STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF THE NEW MEXICO OIL
CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR AN ORDER
REQUIRING WESTAR EXPLORATION COMPANY
TO PROPERLY PLUG ONE WELL, IMPOSING
CIVIL PENALTIES IN EVENT OF FAILURE
TO COMPLY, AUTHORIZING THE DIVISION
TO PLUG SAID WELL IN DEFAULT OF
COMPLIANCE BY OPERATOR AND ORDERING
A FORFEITURE OF APPLICABLE SECURITY,
IF ANY, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

CASE NO. 13,164

ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: DAVID R. CATANACH, Hearing Examiner

RECEIVED

October 9th, 2003

Oil Conservation Division

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, DAVID R. CATANACH, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, October 9th, 2003, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

I N D E X

October 9th, 2003 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 13,164

	PAGE
EXHIBITS	3
APPEARANCES	3
DIVISION WITNESSES: CHRIS J. WILLIAMS (District Supervisor, Hobbs	
District Office, District 1, NMOCD) (Present by telephone) Direct Examination by Ms. MacQuesten Examination by Examiner Catanach	5 12
JANE E. PROUTY (Computer Operations Specialist, NMOCD, Santa Fe) Direct Examination by Ms. MacQuesten Examination by Examiner Catanach	17 19
DOROTHY L. PHILLIPS (Plugging Bond Administrator, NMOCD, Santa Fe) Direct Examination by Ms. MacQuesten Examination by Examiner Catanach	20 21
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	23

* * *

EXHIBITS

Applicant's	Identified	Admitted	
Exhibit 1	6	12	
Exhibit 2	7	12	
Exhibit 3	8	12	
Exhibit 4	9	12	
Exhibit 5	10	12	
Exhibit 6	18	19	
Exhibit 7	21	21	

* * *

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

GAIL MacQUESTEN
Deputy General Counsel
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

* * *

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 2 10:41 a.m.: EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, at this time I will 3 call Case 13,164, which is the Application of the New 4 Mexico Oil Conservation Division for an order requiring 5 Westar Exploration Company to properly plug one well, 6 imposing civil penalties in event of failure to comply, 7 authorizing the Division to plug said well in default of 8 9 compliance by operator and ordering a forfeiture of 10 applicable security, if any, Lea County, New Mexico. 11 At this time I will call for appearances in this 12 case. 13 MS. MacQUESTEN: Gail MacQuesten, Energy, 14 Minerals and Natural Resources Department, appearing for 15 the Oil Conservation Division. I have three witnesses. 16 EXAMINER CATANACH: Thank you. Any additional 17 appearances? No additional appearances in this case. Can I get the witnesses -- Let the record show 18 19 that Chris Williams is testifying via conference phone 20 today from the Hobbs District Office. Can I get all three witnesses to stand and be sworn in at this time? 21 22 (Thereupon, the witnesses were sworn.) 23 EXAMINER CATANACH: I'm sorry, Mr. Williams, I 24 didn't hear a response? 25 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes.

1	EXAMINER CATANACH: Thank you.
2	You may proceed.
3	MS. MacQUESTEN: I call Chris Williams.
4	EXAMINER CATANACH: Can you hear us okay, Chris?
5	MR. WILLIAMS: Tell Gail she needs to move closer
6	to the microphone.
7	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, you may proceed.
8	CHRIS WILLIAMS (Present by telephone),
9	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
10	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION
12	BY MS. MacQUESTEN:
13	Q. Please state your name for the record.
14	A. Chris Williams.
15	Q. By whom are you employed?
16	A. New Mexico Oil Conservation Division.
17	Q. Where is your office located?
18	A. Hobbs, New Mexico.
19	Q. And what is your title?
20	A. District Supervisor.
21	Q. What are your duties as District Supervisor?
22	A. I supervise 16 employees who are responsible for
23	the inspection of oil and gas sites and the enforcements of
24	the Statutes and the Rules and Regulations of the Division
25	as they pertain to oil and gas.

