	•	Page	1
	NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION		
	COMMISSION HEARING		
Hearing Date	MAY 12, 1983	Time:_	9:00 A.M.

NAME	REPRESENTING	LOCATION
East L. Padilla	Clements Every, Anc	55
Breb Huler	Commen	Sunty Te
Denni Einer	Clements Energy, Inc	Midland
Wayne New burnet	11 11	//
Liceian & East	Campbell, Topped and Tack	South Fe
A. H. CARPENTER	BLH	SANM FE
Slogarie	OC P	S. E

			Page 2
	NEW MEXICO	OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION	
		SANTA FE , NEW MEXICO	
Hearing Date		Time: 9:00 A.M.	
NAME		REPRESENTING	LOCATION
	e series e s		
			The state of the s
	1		
	English Control of the Control of th		
	· The confirmation		

1 3 MR. RAMEY: The hearing will come to 3 order. Let's call first this morning Case Number 7868. MR. PEARCE: That case is on the 7 application of Clements Energy, Incorporated, for amendment of Order No. R-7155 and Order R-7155-A, Lea County, New Mexico. MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, Ernest L. 10 Padilla of Santa Fe, New Mexico, for the applicant. 11 I have one witness to be sworn. 12 MR. CARR: May it please the Commis-13 sion, my name is William F. Carr, with the law firm Campbell, 14 Byrd, and Black, P. A., of Santa Fe, appearing on behalf of 15 Mr. P. A. Poole. 16 I will call no witnesses. 17 MR. PEARCE: Could I ask the witness 18 to rise, please? 19 20 (Witness sworn.) 21 22 MR. PADILLA: Mr. Ramey, before I 23 proceed, I would ask that the exhibits and the testimony from 24 the previous two hearings be incorporated by reference. 25 We plan to introduce no new exhibits

1 merely try to solicit some new testimony from Mr. Eimers to clarify and present our case. MR. RAMEY: All right. The exhibits 5 from the previous two cases will be consolidated with the -- in this case. 8 DENNIS EIMERS, 9 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his oath, 10 testified as follows, to-wit: 11 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. PADILLA: 14 Mr. Eimers, for the record would you please 15 state your name and what your connection to the applicant is? 16 Yes. My name is Dennis Eimers and I am a 17 consulting geologist, representing Clements Energy. 18 Where do you reside, Mr. Eimers? 19 A. In Midland, Texas. 20 Have you testified in the previous case in-21 volving this same matter and had your credentials accepted as 22 a matter of record in that case? 23 Yes, I have. A. 24 And you've also testified before the Divi-25 sion at other hearings, is that correct?

Mr. Ramey, we tender

1

Yes, I have.

3

his credentials.

5

MR. RAMEY: Mr. Eimers is quali-

fied. 6

Mr. Eimers, would you tell us what the pur-

MR. PADILLA:

8

pose of today's hearing is?

9

Yes. The reason why we wanted to amend our

10

original application was for the reason that it came out to

11

be pooled the Clements Energy MGF New Mexico 14 State Com No.

12

1 Well, which we force pooled, we ended up force pooling the

of Township 14 South, Range 34 East, which is in the same sec-

tion as Clements plans to drill this well, is prorated in the

Caymon -- pardon me, in the High Plains Pennsylvanian Field.

13

Pennsylvanian.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kautz, who's a State Geologist with the Oil and Gas Commission in Hobbs, in the Hobbs office, on March 29th and also on March 30th of this year, we spoke with him and found that there is some confusion as to what is designated Pennsylvanian, what is designated as being Wolfcamp, and what is designated as being Permo-Pennsylvanian in this given area, and we found that the Caymon Corporation No. 1 High Plains Well in Section 14

Well, in subsequent conversations with Paul

Well, according to the new correlations

that Mr. Kautz is coming up with, he would -- he would say 2 that it's producing out of the Wolfcamp; therefor, if we 3 drilled this well and discovered the same pay zone, technically we would not have -- we would not be under the forced pooling order. So what we propose to do is amend our appli-8 cation simply to say Wolfcamp and Pennsylvanian to alleviate any problem as to what the producing interval is called. And that's simply all we're trying to do --10 11 to accomplish today. The testimony and the evidence that you pre-12 sented at the other hearings would remain the same as to the 13 Wolfcamp and the Pennsylvanian, is that --14 That's correct. 15 16 0. And that is the extent of what you are trying to do here today? 17 18 Yes. There's been no material changes in 19 any of the geological testimony or evidence, or anything. 20 just a matter of semantics. 21 Would the risk for drilling the well remain 22 the same? 23 A. Yes, it would. 24 Would the cost of drilling the well remain 25 the same?

1 8 questions of Mr. Eimers? MR. PADILLA: We would request an expeditious hearing on this matter. MR. RAMEY: An expeditious order? You've had an expeditious hearing. 7 MR. PADILLA: Order, I'm sorry. MR. RAMEY: The witness may be excused. 10 11 CROSS EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. RAMEY: 13 Let me ask you a question, Mr. Eimers. 14 Yeah. 15 So therefor on advice of the Division's 16 geologist in Hobbs --17 Uh-huh. 18 Henyou are amending, or seeking to amend 19 this to include the Wolfcamp. 20 Right. 21 Because it may be a Wolfcamp well. 22 He's -- he's actually going to, when he 23 goes through and officially changes names, to avoid some con-24 fusion, he's going to call it Permo-Penn, but we thought, 25 since the order already said Pennsylvanian, if we would just

any

add the name Wolfcamp, that would be enough to clarify any possible confusion.

Q Okay, thank you.

A. Thank you.

MR. RAMEY: Do you have anything

further, Mr. Padilla?

MR. PADILLA: Nothing further.

MR. RAMEY: We'll take the case

under advisement.

(Hearing concluded.)

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CEPTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Souly W. Boyd CSR

8.

10-

19.