Entered August 17, 1961

### BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 2327 Order No. R-2046

> > ......

APPLICATION OF THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION ON ITS OWN MOTION TO CON-SIDER ESTABLISHING NON-STANDARD GAS PRORATION UNITS IN THE BASIN-DAKOTA GAS POOL IN CERTAIN PARTIAL SECTIONS, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

### ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

#### BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on June 28, 1961, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," in accordance with Rule 1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

NOW, on this <u>14th</u> day of August, 1961, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application, the evidence adduced, and the recommendations of the Examiner, Daniel S. Nutter, and being fully advised in the premises,

#### FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That, in order to ensure systematic development and thereby prevent waste and protect correlative rights, the Commission should establish individual proration units in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool in Townships 29, 30, 31 and 32 North, Ranges 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12 and 13 West, NMPM, San Juan County, New Mexico.

(3) That said non-standard proration units are necessitated by irregular sections resulting from survey corrections in the United States Public Lands Survey.

(4) That the acreage contained in each individual proration unit should be based on the latest official surveys and resurveys accepted by the Federal Bureau of Land Management. -2-CASE No. 2327 Order No. R-2046

۰.

•

^

 $\sim$ 

.

### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the following-described non-standard gas proration units in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico, are hereby established:

### TOWNSHIP 29 NORTH, RANGE 9 WEST

<u>Tract</u>	<u>Acreage</u>	Section	
A	264.00	6	N/2
в	334.14	6	S/2
		7	N/2 N/2 N/2
с	336.66	7	s/2 n/2 n/2, s/2 n/2, n/2 s/2
D	331.68	7	s/2 s/2
		18	N/2 N/2, N/2 S/2 N/2
E	330.98	18	s/2 s/2 N/2, s/2
F	409.24	19	N/2, N/2 S/2
G	407.56	19	s/2 s/2
		30	N/2
н	268.42	30	S/2
I	251.72	31	N/2
J	251.01	31	s/2

### TOWNSHIP 29 NORTH, RANGE 13 WEST

Tract	Acreage	Section	
A	356.19	6	W/2
		7	W/2
в	364.60	18	₩/2
		19	₩/2
С	366.40	30	₩/2
		31	W/2

·· ··

-3-CASE No. 2327 Order No. R-2046

•

٠

Γ.

~

¥

## TOWNSHIP 30 NORTH, RANGE 6 WEST

Tract	Acreage	Section	
A	339.46	1	E/2 (This unit also contains the SE/4 of Section 36, Township 31 North, Range 6 West)
в	319.69	1	W/2
с	227.48	12	E/2
D	227.76	13	E/2
E	228.52	24	E/2
F	229.56	25	E/2
G	230.24	36	E/2

## TOWNSHIP 30 NORTH, RANGE 7 WEST

Tract	Acreage	Section	
A	296.02	6	A portion of this unit contains acreage in Section 31, Township 31 North, Range 7 West described under that Township.
в	298.90	7	W/2
		18	NW/4
с	307.44	18	SW/4
		19	W/2
D	209.36	30	W/2
Е	211.32	31	W/2

## TOWNSHIP 30 NORTH, RANGE 9 WEST

Tract	Acreage	Section	
A	299.85	6	₩/2
		7	NW/4
в	304.39	7	sw/4
		18	W/2

·

······

-4-CASE No. 2327 Order No. R-2046

•

~

•

 $\sim$ 

4

<u>Tract</u>	Acreage	Section	
с	310.56	19	W/2
		30	NW/4
ם	308.56	30	SW/4
		31	W/2

## TOWNSHIP 30 NORTH, RANGE 13 WEST

<u>Tract</u>	Acreage	Section	
A	321.99	6	Lots 3, 4, 5, 6, SE/4 NW/4, NE/4 SW/4. (This unit also contains acreage in Section 31, Township 31 North, Range 13 West consist- ing of Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, E/2 W/2.)
в	324.79	6	Lot 7, SE/4 SW/4
		7	W/2
		18	NW/4
с	314.60	18	SW/4
		19	₩/2
		30	NE/4 NW/4
D	317.19	30	Lots 8, 9, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16
		31	W/2

## TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 4 WEST

Tract	Acreage	Section	
A	331.82	1	Е/2
в	327.26	1	W/2
С	326.90	2	E/2
D	326.62	2	₩/2
Е	326.52	3	E/2

-5-CASE No. 2327 Order No. R-2046

•

~

,

	10	MNONIP JI	NORTH, RANGE
Tract	Acreage	Section	
F	326.60	3	₩/2
G	326.57	4	E/2
н	326.43	4	W/2
I	326.49	5	E/2
J	326.69	5	W/2
к	316.40	6	N/2
L	305.44	6	s/2
м	305.67	7	N/2
N	305.89	7	S/2
0	306.11	18	N/2
Р	306.33	18	S/2
Q	306.53	19	N/2
R	306.71	19	S/2
S	30 <b>6.9</b> 0	30	N/2
т	307.10	30	S/2
U	307.31	31	N/2
v	307.53	31	S/2

# TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 4 WEST - (CONTINUED)

,

## TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 5 WEST

Tract	Acreage	Section	
A	360.20	1	A11
В	368.60	2	A11
С	368.40	3	A11
D	368.28	4	A11
Е	367.96	5	A11

..

.

-6-CASE No. 2327 Order No. R-2046

•

 $\sim$ 

~

- -----

.

÷

TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 5 WEST - (CONTINUED)

Tract	Acreage	Section	
F	336.42	6	A11
		7	NW/4
G	323.79	7	SW/4
		18	W/2
		19	NW/4, N/2 SW/4
н	327.63	19	s/2 SW/4
		30	W/2
		31	W/2

## TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 6 WEST

Tract	Acreage	Section	
А	319.44	1	All
		12	NE/4 NE/4
в	356.26	2	All
с	353.00	3	All
		4	That portion of Tract 37 lying in Section 4.
D	343.23	4	All, except that portion of Tract 37 lying in this section.
E	350.16	5	All
F	351.89	6	All
G	318.50	12	SE/4, W/2 NE/4, SE/4 NE/4
		13	NE/4
Н	335.66	13	SE/4
		24	E/2

.....

-----

-7-CASE No. 2327 Order No. R-2046

.

~

2

## TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 6 WEST - (CONTINUED)

~	<u>Tract</u>	<u>Acreage</u>	Section	
	· I	337.04	25	E/2
			36	NE/4
	J	339.46	36	A portion of this unit contains acreage in Township 30 North, Range 6 West described under that Township.

## TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 7 WEST

<u>Tract</u>	Acreage	Section	
A	367.08	1	All
В	310.48	2	Lot 8, E/2, E/2 W/2
С	324.82	2	W/2 SW/4
		3	E/2, SE/4 SW/4
D	318.57	3	Lot 7, NE/4 SW/4, W/2 W/2
		4	E/2 E/2, SW/4 SE/4
Е	323.15	4	Lot 6, NW/4 SE/4, W/2
		5	Lot 5
F	321.66	5	Lots 6, 7, 8, E/2 SW/4, SE/4
G	319.16	5	Tract No. 53, Lot 9
		6	Lots 8, 9, 10, 11, NE/4 SW/4, N/2 SE/4
н	324.39	5	SW/4 SW/4
		6	Lots 12, 14, 15, S/2 SE/4
		7	Lot 5, N/2 NE/4, SE/4 NE/4
I	317.23	7	Lots 6, 7, SW/4 NE/4, N/2 SE/4. All of Tract 54 lying in Sections 6 and 7.
J	325.68	7	Lots 8 and 9, SE/4 SE/4, that portion of Tract 55 lying in this section.

. .-

•

-8-CASE No. 2327 Order No. R-2046

.

 $\widehat{}$ 

\_

.....

