### STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

### Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FORM C-108 Revised June 10, 2003

### APPLICATION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO INJECT

1.	PURPOSE: Secondary Recovery Pressure Maintenance YES Disposal Storage Application qualifies for administrative approval? Yes XXX No	
II.	OPERATOR: ROSETTA RESOURCES OPERATING LP	
	ADDRESS: 1200 17 <sup>TH</sup> ST., SUITE 770, DENVER, CO 80202	
	CONTACT PARTY: BRIAN WOOD (PERMITS WEST, INC.) PHONE: (505) 466-8120	
III.	WELL DATA: Complete the data required on the reverse side of this form for each well proposed for injection.  Additional sheets may be attached if necessary.	al
IV.	Is this an expansion of an existing project? XXX Yes No  If yes, give the Division order number authorizing the project: <u>SWD-1063 &amp; SWD-1063-A</u>	
V.	Attach a map that identifies all wells and leases within two miles of any proposed injection well with a one-half mile radius circ drawn around each proposed injection well. This circle identifies the well's area of review.	le
VI.	Attach a tabulation of data on all wells of public record within the area of review which penetrate the proposed injection zone. Such data shall include a description of each well's type, construction, date drilled, location, depth, record of completion, and a schematic of any plugged well illustrating all plugging detail.	
VΪ.	Attach data on the proposed operation, including:	
	<ol> <li>Proposed average and maximum daily rate and volume of fluids to be injected;</li> <li>Whether the system is open or closed;</li> <li>Proposed average and maximum injection pressure;</li> <li>Sources and an appropriate analysis of injection fluid and compatibility with the receiving formation if other than reinjected produced water; and,</li> <li>If injection is for disposal purposes into a zone not productive of oil or gas at or within one mile of the proposed well, attach chemical analysis of the disposal zone formation water (may be measured or inferred from existing literature, studies, nearb wells, etc.).</li> </ol>	ı a
*VII	Attach appropriate geologic data on the injection zone including appropriate lithologic detail, geologic name, thickness, and depth. Give the geologic name, and depth to bottom of all underground sources of drinking water (aquifers containing waters w total dissolved solids concentrations of 10,000 mg/l or less) overlying the proposed injection zone as well as any such sources known to be immediately underlying the injection interval.	'it'
ıx.	Describe the proposed stimulation program, if any.	
*X.	Attach appropriate logging and test data on the well. (If well logs have been filed with the Division, they need not be resubmitted.)	ed
*XI.	Attach a chemical analysis of fresh water from two or more fresh water wells (if available and producing) within one mile of any injection or disposal well showing location of wells and dates samples were taken.	,
XII.	Applicants for disposal wells must make an affirmative statement that they have examined available geologic and engineering data and find no evidence of open faults or any other hydrologic connection between the disposal zone and any underground sources of drinking water.	
XIII.	Applicants must complete the "Proof of Notice" section on the reverse side of this form.	
XIV.	Certification: I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.	е
	NAME: BRIAN WOOD TITLE: CONSULTANT	
	SIGNATURE: DATE: NOV 21 2000	,
*	E-MAIL ADDRESS: brian@permitswest.com If the information required under Sections VI, VIII, X, and XI above has been pr Please show the date and circumstances of the earlier submittal:  Case No	
DISTI	IBUTION: Original and one copy to Santa Fe with one copy to Santa Fe w	

### III. WELL DATA

- A. The following well data must be submitted for each injection well covered by this application. The data must be both in tabular and schematic form and shall include:
  - (1) Lease name; Well No.; Location by Section, Township and Range; and footage location within the section.
  - (2) Each casing string used with its size, setting depth, sacks of cement used, hole size, top of cement, and how such top was determined.
  - (3) A description of the tubing to be used including its size, lining material, and setting depth.
  - (4) The name, model, and setting depth of the packer used or a description of any other seal system or assembly used.

Division District Offices have supplies of Well Data Sheets which may be used or which may be used as models for this purpose. Applicants for several identical wells may submit a "typical data sheet" rather than submitting the data for each well.

- B. The following must be submitted for each injection well covered by this application. All items must be addressed for the initial well. Responses for additional wells need be shown only when different. Information shown on schematics need not be repeated.
  - (1) The name of the injection formation and, if applicable, the field or pool name.
  - (2) The injection interval and whether it is perforated or open-hole.
  - (3) State if the well was drilled for injection or, if not, the original purpose of the well.
  - (4) Give the depths of any other perforated intervals and detail on the sacks of cement or bridge plugs used to seal off such perforations.
  - (5) Give the depth to and the name of the next higher and next lower oil or gas zone in the area of the well, if any,

### XIV. PROOF OF NOTICE

All applicants must furnish proof that a copy of the application has been furnished, by certified or registered mail, to the owner of the surface of the land on which the well is to be located and to each leasehold operator within one-half mile of the well location.

Where an application is subject to administrative approval, a proof of publication must be submitted. Such proof shall consist of a copy of the legal advertisement which was published in the county in which the well is located. The contents of such advertisement must include:

- (1) The name, address, phone number, and contact party for the applicant;
- (2) The intended purpose of the injection well; with the exact location of single wells or the Section, Township, and Range location of multiple wells;
- (3) The formation name and depth with expected maximum injection rates and pressures; and,
- (4) A notation that interested parties must file objections or requests for hearing with the Oil Conservation Division, 1220 South St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505, within 15 days.

