| 1        | STATE OF NEW MEXICO  |
|----------|--|
| 2        | ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT  |
| 3        | OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION  |
| 4<br>5   |  |
| 5<br>6   |  |
| 7        |  |
| 8        | APPLICATION OF THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION,                                       |
| 9        | THROUGH THE ENVIRONMENTAL BUREAU CHIEF, FOR ADOPTION OF  |
| 10       | AN AMENDMENT TO 19.15.39 NMAC ADDING NEW SECTIONS TO BE  |
| 11       | CODIFIED AT 19.15.39.9 AND 19.15.39.10 NMAC ADDRESSING SPECIAL                                 |
| 12       | PROVISIONS FOR SANTA FE COUNTY AND THE GALISTEO BASIN; SANTA                                   |
| 13<br>14 | FE, SANDOVAL AND SAN MIGUEL COUNTIES.  CASE NO. 14255  |
| 15       | CASE NO. 14255   |
| 16       | TESTIMONY OF BRAD A. JONES   |
| 17       | ·  |
| 18       | My name is Brad A. Jones. I have been an environmental engineer with the Oil                   |
| 19       | Conservation Division's Environmental Bureau since July 2006. My primary duties                |
| 20       | include the review of permit applications, permit modifications, and closure plans under       |
| 21       | Part 17 (the pit rule) and Part 36 (the surface waste management rule); review of ground       |
| 22       | water and hydrostatic test discharge permit applications, modifications, and renewals          |
| 23       | under the Oil and Gas Act and Water Quality Control Commission regulations; and                |
| 24       | training and outreach on Part 17, Part 36 and discharge permits. Currently, my focus has       |
| 25       | been in the creation of rules and policies and training staff, operators, consultants, and the |
| 26       | public of the implementation of new rules.   |
| 27       | I hold a Bachelor of Science degree in Environmental Health Science from the                   |
| 28       | University of Georgia. I have over 10 years of environmental regulatory experience,            |
| 29       | mainly as a regulator but also some in industry. Prior to joining the Oil Conservation         |
| 30       | Division (OCD) I worked as an Environmental Specialist in the Solid Waste Bureau -             |
| 31       | Permit Section of the New Mexico Environment Department for approximately four                 |
| 32       | years. In that capacity I was involved in the permitting of landfills, solid waste facilities. |
|          | Case 14255 Written Testimony of Brad A. Jones Page 1 of 32  Before the OCC Case 14255          |

OCD Exhibit 2

| I also oversaw ground water monitoring programs and investigations for those facilities.  |
|---|
| Prior to that I worked as an Environmental Specialist I in the Florida Department of  |
| Health, where I designed, permitted, inspected and approved on-site sewage systems. For   |
| a short period, I also worked as an Environmental Scientist for Redemption  |
| Environmental, Inc., a small consulting firm in Tampa, Florida where I performed site   |
| investigations, remediation programs, and cleanups of underground storage tank  |
| contamination sites. I have also worked as an Environmental Health Specialist II for the  |
| Island County Health Department in Coupeville, Washington, under a state granted  |
| funded position that involved overseeing solid waste programs, voluntary cleanup  |
| programs, investigations of contaminated sites, and the cleanup of meth lab sites. I have   |
|   |
| also worked as an Environmental Specialist I at the Florida Department of Health where I  |
| also worked as an Environmental Specialist I at the Florida Department of Health where I oversaw the implementation of several environmental programs.  |
| •   |
| oversaw the implementation of several environmental programs.   |
| oversaw the implementation of several environmental programs.  I have testified before the Oil Conservation Commission (OCC) as an expert in  |
| oversaw the implementation of several environmental programs.  I have testified before the Oil Conservation Commission (OCC) as an expert in environmental engineering and environmental regulation in Case 14015 adopting Part 17.   |
| oversaw the implementation of several environmental programs.  I have testified before the Oil Conservation Commission (OCC) as an expert in environmental engineering and environmental regulation in Case 14015 adopting Part 17.  I have testified before the New Mexico Environmental Improvement Board as a technical  |
| oversaw the implementation of several environmental programs.  I have testified before the Oil Conservation Commission (OCC) as an expert in environmental engineering and environmental regulation in Case 14015 adopting Part 17.  I have testified before the New Mexico Environmental Improvement Board as a technical expert witness for the state in Case EIB 05-07 (R), Replacement to 20.9.1 NMAC, Solid  |
| oversaw the implementation of several environmental programs.  I have testified before the Oil Conservation Commission (OCC) as an expert in environmental engineering and environmental regulation in Case 14015 adopting Part 17.  I have testified before the New Mexico Environmental Improvement Board as a technical expert witness for the state in Case EIB 05-07 (R), Replacement to 20.9.1 NMAC, Solid Waste Management Regulations. I have also testified on the behalf of the State of New  |
| oversaw the implementation of several environmental programs.  I have testified before the Oil Conservation Commission (OCC) as an expert in environmental engineering and environmental regulation in Case 14015 adopting Part 17.  I have testified before the New Mexico Environmental Improvement Board as a technical expert witness for the state in Case EIB 05-07 (R), Replacement to 20.9.1 NMAC, Solid Waste Management Regulations. I have also testified on the behalf of the State of New Mexico as a technical expert in two permit hearing regarding solid waste facilities; SWB   |
| oversaw the implementation of several environmental programs.  I have testified before the Oil Conservation Commission (OCC) as an expert in environmental engineering and environmental regulation in Case 14015 adopting Part 17.  I have testified before the New Mexico Environmental Improvement Board as a technical expert witness for the state in Case EIB 05-07 (R), Replacement to 20.9.1 NMAC, Solid Waste Management Regulations. I have also testified on the behalf of the State of New Mexico as a technical expert in two permit hearing regarding solid waste facilities; SWB 05-06 (P) - In the Matter of the Application of the City of Tucumcari for a Solid Waste |

My testimony will be a complete overview the proposed amendment to 19.15.39 NMAC and the proposed modifications filed by the OCD on November 24, 2008. OCD Exhibit 22 is a redlined version of the proposed amendment, showing the OCD's modifications. The following technical provisions in the proposed amendment will be addressed in greater detail by Division witnesses Glenn von Gonten and Will Jones: Subparagraphs 1-8 of Subsection B of 19.15.39.9 NMAC, and Subparagraphs 3-8 of Subsection B of 19.15.39.10 NMAC.

