		Page 2
1	INDEX	
2	Page	
3	APPLICANT'S EXHIBITS:	
4	Exhibit 1 3 Exhibit 2 3	
5	Exhibit 2 3 Exhibit 3 3 Exhibit 4 5	
6	Exhibit 5 6	
7	COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 9	
8	COOKI KHI OKIHK B CHKIII ICMH	
9		
10		
11	APPEARANCES	
12		
13	FOR THE APPLICANT: W. THOMAS KELLAHIN, ESQ. Kellahin and Kellahin	
14	706 Gonzales Road Santa Fe, NM 87501	
15	Santa re, Mr 67501	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

- 1 HEARING EXAMINER: Let's call Case 14324,
- 2 Application of Burlington Resources Oil and Gas Company,
- 3 LP, for Compulsory Pooling, San Juan County, New Mexico.
- 4 Call for appearances.
- 5 MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner, Tom Kellahin from
- 6 the Santa Fe law firm of Kellahin and Kellahin. I'm
- 7 appearing on behalf of the Applicant.
- We're presenting this, with your permission,
- 9 Mr. Examiner, by affidavit.
- 10 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. No other appearances?
- 11 Okay.
- MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner, I have distributed
- 13 before you a package of exhibits.
- 14 Exhibit 1 shows the western side of Section 19.
- 15 There's an existing nonstandard spacing unit. It is
- 16 currently set up for Mesa Verde, Dakota and PC wells.
- 17 The oil references are after Exhibit No. 1.
- Exhibit No. 2, then, is an R-35 Order that was
- 19 entered by the Commission.
- 20 And then following that, Exhibit 3 is going to
- 21 be R-2046-B, which revises and expands some of the
- 22 provisions.
- 23 So when you read those two orders, you're going
- 24 to find a description for this tract as shown on the
- 25 docket and as displayed on Exhibit No. 1.

- 1 Currently, the northern circle is a Mesa Verde
- 2 well. And in the south, there's a double circle, those
- 3 are Mesa Verde wells. One's a surface location, the other
- 4 is a bottom-hole location. Their machine does funny
- 5 things and so it shows like it's two wells in one.
- Just north of that there's a plugged well, PC
- 7 well. Those two Mesa Verde wells were originally drilled
- 8 on a joint operating agreement with BP and Burlington.
- 9 And Burlington wants to then take the same
- 10 spacing unit and now drill the subject well, which will be
- 11 a directional well bore.
- 12 And this will be a Mesa Verde-Dakota well.
- 13 They're calling it the Massfield 2-B well.
- 14 And the reason the surface location is there is
- 15 to accommodate the Bureau of Land Management's minimize
- 16 surface use to drill directionally.
- 17 At some point, they'll intersect the Mesa Verde
- 18 and Dakota, and those setbacks, when encountered in the
- 19 reservoir, will be standard. They will file those things
- 20 administratively with the district office as is.
- 21 For the purpose of this case, Burlington was not
- 22 able to get Four Star Oil and Gas Company and Koch
- 23 Exploration to sign the new joint operating agreement.
- 24 Koch and Four Star have no interest in the Mesa
- 25 Verde. Their percentage interests are shown on the

- 1 application. Four Star has little more than 8 percent
- 2 interest. Koch has 58 plus percent. Both in the Dakota.
- 3 So the plan is to assist the Applicant, grant a
- 4 pooling order that will allow this to go forward by
- 5 compelling those interests to join.
- 6 Exhibit No. 4 is Mr. Terry Simcoe's affidavit.
- 7 He's done this in person in other cases and by affidavit.
- 8 And as you go through here, he narrates the different
- 9 components of what's been done.
- 10 When we get down to the depth of the well bore,
- 11 total well bore is going to be 7,369 feet.
- 12 Mr. Simcoe proposes to allocate costs. The
- 13 allocation of costs would be a drilling well rate of
- \$6,500 a month drilling, and a producing well rate of \$650
- 15 a month.
- He's also attached to his affidavit a schedule,
- 17 which is Exhibit D, which we've used in other hearings,
- 18 that shows the cost allocations between the Dakota and
- 19 Mesa Verde.
- The Dakota to be allocated 60 percent of the
- 21 cost, and the Mesa Verde 40 percent of the cost. That is
- 22 commonly done now among the major operators utilizing a
- 23 format and cost allocation developed by BP, adopted by
- 24 Burlington and ConocoPhillips.
- The whole content of that agreement was attached

- 1 as Exhibit D. So if you care to see it, you can walk
- 2 through the calculations and cost factors.
- In addition, Mr. Simcoe has attached as
- 4 Exhibit C information where he's got a copy of the letter
- 5 to Koch as Exhibit C-1. It sets out percentages. He sent
- 6 them the AFP as required.
- 7 When you look at C-2, that's the letter to Four
- 8 Star. Again, the AFP was sent to those people.
- 9 Following that, C-3 then, is a written
- 10 chronology of all of Mr. Simcoe's subsequent efforts to
- 11 get voluntary participation. He didn't simply send a
- 12 letter, he did a lot of work to try to get people to join
- in that were out there.
- 14 Attached finally as the last exhibit, you'll
- 15 have the AFE that's associated with the well bore. It's
- 16 the same AFE that went to the parties.
- 17 The total estimated well costs for a completed
- 18 well will be \$1,644,000 plus.
- 19 Exhibit 5, Mr. Examiner, is my affidavit of
- 20 notice in which we sent copies of the application to Koch
- 21 and Four Star.
- The notice letters attached and copies of the
- 23 green cards showing delivery to both companies is also
- 24 appended.
- With your permission, we would ask you to move

- 1 the introduction of Exhibits 1 through 5.
- 2 HEARING EXAMINER: Exhibits 1 through 5 will be
- 3 admitted.
- 4 MR. KELLAHIN: I'll stand for questions, and
- 5 that concludes our presentation.
- 6 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. David, any questions?
- 7 MR. BROOKS: Four Star and Koch are the only
- 8 people being pooled in this proceeding and they own only
- 9 in the Dakota?
- MR. KELLAHIN: Correct.
- MR. BROOKS: And this is going to be a Mesa
- 12 Verde and Dakota compulsion. Koch and Four Star are hard
- 13 to find, so I assume they've had actual notice.
- MR. KELLAHIN: Well, they're easy to find. They
- 15 tend to get distracted, and if they're not interested in
- 16 the project, they don't care and --
- MR. BROOKS: Yeah, but you sent them actual
- 18 notice?
- 19 MR. KELLAHIN: Yes, they received actual notice.
- MR. BROOKS: Okay. Let's see, you have an
- 21 affidavit of notice here somewhere.
- 22 MR. KELLAHIN: It should be the last exhibit,
- 23 Exhibit 5.
- 24 HEARING EXAMINER: Unless they've changed, Four
- 25 Star was just one person.

	Page 9
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) ss.
2	COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)
3	
4	
5	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
6	
7	I, PEGGY A. SEDILLO, Certified Court
8	Reporter of the firm Paul Baca Professional
9	Court Reporters do hereby certify that the
10	foregoing transcript is a complete and accurate
11	record of said proceedings as the same were
12	recorded by me or under my supervision.
13	Dated at Albuquerque, New Mexico this
14	10th day of June, 2009.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	PEGGY A. SEBILIO, CCR NO. 88
20	License Expires 12/31/09
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	