	Page 1			
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT			
2	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION			
3	IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR			
4	THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:			
5	APPLICATION OF COG OPERATING, LLC, CASE NO. 14490			
6	FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO			
7	APPLICATION OF COG OPERATING, LLC, CASE NO. 14491			
8	FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO			
9				
10	APPLICATION OF COG OPERATING, LLC, CASE NO. 14492 FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO			
11				
12	APPLICATION OF COG OPERATING, LLC, CASE NO. 14493 FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO			
13	LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO			
14	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS			
15	EXAMINER HEARING			
16	BEFORE: DAVID K. BROOKS, Presiding Examiner TERRY G. WARNELL, Technical Examiner			
17				
18	June 10, 2010			
19	Santa Fe, New Mexico			
20	This matter came on for hearing before the New			
21	Mexico Oil Conservation Division, DAVID K. BROOKS, Presiding Examiner, and TERRY G. WARNELL, Technical Examiner, on Thursday, June 10, 2010, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.			
22				
23	boden be. I fanote bilve, hoom 102, banea 10, how howies.			
24	REPORTED BY: Jacqueline R. Lujan, CCR #91 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters			
25	500 Fourth Street, N.W., Suite 105			
1				

			Page 2
1	APPEARANCES		
2	FOR THE APPLICANT:		
3	J. SCOTT HALL, ESQ.		
4	MONTGOMERY & ANDREWS LAW FIRM 325 Paseo de Peralta		
5	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 (505)982-3873		
6			
7	WITNESS:	PAGE	
8	J. Robert Ready:		
9	Direct examination by Mr. Hall	4	
10	Examination by Examiner Brooks Examination by Examiner Warnell	13 15	
11	Examinacion by Examiner Waineri	15	
12	INDEX	PAGE	
13	EXHIBITS 1 THROUGH 10 WERE ADMITTED	15	
14		13	
15	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	16	
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

- 1 EXAMINER BROOKS: We're back on the
- 2 record. You said you were going to consolidate these
- 3 cases. Do you want me to call them all?
- 4 MR. HALL: Yes, please.
- 5 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. At this time
- 6 we'll call Case Number 14490, application of COG
- 7 Operating, LLC, for compulsory pooling, Lea County, New
- 8 Mexico; Case Number 14491, application of COG Operating,
- 9 LLC, for compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico; Case
- 10 Number 14492, application of COG Operating, LLC, for
- 11 compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico; and Case
- 12 Number 14493, application of COG Operating, LLC, for
- 13 compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico.
- 14 Call for appearances.
- MR. HALL: Mr. Examiner, Scott Hall, with
- 16 the Montgomery & Andrews Law Firm, Santa Fe, appearing on
- 17 behalf of the applicant, COG Operating, LLC. We have one
- 18 witness this afternoon.
- 19 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. And you're moving
- 20 to consolidate all of the cases for purposes of this
- 21 hearing?
- MR. HALL: Yes, sir, so moved.
- 23 EXAMINER BROOKS: Cases 14490, 14491,
- 24 14492, and 14493 will be consolidated for purposes of
- 25 hearing.

- 19 division and had your credentials as a landman accepted
- 20 as a matter of record?
- 21 A. I have.
- Q. You are familiar with the four applications in
- 23 these consolidated cases and the lands involved?
- 24 A. I am.
- 25 MR. HALL: Okay. At this point,

- 1 Mr. Examiner, we'd offer Mr. Ready as an expert petroleum
- 2 landman.
- 3 EXAMINER BROOKS: So qualified.
- Q. (By Mr. Hall) Would you please explain to the
- 5 hearing Examiner what we're seeking here today?
- A. We're seeking a pooling of the unleased
- 7 mineral interests and uncommitted leasehold interests
- 8 under the four spacing units described to the interval
- 9 from the base of the unitized Maljamar Grayburg Unit to
- 10 the top of the Abo formation.
- 11 O. Let's look at Exhibit 1. Does Exhibit 1
- 12 describe the spacing units for each of the wells and show
- 13 the well locations?
- 14 A. It does. It describes the 40-acre spacing
- units and has the footage of each location posted.
- 16 Q. For the record, could you state the section
- 17 subdivisions and attribute the well names to each of
- 18 those subdivisions?
- 19 A. The Taylor D Number 2 is located in the
- 20 northwest of the southeast of Section 9. The Number 3 is
- 21 located in the southwest of the northeast of Section 9.
- the Number 4, in the southeast of the northeast of
- 23 Section 9, and the Number 5 in the northeast/southeast of
- 24 Section 9, all in Township 17 South, Range 32 East.
- Q. And COG is asking for the pooling of all