-----

1

TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 7 WEST - (CONTINUED)

Tract	Acreage	Section	
		18	Lots 5, 6, 7, NE/4 NE/4, S/2 NE/4, that portion of Tract 55 lying in this section.
к	321.61	18	Lots 8, 9, 10, SE/4 NW/4, E/2 SW/4, SE/4
L	259.82	19	Lots 5, 6, 7, 8, E/2 W/2
		30	Lot 5, NE/4 NW/4
м	250.65	30	Lots 6, 9, 10, SE/4 NW/4, E/2 SW/4
		31	Lots 7, 8, E/2 NW/4
N	296.02	31	Lots 11, 12, E/2 SW/4. The balance of this Tract lies in Section 6, Township 30 North, Range 7 West and consists of Lots 10, 11, 12, 17, 18, 19, NW/4 SE/4, SW/4 NE/4, and the portion of Tract 40 lying in the SW/4 SE/4 of that section.

### TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 13 WEST

Tract	Acreage	Section	
A	322.36	6	₩/2
		7	NW/4, N/2 SW/4
в	315.59	7	s/2 sw/4
		18	₩/2
		19	Lots 9, 16, N/2 NW/4
с	329.75	19	Lot 17, E/2 SW/4, SE/4 NW/4
		30	₩/2
D	321.99	31	A portion of this unit contains acreage in Township 30 North, Range 13 West described under that Township.

-9-CASE No. 2327 Order No. R-2046

•

 $\overline{}$ 

~

1

TOWNDINF SZ NORTH, TEMOSE S WADY						
Tract	Acreage	Section				
A	353.24	12	W/2, E/2 SW/4			
В	354.65	12	NW/4, W/2 SW/4			
		11	N/2 NE/4, SE/4 NE/4, E/2 SE/4			
С	336.52	11	NW/4, SW/4 NE/4, E/2 SW/4, W/2 SE/4			
		10	NE/4 NE/4			
D	339.10	11	W/2 SW/4			
		10	NW/4 NE/4, S/2 NE/4, SE/4			
E	278.70	10	₩/2			
F	33 <b>9.54</b>	9	E/2 E/2, SW/4 NE/4, W/2 SE/4, E/2 SW/4			
G	338.84	9	NW/4 NE/4, NW/4, W/2 SW/4			
		8	SE/4 NE/4, E/2 SE/4			
н	319.74	8	N/2 NE/4, SW/4 NE/4, SE/4 NW/4, SW/4 SW/4, E/2 SW/4, W/2 SE/4			
I	316.14	8	N/2 NW/4, SW/4 NW/4, NW/4 SW/4			
		7	All. The SE/4 SE/4 of Section 12, Township 32 North, Range 6 West is also contained in this unit.			
J	355.25	18	All			
		19	A11			
к	345.59	30	All			
		31	All			

### TOWNSHIP 32 NORTH, RANGE 5 WEST

## TOWNSHIP 32 NORTH, RANGE 6 WEST

Tract	Acreage	Section	
A	336.31	12	NE/4, E/2 NW/4, NE/4 SW/4, N/2 SE/4, SW/4 SE/4
в	356.82	12	SE/4 SW/4, W/2 W/2

÷ .

-----

-10-CASE No. 2327 Order No. R-2046

.

 $\overline{}$ 

~

-----

,

	TO	WNSHIP 32 N	ORTH, RANGE 6 WEST - (CONTINUED)
Tract	Acreage	Section	
		11	E/2 E/2, SW/4 SE/4
С	334.45	11	W/2 NE/4, NW/4, N/2 SW/4, SE/4 SW/4, NW/4 SE/4
D	338.25	10	E/2 E/2, SW/4 NE/4, W/2 SE/4, SE/4 SW/4
Е	337.75	10	NW/4 NE/4, NW/4, N/2 SW/4, SW/4 SW/4
		9	E/2 SE/4
F	317.60	9	N/2, W/2 SE/4
G	317.51	9	sw/4
		8	E/2 E/2, NW/4 NE/4
Н	320.00	8	SW/4 NE/4, SE/4 NW/4, SW/4, W/2 SE/4
I	308.78	8	N/2 NW/4, SW/4 NW/4
		7	N/2
J	318.27	7	S/2
К	318.39	31	N/2 N/2, S/2 NE/4, N/2 SE/4
L	315.12	31	S/2 NW/4, SW/4, S/2 SE/4

## TOWNSHIP 32 NORTH, RANGE 7 WEST

Tract	Acreage	Section	
A	293.10	7	Lots 2, 3, 4, SW/4, W/2 SE/4
в	298.38	7	E/2 E/2
		8	₩/2
С	298.33	8	W/2
		9	W/2 W/2
D	376.88	9	E/2 W/2, E/2
		10	Lots 3, 4, NW/4 SW/4

-11-CASE No. 2327 Order No. R-2046

.