Q. Are you familiar with a well named TPA State 1 2 Number 2, API Number 30-025-22013? Α. Yes. 3 Have you examined the well file for that case and 4 0. the information on RBDMS regarding the special reports and 5 6 compliance actions? 7 Yes, I have. Α. Have you testified previously before the New 8 Q. Mexico Oil Conservation Division? 9 Α. 10 Yes. 11 Q. And did you testify as to your credentials and 12 were your credentials accepted as an expert well inspector? 13 Α. Yes. 14 MS. MacQUESTEN: I tender Mr. Williams as an 15 expert well inspector. 16 EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Williams is so qualified. 17 Q. (By Ms. MacQuesten) Mr. Williams, do you have 18 the packet of exhibits in front of you that I faxed to you yesterday? 19 20 Α. Yes, I do. Would you please look at what has been marked OCD 21 Q. Exhibit Number 1? 22 23 Α. Okay. 24 Q. Can you identify that document? 25 Α. Yes, it's a change of operator from Headington

Oil Company to Westar Exploration Company, Dated August of 2 2001.

- Q. And is that a change of operator with regard to the well that we previously identified in this case?
 - A. Yes, it is.

- Q. Would you please look now at what has been marked as OCD Exhibit Number 2?
- A. Right, Exhibit Number 2 is an APD, application for a permit to drill, to plug back this well from the Penn to the San Andres.
 - Q. Are there other documents included in Exhibit 2?
- A. Yes, there's a 102, which is a surface plat showing where the well is located; and a C-103 which shows what work was actually done on the well in November of 2001 where they set a cast iron bridge plug and -- above the old Penn, the Penn, and then perforated and acidized the San Andres; a C-105 which shows the completion record of the plugback. And that's it.
- Q. Okay. Do the documents in Exhibit Number 2 -- are they taken from the well file and reflecting the documents filed by or regarding Westar after they became operator?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Could you just briefly summarize what actions were taken by Westar's operator?

The only thing that Westar has done, as far as we 1 Α. have a well record on in the well file, is set the cast 2 iron bridge -- was the last C-103 where they set the cast 3 iron bridge plug, acidized the formation. And then they 4 turned in a C-104 showing a test on the well, and since 5 that point in time we show no production on that well. 6 What is the date of the last document filed by 7 0. Westar in the well file? 8 Α. November of 2001. 9 Did Westar ever request a permit for temporary 10 Q. abandonment of this well? 11 12 Α. No. 13 Q. Would you please look at what has been marked as Exhibit 3? 14 15 Α. Okay. 16 Q. Can you identify that document? 17 It's a notice of violation dated June the 9th 18 from this office, where we requested them to -- where all 19 the equipment from the well had been removed, and we requested that they turn in a notice of TA, PA or return 20 21 the well to production. 22 0. Is this a letter drafted by someone in your 23 office, or is it computer-generated? How does this

The letter is computer-generated from our

letter --

Α.

24

inspection files. The information located where it says
Comments on Inspection, that shows what the inspector
actually saw at the location, and then the computer
generates a letter at that point requesting that they do
certain things, which was TA, PA or return the well to
production.

- Q. What was the inspection date?
- A. This one was 8-25-2003. I'm sorry, 5-22-2003.
- Q. Would this inspection have been done at your request?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Would you please look at what has been marked as Exhibit Number 4?
- A. Okay, that's another notice of violation on inspection. It was done 8-22-2003, and basically it just states that the well is idle, there's no plugging papers or compliance plan submitted, and that they should TA, PA or produce the well.
- Q. This second notice says that action must be taken by November 28th, 2003?
 - A. Right.
 - Q. How was that date selected?
- A. That date was automatically generated by the computer. The date that originally was put in there was 9-28-2003. The computer overrode that and put in -- We

have a standard 90-day compliance date on it, and the 1 2 computer overrode it, overrode what we manually put in. Now, this Exhibit Number 4, is this a copy of the 3 Q. actual letter sent to Westar, or is it a current printout 4 5 of the computer? This was a current printout. 6 Α. Do you know whether the letter that was sent to 7 0. Westar showed a 30-day period of a 60- --8 9 Α. No, I do not know that. 10 In any event, did OCD receive any response to Q. either of these two letters? 11 Α. No. 12 13 Would you please look at what has been marked as Q. Exhibit Number 5? 14 15 Α. I don't have Exhibit Number 5. Okay, what is it? 16 Q. That is the plugging procedure. 17 Α. Oh, I do have that on the computer here in my office. 18 19 Q. Was the plugging procedure for this well prepared 20 by you or at your direction? 21 Α. It's prepared at my direction. 22 Q. Do you review the well-plugging procedures that 23 are proposed? 24 Α. Yes, I do.