## **OVERVIEW OF THE PROPOSED RULES**

The OCD proposes to amend Part 39, "Special Rules," to add two sections that will apply to Santa Fe County and the Galisteo Basin.

## Section 9: Exploration and Development Plans

Proposed Section 9 requires operators to obtain OCD approval of an exploration and development plan prior to applying for a permit to drill, re-enter or deepen a well within Santa Fe County or the Galisteo Basin. To apply for approval, the operator must submit a plan defining the area covered by the plan, describing the operator's proposed development of the area, and providing information that will help the OCD determine if approval of the plan will be consistent with its statutory mandates to prevent waste, protect correlative rights, and protect fresh water, human health and the environment. In addition, the operator must provide proposed drilling and mud programs, and plans for installing monitor wells, managing waste, minimizing pad size, and addressing releases. If applicable, the operator will also be required to provide its plan for mitigating its impact on cultural resources.

The OCD will perform a preliminary review of the application for administrative completeness to determine if it contains all of the required elements. Administratively complete plans will be set for public hearing. The operator will be required to publish a legal notice, and provide public notice to interested parties. The public will have an opportunity to submit written comments on the proposed plan and will be able to participate in the hearing process.

The OCD will approve an exploration and development plan only if the operator has provided the information required by the rule, satisfied the notice requirements, is in compliance with certain OCD rules, and if the OCD determines that approval of the plan will prevent waste, protect correlative rights, protect fresh water, and protect human health and the environment. The OCD may impose conditions on the plan if it determines those conditions are necessary to ensure that the plan will prevent waste, protect correlative rights, protect fresh water and protect human health and the environment. The OCD may approve a plan for a period not to exceed five years, and may renew a plan for additional periods not to exceed five years.

Once the operator obtains an approved plan, it may apply for permits to drill, reenter or deepen wells covered by the plan through the established OCD permitting process. The operator will also need to follow permitting process of the applicable OCD rules for obtaining any other permits necessary for its operations, such as a permit for a closed-loop system, injection wells, and surface waste management facilities. It is important to understand that an approved plan is not a substitute for any applicable permit process.

99

100

101 102

103

104

105

106 107

108 109

110

111

112

113

114

115 116

117

118

119

120

121

Case 14255

or change the locations of wells and related facilities identified in the plan, or change the terms of the proposed plan, it must apply for an amendment. In its application, it will need to update the information it previously provided, and identify the specific changes it proposes to the plan. The operator will be required to publish a legal notice of the amendment, and provide public notice. The public will have the opportunity to give written comments on the amendment, and request a public hearing. The OCD may set the matter for public hearing. If it does so, the operator will be required to publish a legal notice and provide public notice that provides the appropriate information regarding the

hearing. The application for amendment will be evaluated using the same standards

If the operator wants to expand the area covered by the plan, increase the number

Because the OCD may approve a plan for a period not to exceed five years, the operator will need to apply for renewal at least every five years. The operator may combine an application for amendment with an application for renewal. In other words, if an operator is applying for an amendment, it may at the same time request that its plan be renewed to a date no more than five years from the date of the amendment. The application process for renewal is the same as the process for an amendment: the operator must update its application information, provide legal notice, and publish public notice that provides instructions for public comment and requests for a public hearing, if applicable. The OCD may set the matter for hearing. The application will be evaluated using the same standards applicable to an initial application.

If the plan expires and the operator has not filed for renewal, the operator must shut in the wells covered by the plan. If the operator violates the terms of the plan, or is

applicable to an initial application.

in violation of certain other OCD rules, the OCD may – after notice and hearing – order the operator to shut in the wells covered by the plan.

Section 9 provides a process for replacing an exploration and development plan with a special pool order. An operator may not apply for replacement until it has operated wells under its plan for at least 5 years. It may then apply for replacement, using the same process that is used for seeking an amendment or a renewal. The OCD may set the matter for hearing. The application will be evaluated using the same standards applicable to an initial application. The OCD may impose conditions in the special pool order, to ensure that the order prevents waste, protects correlative rights, protects fresh water and protects human health and the environment. Once a plan is replaced with a special pool order, any changes to the special pool order will be handled through existing OCD processes.

Section 10: Additional Requirements for Applications to Drill, Re-enter or Deepen Wells Subject to an Exploration and Development Plan.

Section 10 is a companion provision to Section 9. It imposes additional requirements on applications to drill, re-enter or deepen wells subject to an exploration and development plan.

When an operator applies for a permit to drill, re-enter or deepen a well under its plan, Subsection A of Section 10 will require the operator to take additional steps not required under existing OCD rules. First, the operator will have to submit its application for a permit under 19.15.17 NMAC (Part 17) to address drilling waste at the same time it submits its application for an APD, so the OCD can evaluate them together. Second, the operator will have to provide global positioning system coordinates for the location of a well to be drilled in an un-surveyed area, so the OCD can review the site. Third, the Case 14255

Written Testimony of Brad A. Jones Page 6 of 32

operator must provide any additional information required by its exploration and development plan.

Subsection B of Section 10 sets out conditions that are automatically required for an APD issued for a well covered by an exploration and development plan. The conditions include a requirement that the operator use a closed-loop system rather than pits; not dispose of drilling waste on-site; run logs during the drilling process that will help identify water zones; use a casing and cementing program that will isolate fresh water zones; and place wells requiring gas pipeline connections on approved temporary abandonment status while awaiting pipeline connection. To obtain an exception to any of these conditions, the operator must seek the exception as part of its exploration and development plan.

# DETAILED REVIEW OF SECTION 9: EXPLORATION AND DEVELOPMENT PLANS

## **Subsection A: Applicability**

As stated in the first paragraph of Subsection A, Section 9 requires operators to obtain OCD approval of an exploration and development plan prior to applying for a permit to drill, re-enter or deepen a well in Santa Fe County or the Galisteo Basin. The operator must renew its plan at least every five years, and must operate the wells covered by the plan in accordance with the plan's requirements until the plan is replaced by a special pool order.