- 1 formations developed on a 40-acre spacing basis below the
- 2 base of the Maljamar Grayburg Unit; is that right?
- A. From that depth to the top of the Abo
- 4 formation.
- 5 Q. And your primary objective is?
- 6 A. The Yeso formation.
- 7 Q. Let's look at Exhibit 2. And if you would
- 8 tell us, what is this?
- 9 A. Exhibit 2 is the well proposal, which was made
- 10 to the uncommitted owners. It's identical for each and
- 11 every owner.
- Q. Why don't you say for the record -- identify
- 13 each of those owners and their ownership percentages.
- 14 A. The uncommitted owners are RAM Energy, Inc.,
- owning a 12.375 percent unleased mineral interest; The
- 16 Trust Company of Kansas and Clyde Dell Graeber,
- 17 co-trustees, owning a 6.25 percent unleased mineral
- 18 interest; Triad Energy Corporation, owning a 3.125
- 19 percent unleased mineral interest; Range Operating New
- 20 Mexico, Inc., owning a 0.125 percent unleased mineral
- 21 interest; and Chevron USA, Inc., owning a 3.125 percent
- 22 uncommitted leasehold working interest.
- 23 O. Is the uncommitted ownership in each of these
- 24 40-acre spacing units the same?
- A. Yes. It's uniform through the entire 160-acre

- 1 comprising the four 40-acre spacing units.
- Q. Is Exhibit 2 a compilation of COG's original
- 3 well proposal letters to each of those uncommitted
- 4 interest owners?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. How long has COG had its interest in Section
- 7 9?
- 8 A. Since March of 2009. It was part of a larger
- 9 transaction.
- 10 Q. Are these wells all permitted?
- 11 A. These wells are all permitted.
- 12 Q. When were the permits filed?
- 13 A. Between May and July of 2009.
- 14 Q. What percentage do you have committed to the
- 15 wells now?
- 16 A. 75 percent.
- 17 Q. You're asking the Division to join the
- 18 unpooled mineral interests and the one working interest
- 19 in each of the wells?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Does COG seek the position of a 200 percent
- 22 risk penalty against those uncommitted interests?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Does COG seek to be designated operator of the
- 25 well?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Let's look at the next few exhibits. What is
- 3 Exhibit 3?
- 4 A. Exhibit 3 is an email sent containing the
- 5 proposal letter that was also sent by Federal Express
- 6 transmitting the proposal, the AFEs for all wells, and an
- 7 operating agreement for the wells.
- 8 Q. And in this particular instance, Exhibit 3 is
- 9 addressed to whom?
- 10 A. It's addressed to Tully Davis, Vice President
- 11 of RAM Energy, Inc.
- 12 Q. Is Exhibit 3 a compilation of the
- 13 communications you have had -- written communications you
- 14 have had with Mr. Davis, RAM Energy?
- 15 A. With Mr. Davis and others at RAM Energy.
- 16 Q. And let's look at Exhibit 4. Identify that,
- 17 please.
- 18 A. Exhibit 4 is an email transmitting the well
- 19 proposal, AFEs, and operating agreement to Mr. Mike
- 20 Goldak, Trust Officer for the Trust Company of Kansas,
- 21 conveying again the well proposal, AFEs, and operating
- 22 agreement for the Trust Company of Kansas and Clyde Dell
- 23 Graeber, co-trustees.
- O. And Exhibit 5?
- 25 A. Email to Sam Bradshaw, President of Triad

- 1 Energy, transmitting the well proposal, AFEs, and
- 2 operating agreement to Triad, and the written
- 3 communications after that time.
- 4 Q. And Exhibit 6, please?
- 5 A. Email to Bobby Ebeier, Senior Landman of Range
- 6 Operating New Mexico, Inc., transmitting the well
- 7 proposals, the AFEs, and the operating agreement.
- 8 Q. And finally, Exhibit 7?
- 9 A. Email to Kevin Stubbs, a landman with Chevron
- 10 USA, Inc., transmitting the well proposals, AFEs, and
- 11 operating agreement to Chevron.
- 12 Q. Now, in addition to the electronic and written
- 13 communications you had with each of these interest
- 14 owners, were there also telephonic conversations with
- 15 them?
- 16 A. I have communicated with all of these parties
- 17 by telephone numerous times.
- 18 Q. As of today, you do not have a firm written
- 19 agreement in hand from each of these interest owners?
- 20 A. We do not have a written agreement in hand for
- 21 these owners. The status, if you'd like to hear it --
- Q. Briefly.
- 23 A. RAM Energy, Inc., appears -- is interested in
- 24 entering into an oil and gas lease. We're continuing to
- 25 negotiate with them on that and will do so after the

- 1 hearing and order.
- 2 The Trust Company of Kansas also has expressed
- 3 a strong interest in leasing, and we're close to
- 4 agreement there. It is not finished. We will continue
- 5 those negotiations after the hearing and order.
- 6 Triad Energy Corporation has agreed to the
- 7 terms and form of oil and gas lease, and we're in the
- 8 process of closing that transaction. It is not closed at
- 9 this time.
- 10 Range Operating New Mexico, Inc., we have
- 11 agreed to basic terms to purchase their small mineral
- interest in the subject lands and depths, and we'll
- 13 continue that effort.
- 14 And Chevron USA, Inc., has indicated their
- 15 desire to participate in the wells. They have signed
- 16 AFEs for each of the wells. We are negotiating an
- 17 operating agreement with them. That's ongoing. We hope
- 18 to conclude that.
- 19 Q. Let's look at Exhibit 8. Would you identify
- 20 that, please?
- 21 A. Exhibit 8 is representative excerpts from the
- 22 operating agreement submitted to all the parties. The
- 23 operating agreement submitted to each was identical.
- Q. This went to the interest owners with your May
- 25 7th letter?

