that you can sell them and recoup those costs?
 - A. Yes, that's right.
- Q. Are you going to be able to get any other salvage off of these two sites?
- A. That was it. We're -- I think we have taken care of everything that we can, and all that remains is the one tank.
- Q. Okay. Now, Mr. Corbett, I want to ask you some questions about your testimony at the hearing before the Commission, and I may have you at a disadvantage because I don't know if you have a copy of the transcript.
 - A. I don't have it, but I am well enough rested, I

may be able to recall.

- Q. Okay. Do you recall talking about the -- hoping to get release of your \$50,000 financial assurance?
 - A. I do remember that, yes.
- Q. And you were hoping to -- and you also -- didn't you testify about taking out a loan in the amount of about \$50,000 to do the work you wanted to do on the wells?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And that loan was coming due in December of 2007?
 - A. December 15th, right.
- Q. Right, and that was the magic date that you wanted to get this resolved so that you could get your bond released and pay off that loan; is that right?
 - A. That's right. You're wondering what happened.
 - Q. Yeah.
- A. The -- You recall that I sent you a letter, and that is included in Exhibit 3. My hope in that was that there was some way that -- because the wells were plugged, that there would be a way to release the bond, and -- with kind of getting this done by remote control, and so we didn't -- we just weren't ready, it wasn't there yet.

What I then was forced to do was contact the bank and extend that loan, and I extended that to the three months, which took us to March the 15th, and -- when we still were not ready, the work hadn't been finished, and so

I had extended it again and that was to July.

- Q. Now that letter you're referring to where you're asking to release the bond, that Exhibit Number 3, is that the letter of January 11th, 2008?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Now you were aware that the order had given you a deadline of December 15th; is that right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. But you didn't contact us about a release until mid-January?
- A. I didn't. I spoke with Charlie Perrin in

 December, prior to the 15th, to see what remained to be

 done. He had a man -- because I -- I'm -- I worked with -
 as I said, by remote control, and the guy that I was

 working with said, well, he thought that we're...

I talked with the OCD. Mr. Perrin was out of the office at other hearings in Santa Fe when we contacted him -- I contacted him. And he had a man -- I think it was Henry Villanueva -- who had gone out and looked at the wells. I understood from my conversation with them that there was more work to be done.

So I extended the loan at that point and spoke with my man in the field and asked if he would please get...

And that brought us to the point, then, where I

sent the letter, thinking that we were probably in a pretty good place.

That was in January, and the location was covered with snow at the time. Having cleared off, I understand that the seed needs to go down, and so we've bought that, and that will be put down as soon as possible. I'm still hoping to get my bond released, rather than wait.

- Q. Okay, so on January 11th when you sent that letter, you say you thought you were in a pretty good place. But the sites had not been reseeded at that point; is that right?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. And you haven't provided the invoices that would allow us to calculate a reduction in the penalty at that time?
- A. Probably not. Honestly, I have lost track of the date that I provided that to you.
- Q. And you haven't filed the C-103s that let the District know that they needed to go out and do a final inspection of the wells?
- A. Well, what -- where I was on C-103, that if -- when the wells were plugged by A-Plus well service, they filed what I thought were the C-103s. Those were not adequate, and then -- But I sent the letter based on those, and then sent him in February another set of C-103s that,

having talked to A-Plus, understood that there -- just covered the plugging of the well.

I sent another one regarding the abandonment, and then Mr. Perrin sent me the latest version of the C-103, which you see attached here.

- Q. Okay. Now you're aware you had a deadline to do certain things by December 15th, right?
- A. I'm aware of that. There were sort of two things that happened there.

First of all, I had my man in the field, who -- I understood through him that things were really ready to be filed.

And then the other thing is that at about that time, the loan was spent. I was trying to cover invoices and trying -- basically I ran out of money, and have been working on my cash flow, I guess, in order to continue to get this work done.