Section 9 covers "re-entering" and "deepening," as well as drilling, so that an operator re-entering or deepening an existing well will be subject to the Section's requirements.

| 171 | Section 9 covers all of Santa Fe County and not just the Galisteo Basin because          |
|-----|--|
| 172 | Executive Orders 2008-004 and 2008-038 addressed both Santa Fe County and the            |
| 173 | Galisteo Basin, the public concern that prompted the executive orders extends to all of  |
| 174 | Santa Fe County, and the protections offered by the proposed rules would be beneficial   |
| 175 | throughout the county. Further, Santa Fe County has proposed a county-wide ordinance     |
| 176 | on drilling, and the OCD's adoption of county-wide rules will be consistent with that    |
| 177 | approach.  |
| 178 | Most of the Galisteo Basin is located in Santa Fe County, with small portions of         |
| 179 | the basin extending into San Miguel County to the east, and Sandoval County to the west. |
| 180 | Paragraphs 1 and 2 of Subsection A identify those portions of San Miguel County          |
| 181 | and Sandoval County that are in the Galisteo Basin, and therefore covered by the rule.   |
| 182 | Proposed Modifications:  |
| 183 | The OCD has proposed the following modifications to the first paragraph of               |
| 184 | Subsection A:  |
| 185 | A. Applicability. The operator must obtain division                                      |
| 186 | approval for an exploration and development plan prior to applying                       |
| 187 | for a permit pursuant to 19.15.14 NMAC to drilling, re-entering or                       |
| 188 | deepening a well located in Santa Fe county or the Galisteo Basin, and                   |
| 189 | must operate the wells covered by the plan in accordance with the                        |
| 190 | plan's requirements until the plan is specifically replaced by a special                 |
| 191 | pool order. Approval of an exploration and development plan does                         |
| 192 | not relieve an operator from its responsibility to obtain any permit                     |
| 192 | required pursuant to the Oil and Gas Act for its activities conducted                    |
| 193 | under the plan. The operator must renew an approved exploration                          |
|     |  |
| 195 | and development plans every five years   |
| 196 | The annual shares to the first contains in Calmartin A. highlighted in annual            |
| 197 | The proposed change to the first sentence in Subsection A, highlighted in green,         |
| 198 | affects whether Section 9 will apply to pending applications. As originally drafted, an  |
| 199 | operator would have to obtain an approved exploration and development plan prior to      |

drilling, re-entering or deepening a well in Santa Fe County or the Galisteo Basin. The OCD proposed the language highlighted in green to make it clear that even though an operator obtained an approved exploration and development plan, it would still need to go through the permitting process set out in 19.15.14 NMAC prior to drilling, re-entering or deepening a well. However, this proposed change could be interpreted to make the rule inapplicable to any operator that has already applied for an APD but that has not yet started drilling, re-entering or deepening the well. For that reason, the OCD has determined not to request the modification highlighted in green.

The OCD has proposed the changes highlighted in red to clarify what an approved exploration and development plan represents and does not represent to an operator. An approved exploration and development plan is just that, an approved plan. Approval of a plan does not equate to approval of permits. Permits for drilling will have to be obtained through the permitting process identified in 19.15.14 NMAC and the additional provisions and conditions of 19.15.39.10 NMAC. Permits required for managing the drilling waste will have to be obtained through the permitting processes indentified in 19.15.17 NMAC and address the additional conditions of 19.15.39.10 NMAC. Depending on the proposals presented in the exploration and development application and the outcome of the approved plan, the operator may have to pursue a permit for a surface waste management facility pursuant to the permitting requirements of 19.15.36 NMAC. OCD would also like to clarify that any exception to a standard or specific requirement indentified in 19.15.17 NMAC and 19.15.36 NMAC that is proposed in the exploration and development application and approved in the plan does not grant the operator the opportunity to circumvent the exception, waiver, and administrative

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

| 223   | protocols, processes, and provisions required for a permit pursuant to 19.15.17 NMAC or     |
|-------|---|
| 224   | 19.15.36 NMAC.  |
| 225   | Subsection B: Application for Exploration and Development Plan                              |
| 226   | Subsection B sets out the procedure for filing the application for an exploration           |
| 227   | and development plan, and the information that must be included in the application.         |
| 228   | Filing Requirements   |
| 229   | The opening paragraph of Subsection B sets out the filing requirements. The                 |
| 230   | operator must submit two copies of the application with the OCD's Santa Fe office, and      |
| 231   | submit a copy to the appropriate division district office or offices.                       |
| 232   | One of the two copies filed with the OCD's Santa Fe office will be provided to              |
| 233   | the state historic preservation office for its review and comment. The OCD's                |
| 234   | coordination with the state historic preservation office is discussed in more detail below. |
| 235   | The other copy filed with the OCD's Santa Fe office will be used internally. The            |
| 236   | OCD's Environmental Bureau will review the application to determine if it is                |
| 237   | administratively complete and will review the proposed legal notice for approval. An        |
| 238 . | administratively complete application will go through the public notice process outlined    |
| 239   | in Subsection H and be forwarded to the Engineering Bureau for hearing or                   |
| 240   | administrative action, as appropriate.  |
| 241   | The affected district office or offices need a copy of the application so they can          |
| 242   | review it and determine if they will enter an appearance in the public hearing and take a   |
| 243   | position on the application. OCD's District 4 includes Santa Fe County and that portion     |
| 244   | of the Galisteo Basin that extends into San Miguel County. OCD's District 3 includes        |
| 245   | that portion of the Galisteo Basin that extends into Sandoval County.                       |

## **Application Contents**

The numbered paragraphs of Subsection B set out what must be included in an application. These requirements described in numbered paragraphs 1 through 8 will be discussed in more detail in the testimony of Glenn von Gonten and Will Jones.

To summarize, Subsection B requires the applicant to define the area to be covered by the plan and provide information about that area and for one half mile beyond the boundary of that area. The applicant must provide a topographic map and maps showing: state, federal, private or tribal surface ownership; private lands property boundaries; municipal and county boundaries; relevant surface features and existing water, oil and gas wells. In addition, the rule requires the applicant to provide a hydrogeologic and site report that provides available information and detail on the area's topography, soils, geology, surface hydrology and ground water hydrology.

