

1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO	
2	ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT	
3	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION	
4		
. 5	IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR	
6	THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING: CASE NO. 14106	
7	APPLICATION OF THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR A COMPLIANCE	
3	ORDER AGAINST XERIC OIL AND GAS	
9	CORPORATION ("XERIC")	
10		
11		
12	Pn 2	
13	DEDODTEDIC TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS UT	
14	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	
15	EXAMINER HEARING	
-16		
17	BEFORE: DAVID K. BROOKS, Legal Examiner TERRY G. WARNELL, Technical Examiner	
18	September 4, 2008	
19	Santa Fe, New Mexico	
20	This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico	
21	Oil Conservation Division, DAVID K. BROOKS, Legal Examiner, TERRY G. WARNELL, Technical Examiner, on Thursday, September 4,	
- 22	2008, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe,	
23	New Mexico.	
24	REPORTED BY: JOYCE D. CALVERT, P-03 Paul Baca Court Reporters	
25	500 Fourth Street, NW, Suite 105 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102	

Case No. 14106, De Novo Re Xeric Oil & Gas OCD EXHIBIT No.3

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS th Street, NW, Suite 105, Albuquerque, NM 87102

1	INDEX	
2	Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 14106	
3	CASE NO. 14100	PAGE
.4	APPEARANCES	3
5	APPLICANT'S WITNESSES:	
6	DANIEL SANCHEZ DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. ALTOMARE	. 7
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. MUNDS-DRY EXAMINATION BY MR. WARNELL	22 24
. 8	APPLICANT'S EXHIBITS C, D and E	27
9	in the same of the	<u> </u>
10	RESPONDENT'S WITNESSES:	
11	RONALD ST. JOHN DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. MUNDS-DRY:	28
12	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. ALTOMARE EXAMINATION BY MR. BROOKS	45 59
1,3	EXAMINATION BY MR. WARNELL	61
14	ADAM HIRSCHFELD DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. MUNDS-DRY	65 ·
15	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. ALTOMARE EXAMINATION BY MR. BROOKS	72 81
16	EXAMINATION BY MR. WARNELL	83 ·
17	RESPONDENT'S EXHIBIT A RESPONDENT'S EXHIBIT C	4.4 7.2
18		
19	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	88
20		,
21		·
22		
23		
24		
25		

, , <u>†</u>	APPEARANCES
2	AFESARANCES
3	FOR THE APPLICANT:
4	Mikal Altomare, Esq.
5΄	Assistant General Counsel, Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Drive
6	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505
")	
8	FOR THE RESPONDENT:
9	Ocean Munds-Dry, Esq. HOLLAND & HART, LLP
10	110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	·
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	which there's no objection be admitted.
2	MS. ALTOMARE: Do you object to
3	MR. BROOKS: There was no objection to Mr. Sanchez'
4 .	testimony, so it's part of the hearing at this point. Anyway,
5	Mr. Sanchez has testified without objection, so there's no
ნ	question at this point
7	MS. ALTOMARE: At this point in time, I'm not going
3	to bother. I'll just know for the next hearing that I need to
9	call them as witnesses.
10	But I would move for the admission of C, D and E.
11	MS. MUNDS-DRY: I have no objection to that.
12	MR. WARNELL: Okay. Exhibits C, D and E will be
13	admitted
14	[Applicant's Exhibits C, D and E admitted into
15	evidence.]
16	MR. WARNELL: Ms. Munds-Dry?
17	MS. MUNDS-DRY: Would you like me to call my first
13	witness?
19	MR. WARNELL: Yes.
20	MS. MUNDS-DRY: I'd like to call Ronald St. John.
21	
22	RONALD ST. JOHN
23	after having been first duly sworn under oath,
2.4	was questioned and testified as follows:
25	

1	DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MS. MUNDS-DRY:
3	Q. Would you please state your name for the record,
4	please.
5	A. Ronald St. John.
6	Q. And where do you reside?
٠7	A. Midland, Texas.
8	Q. And by whom are you employed?
9	A. Xeric Oil and Gas.
10	Q. In what capacity?
11	A. I'm overseeing field operations.
12	Q. Have you previously testified before the
13	Division?
1.4	A. No.
15	Q. Are you familiar with the application that's been
16	filed by the Division in this case?
17	A. Not really, no.
18	Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the status of the
1.9	lands and the wells that Xeric owns?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Mr. St. John, when did you and your partners
22	acquire Xeric Oil and Gas Corporation?
23	A. It was April the 5th, I believe, was the closing
24	date.
25	Q. April or March?

1	A. Or March 5th, I'm sorry.
2	Q. When did OCD file this application, do you know?
3	A. I think it was March the 5th, the day we closed
4	on the lease.
5	Q. Why did you buy Xeric and its assets?
6	A. We bought it because we think there's upside to
7	the properties that they had owned. It was pretty much had
3	been neglected. We feel like the operations in the past on
9	these properties was poorly done and done by non-prudent
10	operators. We just felt that we could probably do something
11	with them.
12	Q. How did you come to know about these properties
13	in New Mexico?
14	A. We actually I had spent the last eight years
15	of my career working in the Rocky Mountains, and I started
16	buying assets from Xeric in Montana and Wyoming and Colorado,
17	and they happened to mention to me that they had some
18	properties in New Mexico.
19	Q. So you had a previous relationship with Xeric?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Did you know the state or the condition of the
22	wells that you were acquiring?
23	A. We did. We did. Some of the environmental
24	issues weren't fully disclosed in the beginning; however, we
25	did know it was pretty much a distressed property

- Q. What did you know? You mentioned that they didn't disclose certain environmental issues. What did you know about the Pearl Queen #301 well?
- A. The Pearl Queen #301 pit -- they said that they had started the cleanup process and the environmental cleanup on it; however, it was still probably in the beginning phases. And they said that they would go back in and actually complete that project. And we felt like we were better qualified to step in and do that, so we did.
- Q. What was your agreement, though, when you acquired it? Were they required to clean up that pit or were you required to?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. What is your history, if you wouldn't mind explaining to the Examiner a little bit, in other states in terms of acquiring wells and bringing these kind of state of wells back into compliance?
- A. Right, right. We bought wells off of Xeric in Musselshell County, Montana and also in Sweetwater County, Wyoming -- off of Xeric that were pretty much in the same condition as these wells and these leases -- environment issues, pits, wells that were in a situation where they were to be plugged or produced.

We bought those leases and brought them into compliance. And that was the history in the Rockies. One of

1	the reasons why we purchased this is we felt like we were good
2	candidates to come in and do this also.
3	Q. The wells that you brought back into compliance
4	in Wyoming, what is the current condition of those wells now?
5	A. They're in good standing. All wells are
6	productive wells. Everything is in compliance as far as
7	environmental issues. Everything was pretty much cleaned up.
8	Q. Were those federal or state wells?
9	A. They were federal.
10	Q. And what is your relationship with the federal
11	agency that you deal with?
12	A. The Bureau of Land Management out the Rollins
13	field office is excellent.
1.4	Q. And what about Montana? What are the conditions
15	and state of those wells today?
16	A. Those wells, we're in the process. We've got all
17	the environmental issues taken care of. We're in the process
18	of completing some infrastructure that needed updating, and
19	they're, for the most part, 90 percent complete.
20	Q. What is your relationship with the those
21	regulatory agencies?
22	A. Good, real good.
23	Q. How many partners or employees make up Xeric Oil
24	and Gas Corporation in New Mexico?
25	A. Two.