NO ACTION WILL BE TAKEN ON THE APPLICATION UNTIL PROPER PROOF OF NOTICE HAS BEEN SUBMITTED.

NOTICE: Surface owners or offset operators must file any objections or requests for hearing of administrative applications within 15 days from the date this application was mailed to them.

# INJECTION WELL DATA SHEET

	Tubing Size: 2-7/8" 6.5# J-55	Lining Material: PLASTIC
Ξ	Type of Packer: 5-1/2" x 2-7/8" COMPRESSION SET WITH ON/OFF TOOL	TH ON/OFF TOOL
$\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{s}}$	Packer Setting Depth: WITHIN 50' OF THE HIGHEST PERFORATION	RFORATION
Ö	Other Type of Tubing/Casing Seal (if applicable):	·
	<u>Additional Data</u>	
<b>.</b> :	Is this a new well drilled for injection? $\overline{\Sigma}$	XXX Yes No
	If no, for what purpose was the well originally drilled?	
~i	Name of the Injection Formation: CLIFF HOUSE	
<u>~</u>	Name of Field or Pool (if applicable): SWD; MESA VERDE	<u>arde</u>
_£	Has the well ever been perforated in any other zone(s)? List all such perforated intervals and give plugging detail, i.e. sacks of cement or plug(s) used.	List all such perforated or plug(s) used.
	PERFORATED 3,248' - 3,818' IN MENEFEE & 4,172' - 4,310' IN POINT LOOKOUT	72' - 4,310' IN POINT LOOKOUT
•	Give the name and depths of any oil or gas zones underlying or overlying the proposed injection zone in this area:	lying or overlying the proposed
	OVER: FRUITLAND (1,386') & PICTURED CLIFFS (1,636')	(1,636')
	UNDER: GALLUP (≈5,186') & DAKOTA (≈6,159')	•

# INJECTION WELL DATA SHEET

OPERATOR: ROSETTA RESOURCES OPERATING LP

WELL NAME & NUMBER: TSAH TAH SWD #11

WELL LOCATION:

970' FSL & 1510' FWL FOOTAGE LOCATION

UNIT LETTER

SECTION

24 N

RANGE 10 W

WELL CONSTRUCTION DATA

Surface Casing

TOWNSHIP

WELLBORE SCHEMATIC

Hole Size: 12-1/4"

Cemented with: 535 sacks

Top of Cement: SURFACE

the surface with 100% excess set @ 1,408" & cemented to 8-5/8" 24# J-55 LT&C

or 979 ft<sup>3</sup>

Casing Size:8-5/8" 24# J-55 LT&C

Method Determine: VISUAL

Intermediate Casing

Hole Size:

Cemented with:

Top of Cement:

Will perforate (0.36") from

2,450° to 3,197° with

≈0.6 holes per foot

Will set packer @ 2,400"

Casing Size:

20 sacks

₽

Method Determined:

Production Casing

Hole Size: 7-7/8"

Currently perforated 3,248' - 3,818' and 4,172' - 4,310'

to surface with 100% excess

5-1/2" 24# J-55 LT&C set @ 4,510' & cemented

Cemented with: 755 sacks

or 1.348 ft<sup>3</sup>

Method Determine: VISUAL

Casing Size: 5-1/2" 24# J-55 LT&C

Top of Cement: SURFACE

Total Depth: 4,510'

Injection Interval

From 2.450 feet To 4.346 feet

(Perforated or Open Hole; indicate which)

4

ROSETTA RESOURCES OPERATING LP TSAH TAH SWD #36 1800' FNL & 1360' FWL SEC. 36, T. 25 N., R. 10 W. SAN JUAN COUNTY, NM

CLIFF HOUSE ZONE

I. Purpose is to add one more zone (Cliff House) for additional water disposal capacity. Disposal has already been approved in this well and is underway into the Point Lookout (SWD-1053) and Menefee (SWD-1053-A).

II. Operator: Rosetta Resources Operating LP Operator phone number: (720) 359-9144 Operator address: 1200 17th St., Suite 770

Denver, CO 80202

Contact: Brian Wood (Permits West, Inc.)

Phone: (505) 466-8120

III. A. (1) Lease: State lease VO-6298-0000

Lease Size: 280.00 acres

Lease Area: NW4, SW4NE4, & S2SE4 Sec. 36, t. 25 N., R. 10 W.

Closest Lease Line: 840'

Well Name & Number: Tsah Tah SWD #36 (API # 30-045-33942)
Well Location: 1800' FNL and 1360' FWL Sec. 36, T. 25 N., R. 10 W.
(see Exhibit A)

A. (2) Surface casing (8-5/8", 24#, J-55, S T & C) was set at 226' KB in a 12-1/4" hole. Cemented to the surface with 200 sacks (236 cubic feet) Class G + 1/4 pound per sack cello flake + 2% CaCl<sub>2</sub> + 1/4 pound per sack cello flake. Circulated out 3 barrels.

Production casing (5-1/2", 15.5#, J-55, L T & C) landed at 4,490' KB in a 7-7/8" hole. Float collar is at 4,446' KB. Top of the marker joint is at 3,666' KB. Top of the stage tool is at 1,875' KB.