 $\sim$ 

~

water a construction of the second second

-----

,

#### TOWNSHIP 32 NORTH, RANGE 7 WEST - (CONTINUED) Tract Section Acreage Е 357.84 10 Lots 1, 2, NE/4 SW/4, S/2 SW/4, SE/4 11 Lots 3, 4 F 359.20 11 Lots 1, 2, S/2 G 399.00 12 A11

## TOWNSHIP 32 NORTH, RANGE 8 WEST

Tract	Acreage	Section	
Α	312.63	7	Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, N/2 S/2, S/2 SE/4
		8	Lot 4, NW/4 SW/4
В	323.88	8	Lots 1, 2, 3, NE/4 SW/4, S/2 SW/4, SE/4
С	329.16	9	Lots 2, 3, 4, SE/4 SE/4, W/2 SE/4, SW/4
D	311.79	9	Lot 1, NE/4 SE/4
		10	Lot 2, W/2, NW/4 SE/4
E	317.34	10	E/2 E/2, SW/4 SE/4
		11	W/2 W/2, E/2 SW/4
F	328.17	11	Lots 1, 2, 3, SE/4
		12	W/2 W/2
G	305.18	12	E/2 W/2, E/2
н	312.56	7	S/2 SW/4
		18	W/2
		19	w/2 NW/4
I	316.35	19	E/2 NW/4, SW/4
		30	NW/4, NW/4 SW/4
J	316.70	30	NE/4 SW/4, S/2 SW/4
		31	₩/2

------

-12-CASE No. 2327 Order No. R-2046

## TOWNSHIP 32 NORTH, RANGE 11 WEST

Tract	Acreage	Section	
A	332.27	7	A11
в	327.80	8	W/2
		17	W/2 W/2
с	328.20	8	Е/2
		17	E/2 E/2
D	320.00	17	E/2 W/2, W/2 E/2
E	336.40	9	A11
F	335.60	10	A11
G	334.00	11	All
н	333.60	12	A11

## TOWNSHIP 32 NORTH, RANGE 12 WEST

Tract	Acreage	Section	
А	335.38	7	A11
в	309.43	8	A11
с	280.38	9	A11
D	326.68	10	A11
E	336.40	11	All
F	336.00	12	A11

## TOWNSHIP 32 NORTH, RANGE 13 WEST

a an a . . a managanananya na maja ginah

Acreage	Section		
363.83	7	All	
	8	W/2, W/2 E/2	
339.80	8	E/2 E/2	
	9	A11	
	10	SW/4 SW/4	
	363.83	363.83 7 8 339.80 8 9	

 $\sim$ 

 $\sim$ 

•

-13-CASE No. 2327 Order No. R-2046

### TOWNSHIP 32 NORTH, RANGE 13 WEST - (CONTINUED)

Tract	Acreage	Section	
с	336.95	10	Lot 8, E/2 W/2, E/2
		11	Lot 6, W/2
D	345.89	11	Lot 5, S/2 SE/4
		12	All
Е	325.32	18	Lot 13, N/2, NW/4 SE/4
F	321.40	18	NE/4 SE/4, S/2 S/2
		19	N/2 N/2, SE/4 NE/4
G	320.48	19	Lots 8, 13, 14, SW/4 NE/4, SE/4
н	335.02	30	Lot 13, NW/4 SE/4, N/2
I	325.85	30	Lot 14, NE/4 SE/4, S/2 SE/4
		31	Lot 6, SE/4 NE/4, N/2 NE/4
J	328.45	31	Lot 7, SW/4 NE/4, S/2

(2) That the acreage contained in each of the abovedescribed proration units shall be based on the latest official surveys and resurveys accepted by the Federal Bureau of Land Management, and, in the event further official surveys are made in any subject Township, the acreage in each affected proration unit shall conform to the change.