Numbered paragraph 9 requires the operator to provide information relevant to cultural resources, known cemeteries and unmarked human burials located in the area included in the plan or within one half mile of the plan's boundaries. According to the Galisteo Basin Archaeological Sites Protection Act, PL 108-208, the Galisteo Basin and surrounding area is the location of many well preserved prehistoric and historic archaeological resources of Native American and Spanish colonial cultures, including the largest ruins of Pueblo Indian settlements in the United States. PL 108-208 is **OCD Ex.**23. Requiring the operator to provide information relevant to cultural resources is necessary to comply with state laws designed to protect those resources. NMSA 1978, Section 18-6-8.1 provides, in relevant part,

The head of any state agency or department having direct or indirect jurisdiction over any land or structure modification which may affect a

Case 14255 Written Testimony of Brad A. Jones Page 11 of 32

| 270<br>271<br>272 | registered cultural property shall afford the state historic preservation officer a reasonable and timely opportunity to participate in planning such undertaking so as to preserve and protect, and to avoid or minimize |
|-------------------|---|
| 273<br>274        | adverse effects on, registered cultural properties.   |
| 275               | OCD Exhibit 24 is a copy of NMSA 1978, Section 18-6-8.1. Rules issued by the  |
| 276               | Cultural Properties Review Committee interpret this statute to apply to the issuance of   |
| 277               | permits, authorizations or licenses for any land or structural modification on federal, state   |
| 278               | or private lands that may affect any registered cultural property. OCD Exhibit 25 is a  |
| 279               | copy of the rules interpreting NMSA 1978, Section 18-6-8.1. Other statutes provide for  |
| 280               | penalties for disturbing marked and unmarked burials. OCD Exhibits 26 and 27 are  |
| 281               | copies of NMSA 1978, Section 18-6-11.2, "Permit required for excavation of unmarked   |
| 282               | burials; penalty," and NMSA 1978, Section 30-12-12, "Disturbing a marked burial   |
| 283               | ground."  |
| 284               | Subsection B also requires the applicant to describe its plan. It must identify its   |
| 285               | target zone or zones, identify the location of proposed exploratory wells and related   |
| 286               | facilities; describe its plan for developing the area if the exploratory wells are productive;  |
| 287               | and provide its drilling and mud-logging programs, its plan for managing waste, its plan  |
| 288               | for minimizing pad size and consolidating facilities, its plan for installing monitor wells,  |
| 289               | and its contingency plan for releases. The OCD will be able to review the plans to  |
| 290               | determine if they are appropriate for the site conditions, prevent waste, protect correlative   |
| 291               | rights, and are protective of fresh water, human health and the environment.  |
| 292               | As discussed in more detail below, Subsection B of Section 10 sets out conditions   |
| 293               | that will apply to any permit to drill issued to wells subject to the exploration and   |
| 294               | development plan. The conditions are designed to protect fresh water, human health and  |

the environment in situations where little is known about the geology or hydrology. An

Case 14255 Written Testimony of Brad A. Jones Page 12 of 32

operator may request an exception to any of these conditions as part of its application for an exploration and development plan. The burden is on the operator to provide evidence that operating in accordance with the proposed exception will prevent waste, protect correlative rights, and protect fresh water, human health and the environment.

The applicant must also submit a proposed legal notice, and must certify that the information it is providing in its application is true, accurate and complete to the best of the operator's knowledge, after reasonable inquiry.

The OCD may require additional information in order to determine if the plan will prevent waste, protect correlative rights, and protect fresh water, human health and the environment, and that operation of the plan will comply with division rules and orders.

# Proposed Modifications to Subsection B

The OCD has proposed a number of modifications to Subsection B.

Numbered paragraph 5 sets out the items that must be shown on a map of the area included in the plan and a one-half mile buffer zone around that area. The OCD proposes the following changes to those items:

- The map showing state, federal, private or tribal surface ownership should also include for private lands the property boundaries and the name of the property owner at time of application. This will help the OCD determine if the operator has given notice to surface interest owners, as required by Subsection H.
- The OCD proposes to delete the requirement that a map show the location of all registered cultural properties. NMSA 1978, Section 18-6-11.1 requires that any information in the custody of a public official concerning the location of archaeological resources, the preservation of which is in the interest of the state of

| 319                      |        | New Mexico, shall remain confidential unless the dissemination of the  |
|--------------------------|--------|--|
| 320                      |        | information will further the purposes of the Cultural Properties Act. OCD  |
| 321                      |        | <b>Exhibit 28</b> is a copy of NMSA 1978, Section 18-6-11.1  |
| 322                      | •      | The OCD proposes to describe infrastructure as including "but not limited to"  |
| 323                      |        | certain identified structures, to clarify that the identified structures are examples  |
| 324                      |        | of infrastructure, but that the list is not exclusive.   |
| 325                      | . •    | The OCD proposes to add "watercourses" and "wellhead protection areas" to the  |
| 326                      |        | items that must be identified on a map. "Watercourse" is defined in Paragraph 4  |
| 327                      |        | of Subsection W of 19.15.2.7 NMAC to include a river, creek, arroyo, canyon,   |
| 328                      |        | draw or wash or other channel having definite banks and bed with visible   |
| 329                      |        | evidence of the occasional flow of water. "Wellhead protection areas" is defined   |
| 330                      |        | in Paragraph 8 of Subsection W of 19.15.2.7 NMAC to include the area within  |
| 331                      |        | 200 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used by less   |
| 332                      |        | than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes or within 1000  |
| 333                      |        | horizontal feet of any other fresh water well or spring. Wellhead protection area  |
| 334                      |        | does not include the area around a water well drilled after an existing oil or gas   |
| 335                      |        | waste storage, treatment or disposal site was established. OCD Exhibit 29 is a   |
| 336                      |        | copy of Subsection W of 19.15.2.7 NMAC.  |
| 337                      |        | In numbered paragraph 7, the OCD proposes to correct the spelling of   |
| 338                      | "parar | neters."   |
| 339                      |        | In numbered paragraph 8, the OCD proposes the following change:  |
| 340<br>341<br>342<br>343 |        | (8) a written contingency plan for all releases, with no exclusion for de minimus amounts, which shall include  (a) best management practices for the prevention and detection of releases procedures for early detection of releases; |
| 212                      |        | procedure of research procedures for early detection of research,  |

345

346

347

348

349

350 351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358 359 360

361

362 363

364 365

366

367 368

369

370

371

372

373

Case 14255

Written Testimony of Brad A. Jones

Page 15 of 32

The OCD has proposed the recommended change in order to clarify the type of information that is expected to be provided in the contingency plan. A contingency plan should identify the protocols and standards operating practices in order to minimize hazards (such as releases) to fresh water, human health and the environment. Best management practices should address preventive measures such as the installation of secondary containment (liners) for above grade tanks and detection measures such as

regularly scheduled visual inspections. Note that this change is shown, and discussed, in

the OCD's notice of recommended modifications, filed on November 24, 2008, but the

change did not appear in the redline version of the rules attached to that filing.