Cemented first stage with 410 sacks (775 cubic feet) of Type 5 65:35 poz + 6% gel + 5 pounds per sack gilsonite + 1/8 pound per sack poly flake. Tailed with 100 sacks (132 cubic feet) of Type 5 50:50 poz + 2% gel + 5 pounds per sack gilsonite + 1/8 pound per



First stage was 345 sacks (652 cubic feet) of 65/35 Type V poz with 6% gel + 5 pounds per sack gilsonite + 1/8 pound per sack poly flake. Tailed with 100 sacks (146 cubic feet) of 50/50 poz with 2% gel + 10% Halad 9-2 + 10% CFR + 5 pounds per sack gilsonite + 1/8 pound per sack poly flake. Circulated 40 barrels to the surface. Second stage was 260 sacks (491 cubic feet) of 65/35 Type V poz with 6% gel + 5 pounds per sack gilsonite + 1/8 pound per sacks poly-flake. Tailed with 50 sacks (59 cubic feet) Type V Neat. Pressure tested casing to 2,500 psi. Circulated 8 barrels to the surface.

- A. (3) Tubing is 2-7/8" 6.5# J-55 plastic lined injection string. It is currently set at 3,199'. It will be reset at 2,400' KB (i. e, 50' above highest perforation, which will be 2,450').
- A. (4) A 5-1/2" x 2-7/8" compression set packer with an on/off tool or its equivalent will be set within ≈50' of the highest perforation. Thus, packer will be set at ≈2,400' which will be ≈50' above the top perforation of ≈2,450'.
- B. (1) Initial disposal zones were the Menefee (3,197' 4,166' which was perforated with 0.36" holes from 3,248' to 3,818') and Point Lookout (4,166' 4,346' which was perforated with 0.36" holes from 4,172' to 4,310') sandstones. Rosetta plans to add the Cliff House to the disposal interval. All three zones are in the Mesa Verde Formation (Pool 96160). Fracture gradient is expected to be a normal ≈0.433 psi per foot.
- B. (2) For water sampling purposes, three zones were perforated with two 0.34" shots per foot (2 shots per zone x 3 zones = total 6 shots). Cliff House was perforated at 2,469' KB. Menefee was perforated at 3,645' KB, and Point Lookout was perforated at 4,181' KB. For disposal purposes, Menefee was perforated with 432 holes (≈1.3 holes per foot) and Point Lookout was perforated with 238



- holes ( $\approx$ 0.6 holes per foot). Upon approval, additional similar perforations will be shot in the Cliff House (2,450' 3,197').
- B. (3) Well has been drilled. It was and will be for Rosetta's exclusive use and for the sole purpose of water disposal from present and future Rosetta wells. Water analyses from three Rosetta Basin Fruitland coal gas wells within a three mile radius are attached.
- B. (4) For water sampling purposes, three zones have been perforated to date with two 0.34" shots per foot (2 shots per zone x 3 zones = total 6 shots). Cliff House was perforated at 2,469' KB. Menefee was perforated at 3,645' KB, and Point Lookout was perforated at 4,181' KB. Upon approval, additional similar perforations will be shot in the Cliff House (2,450' 3,197'). For disposal purposes, the Menefee is perforated from 3,248' to 3,818' and the Point Lookout is perforated from 4,172' to 4,310'.
- B. (5) Top of the Cliff House is at 2,411'. Highest current Cliff House perforation is at 2,469'. Highest proposed Cliff House perforation will be 2,450'. Bottom of the closest overlying potentially productive zone (Pictured Cliffs) is at 1,838'. There will be a 612' interval between the bottom of the Pictured Cliffs and the highest injection perforation at 2,450'. Searches of NMOCD and Go-Tech web sites did not find any records of oil or gas production from the Cliff House.

Bottom of the Cliff House is at 3,197'. Top of the closest underlying potentially productive zone (Gallup) is at  $\approx 5,186$ '. There will be a  $\approx 1,989$ ' interval between the bottom of the Cliff House and the top of the Gallup. Within this  $\approx 1,989$ ' interval are the Menefee and Point Lookout zones which are currently being used for water disposal in this same well. Oil is being produced elsewhere in the San Juan Basin from the Menefee ( $\approx 37$  miles south in 18-18n-10w at the Seven Lakes Menefee Field). Closest plugged Menefee well is 26 miles south in 30-20n-9w (wildcat with no production).



PAGE 4

- IV. This is not an expansion of an existing injection project. It is an expansion (one more zone) of an existing water disposal project.
- V. A map (Exhibit B) showing 3 existing well bores (2 Rosetta Tsah Tah wells + 1 stock watering well) within a half mile radius is attached. A map (Exhibit C) showing all 86 wells (40 P & A + 41 oil or gas producers + 5 water) within a two mile radius is attached. Details on the three wells within a half mile are:

<u>WELL</u>	API 30-045	T24N, R10W	<u>ZONE</u>	<b>STATUS</b>	<u>TD</u>	DISTANCE
Tsah Tah 11 #3	-34047	SWSW Sec. 11	Fruitland coal	P & A	1,872'	306'
Tsah Tah 11 #3R	-3471 3	SWSW Sec. 11	Fruitland coal	Gas Well*	1,870'	319′
Yazzie stock well	N/A	NWSE Sec. 11	Nacimiento	Water Well	≈800'**	>1/4 mile