(3) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

> STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

MECHEM, Chairman

PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary L.

ŞEAL

esr/

......

Entered March 2, 1982

#### STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE NO. 7479 Order No. R-2046-A

APPLICATION OF NORTHWEST PIPELINE CORPORATION FOR AMENDMENT OF ORDER NO. R-2046, RIO ARRIBA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

#### ORDER OF THE DIVISION

#### BY THE DIVISION:

.

 $\sim$ 

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on February 17, 1982, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Richard L. Stamets.

NOW, on this <u>2nd</u> day of March, 1982, the Division Director, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

### FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Division has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That Division Order No. R-2046 established a large number of non-standard proration units for the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool in Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, including the following:

### TOWNSHIP 30 NORTH, RANGE 6 WEST, NMPM

Tract	Acreage	Section	
Α	339.46	1 E/2	(This unit also contains the SE/4 of Section 36, Township 31 North, Range 6 West)
в	319.69	1 W/2	

-2-Case No. 7479 Order No. R-2046-A

.....

### TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 6 WEST, NMPM

Tract	Acreage	Sect	ion
I	337.04		E/2 NE/ <b>4</b>
J	339.46	36	A portion of this unit contains acreage in Township 30 North, Range 6 West, described under that township.

(3) That the applicant, Northwest Pipeline Corporation, seeks the amendment of Division Order No. R-2046, to delete the four non-standard gas proration units described in Finding No.
(2) above and to create six new non-standard proration units for wells to be drilled in the Basin-Dakota Pool at standard j locations.

(4) That the six new proposed non-standard units consist of the following described acreage:

	TOWNSHIP	30 NORTH,	RANGE	6 WES	T, NMPM
Tract		Section			
1 2		1 1	N/2 S/2		
	TOWNSHIP	31 NORTH,	RANGE	6 WES	T, NMPM
Tract	Acreage			Secti	.on
3 4 5 6	272.16 272.32 272.56 272.88			25 25 36 36	N/2 S/2 N/2 S/2

.....

(5) That the non-standard proration units may reasonably be presumed productive of gas from the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool and that all of the non-standard gas proration units can be efficiently and economically drained and developed by the aforesaid wells.

(6) That approval of the subject application will afford the applicant the opportunity to produce his just and equitable share of the gas in the Basin-Dakota Pool, will prevent the economic loss caused by the drilling of unnecessary wells, avoid the augmentation of risk arising from the drilling of an

. . . . . . . . .

......

-3-Case No. 7479 Order No. R-2046-A

excessive number of wells, and will otherwise prevent waste and protect correlative rights.

### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That Division Order No. R-2046 is hereby amended by deletion therefrom of the four non-standard proration units described in Finding No. (2) of this order.

(2) That six new non-standard proration units for Basin-Dakota production are hereby created and designated in Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, as follows:

Trac		Ad	creage			Sec	cti	on
1 2		272.81 273.49				 1 1	1 L	N/2 S/2
	TOWNSHIP	31	NORTH,	RANGE	6	WEST,	NM	PM
Trac	t <u>Acreage</u>				Sec	cti	on	
3 4 5		2'	72.16 72.32 72.56			25 25 36	5	N/2 S/2 N/2
6		272.88				36	5	S/2

TOWNSHIP 30 NORTH, RANGE 6 WEST, NMPM

(2) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Division may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

.....

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OLL CONSERVATION DIVISION thirt JOE D. RAMEY, Director

SEAL

-

## STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE NO. 13939 ORDER NO. R-2046-B

## APPLICATION OF WILLIAMS PRODUCTION COMPANY, LLC FOR AMENDMENT OF ORDER NO. R-2046, SAN JUAN AND RIO ARRIBA COUNTIES, NEW MEXICO.