1	Q. And who are those?
2	A. Myself and Adam Hirschfeld.
3	Q. And how are your responsibilities divided?
.1	A. Adam Hirschfeld is more on the administrative
5	side. I do all the field operations, oversee field operations.
6	Q. Who does your regulatory work?
7	A. Adam Hirschfeld.
8	Q. Since acquiring Xeric, what work have you done on
9	your wells?
10	A. We repaired some surface mechanical problems
11	on there were three wells on the West Pearl Queen unit.
12	While we were doing these repairs and had all production
13	equipment out of the hole, we did some casing or some
14	mechanical integrity tests for our own benefit. We weren't
15	required to do that. We did it just for our own benefit.
16	We did one well on the South Pearl Queen. Then we
17	completed the environmental issues on the reserve pit on #301.
18	Q. Has that work been completed on that reserve pit?
19	A. It has. And there's actually a report that's
20	been delivered to the OCD.
21	Q. What else are you doing in New Mexico, currently?
22	A. I'm currently working on the Crosby and Gregory
23	leases south of Jal, New Mexico. We're getting our locations
24	prepared to move in a rig. And we're also involved with the
25	BLM, communicating with them on the environmental issues on

1	that list. And Tricia Badbear is actually our contact there,
2	out of the Hobbs field office.
3	Q. Have you contracted with any environment
4	companies?
5	A. We have, Phoenix Environmental. I'm fairly new
6	to that area, so I'm not really familiar with all the
7	contractors. I'm kind of getting to know them. But Phoenix
8	Environmental was the one responsible for helping us to do the
9	pit closure on West Pearl Queen #301.
10	Q. Mr. St. John, I'd like to ask you to turn to
11	what's been marked as Exhibit A. I believe this has already
12	been admitted actually by the OCD. It's their exhibit as well.
13	What is this exhibit?
14	A. Is the reserve drill and pit closure report?
15	Q. The one before that actually, the one on top.
16	A. Okay.
17	Q. What is this?
18	A. Oh, this is the plan of action submitted by Xeric
19	to the OCD, and it spells out the status update and plan of
20	action and completed task.
21	Q. Were you present for Mr. Sanchez' testimony?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Did you hear his testimony regarding why this
24	letter was not sufficient in their view?

A. Yes.

Q. One of the statements he made was that there was
insufficient information on that #301 pit. Do you know if that
report has been submitted to the OCD?
A. It has. It was hand-delivered. Actually, two

- A. It has. It was hand-delivered. Actually, two copies of that were hand-delivered to the Hobbs, New Mexico, field office.
- Q. In terms of your tasks that are to be completed, if you would please turn to page 2. It shows you're focusing on the Crosby unit?

A. Yes. That's correct.

- Q. You talked a little bit about that. Could you please just expand on what it is that you actually have to do before you actually get into that unit to do work?
- A. The locations -- this lease has been TA'd, so to speak, for several years. I think since '05. The locations are grown over. We're actually getting our locations ready. We're testing rig anchors, repairing roads, so we can actually get into the locations. I plan to move a rig in and do an MIT, and either produce the wells or plug them.
 - Q. What agencies are you having to coordinate with?
 - A. I'm sorry?
 - Q. What agencies are you having to coordinate with?
- A. Rig companies, pulling unit companies. I'm coordinating once again with Phoenix Environmental, who is actually out there working. They have been for the last week.

I'm coordinating with the landowner. It's a Mr. George Willis. He's the surface owner out there. He's been real cooperative. I'm trying to balance that between the BLM, because it is federal -- and Mr. Willis and, of course, I'll get the OCD involved, also.

- Q. And what has the nature of your discussions been with the BLM on those properties?
- A. The BLM is that there are some issues that need to be addressed. We want to address them. We just want to make sure that everybody is going to pleased with what we're going to do. So at that point, we'll ask that the OCD, the BLM, and the surface owner all be present when we come up with a plan.
- Q. Besides this report that you submitted to the OCD, what other contacts have you or members of Xeric had with the Division?
 - A. With the OCD?

. 17

2.1

- Q. With the OCD.
- A. I actually drove to Hobbs, New Mexico, twice to meet with Buddy Hill, because I was informed that he was our contact guy there. They have gone to a four-day work week. I wasn't aware of that. I drove up on a Friday. Buddy Hill was not there, and so I spoke with another gentleman that just transferred in. I believe he's an environmental engineer. His name is Jeff.

So I did speak with him, and then -- so I think a week went by, and I drove back over there on a Monday. And I wasn't aware that their four-day work week was with rotating days off. So he was off on that Monday, but I spoke with a Mr. Larry Johnson. And I actually hand-delivered him the completed report on the West Pearl Queen #301.

. 4

1.8

22.

- Q. And have you since made contact with Buddy Hill?
- A. I have. It was actually through the rancher that owns the surface on the Crosby and Gregory. Him and Buddy are actually friends, and I happened to be with the rancher and told him I'd been trying to locate Buddy Hill, and he made the comment he knew him, and he wanted to take me over and introduce me, but that was about it.
- $\ensuremath{\text{Q}}.$ What is your plan for going forward with communicating with the OCD?
- A. It's my understanding Buddy Hill is actually promoted. I know Gary Wink's name has come up. Gary Wink is no longer employed with OCD. He's working for a private firm out of Hobbs, New Mexico. And Buddy has been promoted and won't be coming to the field. I don't know who my field contact is going to be. I would like to know that so I coordinate with them and choose one person to actually communicate with instead of communicating with several.
- Q. Will you follow up with that, though, to try to determine --

1	A. I will. I will.
2	Q. Were you present for the June meeting that
3	Mr. Sanchez testified about with the Division in Santa Fe?
4	A. I don't think I was. The June meeting?
5	Q. Here in Santa Fe?
6	A. I was in one other meeting with Mikal, and that
7	was it. I don't remember. I think it might have been in June.
8	Q. Okay. And what took place at that meeting?
9	A. We just talked about what we are going to do as
10	far as submitting a plan of action, what Xeric's intentions
11	were in bringing the wells into compliance, and a reasonable
12	amount of time to do that.
13	Q. I believe one of your partners, Mr. Collier, was
14	present during that meeting?
15	A. That's correct, yes.
16	Q. And as Mr. Sanchez testified to, did he make
17	certain representations at that meeting?
18	A. He did.
19	Q. And as far as you know, were those promises kept?
20	A. No.
21	Q. What is Mr. Collier doing now?
22	A. He is no longer with Xeric Oil and Gas.
23	Q. Where were you during this period of time between
24	June and this hearing in terms of your focus on the New Mexico
25	properties?

1	A. I was doing what we had here as far as pulling
2	the wells on the West Pearl Queen, the South Pearl Queen, and
3	doing the pit reclamation on #301.
4	Q. Were you aware of that Mr. Collier had not kept
5	his promises?
6	A. I was not.
7	Q. What did you do when you found out that that
3	didn't happen?
9	A. We immediately stepped in and started to
10	communicate with you, and we understood that he didn't do what
11	we told the OCD we'd do.
12	Q. Through your discussions with the district office
13	and the Santa Fe office, what did you understand the priorities
14	of the OCD to be in terms of getting your wells back into
15	compliance and addressing any environmental issues?
16	A. We were just supposed to coordinate with the OCD,
17	keep them in the loop on what we were doing, when we were doing
18	it, so that the field office could actually come in and verify
19	we were doing what we said we were doing.
20	Q. What was the first bit of work that you took on
21	when you came to New Mexico?
22	A. The West Pearl Queen #301 pit.
23	Q. When you talked to the district office, what did
24	they tell you about that pit?

A. They said that pit was a sore subject, that it

1	had been on the books and everybody, including Mikal, and
2	anybody who had to the field office in Hobbs, that that was
3	pretty much the place that they took them to show what a
4	non-prudent operator does. And that was my understanding, so
5	we felt like that would be the best place to start.
6	Q. Would you please turn to what's been marked as
7	Xeric Exhibit B?
8	A. Okay.
9	Q. What is this?
10	A. That is a completion report for the reserve
11	drilling pit closure on West Pearl Queen #301.
12	Q. Would you turn to the fourth page in Exhibit B.
13	What is that document?
14	A. This is registration or closure for Xeric Oil and
15	Gas signed by Nick Hood.
16	Q. And what was that dated?
17	A. That was dated 4/21 of '06.
18	Q. Would you turn to the next page and identify this
19	for the Examiner.
20	A. This is an inspection detail by the OCD.
21.	Q. And when is this dated?
22	A. That was dated received April 11th of '06.
23	Q. Would you flip another couple of pages to three
24	pages after that. At the top of the letter, it says Phoenix

Environmental.

- A. Okay.
- Q. What is this page?
- A. This is a work plan to clean up the West Pearl Queen unit #301, but this is -- actually, it is addressed to Xeric Oil and Gas, attention Mr. Nick Hood. These are the previous owners of Xeric.
 - Q. And when is that dated?
 - A. April 21st of 2006.
- MS. ALTOMARE: I'm going to object at this point.