- Q. Well, I'm just curious. Why didn't you write to the Commission or file a motion and say you needed more time or get an excuse from the reseeding if the reseeding isn't appropriate for the Davie 1, for example? Why didn't you approach the Commission and ask for some leave?
- A. Or -- or call the Commission. I made a call to the Commission, and -- honestly, it wasn't returned. I suppose I should have sent a letter, I just didn't.

- Q. Okay. And in fact, this reopening is on the
 request of the OCD; is that right? You have still not come
 to the Commission and asked for relief from the reseeding
 requirement on the Davie 1 or relief from the deadlines
 that were given; is that right?

 A. I'm actually not seeking a relief from the
 reseeding requirement. I -- Yeah, I probably missed the
 - reseeding requirement. I -- Yeah, I probably missed the deadline, and I suppose that I should have written regarding that.

- Q. But you are asking for relief from reseeding on the Davie Number 1; isn't that right?
- A. Well, I'm -- on that, I suppose that I am. The landowner has asked that I not do that for him.
- Q. Right, and you're not intending to either, are you?
- A. Well, it's his land. I wouldn't want to go contrary to his wishes.
- Q. All right. But you understand you have an order from the Commission telling you that you have to reseed, so I'm just curious: Why not go to the Commission and say the landowner doesn't want it reseeded, may I have relief from that requirement?
- A. I assumed that that message would have been conveyed with his letter, second letter, the notarized letter, saying please don't do anything more.

, 1	Q. Well, you would have been aware from my letter
2	that the OCD took the position that we couldn't act in
3	contradiction of an OCC order, right? And that you needed
4	their approval?
5	A. Apparently I missed that in your letter.
6	MS. MacQUESTEN: I have no other questions.
7	Thank you, Mr. Corbett.
8	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Commissioner Bailey?
9	EXAMINATION
10	BY COMMISSIONER BAILEY:
11	Q. Hello, Mr. Corbett, can you hear me?
12	A. Yes, I can.
13	Q. I've paged through your invoices in your Exhibit
14	Number 1, and the latest date I can find to work on the
15	Barbara location is October 25th. Does that sound about
16	right to you, instead of December?
17	A. That's entirely possible. Let me look at
18	Let's see here.
19	Q. Well, maybe October 26th, it's the period of
20	October 25th through the 26th when tanks were being hauled
21	around.
22	A. Yeah, maybe the latest that could have been
23	related to the Barbara is this no, that yeah, I guess
24	that's correct.
25	Q. Are you aware that October would have been the

ideal time for reseeding that location before the snows, 1 2 before the ground froze? I suppose it would. I honestly am not that 3 familiar with that, the dates for reseeding. I've gathered 4 5 that the middle of winter would not have been good, but as far as the October reseeding date, I couldn't speak to 6 7 that. And the reason why you would not have reseeded 8 Q. 9 when that location was cleared and ready for reseeding 10 would have been due to lack of money; is that your reason? Well, there were -- that was about the time that 11 Α. 12 the money was running out. It's also the time that, as I 13 said, understanding from my representative that the 14 location was pretty good. 15 Except for the reseeding? Q. Well, there is that, yeah, I missed that 16 Α. 17 opportunity. COMMISSIONER BAILEY: I have no further 18 questions. 19 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Commissioner Olson? 20 21 EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER OLSON: 22 23 Q. Mr. Corbett, have you sold the tanks that you 24 were reconditioning? 25 No, I have not. The tanks are in the -- were Α.

hauled to the yard of the man that I -- that was doing this
work for me.

- Q. But do I understand you correctly that you expect to be able to sell those tanks?
 - A. I do.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. And you think that the cost that you'll get from those tanks will approximately equal the cost that it took to clean them and remove them and transport them?
 - A. I think that's right, yes.
- Q. And how about -- Did you sell any other equipment from the site?
- 12 A. There was tubing that was removed from the 13 Barbara, that was sold.
 - Q. And what was the value of the -- did you get for the tubing?
 - A. That was probably about \$4000.
 - Q. And did you get any salvage value for the pumpjack?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- A. There was -- there was not a pumping unit on the Barbara. There was a -- one on the Davie that had been sort of salvaged and dismantled, and -- before I ever even came to own the well, there was just sort of a skeleton of one there.