The OCD proposes to modify numbered paragraph 9 as follows, to require the operator to provide information on known cemeteries and unmarked human burials as well as registered cultural properties, in order to comply with the statutes described above:

(9)if the area to be include in the proposed exploration and development plan includes a registered historic cultural property, the information required by 4.16.7.9 NMAC if cultural resources listed in or eligible for listing in either the national register of historic places or the state register of cultural properties, known cemeteries and unmarked human burials are located in the area included in the proposed exploration and development plan or within one half mile of the area included in the plan, the information in 4.10.7.9 NMAC including a description of the effects the proposed operations may have on these sites and proposed mitigation measures;

4.10.7.9 NMAC is contained in OCD Ex. 25.

The OCD proposes modification of numbered paragraph 10 as follows:

# correlative rights, protect fresh water and protect human health and the environment-from oilfield-waste;

375 376 377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

374

The OCD has proposed the recommended changes in order to clarify the original intent of the first version of the proposed rule. The original intent was only to allow operators to propose exception to the specified conditions identified in Subsection B of 19.15.39.10 NMAC. The original intent was not to allow operator propose exceptions such as: not having to satisfy the requirements of 19.15.14 NMAC, not submitting a permit application pursuant to 19.15.17 NMAC; not have to provide the GPS coordinates of the location; and not providing any of the additional information required by the operator's exploration and development plan. The omission of "from oilfield waste" is recommended due to the nature and variety of the conditions identified in Subsection B of 19.15.39.10 NMAC and the limitation that it establishes when proposing and assessing an exception request. The OCD is concerned that if the caveat "from oilfield waste" remains, applicants may base their assessment and evidence regarding their exception request to certain conditions identified under Subsection B of 19.15.39.10 NMAC in which the comparison of the impact "from oilfield waste" is not applicable. One such condition would be the requirement for an operator to place a well that requires gas pipeline connection on approved temporary abandonment status while awaiting pipeline connection. This condition prevents waste, as defined by the Oil and Gas Act, and protects correlative rights and would never be impacted or influenced by or from "oilfield If the operator requested an exception to this condition and assessed the exception to prevent waste based upon the impact or influence by or from oilfield waste, the operator could easily demonstrate that the prevention of waste is not impacted or influenced by or from oilfield waste because the operator would not be addressing a waste of a resource. Other such conditions in which the impact or influence of oilfield waste would not be applicable would include: the requirement to log the well the total depth to surface; the conditions regarding the setting of the casing in order to isolate fresh water zones and aquifers; and the running of cement bond logs after each casing string is cemented and filing the logs.

## **Subsection C: Amendments to Exploration and Development Plans**

Subsection C requires an operator to obtain an approved amendment to its exploration and development plan prior to expanding the area covered by the plan, increasing the number or changing the location of proposed wells or related facilities, or changing the terms of the proposed plan.

To apply, the operator must file an application describing its proposed amendment(s) and update the information required by Subsection B. It will not have to re-submit information that has not changed. However, if the operator has drilled wells under its approved exploration and development plan it is likely that it can provide additional information on geology and ground water hydrology.

The operator also has to provide a proposed legal notice. The proposed amendment will go through the same public notice process as the original application, and the division director has the discretion to set the proposed amendment for hearing.

The filing requirements for an amendment are the same as the filing requirement for the initial application: two copies must be filed with the OCD's Santa Fe office and a copy must be filed with the appropriate district office or offices.

## **Subsection D: Renewals of Exploration and Development Plans**

As stated in the initial paragraph of Section 9, an approved exploration and development plan must be renewed every five years. This gives the OCD and the operator an opportunity to review and update the plan to make sure it is protective of fresh water, human health and the environment. The application for renewal is subject to public notice, and the director has the discretion to set the application for public hearing. The operator may combine an application for amendment with an application for renewal.

The application for renewal, like the application for an amendment, must update the information required pursuant to Subsection B, and provide a proposed legal notice.

# <u>Subsection E: Replacement of an Exploration and Development Plan with a Special Pool Order.</u>

Section 9 provides extra protections to an area that has seen little oil and gas development, and that has important water resources. Section 9 requires the operator to provide public notice of its activities, and provide the OCD and the public with the best available information on the area so the OCD can make informed decisions on the conditions that may apply to the exploration and development plan in order to protect fresh water, human health and the environment. As exploration and development under the approved plan continues the OCD will gather more and more information about the geology and hydrology of the area. At some point, the OCD may have sufficient information to allow the operator to replace its plan with a special pool order. The pool order could incorporate those conditions the OCD determines are necessary to protect fresh water, human health and the environment. Changes to the special pool order would be made by application under existing OCD procedures. But the operator would no longer have to follow the processes set out in Section 9, or apply for renewal of its order Case 14255

every five years. If the operator wanted to drill in an area outside the area covered by its former exploration and development plan, however, it would have to apply for a new plan under Section 9.

An operator is not eligible to replace its plan with a special pool order until it has operated wells under its approved plan for at least five years. This will give the OCD five years of operational experience under the plan to review to determine if the conditions imposed under the plan are effective in protecting fresh water, human health and the environment, and to determine what conditions should be placed on any special pool order.

To apply, the operator must file an application updating the information required by Subsection B and describing any conditions it proposes should be included in the special pool order. The operator has to provide a proposed legal notice. The application to replace the plan with a special pool order will go through the same public notice process as the original application, and the division director has the discretion to set the application for hearing.

The filing requirements for an application to replace the plan with a special pool order are the same as the filing requirement for the initial application: two copies must be filed with the OCD's Santa Fe office and a copy must be filed with the appropriate district office or offices.

#### **Subsection F: Legal Notice**

Subsection F sets out the requirements for the legal notice that will be used to notice the original application, any renewals or amendments, and any application to replace the plan with a special pool order.

