1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
3	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
4	ORINAL
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED
6	BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:
7	CASE NO. 14190 APPLICATION OF DENNIS LANGLITZ FOR
8	AUTHORIZATION TO INJECT, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
14	EXAMINER HEARING
15	· · ·
16	BEFORE: DAVID K. BROOKS, Legal Examiner
17	RICHARD EZEANYIM, Technical Examiner
18	October 30, 2008
19	Santa Fe, New Mexico
20	This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico
21	Oil Conservation Division, DAVID K. BROOKS, Legal Examiner, TERRY G. WARNELL, Technical Examiner, and RICHARD EZEANYIM,
22	Technical Examiner, on Thursday, October 30, 2008, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department,
23	1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.
24	REPORTED BY: JOYCE D. CALVERT, P-03 Paul Baca Court Reporters
25	500 Fourth Street, NW, Suite 105 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

1	INDEX	
2	Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 14190	
3	CASE NO. 14190	PAGE
4		
5	APPLICANT'S WITNESSES:	
6 7	DENNIS LANGLITZ DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LARSON	3
8	WILLIAM MICHAEL KINCAID DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LARSON	6
9	APPLICANT'S EXHIBITS 12 and 13 APPLICANT'S EXHIBITS 14 and 15	6 11
10	APPLICANI'S EXHIBITS 14 and 15	ΤΤ
11		
12	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	12
13	REFORTER 5 CERTIFICATE	12
14		
15		
16	APPEARANCES	
17		
18	FOR THE APPLICANT:	
19	Gary W. Larson, Esq. HINKLE, HENSLEY, SHANOR & MARTIN, LLP	
20	218 Montezuma Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

MR. WARNELL: So we'd like to call Case 14190, 1 2 Application of Dennis Langlitz for Authority to Inject, Eddy County, New Mexico. 3 4 Call for appearances. MR. LARSEN: Gary Larson for Dennis Langlitz, the 5 6 applicant. I have two witnesses with me. 7 MR. WARNELL: Will the witnesses please stand 8 and be sworn? 9 [Witnesses sworn.] MR. WARNELL: Any other appearances? 10 Mr. Larson, please proceed. 11 12 DENNIS LANGLITZ 13 after having been first duly sworn under oath, was questioned and testified as follows: 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. LARSON: 16 17 Q. Mr. Langlitz, would you state your full name for 18 the record again, please? 19 Α. Dennis Langlitz. 20 Q. And since the initial hearing in this matter, have you taken samples of the produced water that you proposed 21 22 to inject? A. Yes, I did. 23 24 Q. And did you take those samples to the laboratory 25 for analysis?

Yes, I did. 1 Α. 2 Q. And what laboratory did you take those? Cardinal Laboratory in Hobbs. 3 Α. Q. Now, I'll direct your attention to 4 Exhibit No. 12. We've just consecutively marked the exhibits 5 ending with the last exhibit of the previous hearing. Can you 6 identify that document, please? 7 8 A. Yes, this is the lab analysis that they returned 9 to me. 10 Q. And did you also obtain an analysis of the Double Eagle water that you purchased from the City of Carlsbad for 11 makeup water? 12 A. Yes, I did. 13 And were analytical data of that Double Eagle 14 Ο. 15 water obtained from the City of Carlsbad website? 16 A. Yes, they were. 17 Q. And if you'll direct your attention to Exhibit 13. Is that the Double Eagle water data? 18 A. Yes, it is. 19 20 And also, since the initial hearing, have you Q. made arrangements for a step rate test to be conducted? 21 22 A. Yes, I have. 23 Ο. And did you make those arrangements or did your 24 petroleum engineer? 25 A. My petroleum engineer, Mike Kincaid, took care of

1	that.
2	Q. So he'll be able to testify about the step rate
3	tests?
4	A. Yes, sir, he will.
5	MR. LARSON: Mr. Hearing Examiner, I would request we
6	supplement the record with the results of the step rate test
7	which we hope to be conducted next week.
8	MR. WARNELL: So you would like to come back the next
9	docket?
10	MR. LARSON: I'd like to just submit the test results
11	as a supplement to the record, if that's agreeable.
12	MR. WARNELL: Okay. And that's exhibits
13	MR. LARSON: It's not a marked exhibit yet. What I
14	anticipated doing was filing a notice of supplementation in the
15	record. It's not one of the exhibits in front of you.
16	MR. WARNELL: Okay. We can accept that.
17	MR. LARSON: Okay.
18	Q. (By Mr. Larson): And at the initial hearing in
19	this matter, Daniel Sanchez testified about several outstanding
20	Rule 40 compliance issues. Have you since met with
21	Ms. MacQuesten and Mr. Sanchez about these matters?
22	A. Yes, I did.
23	Q. And were all the Rule 40 matters resolved?
24	A. Yes, they were.
25	Q. Including the penalty assessment under ACO #225?

