1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
3	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
4	ORIGINAL
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR
6	THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING: CASE NO. 14161
7	SECOND AMENDED APPLICATION OF TARGA MIDSTREAM SERVICES, LP, FOR APPROVAL OF
8 9	AN ACID-GAS INJECTION WELL, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO
10	
11	STP R
12	P 29
13	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
14	EXAMINER HEARING
15	36 6
16	BEFORE: DAVID K. BROOKS, Legal Examiner
17	RICHARD EZEANYIM, Technical Examiner
18	September 18, 2008
19	Santa Fe, New Mexico
20	This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico
21	Oil Conservation Division, DAVID K. BROOKS, Legal Examiner, RICHARD EZEANYIM, Technical Examiner, and TERRY G. WARNELL,
22 23	Technical Examiner, on Thursday, September 18, 2008, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.
24	REPORTED BY: JOYCE D. CALVERT, P-03 Paul Baca Court Reporters
25	500 Fourth Street, NW, Suite 105 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 4th Street, NW, Suite 105, Albuquerque, NM 87102

· 1

•

1	TNDEV	
2	INDEX	
3	Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 14161	
4		PAGE
5	APPEARANCES	3
6	APPLICANT'S WITNESSES:	
7	MICHAEL PIERCE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HALL EXAMINATION BY MR. EZEANYIM	5 8
8 9	APPLICANT'S EXHIBITS 7 through 11	9
10		
11		10
12	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	13
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

. 2

1	
2	APPEARANCES
3	FOR THE APPLICANT:
4	J. Scott Hall, Esq. MONTGOMERY & ANDREWS LAW FIRM
5	325 Paseo De Peralta Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
6	
7	FOR MOMENTUM OPERATING COMPANY:
8	James G. Bruce, Esq. ATTORNEY AT LAW
9	P.O. Box 1056 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
10	
11	
12	
13	
14 15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

MR. EZEANYIM: Let's go back on the record and call 1 2 the next case, which is Case No. 14161. This is a Second Amendment Application of Targa Midstream Services, LP, for 3 Approval of an Acid-Gas Injection Well, Lea County, New Mexico. 4 5 Call for appearances. 6 MR. HALL: Mr. Examiner, Scott Hall from Montgomery and Andrews law firm of Santa Fe, on behalf of the applicant. 7 8 I have one witness this morning. He's previously been sworn 9 and his credentials as an expert petroleum geologist accepted. 10 MR. EZEANYIM: That will be put on the record that he's so qualified, which is fine. 11 12 Any other appearances? MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of Santa Fe. 13 I'm 14 here for Momentum Operating Company. I have no witnesses. 15MR. EZEANYIM: Okay. I understand that this case was 16 continued from the last August 7 hearing to September 18th so 17 the operator could come in and provide more data and 18 information. MR. HALL: Mr. Examiner, it was heard originally on 19 20 August 7th, and a second amended application was filed to correct the surface injection pressure reflected on the 21 22 advisement, and new notice went out to all the affected persons 23 pursuant to that. At the August 7th hearing, Mr. Jones, who heard this 24 25 case, asked for Targa to submit an additional application with

respect to water analyses and also with respect to any DST data 1 that might be available so we would have a better understanding 2 of the permeability of the injection formation and then also 3 some more detail on the well schematics. 4 5 I have recalled Michael Pierce here today to discuss these briefly with you, so I would refer to him and the 6 7 exhibits. MICHAEL PIERCE 8 after having been first duly sworn under oath, 9 was guestioned and testified as follows: 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 12 BY MR. HALL: 13 O. Mr. Pierce, let's talk about Exhibits 7 and 8. Would you identify Exhibit 7 for us? 14 A. Exhibit 7 is a water analysis of a new well just 15 west of the Targa facility. It's within the one-mile area of 16 review. In the original application, we did a survey and found 17 no freshwater wells in the area, and this well was recently 18 located. The plant and the one occupant that lives in the area 19 20 of review out there get their water piped in from elsewhere. So there's really no freshwater sources out here. 21 22 And as you can see on this one, this new water well has a total dissolved solids of 22,000. I don't even know if 23 24 the cows can drink that safely. 25 Q. Is the location of this well in the NE/4, NW/4 of

Section 1 just to the south of the injection zone? 1 2 That is correct. Α. Q. Okay. Let's refer to Exhibit No. 8. What does 3 4 Exhibit 8 show us? 5 This is a water analysis of the fluid that's Α. currently disposed in the disposal well that Targa currently 6 operates at the plant site. This is water that comes from 7 8 plant operations, and this is just the analysis of that water. Q. All right. There's no issue with respect to 9 compatibility of these injection fluids into the injection 10 formation? 11 A. No, there's not. 12 All right. Let's look at Exhibit No. 9, and is 13 Ο. this the new well bore schematic with additional detail? 14 15 A. Yes. This is what the well will look like once 16 it's been drilled with respect to casing and cement and 17 sub-surface safety valve. Q. The sub-surface safety valve that's reflected at 18 the top of the tubing at 250 feet; is that where that is? 19 20 A. Yes, sir. That's correct. 21 Can you review for the Examiner where the casing 0. 22 depths are on the schematic? The 13 3/8 casing will be set at approximately 23 Α. 24 1,050 feet in the Rustler and Hydrac formation; 9 5/8 will be 25 set at approximately 5,000 feet. This gets us below the San