 This well is not an issue in this hearing. I don't know why we're actually going into it at this point.
- MS. MUNDS-DRY: The assertion is that Xeric has not done anything to bring any of their wells into compliance and any of their environmental issues. And this is to show not only what the priority of the Division was, but what they've been focusing on. It also goes to show that Xeric immediately came in as new owners and started working in New Mexico.
- MS. ALTOMARE: Actually, the assertion is that they haven't done anything to bring their wells into compliance with Rules 101(B) or 201. The environmental issues are addressed in a separate action that is not being addressed in today's hearing.
- MR. BROOKS: This is a relevance objection and is entirely discretionary to the presiding Examiner.
 - MR. WARNELL: I think that because it is a hearing,

1.	we ought to probably continue.
2	MS. MUNDS-DRY: I'll get through it quickly,
3	Mr. Examiner.
4	Q. (By Ms. Munds-Dry): Mr. St. John, if you would
5	please, then, turn another couple of pages to another report
6	from Phoenix Environmental, LLC. What is this document?
7	A. This is also a work plan to clean up the West
8	Pearl Queen unit #301.
9	Q. And when is this dated?
10	A. This is dated April 15th of 2008.
11	Q. And then could you turn to the page at the top
12	of it, it says page 14 of 27?
13	A. 14 of 27.
14	Q. Yes, sir. Explain what this page indicates to
15	the Examiner.
16	A. This is a step-by-step procedure for cleaning the
17	pit.
18	Q. Was this completed on that pit?
19	A. Yes, it is.
20	Q. And Mr. St. John, when did you say this report
21	was submitted to the district office?
22	A. It was submitted I'm not sure. I think it was
23	three weeks ago. I believe I hand-delivered it to Hobbs.
24	Q. Do you know why the delay between when the work
25	was actually completed and the report was submitted to the

district office? A. Yes. That was part of the agreement with Phoenix Environmental. They were a little slow on getting their 3 completion report completed. Q. Okay. Mr. St. John, let's turn to what Xeric's long-range goals for its wells in New Mexico are? A. Okay. 7 Q. What is your plan for bringing your wells into 3 9 compliance with OCD rules? 10 A. We're going on a well-by-well, lease-by-lease basis. The leases were actually -- most of them were actually 11 12 shut-in, and had been shut-in for years, temporarily abandoned. 13 The surface equipment -- the individual wells are all pretty

14

15

16

17

13

19

21

23

2.4

25

there.

So we're -- right now, an example is, we're working on the Crosby and Gregory lease, which is a federal lease.

We're starting to go in and recondition our surface equipment.

We'll be moving in a workover rig soon, probably within the next week, depending on rig availability. I've been in contact with the rig company.

much overgrown and just -- there hadn't been anything done over

And we'll be going to each individual well doing integrity tests on the casing, evaluating what stimulation jobs need to be done on that particular well to put it back on production.

Τ	Q. Are these werrs
2	A. Either that or plugged.
3	Q. I'm sorry. Are these wells in secondary recovery
4	phase?
5	A. Some of them are, yes. We'll be implementing a
6	waterflood situation on those.
7	Q. Mr. Sanchez testified that they were seeking an
8	Agreed Compliance Order to manage your inactive well list.
9	Would you be willing to enter into such a compliance order
10	setting dates for bringing all your wells back into compliance?
11	A. Absolutely.
12	Q. You'd be willing to have those discussions with
13	the Division to determine the correct number of wells?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. This is an obvious question, but what are your
16	thoughts on the civil penalty that Mr. Sanchez is asking for,
17	the \$88,000?
18	A. Well, I don't think it's fair, because we have
19	made an honest attempt. We did work on four wells. We did
20	clean up an environmental situation that had been on the books
21	for over five years. That in itself was an accomplishment. I
22	think there's more that can be done; however, we've only owned
23	it șince March.
24	MS. MUNDS-DRY: That concludes my direct testimony,

Mr. Warnell.

We would move into evidence Xeric Exhibits A and B. MR. WARNELL: Exhibits A and B will be admitted. MS. ALTOMARE: Actually, I would object to the 3 admission of Exhibit B, on the basis that it's not relevant to this proceeding. MR. BROOKS: Again, the decision is discretionary 6 where it's a relevance objection. 7 MS. ALTOMARE: It's also incomplete, although it's 8 9 complete as it was submitted to the OCD. It's missing page 13. It's incomplete. 1.0 11 MS. MUNDS-DRY: I don't think that that missing page, if it's in there, which I apologize for, I don't know where it 12 1.3 went. But I don't think --MS. ALTOMARE: It was actually submitted as an 14 15 incomplete report to the OCD in that fashion, but it is actually a significant page of the report. 15 MR. WARNELL: Could you provide us, Ms. Munds-Dry --17 MS. MUNDS-DRY: We will certainly provide page 13, 18 19 yes. MR. WARNELL: When we get page 13, we will submit 20 Exhibit B. We'll accept Exhibit A. 21 [Respondent's Exhibit A admitted into evidence.] 22 23 MS. MUNDS-DRY: That concludes my direct testimony for Mr. St. John. Pass the witness. 24

1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MS. ALTOMARE:
3	Q. Mr. St. John, you were not involved in the
4	telephone conference that took place in April; is that right?
5	A. No.
6	Q. But Mr. Collier was speaking on behalf of Xeric
7	at that point in time?
8	A. Yes, he was.
9	Q. What was his position at that time?
10	A. Mr. Collier was actually an officer of Xeric Oil
11	and Gas.
12	Q. What was his specific position?
13	A. He was actually president.
14	Q. And why is it he's no longer involved?
15	A. He had other agendas, and we didn't feel like
16	that once we found out that he wasn't communicating with
17	OCD, that was unsatisfactory for us, so he was pretty much let
18	go.
19	Q. Okay. But at both meetings that were held, the
20	one in April by telephone and the one in June, he was at that
21	point in time acting on behalf of Xeric Oil and Gas?
22	A. He was.
23	Q. Okay. You do understand that Xeric is a
24	corporation, that by purchasing or a company becoming
25	involved in Xeric, you are becoming involved in a corporation?

A. Uh-huh, yes.

.12

Q. And that the same laws that protect you as an individual from liability for actions of the corporation make you liable for the actions of the corporation as a whole?

MS. MUNDS-DRY: Mr. Examiner, I'm going to object. This is calling for a legal answer that I don't believe Mr. St. John is qualified to answer.

MS. ALTOMARE: The respondents are making the argument that they are not responsible for the history of this company, but they came in and took over this company knowing the history of it.

MS. MUNDS-DRY: I don't think that's what they're asserting at all. I think they are saying that they knew what they were getting into, and they're doing their best to rectify the situation.

MR. BROOKS: Well, I think the question wasn't real clear, but it's not being offered for the purpose of proving what the law is, but for the purpose of proving the witness' knowledge, so I would recommend that the Examiner overrule the objection. If the witness doesn't understand the question, he can ask for clarification.

MR. WARNELL: Overruled. Restate your question.

Q. (By Ms. Altomare): You understand, though, the dynamics of buying into a company and becoming an officer in a company?

1	A. I do. I do.
2	Q. And as a savvy businessman, you research your
3	purchases before you make them?
4	A. We try to.
5	Q. Okay. What kind of research did you do on Xeric
6	Oil and Gas in New Mexico prior to making the decision to
7	become involved in New Mexico?
8	A. The due diligence process was pretty brief here
9	because I was still living in the Rockies. But we did we
10	were aware that there were problems.
11	Q. Okay. Did you review well files either through
12	the OCD or through Xeric's own in-house files?
13	A. We did.
14	Q. What is your current official title? I think you
15	might have already said this, but
16	A. I oversee field operations and I'm also VP.
17	Q. VP, and that would make Mr. Hirschfeld the
18	president?
19	A. That's correct.
20	Q. What role does Mr. King, the former president or
21	owner, currently play?
22	A. He does not have a role in our organization.
23	Q. Is he still signing off on any reports that are
24	being submitted to OCD?
25	A. He did, but not with our approval. He evidently

1	turned in some sundry notices actually on the Crosby and
2	Gregory leases, the leases I'm doing now. We filed amended
3	sundries on that stating that Mr. King is no longer he never
4	has been with the new owners of Xeric.
5	Q. Okay. So you testified that March 5th was the
6	closing date. So since them it's been transferred into you,
. 7	Mr. Hirschfeld, and at the time, Mr. Collier?
8	A. That's correct.
9	Q. Are you aware that as an operator in New Mexico,
10	you're obligated to comply with New Mexico Oil Conservation
11	Division rulings for operating wells in New Mexico?
12	A. Uh-huh.
13	Q. Did you take any steps to familiarize yourself
14	with the rules of New Mexico?
15	A. We're somewhat familiar. We're still learning
16	them.
17	Q. Did you at any point consider contacting the Oil
18	Conservation Division to find out what you might need to do to
19	make sure that you were on the up-and-up and to make sure you
20	were operating according to OCD rules?
21	A. That was actually Mr. Collier's responsibility.
22	I was busy in the field at the time, but it's now my
23	responsibility.
24	Q. When did you become aware that the application
25	had been filed by the OCD?