Chris, are you attempting to

EXAMINER CATANACH:

```
locate that plugging procedure?
1
2
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
               EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, let us know when you
3
     find it.
 4
5
               THE WITNESS: I have seen it, I just can't find
     it on my computer right now. I can't find it on my
 6
7
     computer, David, at the present time. I have seen it,
     though.
8
               EXAMINER CATANACH: Did you have specific
 9
     questions?
10
               MS. MacQUESTEN: I just wanted to know if he has
11
     approved of this procedure, if it's the procedure that was
12
     sent out by his office.
13
14
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
15
               EXAMINER CATANACH:
                                   So you have approved this
16
     procedure, Mr. Williams?
17
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
18
               EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, we'll leave it at that.
19
          Q.
               (By Ms. MacQuesten) If the well is plugged in
20
     accordance with the procedure that was proposed for this
21
     well, in your professional opinion will the well be
22
     properly plugged and abandoned in accordance with the
23
     Statutes of the State of New Mexico and the Rules of the
     New Mexico Oil Conservation Division now in effect?
24
25
          Α.
               Yes.
```

MS. MacOUESTEN: I'd like to offer Exhibits 1 1 through 5 into evidence. 2 Exhibits 1 through 5 will be 3 EXAMINER CATANACH: admitted. 4 5 MS. MacQUESTEN: I have no more questions of this 6 witness. 7 **EXAMINATION** 8 BY EXAMINER CATANACH: 9 Q. Mr. Williams, did this well originally show up on 10 an inactive-well list? 11 Α. Yes. And that's what prompted the first --12 Q. 13 Yeah, that was part of it. And part of it, Billy Α. 14 -- excuse me, Mr. Prichard, my inspector, had been by this 15 well when it was under other operators, and none of the 16 operators that it had been under previously responded 17 either. 18 0. So back in November of 2001, Westar took this 19 over and attempted to plug it back from the Penn to the San Andres? 20 21 Α. Well, they actually took it over in, I think, February of 2001. 22 23 Q. Okay, and they attempted to plug it back? 24 Α. Correct. 25 Q. Apparently they encountered no production in the

13 San Andres? 1 According to the 104 that was submitted, they 2 encountered four barrels of oil and a small amount -- gas 3 that was too small to measure. 4 But as far as you know, the well never produced 5 0. from the San Andres? 6 7 A. Right. Okay. So, on or about May 22nd, you've got a 8 Q. record that says that Mr. Prichard did an inspection on the 9 10 well? 11 A. Right. 12 And had you been in contact with Westar previous Q. to that inspection? 13 14 Α. No. 15 Q. Okay, so you inspected the well in May. 16 take any action as a result of that inspection? 17 Α. Yeah, we sent them a letter telling them that 18 they needed to PA or TA the well. 19 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. I don't have copies of 20 that letter. Do you intend to -- I'm sorry, this may be a 21 later exhibit, I just don't know, Ms. MacQuesten. 22 MS. MacQUESTEN: The letters are the exhibits we

(By Examiner Catanach) Okay, these are the

have marked.

ο.

actual letters that were sent?