469 The notice must provide basic contact information on the operator, and a legal and common description of the area covered by the plan or proposed plan. The notice must 470 471 also provide information on what the applicant is seeking. 472 If the application is for approval of an initial exploration and development plan, the notice must summarize the proposed plan, including the number and location of 473 474 proposed exploratory and development wells and related facilities. If the application is 475 for an amendment, the notice must summarize the existing plan and the proposed 476 amendment. If the application is for a renewal, with no amendment, it only needs to 477 summarize the existing plan. If the application is for replacement, it must summarize the 478 conditions the operator proposes to make part of the special pool order that will replace 479 the plan. 480 The notice must also provide instructions for viewing the complete application on the OCD's website or at the appropriate division district office. It will also provide 481 482 instructions for filing written public comments on the application. 483 The notice will also provide instructions for being placed on a contact list to 484 receive notice of future applications to amend, renew or replace the exploration and 485 development plan and hearings on those applications. If the application is set for public hearing, the notice will contain the date, time 486 487 and location of the hearing. All applications for approval of an initial exploration and 488 development plan must be set for public hearing. For clarification proposes, an 489 application for approval is a request for approval from the operator and not a

recommendation of approval of the application from OCD.

amendments, renewals and replacements may be set for public hearing at the discretion of

Applications for

490

the director. If the director determines to set an application for hearing upon receipt of the application, the operator will be notified and the hearing information will be included in the notice. It is possible that the determination to set an application for public hearing will be made after the division receives public comments on the application. In that case, the operator will have to prepare a second legal notice that includes the hearing information. Proposed Modifications to Subsection F The OCD proposes the following modifications to Subsection F:

- F. Legal Notice. Legal notice of an application for a proposed exploration and development plan or an application to amend, renew or replace an existing exploration and development plan shall include
- (8) instructions for filing written public comments on the application with the division clerk in with the division's Santa Fe office;
- (9) <u>instructions for requesting a public hearing on</u> an application that has not been set for public hearing;

(9)(10) if the application will be has been set for hearing, the date, time and location of the public hearing; and

(10)(11) instructions for being placed on a <u>division</u> contact list to receive notice of future applications <u>and hearing notices</u> related to the to amend, renew or replace the exploration and development plan.

The proposed change to numbered paragraph 8 clarifies that public comments are to be filed with the division clerk. New numbered paragraph 9 provides that the legal notice will include instructions on how to request a public hearing, if the application has not yet been set for hearing. Numbered paragraph 10 clarifies that if the application has been set for public hearing, the legal notice will provide the date, time and location of the hearing. Numbered paragraph 11 clarifies that the OCD will keep the contact list, and

that the list will be used to provide notice of future applications and hearings related to the plan.

## **Subsection G: Administrative Completeness**

The OCD will review each application for administrative completeness. An application is administratively complete if it contains the information required by Section 9. A determination that an application is administratively complete is not a determination that the information provided is accurate or adequate. The OCD must notify the operator of its determination on administrative completeness within 60 days of receipt of the application.

If the OCD determines that the application is not administratively complete, its notice to the operator will identify the deficiencies. The operator may re-submit its application to correct deficiencies, correct errors or add information. The re-submittal triggers a new 30-day period for the OCD to make a decision on administrative completeness.

If the OCD determines that the application is administratively complete, it will provide the operator with an approved legal notice so the operator can proceed with public notice. The OCD will also provide the operator with the current contact list of individuals and entities requesting notice of applications related to the plan. The OCD will distribute notice of the application with its next division or commission docket, and post the notice and application on its website.

## Proposed Modifications to Subsection G

The OCD proposes to add a new requirement under numbered paragraph 2: once the OCD has determined that an application is administratively complete, the OCD must provide a copy of the complete application to the state historic preservation office with a request for review and comment. This will help satisfy the requirement of NMSA 1978, Section 18-6-8.1 that "the head of any state agency or department having direct or indirect jurisdiction over any land or structure modification which may affect a registered cultural property shall afford the state historic preservation officer a reasonable and timely opportunity to participate in planning such undertaking so as to preserve and protect, and to avoid or minimize adverse effects on, registered cultural properties. In addition, Attorney General Opinion No. 87-64 states that the historic preservation officer may participate in a state agency's deliberations when the agency is considering the issuance of a license that would affect a registered cultural property even if that registered cultural property is on private land. **OCD Ex. 30** is a copy of Attorney General Opinion No. 87-64.

## **Subsection H: Public Notice**

Once the operator receives the OCD's written determination that the application is administratively complete, and the OCD's approved legal notice, the operator has 20 days to issue public notice.

The operator must publish the notice in a newspaper of general circulation in the affected county or counties.

The operator must mail or e-mail notice to the individuals and entities on the OCD's contact list for the exploration and development plan.

The operator must mail the notice by certified mail, return receipt requested, to the individuals and entities listed in Paragraph 2 of Subsection H. Holders of mineral interests in the area included in the plan or within one half mile of the border of the plan

| must receive notice if they have not already agreed to participate in the plan. This        |
|---|
| ensures that affected interest owners, and potentially affected interest owners, receive    |
| notice. Similarly, surface interest owners in the area included in the plan or within one   |
| half mile of the border of the plan receive notice. Subsection H also requires the operator |
| to notify all tribes, pueblos and nations in New Mexico, affected county and municipal      |
| governments, the state historic preservation officer, and the game and fish department.     |
| Notice to the tribes, pueblos and nations is consistent with the intent of Executive Order  |
| No. 2005-003, and State of New Mexico Executive Department Policy Regarding Tribal          |
| Consultation on the Protection of Sacred Places and Repatriation. Those documents are       |
| OCD Exs. 31 and 32  |

## Proposed Modifications to Subsection H

The OCD proposes two modifications to Subsection H.

First, the OCD proposes to require the operator to mail notice to the entities listed in numbered paragraph 2 by certified mail, return receipt requested, rather than by first class mail. Certified mail, return receipt requested, will help the operator show that it has provided the required notice.

Second, the OCD proposes to clarify that the notice should be sent to the leader of each tribe, pueblo or nation in New Mexico, to ensure that the notice reaches the appropriate individual. This change was suggested by the Indian Affairs Department, which can provide the necessary contact information to operators.

## Subsection I: Public Hearings

.568

.584

Subsection I requires all applications for approval of an exploration and development plan to be set for public hearing; applications for amendments, renewals or replacement may be set for public hearing.