1	A. What application?
2	Q. The application in this matter?
3	A. I guess the day that we purchased the wells.
4	Isn't that the day you filed it?
5	Q. The date we filed it, but when did you personally
6	become aware that there was an action pending against Xeric?
7	A. This may not be accurate. I'm going to say
8	60 days ago.
9	Q. Do you know when your partners became aware of
10	it?
11	A. I'm not sure.
12	Q. How did it come to be that Mr. Collier took part
13	in that April 15th telephone conference?
14	A. How did it come to be that he took part in the
15	telephone conference?
16	Q. Yeah, on April 15th. How did he know to meet
17	with Mr. King and call our offices to discuss the pending
18	matter?
19	A. He must have discussed that with Mr. King, and it
20	was his understanding there may have been a problem or an
21	issue.
22	Q. Okay. When did Xeric Oil and Gas take steps to
23	update the new contact information with the Oil Conservation
24	Division?
25	A. When did Xeric take

1	Q. When did you all update your new contact
2	information with the Oil Conservation Division?
3	A. That was done by administration. I'm not sure.
4	Mr. Hirschfeld is here. He'll have those dates.
5	Q. Do you recall ever seeing a letter that was sent
6	out in April to all operators that were currently in violation
7	of the new financial assurance requirements advising you that
8	Xeric was in violation of those requirements?
9	A. I did not personally see the letter. My capacity
10	in the company at that time was at the field level, but once
11	again, Mr. Hirschfeld is here. He can probably answer that.
12	Q. Did you at any point become aware of what had
13	occurred at the April 15th telephone conference with
14	Mr. Collier, Mr. King and the OCD?
15	A. I was not there.
16	Q. Did Mr. Collier at any point discuss it with you?
17	A. He said that there was some environmental issues
13	pertaining to the pit #301. I knew there was some he just
19	had mentioned that there was some environmental issues that
20	needed to be addressed. But outside of that, that's
21	Q. Okay. So you weren't aware of the representation
22	and assurances made by Mr. Collier on behalf of Xeric regarding
23	other compliance issues at the time?
24	A. No.
25	Q. Okay. You did testify that you recalled coming

1	in to meet with the OCD in June?
2	A. I did.
3	Q. And you do recall Mr. Collier making certain
4	representations and assurances at that time?
5	A. I do.
6	Q. Do you recall it being specifically outlined that
7	the OCD was requesting a time line of sorts, even if they were
8	general dates, for tasks to be completed to give us an idea of
9	what might be expected in terms of compliance?
10	A. I'm aware that the OCD wanted a written plan of
11	action and that Mr. Collier's responsibility at that time was
12	to stay in contact with the OCD.
13	Q. Okay. And do you recall I think you spoke
14	about this in your direct testimony that your recollection was
15	that the priority of the OCD was keeping the OCD informed?
16	A. That's correct.
17	Q. And you recall the OCD saying that, as long as
18	the OCD was informed as to progress, that the OCD would be
19	willing to work with you to move things towards compliance?
20	A. Yes, that's correct.
21	Q. What explanation do you have for why there was
22	never a time line with actual dates and prioritization of sites
23	submitted to the OCD that would have comported with what was
24	discussed at that June meeting?
25	A. In large part, that was my responsibility. It

was -- Mr. Collier had stepped up, and I think we had an agreement that he would be the one to communicate with the OCD. That didn't happen. I was in the field and was out of the touch with what was going on with the administrative side. We were aware that there was a problem, that wasn't getting done, and the communication -- there was a failure there. So that's one of the reasons why Mr. Collier is no longer with Xeric.

- Q. And do you recall that while the #301 pit was discussed, there were other compliance issues that were also spoken about?
- A. They were some, but I remember #301 being the sore subject for everybody, it seemed like.
- Q. And you had mentioned that you had driven down to see Buddy Hill to try and make contact with him?
 - A. I did.

1

'2

.3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

13

1,9

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. You didn't call ahead to find out what his schedule was?
- A. I did call, and they said they went to a four-day work week. I was actually in Hobbs, and so I went ahead and stopped by the office. They said he was out. That was on a Friday.
- Q. Okay. And then when you went back a second time, did you call ahead?
- A. I did not. That was on a Monday, and he was off again.

1	Q. In fact, that all happened within the last three
2	or four weeks, right?
3	A. Actually, within the last month and a half, I
4	believe.
5	Q. I believe your meeting with Mr. Johnson occurred
6	about a week and a half ago?
7	A. I met with Mr. Johnson. I met the first time
8	I showed up there, there was a new environmental engineer named
9	Jeff that had just transferred into the field office. I met
1.0	with him, talked with him. He was one of the few people that
11	was there that day.
12	The second time I went and Buddy Hill was not in, I
13	asked to meet with someone else, and Larry Johnson was
14	available, so I met with him. And, yeah, that was about two
15	weeks ago.
16	Q. But again, none of this occurred with any kind of
L7	immediacy after that June meeting?
18	A. No. I had called Buddy Hill and talked to him
19	two or three times on the phone.
20	Q. Who prepared the documents that were submitted on
21	August 15th as the status update and plan of action?
22	A. Adam Hirschfeld.
23	Q. What explanation do you have for why it took two
24	months for that report to be submitted?
5	A. That, again, was the responsibility of

Mr. Collier. 1. Q. Okay. And why are no dates included in that 2 document despite the fact that a time frame was something that 3 4 was specifically discuss at the June meeting? A. I didn't complete this document. Once again, 5 Mr. Hirschfeld is here. 6 7 Q. Okay. And there's some reference made in your 8 direct testimony about the Rule 301 -- I mean, the West Pearl 9 Oueen #301 pit. 10 A. Uh-huh. O. My understanding is that the report was submitted 11: 12 to the OCD. I can't remember the exact date that it was 13 submitted either. However, are you aware that it was incomplete at the time that it was submitted to the OCD? 14 A. No. I'm not aware that it was incomplete. If 15 16 it's missing one page, I was not aware of that. 17 Q. I direct your attention to that exhibit. If you 1.8 look between -- well, look at pages 12 and 14. I think we've 19 since somehow procured page 13, but it does seem to be the beginning of that time line. 20. A. Okay. So we're missing page 13. I will make 21. 22 sure --Would you say it's a substantive portion of the 23 Q. 24 report? Yeah. It was probably an oversight on the part 25 Α.

1	of Phoenix Environmental. We were they were slow about
2	getting this report to us. And as soon as I got it in my
3	hands, it looked complete. I thumbed through it and drove
4	immediately to the OCD's office and made sure they had it. So
5	I apologize for that.
6	Q. In fact, all the work on this site has not been
7	completed; isn't that right?
8	A. It has been completed.
9	Q. Weren't you issued a letter of violation on
10	August 20th for a barrel that was still on the site?
11	A. I'm if there's a chemical barrel out there, it
12	had nothing to do with the pit.
13	Q. Okay. But the site is not completely clean.
14	A. The site is completely this pit has been
15	closed.
16	Q. Okay. Would it surprise you to know that there
17	is still a barrel remaining out there?
18	A. No, it wouldn't. Because there's a chemical drum
19	at the well site at the #301. There's actually a #301 well.
20	There's #301 pit. We actually that's one of the few wells
21	that we actually do a corrosion inhibitor.
22	Q. Would it surprise you to know that there was
23	contaminated soil discovered at the site that still needs to

Yeah, that would surprise me.

24

25

be --

No.

1	Q. Okay. Are you aware that the APD for this site
2	specifically required that the reseeding be done only after the
3	surface owner approved the reseeding mixture to be used?
4	A. No. I wasn't aware that the surface owner needed
5	to approve anything.
. \$	Q. And to your knowledge, was the surface owner
7	involved in the reseeding that was done at this site?
3	A. To my knowledge, I do not know.
9	Q. Okay. I'll represent to you that they were not,
10	and if that is
11	A. Who is the surface owner there?
12	MS. MUNDS-DRY: Mr. Examiner, I think that counsel is
1,3	starting to testify, and I don't want to raise an objection
14	just to it seems like she's starting to testify and I'm a
15	little concerned about that.
15	MS. ALTOMARE: At this point, I would like to
17	clarify, since he has testified that this site is completed.
18	There are, apparently, facts that he's not aware of. I was not
19	prepared to address this pit because this well is not a part of
20	this case. I, therefore, do not have exhibits prepared to
21	present on this. However, I am entitled to impeach this
22	witness.
23	MS. MUNDS-DRY: Mr. Examiner, I just ask that they be
24	questions and not statements by counsel.