\*spudded 11-4-08, not yet completed

### Exhibit D shows all leases (all BLM) within a half mile radius. Details are:

AREA	<b>LESSOR</b>	LEASE #	LESSEE
E2 10-24n-10w	BLM	NMNM-104606	Coleman
W2 & NE4 11-24n-10w	BLM	NMNM-112955	Rosetta
SE4 11-24n-10w	BLM	NMNM-114376	Rosetta
N2 14-24n-10w	BLM	NMNM-016760	Questar
NE4 15-24n-10w	BLM	NMNM-100807	Coleman

A map (Exhibit E) showing all lessors within a two mile radius is attached. Most leases are BLM. The remainder are Navajo allotted (FIMO) or State (NMSLO).

VI. None of the three wells which are within a 1/2 mile radius penetrate the proposed injection zone. The deepest (Rosetta's Tsah Tah 11 #3) of the three wells has a total depth of 1,872'. There will be a 578' interval between the bottom of that gas well and the highest proposed perforation (2,450').



<sup>\*\*</sup>no depth record found in family, Federal, state, or Tribal offices; depth based on conversation with Mr. Yazzie

PAGE 5

Wells in 1/2 port

- VII. 1. Average injection rate will be ≈2,000 bwpd.Maximum injection rate will be ≈3,000 bwpd.
  - 2. System is closed. (Rosetta laid water pipelines with its gas pipelines). Facilities include a tank battery with skimmer and settling tanks, filters, meter, and an injection pump.
  - 3. Average injection pressure will be ≈450 psi

    Maximum injection pressure will be ≈508 psi (≤0.2 psi x depth of top perforation)
  - 4. Water source will be existing and future Rosetta wells in the San Juan Basin. Rosetta has 41 Fruitland coal gas wells in Townships 24 and 25 North, Range 10 West. Water analyses (Exhibit F) from the Cliff House in this well are attached. Three produced water analyses (Exhibit G) from the Basin Fruitland coal are also attached. A summary follows. All are Rosetta Tsah Tah wells.

Well:	2-4	33-2	34-4	SWD 11
Where:	2-24n-10w	33-25n-10w	34-25n-10w	11-24n-11w
What Zone:	Fruitland	Fruitland	Fruitland	Cliff House
<u>Parameter</u>				
Barium	2.44	3.19	2.26	Not Analyzed
Bicarbonate	518.5	786.9	549.0	486
Calcium	800	400	960	56
Chloride	19,000	18,000	16,000	9,552
Iron	27.62	46.22	21.77	0.10
Magnesium	344.04	245.22	149.33	48
рH	7.3	6.8	7.0	8.5
Sodium	10,906	10,980	9,166	6,240
Sulfate	zero	zero	2.0	23
TDS	31,599	30,462	26,851	16,443

5. The Cliff House is not productive within two miles of the well. Searches of NMOCD and Go-Tech web sites did not find any records of oil or gas production from the Cliff House in the San Juan Basin. Stone et al in <u>Hydrogeology and water resources of San Juan Basin</u>, New Mexico wrote that the Cliff House in the deeper parts of the basin probably has a specific conductance exceeding 30,000 micro mhos. This would be considered very saline.



VIII. The Cliff House is a coastal marine sandstone of the Late Cretaceous. It is 786' thick in this well. Top is at 2,411'. Bottom is at 3,197'. Perforated interval will be 2,450' - 3,197'.

Formation tops in this well are:

Nacimiento: 0'
Ojo Alamo Sandstone: 886'
Kirtland Shale: 961'
Fruitland Formation: 1,386'
Pictured Cliffs Sandstone: 1,636'
Lewis Shale: 1,838'
Cliff House Sandstone: 2,411'
Menefee: 3,197'
Point Lookout Sandstone: 4,162'
Mancos Shale: 4,350'
Plugged Back Total Depth: 4,496'
Total Depth: 4,510'

There is one water well within a one mile radius. It is a stock watering well ≈1/4 mile northeast in the NWSE Section 11. There are five water wells within a two mile radius. All five water wells are believed to be above the Cliff House. Likely aquifers are the Nacimiento and Ojo Alamo. From close to far, the five water wells are:

stock well ≈1/4 mile NE in NWSE Sec. 11 windmill ≈1.2 miles SW in NWNW Sec. 15 two Mission wells ≈1-3/4 miles NE in NENE Sec. 12 Dugan well ≈1.95 miles NE in NWNW Section 7

No existing underground drinking water sources are below the Cliff House within a two mile radius. There will be  $\approx 1,311'$  of vertical separation between the bottom of the deepest (1,100') water well (Dugan) within  $\approx 1.95$  miles and the top of the Cliff House.