1 Α. Yes, sir. MR. LARSON: At this time, I move the admission of 2 Exhibits 12 and 13, and I have no further questions for 3 4 Mr. Langlitz. 5 MR. WARNELL: Okay. We'll admit Exhibits 12 and 13. [Applicant's Exhibits 12 and 13 admitted into 6 7 evidence.] MR. WARNELL: Mr. Brooks, any questions? 8 9 MR. BROOKS: No questions. MR. WARNELL: Do you have another witness, 10 11 Mr. Larson? 12 MR. LARSON: Yes, I do. 13 MR. WARNELL: Call your next witness, please. WILLIAM MICHAEL KINCAID 14 15 after having been first duly sworn under oath, 16 was questioned and testified as follows: 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. LARSON: Q. Mr. Kincaid, can you also restate your name for 19 20 the record, please? 21 A. William Michael Kincaid. 22 Q. And you heard Mr. Langlitz testify that you made 23 arrangements for a step rate test to be conducted. Who did you 24 contact to perform this test? 25 A. Cardinal Surveys out of Midland, Texas.

1 ο. And when do you anticipate the step rate will be 2 completed? 3 Α. They hope to get to that step rate test as soon as next week. 4 Q. Okay. And in your opinion, will one step rate 5 test be sufficient for determining appropriate injection 6 pressures for Mr. Langlitz' wells? 7 I believe it will. This reservoir is relatively 8 Α. 9 small and uniform, and enough water has been injected into each 10 of the wells. And I expect the frac pressure at each of the injection wells -- I expect there to be very little difference 11 12 between all the injection wells. 13 Q. I'll direct your attention to Exhibit No. 14. 14 And did you prepare this exhibit? 15 A. Yes, I did. And what does it depict? 16 Ο. 17 Α. This is the map showing the Saladar unit area, a 18 distance of approximately two miles in all directions showing all of the wells in that area, both inactive and active wells. 19 And it also shows the area of review for all of the 20 injection wells on the Saladar unit, and it shows all the wells 21 inside that area of review, both active and inactive wells. 22 23 Q. And did you prepare this in response to a request 24 from the Hearing Examiner at the previous hearing? 25 A. Yes, I did.

And I next direct your attention to 1 Ο. 2 Exhibit No. 15. And did you also prepare this exhibit? 3 A. Yes, I did. And what is depicted on Exhibit No. 15? 4 Ο. 5 Α. This is this a tabulation of the area of review that's shown on the map Exhibit 14. It's showing -- it lists 6 all of the wells inside the area of review. It shows the 7 8 operators, the lease names -- it shows the operators, the lease 9 names and well numbers, the location of each well and status, 10 and its general construction. 11 Q. And did you prepare this in response to another 12 request from the Hearing Examiner at the previous hearing? Yes, I did. 13 Α. 14 And you previously testified that all the plugged Ο. 15 and abandoned wells in the area of review have been properly 16 plugged. Do you recall that testimony? 17 A. Yes, I do. And subsequently did you identify another plugged 18 Q. and abandoned well in the area of review? 19 20 A. Yes, I did. 21 And could you point to that on Exhibit 14 for us? 0. 22 This well is in the northeast part of the area of Α. 23 review. The operator is shown as GD Riggs, and it's the 24 Mayfield Well No. 1 shown as a plugged well. 25 And have you analyzed any data about the Mayfield Q.

1

No. 1 well?

The only data that was available on that well was 2 Α. just the operator and the lease name and well number and the 3 fact that it was a plugged well. There were no records 4 5 available at the OCD website. In generating Exhibit 14, the map, I went to the GOTECH website, which is linked with the OCD 6 7 website. And they have a database there that shows all the wells and their locations, and we were able the download that 8 9 information and generate a map from it. 10 But beyond showing the operator and the lease and well name and the fact that it's a plugged well, there is no 11 12 other information available on that well. 13 MR. WARNELL: So Mayfield No. 1 didn't make your 14 spreadsheet, Exhibit 13? 15THE WITNESS: It's on the spreadsheet on the very first group of wells in Section 33. 16 17 MR. WARNELL: I see it. 18 THE WITNESS: It's the second well from the bottom 19 there. And like I said earlier, it has an API number and just 20 the operator and lease and well name. That's all that's 21 available. 22 Q. (Mr. Larson): And did you contact anybody in the 23 Division office in Santa Fe to see if there were records available for the Mayfield 1 Well? 24 25 A. Yes. I contacted Lawrence Romero in the records

division, and he did a search himself on this well, and he was unable to find any information on it. In fact, he kind of doubted whether the well actually existed or not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

22

23

24

25

But as I said before, it does have an API number, and if you input that API number into the OCD website, it comes up "no records available."