MR. BROOKS: Well, the would-it-surprise-you-to-know

```
7
       is a standard attorney's trick. It's not really proper. But
 2
       it has been used by many masters of the art. So I will leave
       it to the Examiner's discretion.
 3
                MS. ALTOMARE: We're straying away from that, Mr.
 4
       Examiner. That's what my concern is.
 5
 6
                MR. BROOKS: I will leave it to the Examiner's
       discretion. I don't think there's any great harm done when
 7
       we're not before a jury.
 8
                MR. WARNELL: I see no harm. Although, I am a bit
 9
       surprised that we're spending so much time talking about #301
10
17
       when it's not in my case folder.
                Q. (By Ms. Altomare): All right. Well, that being
12
       said, you do understand at the time the application was filed
13
       in this particular case that Xeric Oil and Gas had 80 wells on
14
15
       OCD's inactive well list showing up as being in violation of
16
       Rule 201?
                A. I knew there were several. I didn't know exactly
17
18
       how many.
                Q. And Xeric has a total of 110 wells; is that
19
20
       right?
                A. I believe that's right.
21
                Q. And you know that now that number has actually
22
       risen to 82.
                A. On non-compliant wells?
24
25
                Q. In violation of 201?
```

1	A. That don't surprise me.
2	Q. You understand that at the time the application
3	was filed, Xeric has 69 wells for which it owed single well
4	financial assurances?
5	A. Could you repeat the question, please?
6	Q. At the time the application was filed, Xeric had
7	69 wells coming up as in violation of Rule 101(B).
8	A. 101(B) being what?
9	Q. Owing single well financial assurances.
10	A. Okay. I'm not aware on the exact amount of wells
11	and how many they had bonds on and how many they didn't.
12	Q. Are you aware now that that number has risen to
13	74?
14	A. It has.
15	Q. Did you hear the testimony earlier this morning
16	about the total amount of single well financial assurances owed
17	by Xeric Oil and Gas?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Was that number a surprise to you?
20	A. Yes, it was.
21	Q. Have you or anyone in your company, to your
22	knowledge, contacted the financial assurance bonding
23	administrator to
24	A. That again, would be Mr. Hirschfeld.
25	Q. Okay.

1	MS. ALTOMARE: I think, apparently, the rest of these
2	issues are issues I need to address with the other witness, so
3	I'll pass the witness.
4]	MR. WARNELL: Ms. Munds-Dry?
5	MS. MUNDS-DRY: I have no further questions.
6	MR. WARNELL: No further questions? The witness may
7	step down, and you may call your next witness.
8	MS. MUNDS-DRY: Thank you. I'd like to call Adam
9	Hirschfeld, please?
10	MR. WARNELL: I'm sorry. I forgot to ask Mr. Brooks.
11	MR. BROOKS: Well, I was going to ask a couple of
12	questions here.
13	EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. BROOKS:
15	Q. Who owns Xeric at the present time?
16	A. Myself and Adam Hirschfeld.
17	Q. No one else?
18	A. No.
19	Q. Was Mr. Collier, was he an owner?
20	A. He was.
21	Q. And he's sold his interest?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. When you and Mr. Hirschfeld acquired Xeric, did
24	you make any contact with the Oil Conservation Division prior
25	to acquiring Xeric to determine what its regulatory status was

1	at that time?
2	A. We did not. We were informed by the previous
3	owners, Mr. Cook and Mr. King, and just the discussions we had
4	with them.
5	Q. Were you informed by them that Xeric was
6	presently in violation of a number of Oil Conservation Division
7	rules?
8	A. We were not.
9	Q. And you did not check it out with the Division?
10	A. Well, we knew we did know that there were some
11	environmental issues; however, we weren't aware that the OCD
12	was demanding a financial assurance bond.
13	Q. Did you make any investigation of the Oil
14	Conservation Division's rules about activity wells and
15	bonding and so forth before you bought this?
16	A. The administrative part of the company that we
17	were actually running at the time, Mr. Collier was involved in
18	that, and also Mr. Hirschfeld.
19	Q. And not you?
20	A. Not me.
21	Q. Now, April 15th, is that the first contact you
22	had with the Oil Conservation Division?
23	A. Actually, it was the meeting we had with Mikal,
24	which was in June the first time.
2.0	O so you didn't have any contact?

1	A. I personally did not.
2	Q. Okay. And when did you become aware that there
3	was a requirement for furnishing financial assurance for
4	inactive wells?
5	A. That I personally it come to my attention
6	just previous to the meeting in June.
7	Q. Okay. So you have known since June that there is
8	a requirement to furnish
9	A. I have.
10	Q financial assurance for inactive wells?
11	A. Yes, yes.
12	Q. Now, when did you become aware that there was a
13	requirement that wells either be returned to production or that
14	they the Oil Conservation Division approve the abandonment
15	of the wells, temporary abandonment, of the wells?
16	A. That would have been in June also.
17	Q. Okay. Thank you. That's all I have.
18	EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. WARNELL:
20	Q. Mr. St. John, I have a question or two, if you
21	don't mind.
22	A. Okay.
23	Q. Carrying on kind of the same train of thought
24	there with Xeric Oil and Gas Corporation
25	A. Yes.

1	Q when you bought the company, how many people	
2	were involved with the company, the previous owners?	
3	A. The previous owners.	
4	Q. I've heard three names now; Mr. Collier, Cook and	
5	King?	
5	A. Mr. Collier originally was a part of the new	
7	ownership of Xeric, which would be myself, Adam Hirschfeld and	
8	Tim Collier. The two previous owners are actually Mr. Joe Cook	
9	and Mr. Walter King.	
10.	Q. And where did they live?	
11	A. Mr. King lives in I do not know him very well.	
12	He lives in Midland, Texes. Mr. Cook lives in Odessa, Texas.	
1,3	Q. And yet your letterhead has a Houston, Texas,	
14	address.	
15	A. The new Xeric, yes. That would be myself and	
16	Mr. Hirschfeld.	
1,7	Q. Do you live in Houston?	
18	A. I live in Midland, Texas.	
19	Q. Mr. Hirschfeld, where does he live?	
20	A. He resides in Ohio right now.	
2.1	Q. Who's in the Houston office?	
22	A. We have some administrative people that handle,	
23	actually, account payable, accounts receivable.	
24	Q. You testified here this morning in the very	
25 .	beginning that you weren't familiar with the case. I thought I	

1	heard that.
2	A. Weren't familiar with this case?
3	Q. Yes.
-1	A. Just what conversations that we had back in June
5	with Mikal. My capacity up to this point until just very
6	recently has been at the field level. I spend most of my time
7	in the field doing the hands-on stuff.
8	Q. I guess where I'm going I'm a little bit
9	concerned. We spent a lot of time here this morning talking
10	about #301
11	A. Uh-huh.
12	Q but yet I'm not sure if you and I were to sit
13	down and you told me what it is that OCD is requiring of your
14	company, would it be actually what OCD is asking for?
15	A. I'm not sure I understand the question.
16	Q. You said you weren't familiar with the case. Do
17	you know what OCD is asking your company?
18	A. They're wanting us to post a financial assurance
19	bond on all non-compliant wells; is that correct?
20	Q. Yes.
21.	A. Okay.
22	Q. And you know those wells, they are outlined
23	specifically?
24	A. Yes, sir. And we have every intention of doing
25	just exactly we have done, which is go in and test the

1	integrity of the well. And one of two things will happen at
2	that point: We will either put the well on production and
3	producing it, or we will plug it.
4	Q. You mentioned something about an MIT?
5	A. Yes, sir.
6	Q. Is that an integrity test?
7	A. That is a mechanical integrity test on the
8	casing.
9	Q. All right. Who is your BLM contact, the person
10	that you deal with?
11	A. The BLM contact that we're working with right now
12	on the Crosby and Gregory lease will be Tricia Badbear.
13	Q. And who is your OCD contact?
14	A. OCD contact, it was supposed to be Buddy Hill.
15	It's my understanding he got a promotion within the OCD, so he
16	will not be coming to the field. I'd like to know who that's
17	going to be so I can start communicating with them. I think
18	Buddy has moved up, and I need someone who is actually at the
19	field level who I can coordinate with in the field.
20	MR. WARNELL: Can we get Mr. St. John a name and a
21	contact and make sure that they get together?
22	THE WITNESS: I'd appreciate that.
23	MR. WARNELL: I have no further questions. You may
24	step down. Thank you.
25	Ms. Munds-Dry, your next witness?