1	Q. So is that what was removed? Because there was
2	an invoice for removed pumping unit.
3	A. That's right, that was removed and scrapped.
4	COMMISSIONER OLSON: I think that's all I have.
5	EXAMINATION
6	BY CHAIRMAN FESMIRE:
7	Q. Mr. Corbett this is Mark Fesmire. I've got a
8	couple of questions.
9	Mr. Davie has requested that you not reseed the
10	land on the Davie well; is that correct?
11	A. That is correct.
12	Q. And you understand that the reseeding requirement
13	is not a requirement of the landowner but a requirement of
14	the OCD?
15	A. I do.
16	Q. Does he understand that he could be incurring
17	some responsibility there if he gives you that waiver?
18	A. I doubt that he understands that. My assumption
19	has been that he was it was his land, that he had some
20	sovereignty there.
21	Q. Okay. Now you extended that loan on December
22	15th. It was my understanding at the original hearing that
23	you didn't have any further credit capacity, and that's why
24	we were trying to get the release in time for you to pay

25

that debt.

I appreciate that. I did not increase the loan 1 Α. 2 at all, but merely extended the term of it and continued to 3 make interest payments on the principle. Okay, so you did have some borrowing capacity 4 5 there that we didn't know about? Well, I'm not sure that I had any more value in 6 Α. 7 the -- just extended the term of it. Okay. Now you said that you contacted the 8 9 Commission and they didn't return your call. Who did you 10 contact? I left a message for Ms. MacQuesten. 11 Α. And do you remember when that was? 12 0. I couldn't begin to give you the date. I'll let 13 Α. her bring that up, even. 14 Okay. Did you contact your attorney? 15 Q. 16 No, I had hoped that he had served his purpose Α. and we could just move forward and get this taken care of. 17 Okay, and you understand that according to the 18 Q. OCD records, you were represented by an attorney, so Ms. 19 20 MacQuesten probably couldn't have talked to you? I understand, and I had thought that my attorney 21 Α. 22 might be able to help me with the first hearing, and then 23 having the hearing behind us, that we could forward and just finish this work.

Okay, I don't think we should get into that,

24

25

Q.

then.

When will you sell those tanks?

- A. You know, I could do that at any point, I'm sure.
- Q. Why haven't you done it, then?
- A. The man who's doing the work for me, Mr. Hahn, said that he might have some use for them, and honestly, the -- again, he's not billed me for any of his work on overseeing the plugging and abandonment of these wells, and so we were going to negotiate some sort of agreement when things were finished.
- Q. Okay. I want to talk real quick about your -- some of the invoices that were submitted. I'm a little concerned. Who is Keith Englehart?
- A. A roustabout who does a fair amount of work for Mr. Hahn.
- Q. Okay. And some of these invoices are signed by Keith Englehart on your behalf and are payable to Shirley Englehart. Are they the same couple?
- A. They are, I'm sure, the same couple. She -- He provides the truck to haul the things away. Her -- she's driving a car for the escort for the oversize loads.
- Q. Okay, so are my concerns misplaced here that Keith Englehart is signing to approve invoices to pay Sharon [sic] Englehart?
 - A. No, your -- for your observation, I will tell you

48 that the only reason that I said let's go ahead and do that 1 is because the invoices are probably about -- well, they're 2 approximately \$220. 3 Yeah. Okay. Well, the only thing that concerns 4 me is that, you know -- pardon me for saying this, but it 5 6 might be like you're playing with somebody else's money, like the OCD's. 8 Yeah, there have been other people playing with 9 my money all through this. But on this one I thought that 10 this is probably not the one to die on. There were just the three tanks to be carried off, and she provided a 11 service. 12 13 But the other thing, that you understand, is that 14

But the other thing, that you understand, is that in a community like Farmington there's -- it's not uncommon that a guy's wife might have a related business and work with him.