1 Andres formation. The 7-inch casing will be set at the top of 2 the Devonian at 8350 feet. All strings will be circulated to 3 surface. We have 3 1/2-inch dual line tubing that we'll set within 50 feet of the casing shoe approximately 8300 feet. We 4 5 propose to load the backside with diesel fuel. O. Mr. Pierce, we've asked for maximum surface 6 7 injection pressure not to exceed 1,660 psi. Is that injection 8 pressure less than anticipated facture break-in for the formation at the injection interval? 9 A. Yes, it is. 10 MR. EZEANYIM: How much pressure is that? 1600? 11 THE WITNESS: Pardon me? 12 MR. EZEANYIM: What is the injection pressure? 1600? 13 1660. THE WITNESS: 14 MR. HALL: 1660. That concludes my examination of 15 the witness, Mr. Examiner. 16 17 Let me do this: I'm sorry. (By Mr. Hall): Were Exhibits 7, 8 and 9 compiled 18 Q. by you or at your direction? 19 20 A. They were. MR. HALL: Okay. I move the admission of Exhibits 7, 21 22 8 and 9, Mr. Examiner. And that concludes my direct of the 23 witness. MR. EZEANYIM: Any objection? 24 25 MR. BRUCE: No objection. And I do not have any

questions of the witness.
MR. EZEANYIM: Okay. Exhibits 7, 8 and 9 will be
admitted. Do you have any questions?
MR. BROOKS: No questions.
MR. EZEANYIM: Do you have any questions?
MR. WARNELL: One question, Mr. Pierce. What is the
estimated fracture pressure? What would you estimate that as?
THE WITNESS: We estimate that just putting water in
the well will be on a vacuum. But to keep the gas, acid-gas,
in a gas phase, we have to compress it to what were those
numbers exactly? I think 1150 pounds, I believe were the
numbers to let's see which is well below the 1660 pounds
we're 1150 pounds? Yes.
To keep it in phase, to keep it in a gas phase, it
will be 1150 pounds surface pressure.
EXAMINATION
BY MR. EZEANYIM:
Q. Not 1660?
A. No, sir. That's just the upper limit we're
asking for.
Q. You can't inject the acid-gas on the
A. That's correct.
Q. You can't do that.
A. No, sir. But if the Devonian, if we're injecting
just strictly water, it would take it on a vacuum.

Yeah. Did you do the 1 MR. EZEANYIM: 2 re-advertisement? I understand that you're suppose to do that, 3 too. MR. HALL: We advertised, yes, sir. If you look at 4 5 Exhibit 10 and 11, Exhibit 10 is my Notice of Affidavit. And the first page under Exhibit 10 is a list of -- it says 6 operators, but it also includes surface owners within the 7 one-mile area of review. 8 9 We provided notice to -- there was -- the third page 10 is an operator who we provided notice to, but did not receive a 11 signed certified receipt back from that operator's corporation. All the others were received. We have a copy, an example, of 12 my notice letter and then copies of all of the return receipts 13 under that. Exhibit 11 is the Affidavit of Publication from 14 15 the Hobbs News Sun for hearing today. So we move the admission of Exhibits 7 through 11. 16 17 MR. EZEANYIM: Exhibits 7 through 11 will be admitted. 18 [Applicant's Exhibits 7 through 11 admitted into 19 20 evidence.] (By Mr. Ezeanyim): Okay. Good. As you know, 21 Ο. 22 this is an acid-gas. I know most of the issues may have been 23 discussed on August 7th when this case was heard. I wasn't 24 here. But I wanted to point out something and see what you -there are certain procedures we take when we are doing acid-gas 25

injection which can be very violent, and that is why we're 1 2 doing it. And that's why we are approving these at the 3 hearing. I like your schematic, anyway. But my question is: 4 5 At the point you are injecting this at 200 feet, do you have any production within two miles of that injection zone? 6 7 Not from the Devonian. The Devonian is not Α. 8 productive. Not productive at least within two miles. 9 Ο. I have a criterion of within two miles of that injection. 10 11 A. Yes, sir. It's not productive within two miles 12 of the well bore location. 13 Q. Okay. Now, you are asked to say something about 14 permeability. We need to have some permeability and porosity 15 work on that out there at the facility. 16 Well, what we were looking at is all the wells Α. 17 that penetrated the injection interval were drilled in the late 18 '40s to the early '60s. There was eight or ten of them. And 19 the only downhole pressure information we have is from old 20 DSTs. 21 Q. Okay. 22 And Mr. Jones asked if we could possibly come up Α. 23 with some of the original DST charts so that we could get an 24 idea of permeability. And, you know, I have made contact with 25 Amerada Hess, or Hess Corporation now, and that information

is -- they won't say it doesn't exist anymore, but they're
having difficulty finding it. And obviously, with what's been
going on the last couple of weeks in Houston, it's not likely
forthcoming.