1 MS. MUNDS-DRY: Thank you. I'd like to call Adam 2 Hirschfeld, please. 3 ADAM HIRSCHFELD after having been first duly sworn under oath, 4 was questioned and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 6 7 BY MS. MUNDS-DRY: 8 Q. Would you please state your name for the record. A. Yes. Adam Hirschfeld. 9 Q. Where did you reside? 10 11 A. Ohio. O. And by whom are you employed? 12 A. Xeric Oil and Gas. 13 14 Q. And in what capacity? 15 A. I run the back office, the financial side of the 16 business, the account payable, the accounts receivable, and basically I work with regulatory filings. I have an assistant, 17 and that's about it. 18 19 Q. Have you previously testified before the Oil Conservation Division? A. No, ma'am. 21 Q. Are you familiar with the application that's been 22 filed by the Division in this case? 23 24 A. I've become familiar with it. 25 Q. You mentioned a little bit about your capacity.

What are your exact responsibilities for Xeric?

1.0

- A. My responsibilities are to basically make sure that Xeric is capitalized to meet the needs that we have in the field, whether that be to fix the wells, or to pay contractors or bond.
 - Q. And what other responsibilities do you have?
- A. We file the C-115s every month. We also take care of all the monthly reporting and financial requirements on all the Rocky Mountain properties. When it comes to buying and selling properties, I'll work with counsel to arrange the contracts. Basically, everything that's beyond the field level, my office will handle.
 - Q. Anything that Mr. St. John doesn't do?
 - A. Anything he doesn't do.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. In fact, I call him quite often and discuss what has to be done with him.
- Q. What is the total amount, as you understand it, for posting the single well bonds for all the wells that are the subject of this application?
- A. The most recent letter that was forwarded to me -- I believe it was the end of August -- by you, Ocean, I believe it was -- 813,000 is what I saw on there.
- Q. Would you explain to the Examiner what sort of impact would this have on Xeric's ability to continue its work

on the wells in New Mexico if you had to post these bonds?

1.4

A. Yes. At the time, we started this business with the goal of being prudent operators. And, you know, the Rocky Mountains was our original focus -- meaning Colorado, Wyoming and Montana. We've spent a significant amount of money improving those assets and bringing them into compliance. We do have production up in that area. Our budget isn't necessarily as fluid as some people's or some operators' budgets might be, but we do have a budget, and we do have cash-flowing assets.

But when the acquisition was made for Xeric, I had scheduled the finances for improvements in the field. I had basically researched the temporarily abandoned wells, the abandoned wells, the wells that basically we need to bring back on to production. We needed to equip them and whatever else might need to be completed, including the environmental issues.

When we had acquired the asset, it wasn't communicated to us that there was financial assurance requirements. At that time, we hadn't contacted the OCD, and I'll admit that that would be my fault and Mr. Collier. I suppose we had grown to trust Joe Cook in the past, and whatever he had known in the past about the previous properties we had purchased had come to be the truth. We had understood that their status at the Oil Conservation Division was one that we had to return the wells back to production -- which was our

intention in buying the property -- and was one that the relationship was good with the Oil Conservation Division.

And with that, our near-term goals were bringing the Rocky Mountain properties into completion and producing or selling and focusing operations on New Mexico.

- Q. So is that Xeric's focus now, the properties in New Mexico?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. If you have to post all these single well bonds at one time, what does that do to your ability to bring the wells into compliance?
- A. It will certainly delay us a significant amount of time.
 - Q. Why is that?
- A. We would have to sell a couple of our properties in the Rocky Mountains to meet the financial need, or I'd have to work with counsel to draft private placement and seek some form of alternative financing.
- Q. What kind of time does that take to put that in place?
- A. It varies. It requires audits. It requires some form of registration with the individual states as well as the security commission, the SEC. In the past, I've done it in a four-month period. The issue with our current assets would be included in audit and evaluation and would require engineering

and reserve studies to be updated. We have some older ones. We'd have to get those updated and valued properly.

At this time, we have an engineer that has done a small amount of work for us. It took about six weeks to update a study he had done five years prior on one property.

- Q. In addition to Xeric being out of compliance, why does Xeric want to bring these wells back into production?
- A. We feel like it's economically feasible, and we can basically get some additional primary recovery and move into a secondary recovery phase.
 - Q. Were you present for Mr. St. John's testimony?
 - A. I was.

- Q. Did you prepare what's been marked as Exhibit A, Xeric's Exhibit A, the status update and plan of action?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know why there were not more specific time frames put in the "to be completed actions"?
- A. The issues -- when we had begun to draft that report, initially we had found out that it wasn't completed by our former partner, Mr. Collier, and I had moved in to complete it. And that included the environmental issues that we're facing on the Crosby and Gregory units. At this time, just two days ago, we were informed by Tricia Badbear that we weren't allowed to move any dirt whatsoever until we had her approval as well as the landowners. With that being understood, even

prior to that time on Tuesday of this week, we still were not sure when we were going to be allowed to move dirt whatsoever to take care of the two main environmental issues we were looking at over there.

In addition to that, in regards to getting the Crosby and Gregory wells going and all the other wells that are temporarily abandoned or non-compliant, there is a question of rig availability. In the past, we have had delay time of a week to two weeks. That's not too bad. But in that are the reasons why we didn't put any specific dates in there. And finally, would be that it was not my primary focus to put dates in there, rather to get the plan over saying what we were trying to accomplish, and I know now that I've got to put dates on it. So I apologize for that.

- Q. Mr. Hirschfeld, do have a copy of Exhibit C in front of you?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Would you identify and review what this packet is?
- A. It's C-103 sundry notices on ten wells between the Crosby Deep and Gregory leases southwest -- or south of Jal, New Mexico. It's our intent to bring these ten wells either back to production or plug and abandon them. Ron right now does have Phoenix Environmental getting the roads ready and getting the well locations ready to have a rig on location.

1 We're also refurbishing the surface equipment so they can 2 handle production here in the near future, God willing. 3 That's what this is right here. So it is our intent to move ahead on this as soon as the roads are capable of 41 5 handling a rig. 6 Q. Okay. 7 MS. ALTOMARE: Do you have an Exhibit C for us? MS. MUNDS-DRY: Oh, I'm sorry. That must be why I 8 9 have an extra one. Sorry. 10 MS. ALTOMARE: Thank you. 11 Q. (By Ms. Munds-Dry): Mr. Hirschfeld, has this been submitted to the OCD vet? 12

13

14

15

15

17

18

19

20

23

24

- A. It has not been submitted to the OCD yet.
- Q. When do you plan to submit these to the Division?
- A. I was planning to submit them either today or before the end of this week. The main issue we had in submitting these was making sure that within a 60-day period we could, in fact, complete the work on these ten wells. And the problem was, until our visit on location on Tuesday, I wasn't sure how long it was going to take us to have the roads prepared and ready to go. I was making sure we can get everything aligned. I don't think -- if we move ahead, I don't want to be filing any more amended C-103s. I'd like to get it done when we say we're going to get it done.
 - Q. Mr. Hirschfeld, you heard Mr. St. John agree that

-		
1	entering into an Agreed Compliance Order for inactive wells	
2	would be acceptable to Xeric. Do you agree with that?	
3	A. I do.	
4	Q. And would you also be willing to sit down with	
5	the Division and come up with a time line that works for both	
6	of you to bring your wells back into compliance?	
. 7	A. Yes.	
8	MS. MUNDS-DRY: With that, we move the admission of \cdot	
9	Exhibit C into evidence.	
10	MR. WARNELL: Any objections?	
11	MS. ALTOMARE: No objection.	
12	MR. WARNELL: We will admit Exhibit C.	
13	[Respondent's Exhibit C admitted into evidence.]	
14	MS. MUNDS-DRY: And I have nothing further for	
15	Mr. Hirschfeld. I pass the witness.	
16	MR. WARNELL: Ms. Altomare?	
17	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
18	BY MS. ALTOMARE:	
- 1.9	Q. What is your official title with Xeric?	
20	A. I recently became president. Prior to that, I	
21	was secretary.	
22	Q. And at what point did that change occur?	
23	A. We're still working through the documents now.	
24	I've been majority shareholder since we acquired it.	
25	Q. And at what point in time did Mr. Collier	

1	officially no longer was he officially no longer involved in
2	Xeric?
3	A. Basically the first week of August.
4	Q. Okay.
5	A. And that's give or take a few days.
6	Q. What steps did you take prior to acquiring Xeric
7	in New Mexico to research to look into it to make the decision
8	to ultimately become involved with Xeric Oil and Gas?
9	A. I had allocated the financial resources. I
10	basically went through the file boxes. I was looking at old
11	well logs and reserve studies. I did go through the New Mexico
12	Oil Conservation Division website and obtained a list of all
13	the wells that are being reported on the C-115s showing
14	production on certain wells. That is the most that was done
15	besides the field visits, obviously, and a couple of meetings
16	with Mr. Cook and Mr. King.
17	Q. And so you familiar with the OCD website?
18	A. Very.
19	O. Okay. And you reviewed the C-115s, so you were
20	aware that there were a significant number that were not
21	reporting or were inactive?
22	A. That's correct.
23	Q. Okay. And having access to the OCD website, you
24	were not aware of the single well financial assurance
25	requirement?

