1	,	1		
2		NEW MEXICO		
3	ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION			
4	STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG. SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO			
5	25 Ma	у 1983		
6	EXAMINE	R HEARING ;		
7	IN THE MATTER OF:	•		
8	Application of Rio Pecos Corporation CASE for an unorthodox gas well location, 7876			
. 9	Eddy County, New Mexico.			
10				
11				
12		·		
13	BEFORE: Dishard T. Glamata			
14	Richard L. Stamets, Examiner			
15				
	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING			
16				
17	APPI	EARANCES		
18				
19	For the Oil Conservation	W. Perry Pearce, Esq.		
20	Division:	Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Bldg.		
21		Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501		
22				
23	For the Applicant:	W. Thomas Kellahin, Esq.		
24	KELLAHIN & KELLAHIN P. O. Box 2265			
		Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501		
25				

1		2
2	I N D E X	
3		
. 4	SCOTT WILSON	
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin	4
6		
7	MARK WILSON	
8	Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin	8
9		
10		
11		
12	EXHIBITS	
13		
14	Applicant Exhibit One, Plat	5
15	Applicant Exhibit Two, Isopach	9
16	Applicant Exhibit Three, Cross Section	. 11
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

,*

1

3

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. STAMETS: We'll call next Case 7876.

MR. PEARCE: That case is on the application of Rio Pecos Corporation for an unorthodox gas well

MR. KELLAHIN: If the Examiner please, I'm Tom Kellahin of Santa Fe, New Mexico, appearing on behalf of the applicant, and I have two witnesses to be sworn.

location, Eddy County, New Mexico.

(Witnesses sworn.)

MR. KELLAHIN: If the Examiner please, since filing the application Rio Pecos Corporation as operator has been over the course of the years dealing with some twenty-one working interest owners. There has been an agreement to move the location from that advertised.

The proposed location would be 1980 from the north line and 990 from the west line, which would mean that we're moving to a more standard location than advertised, and hopefully, will not have to readvertise our case.

We are on a drilling commitment deadline which requires the commencement of the well, I believe, on June 1st?

> MR. WILSON: May 31st.

1 MR. KELLAHIN: May 31st, Mr. Examiner, 2 so we are in some difficulty with regards to obtaining an 3 order and with regards to the location, but if my past memory serves me correctly, I think that this amendment has been per-5 mitted without readvertisement. MR. STAMETS: You are correct, and I see no reason in this case, if I hear no objection from the 8 assembly, we will grant the amendment to this application. 10 11 SCOTT WILSON, being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his oath, 12 testified as follows, to-wit: 13 14 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. KELLAHIN: 17 Mr. Wilson, for the record let me have you 18 state your name and occupation, sir. Scott Wilson, and I am a petroleum landman. 19 Mr. Wilson, you've previously testified as 20 21 a petroleum landman before the Oil Conservation Division, 22 have you not?

23 Yes, I have.

24

25

And with regards to this working interest unit and the proposed unorthodox gas well location, you have

1	.
2	done all the title work and negotiations or had that conducted
3	under your control and supervision.
4	A. Yes, I have.
5	MR. KELLAHIN: We tender Mr. Wilson as
6	an expert petroleum landman.
7.	MR. STAMETS: He is considered quali-
8	fied.
9	Q. Mr. Wilson, let me direct your attention to
10	what we've marked as Exhibit Number One, and have you gener-
11	ally explain what the proposal is with regards to the loca-
12	tion, particularly within that 40-acre quarter quarter section
13	being the southwest of the northwest of Section 5.
14	A. Okay. We initiated trying to get this well
15	drilled two years ago and have formed an 815-acre working
16	interest unit exhibited by the red outline, illustrated by
17	the red outline.
18	Q. This working interest unit is for rights
19	below what particular footage depth, Mr. Wilson?
20	A. It covers all oil and gas rights from be-
21	low the base of the Abo formation through the top of the
22	Mississippian Chester limestone.
23	As you mentioned, we have twenty-one working
24	interest owners in here and that's why it's taken consider-

able time to get this together.

-

Now, within this roughly section and a half less a couple of tracts here, working interest unit, we wish to drill our initial test well within the north half of Section 5. Our original plan was to drill the west half of Section 5 but because of land problems we now must drill the north half of Section 5 and we have the concurrence of the twenty-one working interest owners within the unit area to drill that location.

You will notice that we've got a couple windows in the unit, the northwest of the southwest quarter and the south half of the southeast quarter, owned by Walter Sault (sic), being 120 acres, and from the -- we've tried numerous approaches to work out a deal with him, including trying to buy his acreage, trying to get him in the unit, and participate or farm out on the basis -- same basis as the other contributing parties are farming out. We've also requested that if he didn't want to join the unit, participate on a spacing unit basis and pay his share of the cost of drilling the well, and he's declined every reasonable approach and we were forced, therefor, to cut him out of the unit and go with a north half spacing unit.

0 Mr. Sault is aware of the proposed unorthodox location, is he not?

Yes, he is and we --

Q.

And he has not opposed that location.

.

A. He has not opposed it. I might also add that Mr. Sault's lease in particular is heavily burdened and has a twenty-five percent overriding royalty burden which he created, which makes it unreasonable for us to include his — his interest in our west half spacing unit even if we force pool him, in our opinion.

Q. All right, in terms of a standard location, then, within the north half of Section 5, have you proposed to the other working interest owners other locations than the one now requested?