You know, we did on the radius of injection estimated porosity, and we can't measure permeability directly from the logs. And so really there's -- I don't have any data except historical data on how the formation performs elsewhere in Lea County as to how it will do here.

Q. From your knowledge of the area, what would you say would be the permeability in that area? Because we want to have some permeability so we can put that gas in there.

A. Right.

14 Q. What type of degree are we looking at, from your 15 experience, even though we don't have the data?

A. Like I mentioned earlier before, the Devonian very typically takes water on a vacuum, a very strong vacuum. So I can't tell you that that's two darcies or ten darcies -or I can't put a direct number on that. I just know that historically the Devonian is a very good disposal interval and stays on a vacuum for a very long time.

I mean, there's instances -- there's several wells I know about in Lea County that have disposed over 20 million barrels of water and are still on a vacuum.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

Q. You have projects that operate on a vacuum right

1

now?

2 Α. That's correct. Okay. We needed those permeability because we 3 0. want to make sure, you know, when we inject those acid-gas 4 5 there that they stay where they're supposed to. That's why we're looking for permeability. 6 Right. And, you know, I'm just not coming out. 7 Α. 8 I mean, I don't know of a source where we can go to track that down. I mean, like I say, the easiest one would have been if 9 10 we could have found some of those DSTs, but they're 50 to 60-year-old data and it's just, you know, hard to keep track of 11 something that long. And especially, you know, the area has 12 changed. Hess drilled these wells, but they've since sold this 13 production, so they don't even have a current file on it. 14 15 Q. Okay. 16 MR. EZEANYIM: Do you have anything, Mr. Bruce? 17 MR. BRUCE: Nothing. MR. EZEANYIM: Anybody have anything? Okay. 18 Finally, Case No. 14161 will be taken under advisement. 19 20 * * * 21 I co hereby certify that the foregoing is e complete record of the proceedings in 22 the Examiner hearing of Case No. _ heard by me on 23 24 Oll Conservation Division _, Examiner 25

1 2 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 3 I, JOYCE D. CALVERT, Provisional Court Reporter for 4 the State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that I reported the 5 6 foregoing proceedings in stenographic shorthand and that the 7 foregoing pages are a true and correct transcript of those 8 proceedings and was reduced to printed form under my direct 9 supervision. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by nor 10 11 related to any of the parties or attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in the final disposition of this 12 13 proceeding. DATED this 18th of September, 2008. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 JOYCE, CALVERT D. New Mexico P-03 22 License Expires: 7/31/09 23 24 25

STATE OF NEW MEXICO 1)) 2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO) 3 I, JOYCE D. CALVERT, a New Mexico Provisional Reporter, working under the direction and direct supervision of 4 Paul Baca, New Mexico CCR License Number 112, hereby certify 5 that I reported the attached proceedings; that pages numbered 1-12 inclusive, are a true and correct transcript of my stenographic notes. On the date I reported these proceedings, 6 I was the holder of Provisional License Number P-03. 7 Dated at Albuquerque, New Mexico, 18th day of September, 2008. 8 9 10 Calvert 11 Provisional License #P-03 License Expires: 7/31/09 12 13 14 15 16 Paul Baca, RPR Certified Court Reporter #112 17 License Expires: 12/31/08 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
3	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
4	
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR
6	THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING: CASE NO. 14161
7	SECOND AMENDED APPLICATION OF TARGA MIDSTREAM SERVICES, LP, FOR APPROVAL OF
8	AN ACID-GAS INJECTION WELL, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
14	EXAMINER HEARING
15	
16	BEFORE: DAVID K. BROOKS, Legal Examiner RICHARD EZEANYIM, Technical Examiner
17	TERRY G. WARNELL, Technical Examiner
18	September 18, 2008
19	Santa Fe, New Mexico
20	This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico
21	Oil Conservation Division, DAVID K. BROOKS, Legal Examiner, RICHARD EZEANYIM, Technical Examiner, and TERRY G. WARNELL,
22	Technical Examiner, on Thursday, September 18, 2008, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department,
23	1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.
24	REPORTED BY: JOYCE D. CALVERT, P-03 Paul Baca Court Reporters
25	500 Fourth Street, NW, Suite 105 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

1

1