1	A. I was not.
2	Q. You are aware that that is due now, not
3	A. I am.
4	Q. Okay. That regardless of whether or not you
5	filed these sundries with an intention to complete within a
6	certain amount of time, that the bonds for those wells are due
7	now?
8	A. I understand that.
9	Q. Okay. Again, you were not present for the
10	April 15th telephone conference; is that right?
11	A. The way that happened is this question was
12	asked of Ron. I received a phone call later that day from
13	Mr. Collier. I was living in Colorado at the time, so I just
14	received a cell phone call while I was driving. He informed me
15	that Mr. Cook had received a notice from the OCD on Case 14106
16	and 14107 listing the various issues.
17	I was not informed that there was a call, but it was
18	my understanding that document was sent to Mr. Cook's old
19	office. And I'd have to go back and look, but I do recall us
20	filing an address change prior to that. Whether that's
21	recorded on your books or not, I'm not sure. But it gone to
22	Mr. Cook's office. Our first day understanding that there was
23	a problem was April 15th. That's my extent of the knowledge.
24	Q. Okay. What did Mr. Collier convey to you
25	regarding the conversation that occurred on April 15th2

1	A. He did not communicate to me that he had a	
2.	conversation, but he did communicate the fact that we needed to	
3	get counsel, and we needed to move ahead to get things fixed.	
4	So I contacted Holland and Hart and our existing counsel in	
5	Denver. That was the step I took.	
6	Q. Did he discuss with you what the particular	
7	violation were that were at issue?	
8	A. He discussed with me that we have wells that were	
9	temporarily abandoned that were not producing and that we had	
10	to bring back on to production. At the time, I had taken	
11	Mr. Collier's word for it about operating and drilling wells in	
12	New Mexico.	
13	Q. Okay. Did he talk to you at all about setting up	
14	a meeting to come in and meet with OCD in person to discuss the	
15	issues?	
16	A. He did discuss that with me. He said we'd have	
17	to have a face-to-face meeting with you.	
18	Q. Did he talk to you about how quickly that would	
19	need to happen?	
20	A. We both agreed it had to happen very quickly.	
2.1	Q. Do you have any explanation as to why that didn't	
22	occur before the next hearing setting as was promised?	
23	A. My only explanation is that we had at the time	
24	three partners. And Mr. Collier, who is familiar with the	

State of New Mexico, was an individual that we trusted, and he

1	said he was communicating with you. And we scheduled a meeting
2	when he said he had spoken with Ms. Munds-Dry about it.
3	Q. And you did attend that meeting in June; is that
4	right?
5	A. I did.
6	Q. So do you recall the representations made by Mr.
2	Collier at that meeting?
8	A. Absolutely.
9	Q. And do you recall him talking about providing the
10	OCD with a plan of action and general time frame for
11	accomplishing certain compliance actions?
12	A. I certainly did.
13	Q. And do you feel that those goals were achieved?
1.4	A. They were not. If fact, when Ron and I were
15	touring locations in the Rocky Mountains, we had been informed
16	that they were not fulfilled. And that is when I returned back
1.7	to my office and completed the report to do that.
18	Q. Okay. Do you recall at that meeting it being
19	discussed the importance of about keeping the OCD informed?
20	A. I understand it's very important.
21	Q. Okay.
22	A. I do understand that we basically do not have a
23	business unless we can operate within the regulatory standards.
24	Q. And do you recall that more than just the West
25	Pearl Queen #301 pit violations were discussed at that meeting?

1	Α.	I do.
2	Q.	That there were issues of the inactive wells and
3	financial ass	urances that were discussed there as well?
4	Α.	But I do recall it being a focus on environmental
5	issues first,	as you even mentioned at that meeting.
6	Q.	Okay. You had testified that you prepared what
7	as been marked	d as your Exhibit A, the status update and plan of
8	action?	
9	Α.	Yes, ma'am.
10	Q.	Okay. And you had indicated why there were no
11	dates for the	"to be completed."
12	Α.	Uh-huh.
13	Q.	You didn't indicate an order of priority either,
14	though; isn't	that right?
15	Α.	I believe I didn't.
16	Q.	Even though you didn't include dates, why didn't
17	you include at	least a prioritization of what was to be done?
18	Α.	We basically planned on doing it concurrently.
19	Q.	Okay.
20	Α.	Which is manageable with the Phoenix
21	Environmental	contractors and the rig.
22	Q.	And as for the completed tasks, you didn't
2.3	include dates	there, either, right?
24	A.	No, I didn't.
25	Q.	And nothing on here actually involves any of the

inactive wells or the financial assurance issues at issue in this case, right?

A. I do not believe so.

- Q. Okay. Why didn't you choose to include any of that in this particular report?
- A. We had had problems with those existing wells that we had worked on. They were previously producing wells. We had had some parted rods and downhole issues on those, so they had gone down in production the prior month.

So our goal was to basically take the well-equipped wells and bring them back on to production so they didn't go onto the -- basically, the non-compliance list here in the future -- and do chemical tests downhole so that we could understand better what the formation would react to. So as we moved ahead and did equip wells and did the mechanical integrity tests on the wells in the future, not only would we have the existing wells producing revenue for us, we would also have the test so we would know better how to stimulate these wells and bring them back into production.

- Q. But at the time you prepared this report, you knew that this hearing was coming up, right?
 - A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. So you knew the OCD was concerned about inactive wells and financial assurance compliance?
 - A. Absolutely.

1	Q. And you still didn't include any of that
2	information in this report?
3	A. No. ma'am.
4	Q. Okay. Do you understand that it is the policy of
5	the Oil Conservation Division that prior to even though the
6	Oil Conservation Division is willing to enter into an ACO
7	addressing the inactive wells, it's the policy of the Oil
8	Conservation Division that in order to do that, you must first
9	post any outstanding single well bonds?
10	A. I didn't understanding that they had to be
11	completed before we bring these wells back on, no.
12	Q. Do you understand the implications for being in
13	violation of Rule 40 by having inactive wells and/or having
14	financial assurance violations as far as the impact on your
15	ability to operate?
16	A. I'm not familiar with that.
17	Q. Do you know that it can affect your ability to
18	get permits to drill new wells?
19	A. I'm not understanding no. It's Rule 40 you're
20	talking about?
21	Q. Right.
22	A. No, I'm not familiar with Rule 40.
23	Q. You're not familiar with Rule 40?
24	A. No, ma'am.
25	Q. Does it change your approach, your analysis in

1 delegation of finances, for instance, knowing that you're not going to get approved for permits to drill, authorization to 3 transport, those kinds of things unless and until you post 1 those bonds? A. Well, it would. Our intent is not to drill. Our intent would be to rework. But if it's going to prevent us 5 from being able to sell oil -- is that what you're saying? 8 O. Any --9 When you say "transport," is that --Q. Any new request for allowable authority to 10 11 transport, any new reworking that is going into a new pool --12 A. Okay. 13 Q. -- so potentially depending on what it is you're 1.4 proposing, potentially, yes, it could affect. A. Okay. Well, in that case, it would certainly 15 16 change the way we would do it. The only issue that I'm faced 17 with is that I cannot call a rig up next week if we post this bond at the end of the month. 18 19 Q. Okay. That's the main issue I've got. And I understand 20 there's no legal defense on having ignorance in going into 21 22 this, but that is the fact right now. 23 Q. Have you made any contact with the financial assurance administrator with the Oil Conservation Division to 24

25

date?