There is, I agree, not a business procedure to have him sign her invoices.

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay, I have no further questions.

Mr. Bruce, do you have a redirect?

MR. BRUCE: I do not.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay. Mr. Corbett, that concludes our examination of you. We're going to go ahead and finish the hearing, but I understand that you're on a

cell phone and you probably don't want to be connected for 1 the rest of the hearing; is that correct? 2 THE WITNESS: That's true. 3 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay, thank you very much. 4 Ms. MacQuesten, did you have anything else of the 5 witness? 6 7 MS. MacQUESTEN: No, I don't, thank you. CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Commission? 8 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: 9 No. COMMISSIONER OLSON: 10 No. CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Thank you very much, Mr. 11 12 Corbett. 13 MR. CORBETT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Let the record reflect that 14 Mr. Corbett's phone connection was disconnected at this 15 16 point. 17 Mr. Bruce, do you have anything else? MR. BRUCE: I have nothing further, Mr. Chairman. 18 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Ms. MacQuesten, did you have a 19 20 close? MS. MacQUESTEN: Just briefly. We're just 21 22 seeking guidance on what we need to do under the terms of the order. We take the position that if the Commission 23 24 orders something, only the Commission can take that order 25 away. For example, on the reseeding, if the landowner

doesn't want the site reseeded, we don't take an opinion on that. But because we weren't the entity ordering the reseeding, we think that Mr. Corbett needs to ask the Commission to decide whether the landowner's desire is something they want to recognize.

Other than that, we just need guidance on how to carry out the terms of the order. What we would prefer is that the Commission decide exactly how they want us to handle the penalty now.

If Mr. Corbett has shown enough that you don't think a penalty should be imposed, we need to know that. If you think that the penalty amount should be imposed but with some reduction, we would really appreciate knowing what amount that reduction is, because we've now been through three hearings on this and we don't really want to go on a fourth. So if you just tell us what you want us to do, we'll do it.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Mr. Bruce?

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Chairman, maybe off the cuff first, when you started asking Mr. Corbett about conversations with his attorney and you cut it off, I didn't mind because I hadn't had any discussions with him since the last hearing. I didn't speak with him again until probably a week ago.

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: To his detriment, Mr. Bruce --

MR. BRUCE: Well --

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: -- I'm afraid.

MR. BRUCE: -- he was -- as he said, he was trying to take care of it on his own.

The provision of the Commission's prior order states that if Northstar Oil and Gas Corporation restores the well sites and seeds the well sites with seed or plants native to the area by December 15th, 2007, it may reduce the civil penalty by its actual expenditures for restoring the well sites.

If you look at the invoices, which I believe were necessary for reclaiming and restoring the well site, all of the work was done by December 15th, except for the reseeding, and Mr. Corbett acknowledged that. Well in excess of \$10,000 was spent, and he's still spending money. We believe that these charges were proper.

The Form C-103s were filed, although the way I read the order, that -- the December 15th date wasn't the deadline for filing the Form C-103s, and really the only person penalized by that is Northstar, by the fact that he had to continue at least paying interest on his loan.

If the Commission would grant me some time, I'm sure I could get the proof of payment, the canceled checks, and submit those to the Division. My only assertion -- and

I understand Ms. MacQuesten's desire for guidance with the Commission -- I would simply argue that Mr. Corbett,

Northstar Oil and Gas, has substantially complied with the Commission's order.

As he said, perhaps he should have called me earlier. I did pass on the letters that Ms. MacQuesten sent to me, to him, but he chose more or less to go alone. I apologize to the Commission for that, but I think -- I think over the next few days the one well site will be reseeded and we're at the end of this, and I would again request that pursuant to the prior order, Mr. -- Northstar Oil and Gas be given at least some credit for the surface restoration.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay. Any rebuttal?

MS. MacQUESTEN: Oh, just to point out that the language in the order at page 3, paragraph 2, is, Northstar Oil and Gas Corporation shall restore the well sites associated with the wells in the manner provided in Subsection B of 19.15.4.202 NMAC and seed, and so forth.