PAGE 7

- IX. The zone will be stimulated with a sand-water fracture (e. g., 20/40 Brady with slick water and 15% HCl).
- X. Depth correlation, spectral density, high resolution induction, and gamma ray/casing collar locator logs were run. Copies were provided to the NMOCD by Blue Jet.
- XI. There is one water well within a one mile radius. Its exact depth is unknown, but a family member believes it to be  $\approx 800$ ' deep. It is  $\approx 1/4$  mile northeast in the NWSE of Section 11. Water analysis are attached as Exhibit H. The well is only used for stock watering. A Navajo Tribal Utility Authority water pipeline provides drinking water to the family.
- XII. Rosetta is not aware of any geologic or engineering data which may indicate the Cliff House is in hydrologic connection with any underground sources of water. There will be 1,311' of vertical separation between the top (2,411') of the Cliff House and the bottom (1,100') of the deepest water well within  $\approx 1.95$  miles. This interval includes at least one shale zone (Lewis).
- XIII. Notice (this application) will be sent to the surface owner (BLM), operators of all wells, and lessees or lease operating right holders within a half mile.



District I. 1825 W. French Dr., Hobby, N.M. 88240

District III 1000 file Brezes Rd., Astec, NM. 87410

DISTRICT IV 2040 South Pacheco; Santa Re, RM 87505

State of New Mexico

Form C-102 Revised August 15, 2000

District II. Sil South First, Artesia, NM. 85210-

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION 2040 South Pacheco Santa Fe, NM 87505

Submit to Appropriate District Office. State Lease - 4 Copies. Res Lease - 3 Copies.


AMENDED REPORT DIL COMS. DIV.


WELL LOCATION AND ACBUAGE DEDICATION PLATS DIST. 3 API Number 34082 Pool Gods RECE Pool Name 070 FARMUNATSWD: MESA 30-045-96160 VERDE Property Code Property Name Well Number ·35715 TSAH TAH SWD 11 Coerator Name Elevation ROSETTA RESOURCES OPERATING LP 6886 239235


10 Surface Location UL or lot po, Township Fest from the Horth/South line Feet from the Best/West Unb County Section Lot Idn Range 24N 10W 970 SOUTH 1510 SAN JUAN N ff WEST Hole Bottom Hele Location If Different From Surface Ulr or lot, no. Section Lot Ide Feet from the North/South line | Feet from the Township Rast/West line County Dedicated Acres Joint or Intil 14 Consolidation Code U Order No.


NO ALLOWABLE WILL BE ASSIGNED TO THIS COMPLETION UNTIL ALL INTERESTS HAVE BEEN CONSOLIDATED OR A NON-STANDARD UNIT HAS BEEN APPROVED BY THE DIVISION OPERATOR CERTIFICATION I beneby sirrify. Hat the information contained berein is true and information to the best of my knowledge and salid Signature BRIAN WOOD CONSULTANT Tille. NOV. 23, 2006 Date FND 27 BO SURVEYOR CERTIFICATION 3 I harnby curtify that the well beather shoop on this plat manyfalled from field suder of actual respects made by 2650,74. 2648,57 (R one or under the superplates, and that the same is true and correct to the best of my billif. LAT. 36,32392; N LONG, 107,86844; W LONGW (NAD, 1983) 2006 200 ) 6 DAVID ROSSE S' 89 55' W 5205.42' (R) S 89'53'47" W 5204,48' (M) FRO 27 BC Certificate Humbe 10201

EXHIBIT A









### **Key Pressure Pumping Services**

Water Analysis Result Form Farmington, NM. 708 S. Tucker Phone:(505)325-4192 Fax:(505)564-3524 Zip:87401



Operator: Rosetta Resources Sample Date: March 15, 2007

Analysis Date: March 17, 2007

Well Tsah Tah SWD # 11 District: Farmington

Formation: CLIFFHOUSE Requested By: RUSS McQUITTY


County: SAN JUAN N.M., Technician: BEN BARELA

Depth: 2469 Source: Swab Run #1

### PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL DETERMINATION

SPECIFIC GRAVITY:	1.005 59 (°F)	S.G. (Corrected):	1.005	
<b>pH:</b>	8.50	MAGNESIUM:	48 ppm	
	0.70 ohm/meter	CALCIUM:	56 ppm	
IRON:	0 ppm	BICARBONATES:	486 ppm	
H2\$:	::0 ppm	CHLORIDES:	9652 ppm	
POTASSIUM:	38 ppm	SODIUM:	6240 ppm	
SULFATES:	- 23 ppm	TDS:	16443 ppm	

CaCO3 Scale Tendency = Remote
CaSO4 Scale Tendency = Remote



Data contained in this document is based on the best information & most current test procedures and materials available. No liability is expressed or implied.

EXHIBITE

### Water Analysis Analysis #: 1058

Company: Rosetta Resources

Lease: .

Location: Farmington, New Mexico

Date: January 16, 2007

Attention: Bryan Enns

Description:

Well: Tsah Tah 2 #4

Sample Point: 2 #4

# PRODUCTION CHEMICALS

### **DISSOLVED SOLIDS**

CATIONS	<u>mg/l</u>	meq/l
Sodium, Na (calc)	10,906.14	474.18
Calcium, Ca	800.00	39.80
Magnesium, Mg	344.04	28.20
Barium, Ba	2.44	0.04
Iron, Fe	27.62	1.48

**ANIONS** mg/l meq/l Hydroxyl, OH Carbonate, CO3 8.49 518.50 Bicarbonate, HCO3 0.00 0.00 Sulfate, SO4 19,000.00 535.21 Chloride, CI Sulfide, S