### ORDER OF THE DIVISION

### **BY THE DIVISION:**

This case came on for hearing at 8:15 a.m. on June 21, 2007, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiners David K. Brooks and Richard Ezeanyim.

NOW, on this 18<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2007, the Division Director, having considered the testimony, the record and the recommendations of the Examiner,

### FINDS THAT:

(1) Due public notice has been given, and the Division has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this case.

(2) In this application, Williams Production Company, LLC ("Applicant" or "Williams"), the operator of the Rosa Unit in San Juan and Rio Arriba Counties, New Mexico, seeks the realignment of certain non-standard gas spacing and proration units established in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool (Pool Code 71599) by Order No. R-2046, which was issued by the Oil Conservation Commission in Case No. 2327 on August 14, 1961.

(3) Applicant appeared at the hearing through counsel and presented evidence of the following:

Case 13939 Order No. R-2046-B Page 2 of 8

(a) The Rosa Unit ("the Unit) is a federal exploratory unit that covers approximately 54,000 acres, including parts of four townships in San Juan and Rio Arriba Counties.

(b) Existing development of the Dakota formation in the Unit is concentrated in the western portion of Township 31 North, Range 5 West, and the eastern portion of Township 31 North, Range 6 West, NMPM. The boundary between Ranges 5 West and 6 West is irregular, such that each of the governmental sections along the western boundary of Township 31 North, Range 5 West, and the eastern boundary of Township 31 North, 6 West, comprises less than 640 acres.

(c) In order to adjust spacing in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool to the irregular sizes of the sections in this and other areas of the pool, the Commission, in Order No. R-2046, established irregularly configured non-standard gas spacing and proration units comprising, in many instances, parts of more than one section.

(d) This Application affects the Tracts designated in Order No. R-2046 as Tracts F, G and H in Township 31 North, Range 5 West, which lie along the western boundary of that Range 5 West, and Tracts A, G and H in Township 31 North, Range 6 West, which lie along the eastern boundary of Range 6 West.

(e) These tracts do not conform to the pattern of development that has actually occurred in this particular area. The operator of the Unit, other owners, the United States Bureau of Land Management ("the BLM") and the Division have treated particular wells as though they were dedicated to units inconsistent with the pattern of units established by Order No. R-2046.

(f) Tract F of Township 31 North, Range 5 West, as described in Order No. R-2046, comprises all of Section 6 and Lots 1 and 2 (NW/4 equivalent) of Section 7. The Rosa Unit Well No. 108 (API No. 30-039-23506), located in Unit G of Section 7, was originally dedicated to a standard, stand-up 320-acre unit consisting of the E/2 of Section 7, a unit consistent with the spacing pattern established by Order No. R-2046. However, according to the testimony of Applicant's witness, Mr. Hansen, BP America Production Company, which drilled this well, assigned the N/2 of Section 7 to XTO Energy, Inc., intending thereby to assign this well. This well is not included in the Dakota Participating Area of the Rosa Unit. Re-dedication of this well to a non-standard, lay-down unit consisting of the N/2 of Section 7 would give effect to the intention of the parties to that assignment, but would be inconsistent with the designation of Tract F as a Dakota unit.

(g) Tract G of Township 31 North, Range 5 West, as described in Order No. R-2046, comprises Lots 3 and 4 (SW/4 equivalent) of Section 7, Lots 1-4 (W/2 equivalent) of Section 18, and Lots 1, 2 and 3 (NW/4 equivalent and N/2 SW/4 equivalent) of Section 19. The Rosa Unit Well No. 119 (API No. 30-

------

*Case* 13939 *Order No. R-2046-B Page* 3 of 8

039-25143), located in Lot 4 (Unit N) of Section 18, was dedicated to a unit comprising the S/2 of Section 18, a dedication inconsistent with inclusion of Lots 3 and 4 of Section 18 in Tract G as defined in Order No. R-2046. Had Order No. R-2046 been observed, that well should have been dedicated to Tract G. The Division approved the S/2 dedication of this well, without reference to Order No. R-2046, in Administrative Order NSP-1637(L), issued on October 25, 1991. Consistently with the S/2 dedication, the S/2 of Section 18 is included in the Rosa Unit Dakota Participating Area.

