



# New Mexico Oil & Gas Association

## 2002-2003 Executive Committee

Chairman  
Raye Miller  
Marbob Energy

Vice Chairman  
Matthew Hyde  
Oxy Permian

Treasurer  
Johnny Cope  
Hobbs Rental Corp.

Chairman-Elect  
Richard Fraley  
Burlington Resources

Jim Bowzer  
Marathon Oil Co.

Matthew Elmer  
ConocoPhillips

Brad Foster  
Devon Energy

Greg Hild  
ChevronTexaco

Louis Jones  
Amerada Hess

Brian Moore  
Schlumberger Oilfield Services

Obie O'Brian  
Apache Corp.

Mark Plake  
Navajo Refining

Bruce Policky  
BP America Production Co.

Jerry Sandel  
Aztec Well Service

George Sharpe  
Merrion Oil & Gas

Paul Sparks  
Energen Resources Corp.

Bill Thomas  
EOG Resources, Inc.

Vaughn Vennerberg II  
XTO Energy, Inc.

Randy West  
El Paso Corp.

Kevin Williams  
Duke Energy Field Services

Frank Yates Jr.  
Yates Petroleum

Past Chairman  
Cliff Brunson  
BBC International, Inc.

Bob Gallagher  
President

P.O. Box 1864  
Santa Fe, NM 87504-1864

Ph: 505-982-2568  
Fax: 505-986-1094

Email: [staff@nmoga.org](mailto:staff@nmoga.org)  
[www.nmoga.org](http://www.nmoga.org)

RECEIVED

November 21, 2003

NOV 24 2003

OIL CONSERVATION  
DIVISION

Ms. Florene Davidson  
New Mexico Oil Conservation Division  
1220 South St. Francis Drive  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

Re: Comments Regarding Proposed Changes to Notice Rules

Dear Ms. Davidson:

The New Mexico Oil and Gas Association's (NMOGA) Regulatory Practices Committee has reviewed and discussed the proposed amendments for Rule 1201, 1202, 1204, 1205, 1207, 1208, 1209 and 1221. NMOGA has no objections to the proposed rules, except for the proposed changes to 1208. For Rule 1208.B, we offer the following comments and suggestions

Current rule 1208.B requires that parties to an adjudicatory proceeding must file a pre-hearing statement three days in advance of a scheduled hearing before the Division or the Commission. The statement must include: the names of the parties and their attorneys; a concise statement of the case; the names of all witnesses the party will call to testify at the hearing; the approximate time the party will need to present its case; and identification of any procedural matters that are to be resolved prior to the hearing. The Divisions proposed changes to 1208.B would increase the time period from three to four days. Our recommendation is to increase it even longer, clarify exactly what is required, and bar participation in the hearing to those parties who fail to comply.

NMOGA believes that the current rule should be changed to require that parties to a Commission or Division hearing or any adjudicatory proceeding, who receive actual notice pursuant to Rule 1207, and who intend to present contravening evidence at the hearing on the matter, shall file a pre-hearing statement no later than seven business days in advance of a scheduled hearing before the Division or the Commission. Such party(ies) shall serve a copy thereof on all counsel of record and on any other party timely filing

" Ensuring tomorrow's future today."  
Serving our members since 1929

Ms. Florene Davidson  
November 21, 2003  
Page Two

a pre-hearing statement, as provided in subsection A of this section, at least five business days prior to the first hearing date on the matter. The statement must include: 1) the names of the parties and their attorneys; 2) a concise statement of the case to be presented by such party; 3) the names of all witnesses the party will call to testify at the hearing ; 4) the approximate time the party will need to present its case; and 5) identification of any procedural matters that are to be resolved prior to the hearing. Any party that does not timely file a pre-hearing statement with the Commission or Division, or a copy thereof to counsel of record and other parties filing a pre-hearing statement in accordance with this rule, will be barred from presenting evidence at the hearing on the matter. In the event that a dispute arises the Commission or Division shall determine whether or not a pre-hearing statement has been timely filed.

The intent of this proposed change is to eliminate hearing by ambush or spending a significant amount of effort preparing for a hearing and traveling to Santa Fe, only to have your case continued at the last minute by a late-filed motion. Adoption of these changes will improve the efficiency of the hearing process.

We would like to extend our sincere gratitude to Mr. David Brooks for his efforts to help us understand these proposed changes and the reasons for their adoption.

Sincerely,

  
Bob Gallagher

***"Ensuring tomorrow's future today."  
Serving our members since 1929.***