### OTHER PROPERTIES

HER PROPERTIES		
рН		7.30
Specific Gravity		1.014
Dissolved Oxygen, (Mg/l)	•	······································
Dissolved Carbon Dioxide		19.80
Sulfide as H2S, (ppm)	-	0.00
Sample Temp	F.	72 C. 22
CO2 in Gas Phase (Mg/l)		
H2S in Gas Phase (Mg/l)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Total Hardness (Me/I)	<del></del> -	68.00


Total Dissolved Solids (Mg/l) 31,599 0.5784 **Total Ionic Strength** 0.00 Maximum CaSO4, (calc.) 0.00 Maximum BaSO4, (calc.) Total SRB (colonies/cc) Total APB (colonies/cc) Total Aerobic (colonies/cc) Manganese (Mg/I): 0.84

### Conclusion:


Calcium Carbonate scaling index is positive above 9 degrees Centigrade. Calcium Sulfate scale is not indicated from 0 to 100 degrees Centigrade. Barium Sulfate scale is not indicated from 0 to 100 degrees Centigrade.

### Scaling Indices vs. Temperature


### Calcium Carbonate Saturation Index




### Calcium Sulfate Solubility



### **Barlum Sulfate Solubility**






7 25

167

212

100



 $(C \times 1.8) + 32$ 

Centigrade Degrees 0

### Water Analysis Analysis #: 1059

Company: Rosetta Resources

Lease:

Location: Farmington, New Mexico

Date: January 16, 2007

Attention: Bryan Enns

**Description:** 

Well: Tsah Tah 33 #2

Sample Point; 33 #2



### PRODUCTION CHEMICALS

### **DISSOLVED SOLIDS**

CATIONS	<u>mg/l</u>	meq/l
Sodium, Na (calc)	10,979.97	477.39
Calcium, Ca	400.00	19.90
Magnesium, Mg	245.22	20.10
Barium, Ba	3.19	0.05
Iron, Fe	46.22	2.48

ANIONS	<u>mg/l</u>	<u>meq/l</u>
Hydroxyl, OH		
Carbonate, CO3		
Bicarbonate, HCO3	786.90	12.88
Sulfate, SO4	0.00	0.00
Chloride, Cl	18,000.00	507.04
Sulfide, S		

### **OTHER PROPERTIES**

pH	6.80
Specific Gravity	1.014
Dissolved Oxygen, (Mg/I)	
Dissolved Carbon Dioxide	7.90
Sulfide as H2S, (ppm)	0.00
Sample Temp	F. 72 C. 22
CO2 in Gas Phase (Mg/I)	
H2S in Gas Phase (Mg/l)	
Total Hardness (Me/I)	40.00


Total Dissolved Solids (Mg/I)	30,462
Total Ionic Strength	0.5402
Maximum CaSO4, (calc.)	0.00
Maximum BaSO4, (calc.)	0.00
Total SRB (colonies/cc)	
Total APB (colonies/cc)	
Total Aerobic (colonies/cc)	
Manganese (Mg/I):	0.43

### Conclusion:


Calcium Carbonate scaling index is positive above 41 degrees Centigrade. Calcium Sulfate scale is not indicated from 0 to 100 degrees Centigrade. Barium Sulfate scale is not indicated from 0 to 100 degrees Centigrade.

### Scaling Indices vs. Temperature


### Calcium Carbonate Saturation Index




### Calcium Sulfate Solubility



### **Barium Sulfate Solubility**







### Water Analysis Analysis #: 1060

Company: Rosetta Resources

Lease:

Location: Farmington, New Mexico

Date: January 16, 2007

Attention: Bryan Enns

Description:

Well: Tsah Tah 34 #4

Sulfide, S

Sample Point: 34 #4



### **DISSOLVED SOLIDS**

CATIONS	mg/l	meg/l		
Sodium, Na (calc)	9,166.19	398.53		
Calcium, Ca	960.00	47.76		
Magnesium, Mg	149.33	12.24		
Barium, Ba	2.26	0.03		
Iron. Fe	21.77	1.17		

mg/l	meg/l
549.00	8.99
2.00	0.04
16,000.00	450.70
	5 <b>49</b> .00 2.00

### **OTHER PROPERTIES**

Specific Gravity 1.	.00 014
·	014
Dissolved Oxygen, (Mg/I)	
Dissolved Carbon Dioxide 11	.90
Sulfide as H2S, (ppm) 0	.00
Sample Temp F. 72 C.	. 22
CO2 in Gas Phase (Mg/i)	
H2S in Gas Phase (Mg/I)	
Total Hardness (Me/I) 60	.00


Total Dissolved Solids (Mg/l)	26,851
Total Ionic Strength	0.4905
Maximum CaSO4, (calc.)	2.85
Maximum BaSO4, (calc.)	3.87
Total SRB (colonies/cc)	*
Total APB (colonies/cc)	
Total Aerobic (colonies/cc)	
Manganese (Mg/I):	0.26

### **Conclusion:**


Calcium Carbonate scaling index is positive above 19 degrees Centigrade. Calcium Sulfate scale is not indicated from 0 to 100 degrees Centigrade. Barium Sulfate scale is indicated below 5 degrees Centigrade.