A. Yes, and Marathon, who is the majority interest owner within this particular unit with twenty-five percent interest, agreed to join and participate, or farm out, actually, they're farming out to us, but farm out to the unit only if we drilled this particular location. Matter of fact one time we tried to change it over to the east half of Section 6 and they required that we move it back to this original location or they wouldn't support our unit, which would have finished the deal for everybody.

interest owners in the unit have agreed that, and require that the well as proposed be the first well for the working interest and that it specifically be located as now proposed.

- 1	·		
2	A. Yes, after two years that's the only pos-		
3	sible location we can drill.		
4	Q. All right, sir.		
5	MR. KELLAHIN: That concludes my exa-	•	
6	mination of Mr. Wilson.		
7	MR. STAMETS: Any questions of this		
8	witness? He may be excused.		
9			
10	MARK WILSON,		
11	being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his oath		
12	testified as follows, to-wit:		
13			
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION		
15	BY MR. KELLAHIN:		
16	Q. Mr. Wilson, for the record would you stat	e.	
17	your name and occupation?		
18	A. Mark Wilson, petroleum geologist.		
19	Q. Mr. Wilson, have you previously testified	[
20	before the Division as a geologist and had your qualifica-		
21	tions accepted and made a matter of record?		
22	A. I have.		
23	Q. And you've done the geology on this parti	_	
24			
25	cular prospect.		
43	A. Correct.		

MR. KELLAHIN: We tender Mr. Mark Wilson as an expert geologist.

3

MR. STAMETS: He is considered quali-

5

fied.

to Exhibit Number Two and have you identify that exhibit for

Mr. Wilson, let me direct your attention

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

us. . A. Okay. Exhibit Two is the Isopach of the

total Morrow series of the Pennsylvanian and the key point in making this map here is to show the trend, the various alluvial valleys in the area.

The main feeder valley in here comes up in 16 South, 28 East, trending southwesterly, and when you get down into the northwest part of 17, 27, there's a branching in that area there. One branch goes off called the South Empire alluvial valley to feed the delta system over in the South Empire Field.

A second branch comes off that which I labeled here the "AB" valley, which the famour old Amoco "AB" Well is present with 40-billion gas reserves, and then there is a -- more or less a continuation of the Crow Flats alluvial valley trend down through the Logan Draw Field area over in 17 South, 27 East, where we call it the Logan Draw alluvial valley, and going southward that develops into the

•

Burton Flat delta system.

The valley that we are concerned with here is one that's not yet very heavily explored. It lies between the Logan Draw alluvial valley and the "AB" valley, and if you narrow in on the area that we're discussing here, the Illinois Camp Unit area, you will notice there the position of the 285-foot contour on both the north side and the south side of the valley, which would delineate that area there where the Morrow would be thickest and where we could expect to get the maximum number of channel sandstones.

The spacing unit that we're dedicating is colored orange on the map. You will note that actually the southwest quarter of that spacing unit is the -- about the best place that we can drill a well. The Morrow thins rather rapidly going northwards from 285 feet to 200 feet and that's our best choice.

Q. With regards to the north half proration unit, Mr. Wilson, in your opinion you've just expressed that this location is the optimum location within that proration unit, but in terms of your study, do you -- do you have an opinion with regards as to whether or not the north half of this section is going to fairly and reasonably contribute to production from that acreage -- from that location?

Yeah, I think that's correct. Now, when

U

′

you make a total Morrow Isopach, of course, what you're looking at is erosion into the Chester shale section, and the trends you see on this map are going to be more related to those early Morrow, or the Lower Morrow, than they are, say, the late Morrow when these valleys were filled up, and so probably in the late part of the Morrow there would be some sands in here which are not trending northwest as the early Morrow sands should be, but trending north/south, in general.

Q Let's turn then to Exhibit Number Three at this time and have you discuss for us the cross section.

A. Okay. Exhibit Three is a cross section, south to north. The line of the cross section is shown on Exhibit Two with the green line, and the wells are numbered on Exhibit Two to match the numbers on Exhibit Three, the cross section itself.

I drew this cross section principally to give you a look at a profile across this alluvial valley. It's in this case not a sharply etched valley; it's rather a broad type of valley. If you'll look back at the cross section, the top of the Morrow is shown in brown; the top of the Chester is in gray, and you'll notice that on both ends the interval of the Morrow is thinner than it is in the wells where we have the sandstones here colored yellow.

The two and three wells there are wells

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

that have been completed in the channel sandstones shown by these boxey forms on the cross section in this particular valley.

The number four well has two channel sandstones, but unfortunately that's a little low and a little wet. But the impression you get in looking at this is that you could drill on the sides or out in the middle and you'll come up with one or more channel sandstones within the Morrow section as long as you're in this area, say, between the 285foot contours.

- Were Exhibits Two and Three prepared by you or compiled under your direction and supervision?
 - Yes, I did them.
- And in your opinion will approval of this application be in the best interests of conservation, the prevention of waste, and the protection of correlative rights?
 - I do.

MR. KELLAHIN: That concludes our examination of Mr. Wilson. We move the introduction of Exhibits One through Three.

The exhibits will be ad-MR. STAMETS: mitted.

Are there any questions of the witness? He may be excused. Does anyone have anything further they

22

21

23 24

25

1		13
2	wish to add in this case?	
3	The case will be taken under a	dvise-
4 .	ment.	
5		
6	(Hearing concluded.)	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		<i>*</i>
16		
17		•
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Saly W. Boyd Cor

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete reason of the proceedings in the Example of Lase to 7876, heard by me on 6 -25

Oil Conservation Division