1	A. No, ma'am.
2	MS. ALTOMARE: I think that's it.
3	MR. WARNELL: Mr. Brooks?
4	EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. BROOKS:
6	Q. Well, I guess I would ask you the same questions
7	basically that I asked Mr. St. John. Did you have any contact
8	with the Oil Conservation Division before you acquired Xeric?
2	A. No. sir.
10	Q. Did you make any did you undertake any
11	research regarding the Oil Conservation Division's rules before
12	you acquired Xeric?
13	A. I did not.
14	Q. When did you first have contact with the Oil
15	Conservation Division?
16	A. June 13th, that meeting with
17	Q. Your answer is basically the same as
18	Mr. St. John's. When you became aware of these things was in
19	June?
20	A. No. I became aware of them on April 15th when
21	Mr. Collier had called me.
22	Q. You were not a participant in the telephone call?
23	A. I was not.
24	Q. But Mr. Collier informed you of what?
25	A. He had informed me that we had received a letter

from the Oil Conservation Division basically stating that we were going to have a hearing in the next -- I can't remember when the first hearing was scheduled, but he said that we were 3 going to have a hearing in the next month, I believe. 4 5 O. Did he inform you that the Oil Conservation 6 Division was requiring the filing of additional financial assurance? A. No, he didn't. 8 Q. When did you become aware of that requirement? 9 A. This meeting on June 13th. 10 Okay. Did he inform you that the Oil Conservation Division was requiring that the wells be either 1.2 tested or returned to active status? 13 1.4 A. No, sir. And when did you become aware of that? 1.5 A. Basically right around June 13th. But I can say 15 that it was discussed that we were going to have to bring wells 17 back to production and or plug them. 18 O. That was discussed when? 19 A. It was discussed in the April 15th time line. 20 Q. Okay. A. And prior to that, though -- being that it was 22 our purpose in purchasing the asset was to do that very thing. Q. Okay. Thank you. 24

1	EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. WARNELL:
3	Q. This conference call, now, in April, was that on
4]	the 15th or the 17th? I've heard several dates.
5	MS. ALTOMARE: The hearing was schedule for the 17th.
6	The telephone conference call occurred two days earlier.
7	MR. WARNELL: On the 15th, okay.
8	Q. (By Mr. Warnell): Have you ever been into the
9	OCD website?
10	A. I have.
11	Q. So you realize all the rules and everything else
12	that we've talked about here this morning is on the website?
13	A. Correct. I had started to become familiar with
14	them after our June 13th meeting. Yes, sir.
1.5	Q. Xeric Oil and Gas Corporation; we talked about
16	Wyoming, Colorado, Montana, and your Rocky Mountain properties
17	and New Mexico.
18	A. Yes, sir.
19	Q. I was thinking at one time Xeric had activity in
20	Arkansas and Texas and some other states. What happened to
21	that property?
22	A. You know, Xeric has changed hands in 2006 from
23	Randall Capps was the previous owner of Xeric. And then he had
24	sold it to Joe Cook and Walter King, and they had sold it to
25	us. In that sale from Randall Capps to Joe Cook, I recall from

the records that Mr. Capps had kept some properties back and he 1 . 2 had separated some assets from it. So that's my understanding. So he's probably still operating some of that? .3 He certainly is, under a company names XOG 5 Operating. O. XOG. How many people are currently employed by Xeric Oil and Gas Corporation? 7 A. Ron and I, and we have a consultant in Houston 8 9 that we bring on. 10 Q. And the consultant is at this Memorial Drive 11 address? A. Correct. 12 MR. WARNELL: Ms. Munds-Dry? 13 14 MS. MUNDS-DRY: I have nothing further. . 15 MR. WARNELL: You may step down. Thank you. 16 other witnesses? MS. MUNDS-DRY: That concludes my case. 17 18 MR. WARNELL: Closing statements? 19 MS. ALTOMARE: I just wanted to clarify the relief that we're asking for, and that is that while we are willing to 20 21 enter into an ACOI because of the sheer number of wells that are involved, it is OCD policy and, in this case in particular, 22 23 given the amount of bonds and the time that has passed, that 24 the operator post the bonds first before they be eliqible to

enter into any kind of an ACOI.

So we would ask that there be a date specific set for the posting of the single well financial assurances on all wells that they are due on, and that thereafter we would be willing to negotiate an ACOI to address the inactive wells.

1.4

2.2

And we are asking for a penalty to be assessed in this case because -- I mean, understand that there was a falling out amongst partners, but they are a company. They entered into this endeavor together. They knew what they were getting into. The resources were there. They could have looked up our rules. They could have contacted us. The financial assurance obligations were pre-existing their acquisition of the properties. We have made good-faith efforts to work with them. And they've been aware of these issues for some time now.

So we would be asking for the penalty to be assessed in the amount of \$88,000, which is \$1,000 per well at the time of the filing.

MR. WARNELL: Ms. Munds-Dry?

M3. MUNDS-DRY: Mr. Warnell, we would say that if we are required to enter into single well bonds first before they are willing to enter into an ACOI, which you heard, both gentlemen testified that they would be willing to enter into one and that they be given time. As Mr. Hirschfeld testified, unfortunately, there's a kink in their plans, and they're going to need time to get these into place. And, conversely, that

means if they are entering into an Agreed Compliance Order, they're going to need time also to recapitalize to actually meet their promises.

What they're trying to do is be realistic in what they can accomplish. They realize that there was a failure of one of the partners and that they have to make up for that, and they have every intention of doing that. You have a good operator here who has finally taken over this company and intends to bring all these wells into compliance. We ask you to give them time to do that and to be reasonable.

The civil penalty is certainly not reasonable.

They've only owned this company since March and you're going to try to penalize them \$88,000 for that. This is way out of line and should not be considered.

We just would really emphasize that you give them time to get all of their affairs in order. They do have every intention of coming into compliance.

MR. WARNELL: Thank you. Mr. Brooks?

MR. BROOKS: Nothing.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ WARNELL: Are we going to take this under advisement, or do we need to --

MR. BROOKS: I can't think of anything else we need to do. I believe Ms. Altomare has indicated that she has offered all the evidence she feels is necessary to offer. Is that correct?

1	MS. ALTOMARE: I believe so.
2.	MR. BROOKS: Very good.
3	MR. WARNELL: Okay. That being the case, then, that
4	concludes Case No. 14106, and it will be taken under
5	advisement.
6	* * *
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	l do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in
16	the Examiner bearing of Care No
17	Examiner
13	Oil Conservation Division
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1

1

1

5

3

9

12

11

13

14

15

_ _

17

13

19

21

22

23

2.5

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, JOYCE D. CALVERT, Provisional Court Reporter for the State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that I reported the foregoing proceedings in stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcript of those proceedings and was reduced to printed form under my direct supervision.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by nor related to any of the parties or attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in the final disposition of this proceeding.

DATED this 4th of September, 2008.

JOYCE D. CALVERT New Mexico P-03

icense Expires: 7/31/09

Jone Cahit

guntatio	
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
2	COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)
3	
41	I, JOYCE D. CALVERT, a New Mexico Provisional Reporter, working under the direction and direct supervision of
5	Paul Baca, New Mexico CCR License Number 112, hereby certify that I reported the attached proceedings; that pages numbered
6	1-87 inclusive, are a true and correct transcript of my stenographic notes. On the date I reported these proceedings,
7	I was the holder of Provisional License Number P-03. Dated at Albuquerque, New Mexico, 4th day of
8	September, 2008.
	Joyce Cahut
9	Gome Canil
10	Joyce D. Calvert
11	Provisional License #P-03 License Expires: 7/31/09
12	
13	
14	D. C. Mars
15	Paul Bacq
16	Paul Baca, RPR
17	Certified Court Reporter #112 License Expires: 12/31/08
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
3 [OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
4	
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR
6.	THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING: CASE NO. 14106
7	APPLICATION OF THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR A COMPLIANCE
8	ORDER AGAINST XERIC OIL AND GAS CORPORATION ("XERIC")
9 .	
10	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
11	£ v.;
12	
13	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
14	EXAMINER HEARING
15	
16	BEFORE: DAVID K. BROOKS, Legal Examiner
17	TERRY G. WARNELL, Technical Examiner
18	September 4, 2008
19	Santa Fe, New Mexico
20	This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico
	Oil Conservation Division, DAVID K. BROOKS, Legal Examiner, TERRY G. WARNELL, Technical Examiner, on Thursday, September 4,
22	2008, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.
24	REPORTED BY: JOYCE D. CALVERT, P-03 Paul Baca Court Reporters
25	500 Fourth Street, NW, Suite 105 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102