(h) Tract H of Township 31 North, Range 5 West, as described in Order No. R-2046, comprises Lot 4 (S/2 SW/4 equivalent) of Section 19, Lots 1 through 4 (W/2 equivalent) of Section 30, and Lots 1 through 4 (W/2 equivalent) of Section 31. The Rosa Unit Well No. 159, located in Unit O of Section 19, was dedicated to a unit comprising the S/2 of Section 19, a dedication inconsistent with inclusion of Lot 3 of Section 19 in Tract G, and Lot 4 in Tract H as defined in Order No. R-2046. Consistently with the S/2 dedication, the S/2 of Section 19 is included in the Rosa Unit Dakota Participating Area.

(i) Tract A of Township 31 North, Range 6 West, as described in Order No. R-2046, comprises all of Section 1 and Lot 1 (NE/4 NE/4 equivalent) of Section 12. The Rosa Unit Well No. 149B (API No. 30-039-26599), located in Unit E of Section 12, was dedicated to a unit comprising the N/2 of Section 12, a dedication inconsistent with inclusion of Lot 1 of Section 12 in Tract A. The Division implicitly approved this dedication, without reference to Order No. R-2046, in Administrative Order NSL-4602, issued on July 7, 2001.

(j) The Rosa Unit Well No. 164B (API No. 30-039-27242), located in Unit N of Section I was dedicated to a unit comprising only Section I, a dedication inconsistent with inclusion of Lot I of Section 12, in addition to Section 1, in Tract A. The Division approved this dedication, without reference to Order No. R-2046, in Order No. R-11841, issued in Case No. 12898 on October 8, 2002.

(k) Tract G of Township 31 North, Range 6 West, as described in Order No. R-2046, comprises Lots 2, 3 and 4 (SE/4 NE/4 equivalent and E/2 SE/4 equivalent) and the W/2 E/2 of Section 12, and also the NE/4 equivalent of Section 13. The Rosa Unit Well No. 30 (API No. 30-039-25570), located in Unit O of Section 12, was dedicated to a unit comprising the S/2 of Section 12, a dedication inconsistent with inclusion of the SE/4 equivalent of Section 12 in Tract G. Consistently with the S/2 dedication, the S/2 of Section 12 is included in the Rosa Unit Dakota Participating Area.

(1) Tract H of Township 31 North, Range 6 West, as described in Order No. R-2046, comprises the SE/4 equivalent of Section 13 and the E/2 equivalent of Section 24. The Rosa Unit Well No. 125E (API No. 30-039-25526), located in Unit J of Section 13, was dedicated to a unit comprising the

*Case 13939 Order No. R-2046-B Page 4* of 8

E/2 equivalent of Section 13, a dedication inconsistent with inclusion of the NE/4 of Section 13 in Tract G, and the SE/4 of Section 13 in Tract H. The Division approved this dedication, without reference to Order No. R-2046, in Administrative Order NSP-1638-A, issued on March 23, 1995. Consistently with this dedication, the SE/4 of Section 13 is included in the Rosa Unit Dakota Participating Area. The NE/4 of Section 13 was not included in the Dakota Participating Area because the Rosa Unit Well No. 125 (API No. 30-039-25144), previously drilled in Unit B of Section 13, was unproductive in the Dakota.

(m) The Rosa Unit Well No. 116 (API No. 30-039-23484), located in Unit A of Section 24, was dedicated to a unit comprising the E/2 equivalent of Section 24, a dedication inconsistent with inclusion of both the E/2 of Section 24 and the S/2 of Section 13 in Tract H. Consistently with the E/2 dedication, the E/2 of Section 24 is included in the Rosa Unit Dakota Participating Area.

(n) Applicant proposes to drill additional Dakota wells in the portion of the Rosa Unit affected by these inconsistent dedications that overlap units established by Order No. R-2046.

(o) The new units proposed by Applicant, described in Exhibit A to this order, are consistent with the existing dedications and with the areas designated for inclusion in the Rosa Unit Dakota Participating Area.