23

24

- Right. 1 Α. And --2 0. Also, excuse me, there was an inspection done on 3 Α. 2-14-2001 on this well and there was still nothing done, 4 from that inspection, when the well is still idle. 5 Okay. I was looking at the letter. I guess it's 6 Q. 7 dated June 9th, is when it was sent to Westar? Right. 8 Α. 9 0. And basically what it tells Westar is, the well 10 is not in compliance with the Rules and they need to bring 11 it into compliance by taking some sort of corrective 12 action? 13 Α. Correct. 14 Now, to your knowledge did they receive this 0. 15 letter? 16 Α. 17
 - I can't answer that. I don't know if they have or haven't. I just know it was sent to the location that we were told to send it to.
 - Okay. How about the subsequent letter that you 0. sent out on August 29th? Do you know if they got that letter, Mr. Williams?
 - Α. No, we don't know.
- 23 Q. Was it returned to you?
- 24 Α. No.

18

19

20

21

22

25 Q. Ms. MacQuesten, I was looking at a letter that's

in this case file. Apparently this is a notice of the 1 hearing today that was sent to Westar, and it looks like 2 that letter was signed for by an individual with Westar. 3 That was sent certified mail. However, it doesn't look 4 like that's the same box number that was sent by the Hobbs 5 It looks like the Hobbs District Office sent this 6 Office. 7 to P.O. Box 161896 in Austin, and it looks like you sent 8 this to P.O. 161686 in Austin.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, I don't know what kind of difference that makes, whether or not they received the initial notice of the noncompliance event, but it does concern me that maybe Westar didn't receive the first and second directives that were sent by Hobbs. I know that -- I guess we don't have any proof at this point that they got the letters; is that right, Mr. Williams?

- A. Other than they just -- we had nothing returned to us.
- Q. And normally, if they did not get it would you have had it returned?
 - A. Right, we would have normally got it returned, you know, unable to deliver.
 - Q. Other than the letters, have you been in contact with Westar at all?
 - A. Yes, Billy tried to call them and they've never returned his calls.

So you do think you have a good phone number for 1 Q. them? 2 Yes, it's the only one we have. 3 Α. EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. Ms. MacQuesten, you 4 5 have not been in contact with Westar? 6 MS. MacQUESTEN: No, I've only sent the letters, I haven't attempted to call them. 7 (By Examiner Catanach) Okay. Well, we do have a 8 ο. good notice as far as the hearing goes, and I note that Westar is not here in attendance, nor have they tried to 10 contact the Division to request any kind of continuance or 11 12 anything of that nature, so I assume that they are aware of 13 what's going with the well. And although we can't say for sure that they got the first and second letters, we assume 14 15 that they did. Okay, we'll proceed from there. 16 Again, it kind of bothers me a little bit, Mr. 17 Williams, that even on the second notice of violation -- It 18 doesn't direct them to plug the well, it just directs them to take corrective action. Is that the normal procedure? 19 20 Well, normally we'll send -- on the second 21 letter, you know, the second letter says the well is idle, 22 no plugging papers or compliance plan submitted, second 23 notice of violation. 24 Uh-huh, okay. Q.

I'm assuming they can understand that plugging

25

Α.

papers means P and A, but it could be a bad assumption on 1 2 my part. Okay, I assume from your plugging report that you 3 Q. don't have -- or plugging procedure, that the well is 4 properly plugged back from the Penn at this point? 5 6 Α. Yes. So all you would do is plug back from the San 7 Q. Andres? 8 9 Α. Correct. EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. I believe that's all I 10 have of Mr. Williams at this point, Ms. MacQuesten. 11 You will stay tuned, won't you, Mr. Williams? 12 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, I will. 13 EXAMINER CATANACH: We may have some more 14 15 questions for you soon. 16 MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. 17 MS. MacQUESTEN: Next I would call Jane Prouty. I'll stay over here so Mr. Williams can hear the direct. 18 19 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. 20 JANE E. PROUTY, 21 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon her oath, was examined and testified as follows: 22 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. MacQUESTEN: 24 25 Would you please state your name for the record? Q.