Q. And in your expert opinion, would injection at the pressures proposed by Mr. Langlitz potentially result in produced water entering the well if it wasn't properly plugged?

10 A. I don't believe so. This reservoir under the 11 Yates reservoir under the Saladar unit does not extend to that 12 location; therefore, a well drilled at that location would not 13 have penetrated the reservoir.

14 So initially as you injected water into those 15 injection wells, the water would travel outward radially until 16 that hit the reservoir boundary. And at that point, all of the 17 flow of the water would be back toward the producing wells 18 since they are creating a pressure sink in that reservoir. So 19 as long as you're producing the producing wells, the water would not travel any further beyond that reservoir limit toward 20 21 that well.

Q. I'll direct your attention to Exhibit No. 15, and you have a column there that shows well status. And you have several wells, Mr. Langlitz' wells, indicated as TA. Do you mean that those wells are in Division-approved temporary

> PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 4th Street, NW, Suite 105, Albuquerque, NM 87102

10

1	abandonment status?
2	A. No. As far as that goes, the TA means that it's
3	just an inactive well.
4	Q. Okay.
5	MR. LARSON: And that's all I have for Mr. Kincaid,
6	and I would move the admission of Exhibits 14 and 15.
7	MR. WARNELL: We'll accept Exhibits 14 and 15.
8	[Applicant's Exhibits 14 and 15 admitted into
9	evidence.]
10	MR. WARNELL: Any questions, Mr. Brooks?
11	MR. BROOKS: No questions.
12	MR. WARNELL: I have no questions. You may step
13	down, if you're finished.
14	MR. LARSON: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
15	MR. WARNELL: Thank you. We'll take Case No. 14190
16	under advisement; however, we reserve the right to reopen the
17	case if needed.
18	MR. LARSON: I understand.
19	* * *
20	I do hereby certify that the foregoing the
21	i do hereby certay into the proceedings in a complete successful of the proceedings in the branchast get Core No.
22	heard by me on
23	Oil Conservation Division
24	Oll Conservation Difference
25	
1	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, JOYCE D. CALVERT, Provisional Court Reporter for the State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that I reported the foregoing proceedings in stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcript of those proceedings and was reduced to printed form under my direct supervision. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by nor related to any of the parties or attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in the final disposition of this proceeding. DATED this 30th of October, 2008. JOYCE D. CALVERT New Mexico P-03 License Expires: 7/31/09

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 4th Street, NW, Suite 105, Albuquerque, NM 87102

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) 2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO) 3 I, JOYCE D. CALVERT, a New Mexico Provisional 4 Reporter, working under the direction and direct supervision of Paul Baca, New Mexico CCR License Number 112, hereby certify 5 that I reported the attached proceedings; that pages numbered 1-11 inclusive, are a true and correct transcript of my 6 stenographic notes. On the date I reported these proceedings, I was the holder of Provisional License Number P-03. 7 Dated at Albuquerque, New Mexico, 30th day of October, 2008. 8 9 10 Joyce D. Calvert 11 Provisional License #P-03 License Expires: 7/31/09 12 13 14 15 Paul Baca, RPR 16 Certified Court Reporter #112 17 License Expires: 12/31/08 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
3	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION '
4	
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED
6	BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING: CASE NO. 14190
. 7 8	APPLICATION OF DENNIS LANGLITZ FOR AUTHORIZATION TO INJECT, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO
9	
10	
11	
_	
12	
13	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
14	EXAMINER HEARING
15	
16	BEFORE: DAVID K. BROOKS, Legal Examiner TERRY G. WARNELL, Technical Examiner
17	RICHARD EZEANYIM, Technical Examiner
18	October 20 2000
19	October 30, 2008
20	Santa Fe, New Mexico
21	This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, DAVID K. BROOKS, Legal Examiner,
22	TERRY G. WARNELL, Technical Examiner, and RICHARD EZEANYIM, Technical Examiner, on Thursday, October 30, 2008, at the
23	New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.
24	REPORTED BY: JOYCE D. CALVERT, P-03
25	Paul Baca Court Reporters 500 Fourth Street, NW, Suite 105 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

, ⁵,

1