- 1 A. Correct.
- Q. Look at Exhibit 9 and identify those, please.
- A. These are the detailed cost estimates, the
- 4 AFEs for the Taylor D2, the Taylor D3, the Taylor D4, and
- 5 Taylor D5. The costs are identical. The cost to casing
- 6 point in each is \$688,000. The completion costs after
- 7 casing point, \$963,000. The total AFE amount,
- 8 \$1,650,000, identical for all of the wells.
- 9 Q. And the AFEs for each of the wells went with
- 10 your May 7th well proposal letter to each of the interest
- 11 owners, as well?
- 12 A. They did.
- 13 Q. As to the intervals you seek to pool, does the
- 14 ownership differ?
- 15 A. No. The ownership is common throughout the
- 16 160 acres in question and throughout that interval.
- Q. So it's the same through all depths?
- 18 A. It's the same through all depths.
- 19 Q. Below the unit?
- 20 A. Correct.
- Q. All right.
- A. It's the same through the interval being
- 23 pooled.
- Q. Okay. Back to your AFE costs. Have you
- 25 determined whether or not these costs are in line with

- 1 what other operators are charging for similar wells in
- 2 the area?
- 3 A. Yes, they are. Possibly lower.
- 4 O. What are your estimates of the overhead costs
- 5 while drilling and producing the well?
- A. The drilling well overhead, \$5,500 per month.
- 7 The producing well overhead rate, \$500 per month per
- 8 well.
- 9 Q. Are those costs in line?
- 10 A. Yes, they are.
- 11 Q. Are you asking for these costs to be included
- in the order that may result from this hearing?
- 13 A. Yes, we are.
- Q. Does COG request that the order be issued in
- 15 this case provide for an adjustment of the drilling and
- 16 producing overhead rates in accordance with the current
- 17 COPAS bulletin for the area?
- 18 A. Yes, we do.
- 19 Q. In your opinion, would granting COG's
- 20 applications be in the best interest of conservation,
- 21 prevention of waste, protection of correlative rights?
- 22 A. Yes, we do. There's been no new drilling
- 23 commenced on these lands since 1965. The wells we
- 24 propose to drill will penetrate depths that have not been
- 25 penetrated by a well previously. The total depth drilled

- in any prior well was approximately 4,200 feet. Total
- depth in our wells is approximately 7,000 feet.
- Q. Does COG have an expiring interest or a
- 4 deadline that's at work here?
- 5 A. We do. We have a spud commitment of July 9th.
- 6 We're working to obtain an extension of that. We do not
- 7 have it in hand, and it's uncertain whether we will be
- 8 able to get that.
- 9 Q. Is COG requesting the Examiner enter an
- 10 expedited order in this circumstance?
- 11 A. We do request an expedited order.
- Q. Were Exhibits 1 through 9 prepared by you and
- 13 at your direction?
- 14 A. Yes, they were.
- 15 MR. HALL: At this point, Mr. Examiner,
- 16 we'd also offer Exhibit 10, which is our notice
- 17 affidavit. That concludes our direct of this witness.
- 18 If I might provide you with a copy of the
- 19 provision from the unit agreement which describes the
- 20 unitized formation, which you may care to refer to of
- 21 course in drafting an order.
- 22 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay.
- 23 EXAMINATION
- 24 BY EXAMINER BROOKS:
- Q. This unitized formation, what is its relation

- 1 to the objective of this well?
- 2 A. It's shallower.
- Q. Okay. So when you said something about it was
- 4 from the base of the Maljamar Grayburg, this is what
- 5 they're describing?
- 6 A. That is the definition of the unit interval in
- 7 the Maljamar Grayburg, and we're pooling to depths below
- 8 that down to the top of the Abo.
- 9 Q. What are the overhead rates you're asking for?
- 10 A. Drilling rate, \$5,500 per well per month,
- 11 producing well rate, \$550 per well per month.
- 12 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. Did you get green
- 13 cards back from when you mailed the hearing notices to
- 14 the people?
- MR. HALL: We did. We got full response,
- 16 and the originals are with the court reporter.
- 17 EXAMINER BROOKS: No unlocated interests?
- MR. HALL: No.
- 19 EXAMINER BROOKS: Just these people that
- 20 you corresponded with?
- 21 THE WITNESS: That's correct
- MR. HALL: Correct.
- 23 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. I guess that's
- 24 all I have.
- 25 Mr. Warnell?