1	Α.	Jane Prouty.
2	Q.	By whom are you employed?
3	Α.	Oil Conservation Division.
4	Q.	Where is your office located?
5	А.	In this building.
6	Q.	And where is
7	А.	Oh, excuse me, so naïve. In Santa Fe.
8	Q.	Do your duties include maintaining records of
9	well produ	uction?
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	What is the source of your information on well
12	production	n?
13	А.	Operators send in monthly reports.
14	Q.	Have you reviewed the records of production for
15	Well API	Number 30-025-22013?
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q.	Would you please take a look at what has been
18	marked as	Exhibit Number 6?
19	Α.	Yes.
20	Q.	Can you identify that document?
21	Α.	Yes.
22	Q.	What is it?
23	Α.	It's a report that I prepared at your request,
24	showing a	ll production from this well from 1997 forward.
25	Q.	What is the last month of production for this

well? 1 It's March -- Well, excuse me, I believe 2 February. No, it's March of 2002. 3 Were there any reports filed after the last month 4 ο. 5 of production? Yes, it looks like they filed through February of 6 Α. 2003 but showed no production for all of the months from 7 8 April, 2002, through February of 2003. MS. MacQUESTEN: I move to admit Exhibit Number 9 6. 10 Exhibit Number 6 is admitted. 11 EXAMINER CATANACH: 12 MS. MacQUESTEN: I have no more questions of this 13 witness. 14 **EXAMINATION** BY EXAMINER CATANACH: 15 16 Q. So, Ms. Prouty, they did file reports up until 17 February of 2003? 18 Α. Yes. 19 But they showed no production on those reports? Q. 20 Right. Α. 21 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. I assume from this data that they did produce from the San Andres for a time, 22 23 if the well was plugged back in November of 2001. Yes, the 24 San Andres did produce for a time. 25 Okay, I have no further questions of this

1	witness.	
2		MS. MacQUESTEN: I call Dorothy Phillips.
3		DOROTHY L. PHILLIPS,
4	the witne	ss herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
5	her oath,	was examined and testified as follows:
6		DIRECT EXAMINATION
7	BY MS. Ma	cquesten:
8	Q.	Would you please state your name for the record?
9	A.	Dorothy Phillips.
10	Q.	And by whom are you employed?
11	A.	New Mexico Oil Conservation.
12	Q.	Where is your office located?
13	Α.	In Santa Fe.
14	Q.	Do your duties include the maintenance of records
15	of curren	t financial assurances provided by operators?
16	A.	Correct.
17	Q.	Have you reviewed the file for Westar Exploration
18	Company?	
19	Α.	Yes, I have.
20	Q.	Do they have a financial assurance in place?
21	Α.	Yes, they do.
22	Q.	What type is it?
23	Α.	It's a one-well cash bond.
24	Q.	In what amount?
25	Α.	\$5000.

Would you please look at what has been marked as Q. 1 Exhibit Number 7? Is that a true and correct copy of the 2 one-well cash bond for Westar Exploration Company, with the 3 Assignment of Cash Collateral Deposit? 4 That's correct. 5 Α. 6 0. Does your file show any amendments or changes to this bond? 7 8 Α. No. Is this bond still in effect? Q. Correct. 10 Α. MS. MacQUESTEN: I move to admit Exhibit Number 11 12 7. EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibit Number 7 is admitted. 13 14 MS. MacQUESTEN: I have no more questions of this 15 witness. 16 EXAMINATION BY EXAMINER CATANACH: 17 18 Ms. Phillips, do you know if Westar has any other 0. bonds, or do you know if this is the only one? 19 20 Α. I don't believe they have any other bonds. 21 EXAMINER CATANACH: I have no further questions 22 of this witness, she may be excused. 23 THE WITNESS: Thank you. MS. MacQUESTEN: I have no other witnesses in 24 this case. 25

Okay. And again, like I EXAMINER CATANACH: 1 stated before, it looks like we did send this -- send 2 notice of the hearing to Westar, which they did receive and 3 signed for. There's no date. This notice was sent out 4 September 17th. 5 Okay, there being nothing further in this case, 6 Case Number 13,164 will be taken under advisement. 7 (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at 8 9 11:19 a.m.) 10 11 12 13 14 I so hereby certify that the foregoing is 15 a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 13/64, 16 heard by me on October 9 17 . Examiner Oil Conservation Division 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL October 11th, 2003.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2006