They needed to do everything the rule required, which included filing the forms and so forth, and that was not done by December 15th.

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: At this time, Commissioner Bailey, Commissioner Olson, would either -- anybody be

```
interested in a break, or do you want to just --
 1
               COMMISSIONER OLSON: Yeah.
 2
               CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: At this time why don't we take
 3
     a 10-minute break, and we'll begin our deliberations on
 4
     this case at 11:10.
 5
 6
               Is there any reason not to deliberate in public,
     Commissioner?
 7
               COMMISSIONER BAILEY: We normally do not.
 8
 9
               CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Do not. I'm sorry, I keep
10
     forgetting.
               Okay, we will begin our deliberations at 11:10 in
11
     private.
12
13
               (Thereupon, a recess was taken at 10:59 a.m.)
               (The following proceedings had at 11:12 a.m.)
14
               CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Let's go back on the record.
15
     Let the record reflect it's 11:10.
16
17
               The Commission will go into executive session to
     discuss Case Number 13- -- to deliberate in Case Number
18
     13,920.
19
20
               Before we go into executive session, is there any
     business that we need to address?
21
               COMMISSIONER BAILEY: No.
2.2
23
               COMMISSIONER OLSON: No.
               CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay. At this time we'll go
24
     into executive session.
25
```

(Off the record at 11:12 a.m.) 1 (The following proceedings had at 11:18 a.m.) 2 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay, let's go back on the 3 4 record. Let the record reflect that the Commission has 5 6 completed its deliberations on Case Number 13,920, 7 reopened, that during the executive session the Commission discussed nothing but Case Number 13,920. 8 We've come to a decision in that case, and we've 9 instructed Counsel to draft an order to that effect, to be 10 prepared for signing at the May 9th, 2008, Commission 11 12 meeting. Basically the order will direct Mr. -- Northstar 13 Oil and Gas and Mr. Corbett to pay the \$10,000 penalty, to 14 re-seed the Barbara location -- and what was the other --15 there was a third provision? 16 MS. BADA: Not to have to reseed the Davie. 17 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: -- and that he does not have 18 to reseed the Davie. 19 The decision as made renders moot the question on 20 what the Commission intended with respect to the credits 21 for work that was done after the initial order, and the 22 order will so reflect and be reviewed at the next 23 Commission meeting. 24 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: And the bond will not be 25

1	released until we have proof of the completion of activity?
2	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Absolutely.
3	Counsel, do we need a motion?
4	MS. BADA: So not released until payment of the
5	penalty and
6	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: reseeding
7	MS. BADA: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: is completed.
9	COMMISSIONER OLSON: Approval of the final
10	actions, reseeding.
11	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Commission I mean, Counsel,
13	is there anything else we need to address at this meeting?
14	MS. BADA: (Shakes head)
15	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Secretary Davidson, is there
16	anything you think we need to address?
17	MS. DAVIDSON: (Shakes head)
18	COMMISSIONER OLSON: Do you want me to I'll
19	make a motion on that so that we need to
20	MS. BADA: Motion to
21	COMMISSIONER OLSON: motion to direct counsel
22	to prepare that.
23	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay. Is there a second?
24	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Second.
25	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: All those in favor, signify by

1	saying aye.
2	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Äye.
3	COMMISSIONER OLSON: Aye.
4	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Aye. Let the record reflect
5	that the motion carried, and that counsel will be so
6	directed.
7	Is there any other business before the
8	Commission?
9	Seeing none, the Chair would entertain a motion
10	to adjourn.
11	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: I so move.
12	COMMISSIONER OLSON: Second.
13	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: All those in favor, signify by
14	saying aye.
15	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Aye.
16	COMMISSIONER OLSON: Aye.
17	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Let the record reflect that
18	the motion carried and the Commission meeting was adjourned
19	at 11:23 a.m.
20	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
21	11:23 a.m.)
22	* * *
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL April 21st, 2008.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2010