William F. Carr wcarr@hollandhart.com

June 4, 2009

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Mark E. Fesmire, P. E.
Director
Oil Conservation Division
New Mexico Department of Energy,
Minerals and Natural Resources
1222 South Saint Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

Re: Case No. 14330:

Application of Gandy Corporation for Authorization to Inject.

Dear Mr. Fesmire:

Enclosed are copies of V-F Petroleum, Inc.'s Motion for Continuance and Motion to Quash Subpoena in the above-referenced case.

Your consideration of these motions is appreciated.

Sincerely,

William F. Carr

Attorney for V-F Petroleum, Inc.

WFC

cc: Charles N. Lankins, Esq. via Facsimile

STATE OF NEW MEXICO RECEIVED ENERGY MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISIONS JUN 4 PM 4 11

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 14330

APPLICATION OF GANDY CORPORATION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO INJECT.

MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE

V-F PETROLEUM, INC., through its undersigned attorneys, moves the Oil Conservation Division for a four week continuance in the above captioned case. In support hereof, V-F Petroleum, Inc. states:

- 1. In this case, Gandy Corporation ("Gandy") seeks an order authorizing it to dispose produced salt water into the Abo and the Permo-Pennsylvanian formations in a 2,200 foot interval from 8,900 feet to 11,000 feet in its Albacore 25 Com Well No. 1 (API 30-025-37054) located 130 feet from the South line and 1350 feet from the West line of Section 25, Township 16 South, Range 35 East, N.M.P.M., Lea County, New Mexico.
 - 2. V-F Petroleum operates offsetting wells and has objected to the application.
- 3. Gandy has subpoenaed mud logs from V-F Petroleum, Inc. and V-F Petroleum has moved to Quash the Subpoena. No ruling has been made by the Division on the subpoena.
- 4. Counsel for Gandy has informed the undersigned that Gandy desires to proceed to hearing on the June 11, 2009 Examiner Hearing Docket.

- 5. V-F Petroleum, Inc. requests a continuance to the July 9th Examiner Hearing Docket for the following reasons:
 - (a) The undersigned was unable to locate the principles of V-F Petroleum, Inc. from May 27, 2009 [when legal counsel for Gandy wrote V-F Petroleum, Inc. and requested copies of the mud logs for the Blue Fin Well No. 25 and the Eidson Well No. 26] until June 3, 2009 concerning the production of these documents. Accordingly V-F Petroleum's Motion to Quash has been filed on this date and a ruling on this discovery issue is required before the V-F Petroleum, Inc. can fully prepare its case. V-F Petroleum, Inc. cannot be prepared to proceed on June 11, 2009.
 - (b) Prior commitments prohibit the attendance of V-F Petroleum, Inc.'s witnesses at the June 25th examiner hearing.
 - (c) If this application goes to hearing before July 9, 2009, V-F Petroleum, Inc.'s participation will be limited to cross examination, in the nature of discovery, and then, if an adverse order is entered, a *de novo* appeal to the Commission for a hearing when V-F Petroleum, Inc.'s opposition can be fully prepared and presented.
 - (d) The parties have been discussing potential settlement of this dispute.

WHEREFORE, V-F Petroleum, Inc. moves the Oil Conservation Division for an order continuing the hearing in this case to the July 9, 32009 Examiner Hearing Docket.

Respectfully Submitted:

Holland & Hart LLP

William F. Carr
Holland & Hart LLP
Post Office Box 2208
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
(505) 988-4421
(505) 983-6043 Facsimile

ATTORNEYS FOR V-F PETROLEUM, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 4th day of June, 2009, I have caused to be delivered by Facsimile a copy of the **Motion for Continuance** in the above mentioned case to the following counsel of record:

Charles N. Lankins, Esq.
Domenici Law Firm
320 Gold Ave., SW
Suite 1000
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102-3228
(505) 883-5260
(505) 884-3424 Facsimile

William F. Carr, Esq.

RECEIVED

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY MINERALS AND NATURAL RIBOURCES PRPARTIMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 14330

APPLICATION OF GANDY CORPORATION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO INJECT.

MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA

V-F PETROLEUM, INC., through its undersigned attorneys, applies to the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division for an order quashing the Subpoena for Production of Documents issued by the Division to V-F Petroleum, Inc. on June 5, 2009. As grounds for this motion, V-F Petroleum, Inc. states the following:

1. Pursuant to N.M.S.A. § 70-2-8 (2005) and NMAC 19.15. 4.16(A) of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division's Rules of Procedure, the Division issued a Subpoena for Production of Documents on June 5. 2009. The subpoena was issued on the application of Gandy Corporation and ordered V-F Petroleum, Inc. to produce for inspection and copying all mud logs from V-F Petroleum's Blue Fin 25 Well (API 30-025-35865) and V-F Petroleum's Eidson 26 Well (API 30-025-34196).

2. This case involves the application of Gandy Corporation for authorization to dispose of produced salt water into the Abo and the Permo-Pennsylvanian formations in a 2,200 foot interval form 8,900 feet to 11,000 feet in its Albacore 25 Com Well No. 1 (API 30-025-37054) located 130 feet from the South line and 1350 feet from the West line of Section 25, Township 16 South, Range 35 East, N.M.P.M., Lea County, New Mexico.

MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA PAGE 1

3. V-F Petroleum operates offsetting wells and has objected to the application.

4. Mud logs are interpretative in nature and contain proprietary information. It has

long been the practice of the Division to not allow operators to obtain mud logs from other

operators by use of the Division's subpoena power.

5. The burden of proof in this case falls on Gandy Corporation to establish that its

proposed injection into 2,200 feet of formation will not damage this reservoir or an offsetting V-

F Petroleum Well located approximately one-half mile from the proposed injection well.

6. In fact, Gandy Corporation has its own mud logs over the intervals of interest and

there is nothing substantively that the production of the proprietary interpretations of V-F

Petroleum, Inc. would add to the hearing.

CONCLUSION

The mud logs that Gandy Corporation seeks by Oil Conservation Division Subpoena are

proprietary interpretations and are not necessary for Gandy to be able to present its case in

support of this application for authorization to inject. V-F Petroleum, Inc. therefore moves the

Division to quash the subpoena.

Respectfully Submitted:

Holland & Hart LLP

William F. Carr

Holland & Hart LLP

Post Office Box 2208

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

(505) 988-4421

(505) 983-6043 Facsimile

ATTORNEYS FOR V-F PETROLEUM, INC.

MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA PAGE 2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 4th day of June, 2009, I have caused to be delivered by Facsimile a copy of the **Motion to Quash Subpoena** in the above mentioned case to the following counsel of record:

Charles N. Lankins, Esq.
Domenici Law Firm
320 Gold Ave., SW
Suite 1000
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102-3228
(505) 883-5260
(505) 884-3424 Facsimile

William F. Carr, Esq.