### Scaling Indices vs. Temperature


### Calcium Carbonate Saturation Index



### **Calcium Sulfate Solubility**




### **Barium Sulfate Solubility**



## Remarks:

EXHIBIT G



### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Date: 07-Jan-08

CLIENT:

Permits West

Lab Order:

0712325

Project:

Yazzie-11 Well

Lab ID:

0712325-01

Client Sample ID: Yazzie 11-Well

Collection Date: 12/19/2007 4:45:00 PM

Date Received: 12/20/2007

Matrix: AQUEOUS

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS	***************************************		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<del></del>		Analyst: SMP
Chloride	8.3	0.10		mg/L	1	12/21/2007 12:31:12 PM
Sulfate	57	0.50		mg/L	1	12/21/2007 12:31:12 PM
EPA 6010B: HARDNESS						Analyst: TES
Hardness (As CaCO3)	67	1.0		mg/L	1	12/31/2007
EPA METHOD 6010B: DISSOLVED META	ALS	·		•		Analyst: TES
Calcium	21	1.0		mg/L	1	12/31/2007 3:47:20 PM
Iron	0.41	0.020		mg/L	1	1/7/2008 10:25:05 AM
Magnesium	3.3	1.0		mg/L	. 1	12/31/2007 3:47:20 PM
Potassium	1.3	1.0		mg/L	1	12/31/2007 3:47:20 PM
Sodium	76	1.0		mg/L	1	12/31/2007 3:47:20 PM
SM 2320B: ALKALINITY						Analyst: LMM
Alkalinity, Total (As CaCO3)	160	20		mg/L CaCO3	1	12/21/2007
Carbonate	ND	2.0		mg/L CaCO3	1	12/21/2007
Bicarbonate	160	20		mg/L CaCO3	1	12/21/2007
Hydroxide	ŃD	2.0		mg/L CaCO3	1	12/21/2007
EPA 120.1: SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE						Analyst: LMM
Specific Conductance	470	0.010		µmhos/cm	1	12/21/2007
SM4500-H+B: PH	÷					Analyst: LMM
pH	8.03	0.1		pH units	1 .	12/21/2007
SPECIFIC GRAVITY BY SM 2710F						Analyst: TAF
Specific Gravity	1.0	0		•	1	1/2/2008
SM 2540C: TD\$				•		Analyst: TAF
Total Dissolved Solids	280	20		mg/L	1	12/26/2007



### Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level
- E Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- MCL Maximum Contaminant Level
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 1 of 1

Date: 07-Jan-08

# QA/QC SUMMARY REPORT

Client:

Permits West

Project: Yazzie-11 Well

Work Order:

0712325

Analyte	Result	Units	PQL	%Rec	LowLimit Hi	iġhLimit	%RPD RF	DLimit Qual
Method: EPA Method 300.0: An	ions				<del>,</del>			
Sample ID: MB		MBLK			Batch ID:	R26660	Analysis Date:	12/21/2007 6:33:02 AM
Chloride	ND	mg/L	0.10					
Sulfale	ND	mg/L	0.50	,	•			
Sample ID: MB-b		MBLK			Batch ID:	R26660	Analysis Date:	12/22/2007 12:07:29 AM
Chloride	ND	mg/L	0.10					
Sulfate	ND	mg/L	0.50		•			
Sample ID: LCS		LCS			Batch ID:	R26660	Analysis Date:	12/21/2007 6:50:27 AM
Chloride	5.036	mg/L	0.10	101	90	110		
Sulfate	10.18	mg/L	0.50	102	90	110		
Sample ID: LCS-b		LCS	•		Batch ID:	R26660	Analysis Date:	12/22/2007 12:24:53 AM
Chloride	4.999	mg/L	0.10	100	90	110	•	,
Sulfate	10.02	mg/L	0.50	100	90	110		
Method: SM 2320B: Alkalinity								
Sample ID: 0712325-01AMSD		MSD		• •	Batch ID:	R26676	Analysis Date:	12/21/2007
Alkalinity, Total (As CaCO3)	247.0	mg/L CaC	20	105	80	120	0.806	20
Sample ID: MB	,	MBLK			Batch ID:	R26676	Analysis Date:	12/21/2007
Alkalinity, Total (As CaCO3)	ND	mg/L CaC	20					•
Carbonate	ND	mg/L CaC	2.0					
Bicarbonate	ND	mg/L CaC	20					
Sample ID: LCS		LCS			Batch ID:	. R26676	Analysis Date:	12/21/2007
Alkalinity, Total (As CaCO3)	83.00	mg/L CaC	20	104	80	120		
Sample ID: 0712325-01AMS		MS	•		Batch ID:	R26676	Analysis Date:	12/21/2007
Alkalinity, Total (As CaCO3)	249.0	mg/L CaC	20	107	80	120		

EXHIBITH

### Qualifiers:

- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits

Page 1

Date: 07-Jan-08

# QA/QC SUMMARY REPORT

Client:

Permits West

Project: Yazzie-11 Well

Work Order:

0712325

Analyte	Result	Units	PQL	%Rec	LowLimit	High	Limit	%RPD RP	DLimit Qual
Method: EPA	Method 6010B: Dissolved M	etals						, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Sample ID: MB		MBLK		•	Batch I	D:	R	Analysis Date:	2/1/2007 12:02:33 Pt
Calcium	ND	mg/L	1.0				•		
ron	ND	mg/L	0.020						
Magnesium	ND	mg/L	1.0		•				
Potassium	ND	mg/L	1.0					•	
Sample ID: MB		MBLK			Batch I	D:	R	Analysis Date:	3/24/2007 3:01:21 P
Calcium	ND	mg/L	1.0 ·						
on	<b>N</b> D	mg/L	0.020						
/lagnesium ···	ND	mg/L	1.0						
otassium	ND	mg/L	1.0					•	4
Sodium	ND	mg/L	1.0				•		
ample ID: MB		MBLK			Batch I	D:	R	Analysis Date:	4/3/2007 8:32:55 A
Calcium	ND	mg/L	1.0						
on	ND	mg/L	0.020					•	•
agnesium	ND	mg/L	1.0						
otassium	ND	mg/L	1.0	•	•				
odium	ND	mg/L	1.0						
	, ND	MBLK	1.0		Batch I	D.	R	Analysis Date:	5/14/2007 4:01:36 P
ample ID: MB					Daton	D.	K	Allalysis Date.	3/14/2007 4.01.30 (
alcium	ND	mg/L	1.0						•
on	ND	mg/L	0.020					•	
lagnesium	ND	mg/L	1.0						
otassium	ND	mg/L	· 1.0		•				
odium	ND	mg/L	1.0		•				
ample ID: MB	•	MBLK			Batch I	D:	R	Analysis Date:	5/18/2007 10:31:26 A
alcium	ND .	mg/L	1.0					•	•
on	ND	mg/L	0.020						
lagnesium	ND	mg/L	- 1.0						•
otessium	ND	mg/L	1.0		•				
odium	ND	mg/L	1.0						
ample ID: MB	•	MBLK			Batch I	D:	R26764	Analysis Date:	12/31/2007 3:02:12 P
alcium ·	ND	mg/L	1.0					•	
on	ND ND	mg/L	0.020						
agnesium	ND ND	mg/L	1.0						
otassiúm	ND	mg/L	1.0						
odium	ND	mg/L	1.0						
ample ID: LCS	NO	LCS	1.0		Batch I	D.	R	Analysis Date:	2/1/2007 12:05:11 P
								Allalysis Date.	27/2007 12.00,711
alcium .	45.61	mg/L	1.0	90.3	80	120			•
on	0:4538	mg/L	0.020	90.8	80	120			
agnesium	46.17	mg/L	1.0	91.4	80	120			
otassium	49.36	mg/L	1.0	89.7	80	120		•	
ample ID: LCS		LCS			Batch I	D:	R	Analysis Date:	3/24/2007 3:04:14 P
alcium .	ND	mg/L	1.0	0.	80	120	o .		S
on	0,4847	mg/L	0.020	96.9	80	120			

Qualifiers:

E Value above quantitation range

Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits

EXHIBIT Page 2

Date: 07-Jan-08

# **QA/QC SUMMARY REPORT**

Client: Project:

Permits West

Yazzie-11 Well

Work Order:

0712325

Analyte	Result	Units	PQL	%Rec	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD RF	PDLimit Qual
Method: EPA Method 6010B	: Dissolved Me	etals						
Sample ID: LCS		LCS			Batch	ID: F	R Analysis Date:	3/24/2007 3:04:14 PM
Magnesium	ND	mg/L	1.0	0	80	120	•	
Potassium	ND	mg/L	1.0	0	80	120		
Sodium	ND	mg/L	1.0	0	80	120	· ·	
Sample ID: LCS		LCS			Batch	ID: F	R - Analysis Date:	4/3/2007 8:35:47 AN
Calcium	52.88	mg/L	1.0	105	80	120		
Iron	o.5100	mg/L	0.020	100	80	120		
Magnesium	52.49	mg/L	1.0	104	80	120		
Potassium ·	55.47	mg/L	1.0	100	80	120		
Sodium	56.30	mg/L	1.0	111	80	120		·
Sample ID: LCS		LCS			Batch	ID: F	R Analysis Date:	5/14/2007 4:04:48 PM
Calcium	48.26	mg/L	1.0	95.6	80	120		•
ron	0.4749	mg/L	0.020	95.0	80	120		
Vlagnesium	48.91	mg/L	1.0	96.8	80	120		
Potassium	52.03	mg/L	1.0	94.6	80	120		•
Sodium	53.01	mg/L	. 1.0	105	80	120		
Sample ID: LCS		LCS			Batch	ID: R2676	4 Analysis Date:	12/31/2007 3:04:40 PN
Calcium 👾	50.99	mg/L	1.0	101	80	120		
ron .	0.4909	mg/L	0.020	98.2	80	120		
Magnesium	51.84	mg/L	1.0	103	80	120	,	
Potassium	55.71	mg/L	1.0	101	80	120		
Sodium	55.37	mg/L	1.0	110	80	120		
Method: SM 2540C: TDS								
Sample ID: MB-14730		MBLK			Batch	ID: 1473	Analysis Date:	12/26/2007
Total Dissolved Solids	ND	mg/L	20			•		
Sample ID: LCS-14730		LCS			Batch	ID: 1473	Analysis Date:	12/26/2007
Total Dissolved Selids	1016	mg/L	20	102	80	120	-	



### Qualifiers:

- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits

Page 3

24