## STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 13124 ORDER NO. R-12050-A

APPLICATION OF UNIT PETROLEUM COMPANY FOR COMPULSORY POOLING AND AN UNORTHODOX GAS WELL LOCATION, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

## **NUNC PRO TUNC**

#### **BY THE DIVISION:**

It appearing to the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division ("Division") that Order No. R-12050, dated November 12, 2003, does not correctly state the intended order of the Division.

### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

- (1) Finding Paragraph No. (5)(b) on page 2 of Order No. R-12050 is hereby amended to read in its entirety as follows:
  - (b) Other than the Applicant, the largest owner in this 320 acres is BTA Oil Producers and its partners, which together own 37.5 percent of the working interest. BTA Oil Producers has agreements with these partners to decide oil and gas participation matters.
- (2) The corrections set forth in this order shall be entered retroactively as of November 12, 2003.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on this 18th day of November, 2003.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

LORI WROTENBERY

Director

JAMES BRUCE ATTORNEY AT LAW

POST OFFICE BOX 1056 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87504

369 MONTEZUMA, NO. 213 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501

(505) 982-2043 (PHONE) (505) 982-2151 (FAX)

jamesbruc@aol.com

November 17, 2003

Via Fax and U.S. Mail

Lori Wrotenbery Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

Re: Order No. R-12050

Unit Petroleum Company

Dear Ms. Wrotenbery:

Thank you for the above order. However, there is an error in the order which should be corrected. Finding Paragraph (5)(a) states:

Other than the Applicant, the largest interest owner in this 320 acres is BTA Oil Producers and its partners, which together own 37.5 percent of the working interest. BTA Oil Producers has agreements with these partners to decide oil and gas Participation matters, and BTA has chosen not to participate. The Applicant does not know the locations of the acreage controlled by BTA Oil producers within the 320 acres.

The underlined portions are not correct. Although BTA had not decided to join in the well before the hearing, it again will be given the opportunity to join in the well now that the order has issued. Also, the interests of the working interest owners being pooled are in the W%E% of the E% well unit, per the land testimony.

Unit requests that the order be corrected *nunc pro tunc* by deleting or amending the underlined language. Thank you for your attention to this matter

ety truly yours,

Mames Bruce

ttorney for Unit Petroleum Company

# RECEIVED

NOV 1 9 2003

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

Case 13124