STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISON FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF T. H. McELVAIN OIL & GAS LIMITED PARTNERSHIP FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO **CASE NO. 14115**

PRE-HEARING STATEMENT

This pre-hearing statement is submitted by ConocoPhillips Company ("ConocoPhillips") as required by the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division.

APPEARENCES OF THE PARTIES

APPLICANT

ATTORNEY

T. H. McElvain Oil & Gas

James Bruce, Esq.

OPPONENT

ATTORNEY

ConocoPhillips Company
P. O. Box 4289
Famington, New Mexico 87499-4289
Attn: Alan Alexander
505-326-9757

W. Thomas Kellahin 706 Gonzales Road Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 505-982-4285 Fax: 505-982-2047

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

OPPONENT

ConocoPhillips is the working interest owner of approximately 70% of the N/2 of Section 1, T29N, R13W, NMPM being the Basin-Fruitland Coal-Gas Pool spacing unit that McElvain is seeking to compulsory pool for the Hutchinson Well No. 2 located in Unit F.

In order to obtain a compulsory pooling order, McElvain must first determine the mineral and working interest ownership within a spacing unit and provide those parties with a reasonable opportunity to voluntarily participate. In this case, McElvain incurred some \$85,000 costs for abstract and title opinion costs. As part of its the proposed cost of drilling, completing and equipping the Hutchinson Well No. 2, McElvain in its "AFE" claims that it can recover these abstract/title costs from ConocoPhillips.

But for this claim, ConocoPhillips would have been able to reach a voluntary agreement with McElvain for the drilling of this wellbore.

The issues for the Examiner:

- (a) Can McElvain properly include abstract and title opinion costs in a compulsory pooling order and recovery those costs from the pooled parties by including them in its AFE of estimated costs of drilling, completing and equipping the subject well; and
- (b) Must ConocoPhillips pay its proportionate share of those costs or, if not, it will be a non-consenting pooled party.

ConocoPhillips requests that the Division deny McElvain's attempt to recovery McElvain's abstract/title costs from ConocoPhillips.

PROPOSED EVIDENCE

OPPONENT

WITNESSES EST. TIME EST. EXHIBITS

Richard Corcoran (Landman)

@ 30-min.

@ 6-8 exhibits

PROCEDURAL MATTERS

None at this time.

KELLAHIN & KELLAHIN

W. Thomas Kellahin 706 Gonzales Rd

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Phone 505-982-4285

Fax 505-982-2047

E-mail: tkellahin@comcast.net