Paragraph 3 of Subsection I provides that if the OCD acts administratively to deny an application to amend, renew or replace a plan, or grants an application administratively with conditions, the matter will be set for public hearing at the operator's request. This provides review of the OCD's decision.

Paragraph 4 sets out the notice process if the OCD sets an application for amendment, renewal or replacement for hearing. The operator must submit a proposed notice of hearing to the OCD, and then provide the same type of public notice as is required for an initial application. The only difference is that the notice must be sent 30 days before the hearing date, instead of 60 days before the hearing, as is required for initial applications.

### Proposed Modifications to Subsection I

The OCD proposes a change to numbered paragraph 1 to clarify that the hearing on an application for approval of an exploration and development plan must be held no sooner than 60 days after the operator serves public notice. The 60-day period is not triggered by actions taken by the OCD, such as the posting of notice on the OCD website.

The OCD also proposes changes to numbered paragraph 4 to make clear that the hearing notices on amendments, renewals and replacements must be published or mailed at least 30 days prior to the hearing. As currently drafted, the provision does not set a time for notifying individuals and entities on the contact list. In addition, the OCD proposes a change that will require notice by certified mail, return receipt requested.

| Subsection J: Plan Approvals, Conditions, Denials, Amendments, Revocations, Renewals and Transfers |
|--|
| Subsection J addresses the OCD's actions related to exploration and development                    |
| plans.   |
| The OCD may approve a plan for a period not to exceed five years, and may issue                    |
| renewals for additional periods not to exceed five years.  |
| The OCD may approve an initial plan, or approve an application to amend, renew                     |
| or replace an existing plan only if the application provides the information required by           |
| Section 9, the operator has provided the notice required by Section 9, the operator is in          |
| compliance with Subsection A of 19.15.5.9 NMAC (formerly 19.15.1.40 NMAC, or                       |
| "Rule 40"), and approval of the application will prevent waste, protect correlative rights,        |
| and protect fresh water, human health and the environment. If the application fails on             |
| any of these points, it may be approved with conditions if the condition could address or          |
| satisfy the concern or it may be denied.   |
| Requiring compliance with Subsection A of 19.15.5.9 NMAC means that the                            |
| operator must be in compliance with financial assurance requirements, have no more than            |
| a limited number of wells out of compliance with the inactive well rule (19.15.25.8                |
| NMAC), have no unpaid penalties, and not be in violation of an order requiring                     |
| corrective action.   |
| The prevention of waste and the protection of correlative rights are the OCD's                     |
| statutory mandates under NMSA 1978, Section 70-2-11(A). OCD Ex. 33. The                            |
| protection of fresh water, and the protection of human health and the environment, as              |
| well as the prevention of waste and the protection of correlative rights, are the OCD's            |
|  |

| .030 | statutory duties under its enumeration of powers in NWSA 1978, Section 70-2-12. OCD         |
|------|---|
| 637  | Ex. 34.   |
| 638  | Paragraphs (3) and (4) of Subsection J allow the OCD to attach conditions to an             |
| 639  | exploration and development plan, or a special pool order that replaces an exploration      |
| 640  | and development plan. This allows the OCD to customize the plan or order to address         |
| 641  | the issues.   |
| 642  | Paragraph (5) of Subsection J addresses revocation of an exploration and                    |
| 643  | development plan. If the operator fails to comply with the terms of the plan, or is out of  |
| 644  | compliance with Subsection A of 19.15.5.9 NMAC, the OCD may revoke its approval of          |
| 645  | the plan and require the wells covered by the plan to be shut-in. Revocation requires       |
| 646  | notice and hearing.   |
| 647  | Paragraph (6) of Subsection J addresses expiration of an exploration and                    |
| 648  | development plan. If a plan expires, and the operator has not filed an application for      |
| 649  | renewal, the operator must shut-in the wells covered by the plan. If the operator filed an  |
| 650  | application to renew the plan prior to its expiration, the operator may continue to operate |
| 651  | the wells covered by the plan until a final order is issued on its application for renewal. |
| 652  | Paragraph (7) of Subsection J provides that a plan, once approved, remains in               |
| 653  | effect until it is revoked, amended or replaced by a special pool order pursuant to Section |
| 654  | 9.  |
| 655  | Paragraph (8) provides that any operator operating wells subject to an exploration          |
| 656  | and development plan or special pool order is subject to the terms of that plan or order.   |
| 657  | Proposed Modifications to Subsection J  |

| 658 | The OCD proposes to delete "from oilfield waste" in numbered paragraph 3, to                 |
|-----|--|
| 659 | make the description of the standard of review by the OCD consistent throughout the          |
| 660 | Section 9.   |
| 661 | DETAILED REVIEW OF 19.15.39.10 NMAC ("SECTION 10")   |
| 662 | Section 10 sets out special requirements for applications for permits to drill,              |
| 663 | deepen or re-enter a well that is subject to an exploration and development plan.            |
| 664 | Subsection A   |
| 665 | Subsection A of Section 10 requires an operator applying for a permit to drill, re-          |
| 666 | enter or deepen a well subject to an exploration and development plan to provide             |
| 667 | additional information when filing its APD.  |
| 668 | The operator must attach its Part 17 permit application, which will provide the              |
| 669 | OCD with information on the operator's plans for disposal of drilling wastes. Under          |
| 670 | current OCD rules, a Part 17 permit application and an application for APD may be filed      |
| 671 | separately.  |
| 672 | The operator must provide global positioning system (GPS) coordinates to the                 |
| 673 | sixth decimal point to identify the location of a well to be drilled in an un-surveyed area. |
| 674 | Santa Fe County includes land grant areas that have not been surveyed. Providing GPS         |
| 675 | coordinates will allow the OCD to review the drilling location.                              |
| 676 | The operator must also provide any additional information required by its                    |
| 677 | exploration and development plan. This allows the OCD the opportunity to determine if        |
| 678 | any specific conditions should be applied or incorporated into the appropriate and           |
| 679 | applicable permits.  |
| 680 | Proposed Modifications to Subsection A   |