(p) Deletion of the units described in Order No. R-2046 as Tracts F, G and H in Township 31 North, Range 5 West and Tracts A, G and H in Township 31 North, Range 6 West, and establishment of the units described in Exhibit A to this order, will facilitate development of the Dakota in the Rosa Unit by ending the present uncertainty regarding the appropriate spacing and development pattern caused by the existence of dedications and spacing orders inconsistent with Order No. R-2046.

(q) All of the portion of the Rosa Unit included in the spacing units Applicant seeks to delete and in the new spacing units applicant seeks to form is federal land except for a fee in Section 19. This fee tract is fully dedicated to the Unit. There is no well located on this fee tract.

(r) Under the terms of the Unit Agreement and the Unit Operating Agreement governing the Rosa Unit, production from wells in a participating area is allocated, as to all interests, on the basis of acreage included in the participating area, and not on the basis of ownership in particular spacing units.

(s) Applicant mailed notice of this Application and hearing to all owners of interests in the Rosa Unit.

(4) No party other than Applicant appeared at the hearing or otherwise entered an appearance in this case.

(5) The realignment of Dakota spacing units in the Rosa Unit, as requested by Applicant, will establish spacing units that conform to existing well dedications and to drilling blocks used in delineating the Dakota Participating Area in the Rosa Unit.

(6) The realignment of Dakota spacing units in the Rosa Unit, as requested by Applicant, will prevent waste by facilitating the drilling of additional Dakota wells in the Unit.

(7) Because owners in the affected portions of the Unit are bound to share production based on their acreage ownership in the Dakota Participating Area, as approved, from time to time, by the BLM, and not on the basis of their ownership in particular spacing units, the realignment of spacing units will not adversely affect any party's correlative rights.

### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

(1) The following non-standard proration units in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool, as described in Order No. R-2046, are hereby deleted:

### Township 31 North, Range 5 West

Tracts F, G and H

### Township 31 North, Range 6 West


Tracts A, G and H

(2) Non-standard units are hereby established in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool, as described in Exhibit A to this Order.

(3) In all other respects, except as hereby specifically amended, Order No. R-2046, as amended by Order No. R-2046-A, shall continue in full force and effect.

(4) Jurisdiction of this case is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Division may deem necessary.

Case 13939 Order No. R-2046-B Page 6 of 8



DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

Ą 

MARK E. FESMIRE, P.E. Director

*Case 13939 Order No. R-2046-B Page* 7 of 8

## EXHIBIT A Order R-2046-B Case No. 13939

## Township 31 North, Range 5 West

Tract 1 Section 6: All 264.56 acres

Tract 3 Section 7: S/2 231.9 acres

<u>Tract 5:</u> Section 18: S/2 231.83 acres

Tract 7 Section 19: S/2 232.43 acres

<u>Tract 9</u> Section 30: S/2 232.79 acres

<u>Tract 11</u> Section 31: S/2 232.99 acres

### Township 31 North, Range 6 West

Tracts 12 and 13 Tracts 12 and 13 are identical to Tracts C and D, respectively, in Township 31 North, Range 6 West, as described in Order No. R-2046.

<u>Tract 14</u> Section 12: N/2 271.17 acres <u>Tract 15</u> Section 12: S/2 271.35 acres

<u>Tract 2</u> Section 7: N/2 231.86 acres

<u>Tract 4</u> Section 18: N/2 231.89 acres

<u>Tract 6</u> Section 19: N/2 232.03 acres

<u>Tract 8</u> Section 30: N/2 232.69 acres

<u>Tract 10</u> Section 31: N/2 232.89 acres Case 13939 Order No. R-2046-B Page 8 of 8

<u>Tract 16</u> Section 13:

1

Lots 1-4, W/2 E/2 (E/2 equivalent) 233,38 acres Tract 17 Section 13: W/2 320 acres

Tract 18

Section 24:

Lots 1-4, WW/2 E/2 (E/2 equivalent) 233.92 acres Tract 19 Section 24: W/2 320 acres