| 681 | The OCD proposes the following modifications to Subsection A of Section 10:               |
|-----|---|
| 682 | A. An application for permit to drill, re-enter or deepen a well                          |
| 683 | that requires an exploration and development plan pursuant to                             |
| 684 | 19.15.39.9 NMAC must include the following in addition to meeting                         |
| 685 | the requirements set out in 19.15.14.10 NMAC:   |
| 686 |   |
| 687 | The OCD has proposed the recommended change in order to clarify and inform                |
| 688 | applicants that they must comply with all of the provisions of 19.15.14 NMAC, which       |
| 689 | includes the requirement of a permit and permit application process. Section 10 of        |
| 690 | 19.15.14 NMAC only addresses provisions regarding the approval or denial of a permit      |
| 691 | to drill, deepen or plug back.  |
| 692 | (1) a permit application pursuant to 19.15.17 NMACa form                                  |
| 693 | C-144 for the closed-loop system, or for the pit if the operator's                        |
| 694 | approved exploration and development plan allows pits;                                    |
| 695 |   |
| 696 | The OCD has proposed the recommended change in order to clarify OCD origina               |
| 697 | intent, which is that the applicant must submit a complete permit application and not jus |
| 698 | the form. A permit application pursuant to 19.15.17 NMAC includes the Form C-144 as       |
| 699 | well as additional documents such as an operational and maintenance plan, a design and    |
| 700 | construction plan, a closure plan, demonstrations of compliance to the applicable siting  |
| 701 | requirements and possibly a hydrogeologic report or data, if applicable. The Form C-144   |
| 702 | is not a permit application.  |
| 703 | Subsection B  |
| 704 | Subsection B sets out conditions that apply to any APD issued for wells covered           |
| 705 | by an exploration and development plan unless the operator has requested and received     |

an exception. These conditions are "default" conditions; the burden is on the operator to

request an exception and prove that granting the exception will prevent waste, protect

correlative rights and protect fresh water, human health and the environment.

Case 14255 Written Testimony of Brad A. Jones Page 29 of 32

706

707

| Paragraph (1) of Subsection B requires the operator to drill using a closed-loop             |
|--|
| system. A closed-loop system uses above-ground steel tanks for managing drilling or          |
| workover fluids and does not use below-grade tanks or pits. The rule endorses the use of     |
| closed-loop systems to reduce the impact of surface disturbance, to promote the recycling    |
| of drilling fluids that results in the reduction of clean water use and allows for the reuse |
| of such fluids at other drilling sites, and to reduce the volume solids and semi-solid drill |
| cuttings and mud due to fluid recycling effort which reduces the risk of a potential release |
| and results in reduced disposal costs for the operator.                                      |

Paragraph (2) of Subsection B requires that the operator not use any of the on-site closure methods set out in Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC. OCD Ex. 35. There are two on-site closure methods identified in Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC: in-place burial and on-site trench burial. Each method has its own specific siting criteria, burial standards and protocols. The rule specifies this condition in order to establish an extra level of protection due to the absence of available information and data pertaining to the region and uncertainty of the environmental issues. As more information is gathered through the exploration and development plan process and operators are able to switch over to special pool orders, conditions such as this may no longer be applicable.

The conditions set out in Paragraphs (3) through (8) will be addressed in the testimony of Will Jones.

## Proposed Modifications to Subsection B

The OCD proposes several modifications to Subsection B.

B. Unless otherwise specified in an approved exploration and development plan, an application for permit to drill, re-enter or deepen a well that requires an exploration and development plan shall be subject to the following conditions:

| -                 |  |
|-------------------|--|
| 734<br>735<br>736 | (1) the operator shall drill the well using a closed loop system that uses above ground steel tanks for the management of drilling or workover fluids without using below-grade tanks or pits; |
| 737<br>738        | The OCD proposes to add "re-enter" to Subsection B to clarify that the listed  |
| 739               | conditions will apply to re-entry operations in addition to drilling and deepening   |
| 740               | operations.  |
| 741               | The OCD has proposed the additional language in numbered paragraph 1 to  |
| 742               | clarify and inform the applicant that a closed-loop system identified within this provision  |
| 743               | is a closed-loop system as defined in 19.15.17 NMAC.   |
| 744<br>745<br>746 | (2) the operator shall not use the on-site closure methods identified in Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC dispose of waste on site;  |
| 747<br>748        | The OCD has proposed the recommended change in order to clarify OCD original   |
| 749               | intent, which is to address the proper handling and disposal of waste generated from the   |
| 750               | drilling, re-entry or well deepening activities. Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  |
| 751               | identifies the types of activities on-site closure applies to and conditions in which such   |
| 752               | waste can be considered for burial on-site. By providing the appropriate reference, it will  |
| 753               | also prevent the misinterpretation of the original. The original language could be   |
| 754               | interpreted in a manner that would prevent the permitting of Class II disposal wells for   |
| 755               | produced water or the permitting of commercial and centralized surface waste   |
| 756               | management facilities. OCD's intent is not to limit the ability of operators to obtain such  |
| 757               | permits, especially since there is an absence of a waste management infrastructure within  |
| 758               | the area surrounding Santa Fe County and the Galisteo Basin region.  |
| 759<br>760        | (5) the operator shall isolate all fresh water <u>zones and</u> aquifers throughout their vertical extent with at least two cemented   |

casing strings.

761

| 763         | The OCD proposes this change to broaden the protection of fresh water. Aquifer          |
|-------------|---|
| 764         | is defined in Subsection A of 19.15.2.7 NMAC as "a geological formation, group of       |
| 765         | formations or a part of a formation that is capable of yielding a significant amount of |
| 766         | water to a well or spring." OCD Ex. 36. "Zone" is a broader term that will include any  |
| 767         | area in which fresh water is located, without regard to the amount of fresh water.      |
| 768         | I, Brad A. Jones, swear that the foregoing is true and correct.                         |
| 769         |   |
| 770         |   |
| 771         | Brad A. Jones   |
| 772         | Environmental Engineer  |
| 773         | Oil Conservation Division   |
| 774         |   |
| 775         |   |
| 776         |   |
| 777         | Subscribed and sworn to before me this 3rd day of December 2008, by Brad A. Jones.      |
| 778         |   |
| 779         | (1) $M$ $(2)$   |
| 780         | Jense / Jense   |
| 781         | Notary Public.  |
| 782         |   |
| 783         | My commission expires:  |
| 784<br>785  |   |
| 785<br>.786 | 1-09-2012   |